I. COUNCIL MEMBERS
1. City County Commons meeting, Monday, May 18, 2020
2. Videoconferencing the Directors’ & City Council meeting(s) for Monday, May 18, 2020

II. CONSTITUENT CORRESPONDENCE
1. Mayor Leirion Gaylor Baird - Melody Vaccaro
2. Proposed SP20008 - Kris Thoms
3. Proposed SP20008 - Dennis & Jolene Graff
4. Proposed SP20008 - Jace Morris
5. Masks (PPE’s) - Pat Anderson
Dear Mayor Gaylor Baird,
You told us the plan and you're changing it midstream.

Follow the experts, like you've repeatedly told us you'd do. Do not let the governor bully you. We elected you, not him.

We haven't met the markers. We're in the middle of a surge. In person elections are happening on Tuesday. We don't yet know the impact of the meatpacking outbreaks.

You have to stand up for us. My family, my kids, and my city are counting on you to exert sound leadership. Melody Vaccaro
CAUTION: This email comes from a sender outside your organization.

Please read all the previous oppositions presented to the Planning Commission from numerous neighbors in the neighborhood in reference to SP20008. We are all in understanding there would be a development at some point. We also hoped it would be in similarity to what is already in place in the existing areas. Family, senior housing homes are very common in this area. I hope this can continue. I hope we can make this a safe residential area for all. I have concerns for the fire and other emergency, snow plows etc on Telluride Circle. It is a very long, narrow (less than 27 ft), winding, curving, down hill dead end street. Even Steve Hendrichsen from the Planning Department mentioned that these vehicles could turn in the circle if no one is parking in the circle. There are always cars parked in this area. It is difficult, sometimes impossible already to do turn arounds. If we have more cars being forced to use this as overflow parking, I have more concerns for our city employees safety. Don’t they and all the existing neighbors deserve more safety? I agree this is a tough site for infill but I don’t agree that the existing neighbors should be put in jeopardy of there safety and there on street parking being eliminated. Although Mike Eckert showed several on street parking spots to be on the street, these are actually where fire hydrants are already in place and I believe there are laws about parking a certain distance around these hydrants. So those are not spaces to be used as a count for parking. Please encourage that this new development to turn all properties to drive in and out via Kokomo Drive, or perhaps an exit out onto Fletcher Avenue and not to enter and exit via Telluride Circle. This will also make there development look more uniform, and more like there own community, as there plan for 4 plexes will not blend in with the existing residences already in place. Can we make this a safe residential area for all? I truly hope so.

Kris Thoms

Sent from my iPad
We have concerns about the North Creek Addition development you will be voting on May 11, 2020. We would be in favor of a development on this site, however a development of this size, we feel, would overload this property. We would be in favor of a development similar to what is already on our street of 2 unit townhouses which would keep the style of homes similar in the area.

This development will build 7 units consisting of 4 townhomes in each unit. This development is at the corner of Fletcher Ave. and Telluride Drive with our street, Telluride Circle on the south border of this development. The present townhomes on this street, which are on the south side of Telluride Circle, have no on street parking on the south side in front of our homes because of the closeness of the driveways. Three of these 4 plexes will have driveways facing Telluride Circle, which will eliminate parking on the north side of our street because of the number of driveways (12) exiting the townhomes on the north side and the 3 units of 2 townhomes already on the North side by the circle at the East end of Telluride Circle.

We are concerned with the increase in traffic on our dead end street. With the existing townhomes already here we have 6 driveways on the North side and 26 driveways on the South side of Telluride Circle. If each townhome has 2 vehicles, which is the norm, that would be 64 vehicles. If you add the 3 four plexes in the development planned that would add 12 driveways and possibly 24 more vehicles adding up to 88 vehicles entering and leaving Telluride Circle.

The remaining four of the 4 plexes would have driveways on Kokomo Drive which the developers are building in addition to on outlet road onto Fletch Ave. These four 4 plexes would have 16 driveways on a street (Kokomo Drive) that is being built wider that Telluride Circle AND has two outlets out of the area. Telluride Circle is a street with only one outlet onto Telluride Drive because there is a dead end circle at the East end of the street. Of course Kokomo Drive could be used by some to exit Telluride Circle.

It is a concern to many of the present homeowners that the new development will bring many more people living in such a crowded area with little yard space. It will have such an urban atmosphere instead of the homely atmosphere that is present here. We are concerned if these will be rentals and if there will be proper upkeep of the properties. Most of the present occupants would rather see a scaled down version of this development instead of the packing in of as many units as possible.

We are concerned that in order to build this development 6 waivers to the present zoning of the area were needed. We would hope you will reconsider approving this development in its present size and asking the developer to come back with a scaled down version.

Thank you,

Dennis & Jolene Graff
2431 Telluride Circle
Lincoln, NE 68521
“She (Corr)hopes that the new developer will be lenient when it comes to allowing residents to park on the wider street to help elevate some of these parking concerns.”

This is a ridiculous comment. You are acknowledging the issues with parking and HOPING that the developer will be lenient. No. They will do what they always do which is protect their interests. You are suppose to protect ours. Not theirs. You have one developer who wants the shoe horn multi family homes in an already congested heavy traffic area and MULTIPLE residents in opposition of this and you are siding with the developer.

The closest playground for kids in this area is a walk down fletcher, across 27th street, continuing down fletcher, and into the CIP townhomes area. It’s a 1 mile walk across busy streets.

The best argument this developer has is that they are only at 60% of density allowed. Basically saying they are doing US a favor by not building more.

It is time for you, as a committee, to take a stand and say no, Lincoln will not allow you to over develop these neighborhoods. We do not want neighborhoods being developed only to have some company buy up land on the outskirts of it to shove multi family houses in after prices on the homes have gone up.

If you pass this I can only hope that these developers move into your neighborhood ASAP. This why our next home will be far outside of Lincoln city limits.
Dear Mayor Leirion and City Council,

If we are to open up at this time of rising COVID cases, I believe we should mandate anyone shopping or in enclosed buildings to wear a mask. This will go a long way to prevent contagion and protect workers and those who are being responsible and wearing masks.

Can Lincoln or Lancaster impose a fine or do I need to lobby at the state level (which I figure won’t do much good)?

Pat Anderson-Sifuentes

Sent from my iPhone