I. MINUTES
1. Approval of Directors’ minutes from July 1, 2019

II. ADJUSTMENTS TO AGENDA

III. CITY CLERK

IV. MAYOR’S OFFICE
1. Fiscal Impact Statement - Lincoln Fire & Rescue, dated June 27, 2019
2. Fiscal Impact Statement - Finance - WIOA, dated July 1, 2019
3. Fiscal Impact Statement - Parks & Recreation, dated July 3, 2019

V. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE
PLANNING
1. Annexation Map, North 7th Street & Pennsylvania Ave - Effective July 2, 2019
2. Administrative Approvals from June 25, 2019 through July 1, 2019
3. Administrative Approvals from July 2, 2019 through July 8, 2019
4. Action dated July 10, 2019
5. Final Action dated July 10, 2019

VI. BOARDS/COMMITTEES/COMMISSION REPORTS
1. BOH - Bowers (07.09.19)
2. PBC - Meginnis, Raybould (07.09.19)
3. ISPC - Washington (07.11.19)
4. Parks & Rec - Christensen (07.11.19)

VII. CONSTITUENT CORRESPONDENCE
1. Serving Hands Sculpture at Children’s Zoo - Paige Schuppan
   Staff response provided by Lin Quenzer, City Ombudsman and Matt Schulte, Director, Campus Life
2. Cost of Annexation #19004 - Russell Miller
3. Herbert Park Fountain, Meadowlane Area Residents Association - Richard Furasek, MARA Treasurer

VIII. MEETINGS/INVITATIONS
See invitation list.

IX. ADJOURNMENT
This fiscal impact statement addresses the need to hire permanent office specialists for ongoing billing needs for the Emergency Medical Services (EMS) Enterprise Fund instead of continuing to rely on the use of temporary paraprofessionals.

The current staffing structure with an EMS Business Manager, Account Clerk III, and two part-time paraprofessionals is generally unsustainable considering the growing complexity of the billing work to comply with changes in federal and state regulations as well the exponential growth in the volume of emergency medical services. LF&R is required to provide under the city’s municipal code to the city and the surrounding areas. Last fall when the paraprofessional position was vacant for a period of over three months, LF&R faced an $800,000 loss in revenue due to a lack of redundancy, permanency, and generally adequate staffing in its emergency medical services (EMS) management division.

LF&R came very close to missing a reporting deadline mandated in federal regulations during the last year that would have resulted in the suspension of LF&R as a provider of services rendered to Medicare and Medicaid patients for over one year, which would have resulted in a multi-million dollar loss in revenue for the enterprise fund. Medicare and Medicaid patients comprise over 40% of the total population who receive emergency medical services from LF&R. The deadline was only narrowly met because the current EMS business manager worked a substantial amount of additional hours over evenings and weekends.

Of note, the outcome of the ballot initiative (427) in November 2018 requiring the state to expand Medicaid to previously uninsured populations will only increase the demanding and cumbersome workload associated with billing the state’s Medicaid program. It will not however increase revenue as well since Medicaid reimburses at a rate lower than the cost for the services LF&R provides and that LF&R would bill for services rendered if the patient was a private payer instead of covered by Medicaid.

In addition to the work load growing at an unsustainable rate, the lack of any possibility for redundancy and support due to the inadequate staffing in this division means that any turnover in the paraprofessional positions, vacations, or sick leave results in a near immediate and meaningful loss of revenue that could total over $600,000 per month. The full-time, permanent office specialist positions will be able to keep the revenue funding stream moving at a reasonable pace and support the EMS business manager on a consistent basis.

Likewise, it is important to recognize that these positions do complex work and treating these positions accordingly as full-time employees will mitigate the costly turnover in the position.

For the foregoing reasons, LF&R is respectfully requesting approval to hire office specialists upon the vacancy of the paraprofessional positions to avoid future significant losses in revenue, bolster the enterprise fund’s ability to maintain the current level of services provided even with expansion of Medicaid coverage in the state, and overall build a more sustainable staffing structure as the need for emergency medical services in the city continues to grow at its present rapid pace.

If these positions are not funded, it will likely be necessary to seek subsidization for the enterprise fund from the city's general fund within the next budget cycle.

FUTURE IMPACT: X Ongoing
□ Limited  Projected Completion Date
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUES GENERATED</th>
<th>LEGISLATIVE CHANGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City Yes □ No □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County Yes □ No □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State Yes □ No □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>Current Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Next Fiscal Year Annualized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (full time equivalents)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para-professional Technical Worker Office Specialist</td>
<td>+.04 FTE</td>
<td>-0.5 FTE +2.0 FTE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| PERSONNEL (cost) business unit: |  |
| object code | description |  |
| 05005.5021 | Salary | 1,600 | 83,120 |
| 05005.5086 | FICA | 122 | 6,358 |
| 05005.5083 | Life Ins. | | 250 |
| 05005.5081 | Health Ins. | | 17,410 |
| 05005.5082 | Dental Ins. | | 898 |
| 05005.5085 | Pension | | 7,480 |
| 05005.5091 | PEHP | | 1,300 |

Less savings from Para-professional position 05005.5021 -23,494

| SUPPLIES business unit: |  |
| object code | description |  |

| OTHER SERVICES & CHARGES business unit: |  |
| object code | description |  |

| EQUIPMENT business unit: |  |
| object code | description |  |

| TOTAL EXPENDITURES |  |
| $1,722 | $93,322 |

| SOURCE OF REVENUES |  |
| EMS Enterprise Fund | $1,722 | $93,322 |

DIRECTOR [Signature]  DATE 6/27/19
WHEN TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Requesting transfer of operating appropriations.
2. Requesting increase in personnel (full time equivalents) appropriations.
3. Requesting transfer of capital improvement appropriations.
4. Requesting operational change not authorized during the budget process.
5. Requesting appropriations based on receipt of additional funds from outside sources.
6. Requesting use of Contingency funds.

HOW TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

NEED: There should be a detailed explanation of why a change to the previously approved budget is necessary. If the change will have any impact beyond the current fiscal year, it should also be noted.

FUTURE IMPACT: One of the boxes should be checked. An example of an item with ongoing impact would be a request for additional fte authorization that will also be requested in upcoming budgets. This would necessitate filling out the "Next Fiscal Year Annualized" column. An example of an item with limited impact would be asking for authorization to use salary savings for one time purchase of equipment. If "Projected Completion Date" applies, please fill in.

REVENUES GENERATED: Please note if the request will affect current and future revenues.

LEGISLATIVE CHANGES: These boxes should be marked yes or no. Some of the actions this form is used for (transfer of capital improvement appropriations, Contingency Funds) require a City Council ordinance.

PERSONNEL (full time equivalents): Please note the number of fte’s the request involves, if applicable.

PERSONNEL (cost), SUPPLIES, OTHER SERVICES AND CHARGES, EQUIPMENT: All entries in these boxes must have the business unit, object code, and object code description along with the dollar amount. Negative amounts must be indicated by brackets.

TOTAL EXPENDITURES: This box should contain the sum of the dollar amounts in the various expenditure categories.

SOURCE OF REVENUES: This box should contain the name of the fund the action is required for.
FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Finance - WIOA

DATE: July 1, 2019

NEED When the 18-19 WIOA budget was approved, estimated amounts were used since the final WIOA grant Notice of Awards for the Dept of Labor were not yet available. This FIS is requested to adjust the original budget dollars to match the actual available WIOA funds, which includes carryin amounts from prior year, and current year grant awards. Processing this FIS will ensure that current year expenditures will not exceed budgeted amounts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUTURE IMPACT:</th>
<th>☐ Ongoing</th>
<th>☐ Limited</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Projected Completion Date</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REVENUES GENERATED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>COUNTY</th>
<th>STATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEGISLATIVE CHANGES</td>
<td>Yes □</td>
<td>Yes □</td>
<td>No □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IMPECT

PERSONNEL (full time equivalents)

PERSONNEL (cost) business unit:
object code description

SUPPLIES business unit:
object code description

OTHER SERVICES & CHARGES business unit:
object code description See Attached for Coding

EQUIPMENT business unit:
object code description

TOTAL EXPENDITURES

568,174

SOURCE OF REVENUES WIOA grant funds

DIRECTOR [Signature] DATE 7/2/19
WHEN TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Requesting transfer of operating appropriations.
2. Requesting increase in personnel (full time equivalents) appropriations.
3. Requesting transfer of capital improvement appropriations.
4. Requesting operational change not authorized during the budget process.
5. Requesting appropriations based on receipt of additional funds from outside sources.
6. Requesting use of Contingency funds.

HOW TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

NEED: There should be a detailed explanation of why a change to the previously approved budget is necessary. If the change will have any impact beyond the current fiscal year, it should also be noted.

FUTURE IMPACT: One of the boxes should be checked. An example of an item with ongoing impact would be a request for additional fte authorization that will also be requested in upcoming budgets. This would necessitate filling out the "Next Fiscal Year Annualized" column. An example of an item with limited impact would be asking for authorization to use salary savings for the one time purchase of equipment. If "Projected Completion Date" applies, please fill in.

REVENUES GENERATED: Please note if the request will affect current and future revenues.

LEGISLATIVE CHANGES: These boxes should be marked yes or no. Some of the actions this form is used for (transfer of capital improvement appropriations, Contingency Funds) require a City Council ordinance.

PERSONNEL (full time equivalents): Please note the number of fte’s the request involves, if applicable.

PERSONNEL (cost), SUPPLIES, OTHER SERVICES AND CHARGES, EQUIPMENT: All entries in these boxes must have the business unit, object code, and object code description along with the dollar amount. Negative amounts must be indicated by brackets.

TOTAL EXPENDITURES: This box should contain the sum of the dollar amounts in the various expenditure categories.

SOURCE OF REVENUES: This box should contain the name of the fund the action is required for.
CODING FOR FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT
2018/19 Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>BU</th>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>277012</td>
<td>5621</td>
<td>313,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DW</td>
<td>277112</td>
<td>5621</td>
<td>(38,733)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth IS</td>
<td>277212</td>
<td>5621</td>
<td>96,371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth OS</td>
<td>277614</td>
<td>5621</td>
<td>173,231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin</td>
<td>277512</td>
<td>5621</td>
<td>23,605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>568,174</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### WIOA FY 18/19 Analysis
Sept 1, 2018 thru August 31, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Adult</th>
<th>DLW</th>
<th>Youth-IN</th>
<th>Youth-OUT</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Admin</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 18/19 JDE Budget</td>
<td>243,817</td>
<td>214,723</td>
<td>85,957</td>
<td>257,869</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89,150</td>
<td>891,516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Impact to be processed</td>
<td>313,700</td>
<td>(38,733)</td>
<td>96,371</td>
<td>173,231</td>
<td>23,605</td>
<td>568,174</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total FY 18/19 Budget</td>
<td>557,517</td>
<td>175,990</td>
<td>182,328</td>
<td>431,100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112,755</td>
<td>1,459,690</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 18 Carryin</td>
<td>102,244</td>
<td>61,316</td>
<td>72,814</td>
<td>102,557</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>339,686</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY 18 Base YOUTH (effective July)</td>
<td>109,514</td>
<td>328,543</td>
<td></td>
<td>48,673</td>
<td>486,730</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NOA July 17,2018)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY 18 Mod#1 Adult (effective July)</td>
<td>48,340</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,371</td>
<td>53,711</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY 18 Mod#1 DW (Effective July)</td>
<td></td>
<td>46,104</td>
<td></td>
<td>5,123</td>
<td>51,227</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NOA Aug 03,2018)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 19 Advance</td>
<td>256,933</td>
<td>218,570</td>
<td></td>
<td>52,833</td>
<td>528,336</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NOA Nov 2018)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer June 2019</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>(150,000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carryforward in Budget Resolution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funds available for City FY 18/19</td>
<td>557,517</td>
<td>175,990</td>
<td>182,328</td>
<td>431,100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>112,755</td>
<td>1,459,689</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Difference for Fiscal Impact</td>
<td>313,700</td>
<td>(38,733)</td>
<td>96,371</td>
<td>173,231</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23,605</td>
<td>568,173</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: PARKS & RECREATION
DATE: July 3, 2019

NEED
The purpose of this impact statement is to clarify a classification change from the budgeted position for Maintenance Supervisor (C5107) to the newly approved classification for Community Forestry Inspector (C5507). The original budgeted position was in the C30 pay range, while the new position has a C27 pay range. The Maintenance Supervisor approved in the 2019-20 budget has not been filled. Parks & Recreation Department has now submitted a Personnel Requisition to fill the position as a Community Forestry Inspector.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUTURE IMPACT:</th>
<th>Ongoing</th>
<th>Limited</th>
<th>Projected Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUES GENERATED</th>
<th>LEGISLATIVE CHANGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>CURRENT FISCAL YEAR</th>
<th>NEXT FISCAL YEAR ANNUALIZED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (full time equivalents)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5107 Maintenance Supervisor</td>
<td>- 1.00</td>
<td>- 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5507 Community Forestry Inspector</td>
<td>+ 1.00</td>
<td>+ 1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERSONNEL (cost) business unit:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>object code</td>
<td>description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09010.5021</td>
<td>Salary – 5107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09010.5021</td>
<td>Salary – 5507</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUPPLIES business unit:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>object code</td>
<td>description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00000.0000</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OTHER SERVICES &amp; CHARGES business unit:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>object code</td>
<td>description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00000.0000</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EQUIPMENT business unit:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>object code</td>
<td>description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00000.0000</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL EXPENDITURES
($7,718.40) ($9,443.24)

SOURCE OF REVENUES General Fund

DIRECTOR  [Signature] DATE 7/3/19
WHEN TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Requesting transfer of operating appropriations.
2. Requesting increase in personnel (full time equivalents) appropriations.
3. Requesting transfer of capital improvement appropriations.
4. Requesting operational change not authorized during the budget process.
5. Requesting appropriations based on receipt of additional funds from outside sources.
6. Requesting use of Contingency funds.

HOW TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

NEED: There should be a detailed explanation of why a change to the previously approved budget is necessary. If the change will have any impact beyond the current fiscal year, it should also be noted.

FUTURE IMPACT: One of the boxes should be checked. An example of an item with ongoing impact would be a request for additional fte authorization that will also be requested in upcoming budgets. This would necessitate filling out the "Next Fiscal Year Annualized" column. An example of an item with limited impact would be asking for authorization to use salary savings for the one time purchase of equipment. If "Projected Completion Date" applies, please fill in.

REVENUES GENERATED: Please note if the request will affect current and future revenues.

LEGISLATIVE CHANGES: These boxes should be marked yes or no. Some of the actions this form is used for (transfer of capital improvement appropriations, Contingency Funds) require a City Council ordinance.

PERSONNEL (full time equivalents): Please note the number of fte’s the request involves, if applicable.

PERSONNEL (cost), SUPPLIES, OTHER SERVICES AND CHARGES, EQUIPMENT: All entries in these boxes must have the business unit, object code, and object code description along with the dollar amount. Negative amounts must be indicated by brackets.

TOTAL EXPENDITURES: This box should contain the sum of the dollar amounts in the various expenditure categories.

SOURCE OF REVENUES: This box should contain the name of the fund the action is required for.
Memorandum

Date: July 2, 2019
To: City Clerk
From: Teresa McKinstry, Planning Dept.
Re: Administrative Approvals
cc: Geri Rorabaugh, Planning Dept.

This is a list of City administrative approvals by the Planning Director from June 25, 2019 through July 1, 2019:

Administrative Amendment 19043 to Special Permit 18003A, Lincoln Sports Facility, approved by the Planning Director on July 1, 2019, to increase the commercial space square footage from 115,500 to 126,500 square feet, generally located at SW. 14th Place and West O Street.
Memorandum

Date: ♦ July 9, 2019

To: ♦ City Clerk

From: ♦ Teresa McKinstry, Planning Dept.

Re: ♦ Administrative Approvals

cc: ♦ Geri Rorabaugh, Planning Dept.

This is a list of City administrative approvals by the Planning Director from July 2, 2019 through July 8, 2019:

Administrative Amendment 19044 to Use Permit 16009, Costco Center, approved by the Planning Director on July 3, 2019, to increase the allowable square footage and revise the building layout for Lot 2, generally located at S. 14th Street and Pine Lake Road.

Administrative Amendment 19047 to Use Permit 26A, approved by the Planning Director on July 3, 2019, to delete two five-stall garages and add one ten-stall garage, located at 200 S. 68th Street Place.

Administrative Amendment 19036 to Final Plat 18028, Yankee Hill Townhomes 2nd Addition, approved by the Planning Director on July 8, 2019, to correct typographical errors in the lowest minimum opening elevations table as shown on sheet 2 of 3 of the final plat as filed with the Lancaster County Register of Deeds, generally located at S. 84th Street and Yankee Hill Road.
**ACTION BY PLANNING COMMISSION**

NOTICE: The Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission will hold a public hearing on Wednesday, July 10, 2019, at 1:00 p.m. in Hearing Room 112 on the first floor of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St., Lincoln, Nebraska. For more information, call the Planning Department, (402) 441-7491.

**PLEASE NOTE:** The Planning Commission action is final action on any item with a notation of “FINAL ACTION”. Any aggrieved person may appeal Final Action of the Planning Commission to the City Council or County Board by filing a Notice of Appeal with the City Clerk or County Clerk within 14 days following the action of the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission action on all other items is a recommendation to the City Council or County Board.

AGENDA

WEDNESDAY, July 10, 2019

[All Commissioner present (2 vacant seats]

Approval of minutes of the regular meeting held June 26, 2019. **APPROVED, as revised: 6-0; Campbell abstained.**

1. CONSENT AGENDA

   (Public Hearing and Administrative Action):

   PERMITS:

   1.1 SPECIAL PERMIT 16029A, to allow for a permanent rock crusher, on property located at 1675 Saltillo Road. **FINAL ACTION**

   Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
   Staff Planner: Dessie Redmond, 402-441-6373, dredmond@lincoln.ne.gov
   Planning Commission ‘final action’: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the revised staff report dated July 1, 2019: 7-0. Resolution No. PC-01661.

   1.2 SPECIAL PERMIT 19031, to allow for the construction of an outdoor recreational facility, on property generally located at 3100 North 112th Street. **FINAL ACTION**

   Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
   Staff Planner: Tom Cajka, 402-441-5662, tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov
   This application was removed from the Consent Agenda and had a separate public hearing. Planning Commission ‘final action’: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the staff report dated June 24, 2019: 7-0. Resolution No. PC-01662.
2. REQUESTS FOR DEFERRAL: None.

3. ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA: See Item 1.2.

4. PUBLIC HEARING AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION:

CHANGE OF ZONE WITH RELATED ITEMS:

4.1a CHANGE OF ZONE 19018, from AG (Agricultural District) to AG with Historic Landmark Overlay, on property located at 17185 Bluff Road.
Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Ed Zimmer, 402-441-6360, ezimmer@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL, 7-0. Public hearing before the County Board is tentatively scheduled for Tuesday, July 30, 2019, 9:00 a.m.

4.1b SPECIAL PERMIT 19033, to allow for the hosting of special events at a Historic Landmark, on property generally 17185 Bluff Road.
Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Ed Zimmer, 402-441-6360, ezimmer@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL, 7-0. Public hearing before the County Board is tentatively scheduled for Tuesday, July 30, 2019, 9:00 a.m.

PERMITS:

4.2 SPECIAL PERMIT 19030, to allow for a new ADU (Accessory Dwelling Unit), with waivers to increase the floor area and to have a separate septic system, on property generally located at 14000 NW 27th Street. *** FINAL ACTION ***
Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Tom Cajka, 402-441-5662, tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission ‘final action’: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the staff report dated June 24, 2019: 7-0. Resolution No. PC-01663.

4.3 SPECIAL PERMIT 19032, to allow for soil excavation, on property generally located at North 112th Street and Havelock Avenue. *** FINAL ACTION ***
Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Tom Cajka, 402-441-5662, tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission ‘final action’: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the amended staff report as agreed upon by the applicant, dated June 27, 2019: 6-1 (Finnegan dissenting). Resolution No. PC-01664.

****************
AT THIS TIME, ANYONE WISHING TO SPEAK ON AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA, MAY DO SO
****************

Adjournment 4:11 p.m.
TO: Mayor Leirion Gaylor Baird  
Lincoln City Council

FROM: Geri Rorabaugh, Planning

DATE: July 10, 2019

RE: Notice of final action by Planning Commission: July 10, 2019

Please be advised that on July 10, 2019, the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission adopted the following resolutions:

Resolution PC-01661, approving SPECIAL PERMIT 16029A, to allow for a permanent rock crusher, on property legally described as Lot 51, located in the NW 1/4 of Section 1-8-6, Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska, generally located at 1675 Saltillo Road;

Resolution PC-01662, approving SPECIAL PERMIT 19031, to allow for the construction of an outdoor recreational facility, on property legally described as Lots 3, 12 and 13, located in the S 1/2 of Section 7-10-8, Lincoln, Lancaster County, generally located at 3100 North 112th Street; and

Resolution PC-01664, approving SPECIAL PERMIT 19032, as amended, to allow for soil excavation, on property legally described as Lots 16, 20 and 21, I.T., located in the N 1/2 of Section 7-10-8, Lancaster County, Nebraska, generally located at North 112th Street and Havelock Avenue.

The Planning Commission action on this application is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a notice of appeal with the Planning Department within 14 days of the action by the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission Resolution may be accessed on the internet at [www.lincoln.ne.gov](http://www.lincoln.ne.gov) (Keyword = PATS). Use the “Search Selection” screen and search by application number (i.e. SP16029A, SP19031, SP19032). The Resolution and Planning Department staff report are in the “Related Documents” under the application number.
Ms Paige Shuppan,

Thanks for reaching out.

The sculpture is most definitely not a devil related sculpture. It clearly has a very playful child-like intent. You can see from the attached picture that spider webs are coming out of the wrists just like in the comic books and movies.

Traditionally yes spider man was red and blue, but in some more recent appearances he is red and black… the new Spiderman Far From Home poster is a good example.

We are so thankful for the support and excitement the entire city has given for the Serving Hands Lincoln Project and we are also very thankful that the city and so many other properties have embraced the project.

Matt Schulte
Executive Director
Youth for Christ Lincoln
Campus Life | Parent Life | Juvenile Justice Ministry

www.yfclincoln.org | @yfclincoln

402.617.2828 (m)
402.420.7475 (w)
Thanks for voicing your concerns regarding one of Campus Life’s sculptural displays near the Lincoln Children’s Zoo. This is not a City of Lincoln art installation. Serving hands is a public art project that features 6-foot-tall fiberglass hand sculptures modeled after other public art projects such as Lincoln’s Tour de Lincoln (Bikes), Illuminating Lincoln: Lighthouse (Light Bulbs) and Nebraska by Heart (Hearts). The goal was to have 50 sculptures displayed in Lincoln from May 1 - August, 2019 with an art auction of the pieces to benefit Campus Life to follow.

Campus Life is the sponsor for this public art project. Campus Life is a ministry of Lincoln Youth for Christ, a nonprofit, faith-based community organization. They serve teens in Lincoln and surrounding areas through camps, retreats, weekly clubs and mentoring relationships. Campus Life has invested in thousands of students, helping them build healthy relationships and guiding them to make good life choices, to establish a solid foundation for life and positively impact their school. Celebrating 50 years of positively impacting teens in and around Lincoln through camps, retreats, weekly clubs and mentoring relationships. For more information on Youth for Christ, go to http://yfclincoln.org/

The City of Lincoln did not select the pieces for these installations nor determine their locations within the community. Campus Life chose ‘Hands’ as a representation of their mission as they serve the community and reach out to teens in difficult circumstances. Artists were able to submit up to 5 Proposals (rated G for Community display), that were due by October 3, 2018. Proposals were juried (A juried art show is one at which exhibiting artists had to be found worthy of entry by presenting their work to a panel of “jurors” or judges.) and those accepted moved forward to be on display to August of this year. On Friday, October 25, the sculptures created by Nebraska artists for Serving Hands will be sold to the highest bidder. The public auction will take place inside the Pinnacle Bank Arena. Doors will open at 5:00 p.m. with the auction commencing at 7:00 p.m. Everyone is invited to attend whether you want to bid or just watch. One-third of the bid amount for each sculpture will go to the artist with the remaining amount going to Campus Life, the project’s non-profit sponsoring organization.

The City of Lincoln will not ask that Campus Life’s artworks be removed from the properties where they have been placed by the permission of the property owners as we do not have that statutory authority.

If you have additional concerns, directly contact those listed below, please. I have copied them on this email.

Liz Shea-McCoy, Project Director - (402) 430-5923, liz_shea@windstream.net

Matt Schulte, Campus Life Executive Director, (402) 617-2828, matts@yfclincoln.org

Sincerely,

Lin Quenzer
Ombudsman,
Title VI/ADA Official
Office of the Mayor
City of Lincoln, Nebraska
I am a bystander, who does not follow spiderman, but is familliar with the character as portrayed in movies.

When I look at this imagery I do not see any trace of a comic book character. I see the black and red hands making the sign of devil horns.

I am not alone. I write this after a discussion with several people who also see what I see, and had no notion of it portrayed spiderman (doesn't he where blue and red). His nemisis VENOM wears black and red.

This is a for the entire public to appreciate, not just a certain comic book group to witness. Again. I can see it in a lonely ditch somewhere in front of an empty lot, and a more fitting statue in the public gardens and children zoo.

~Paige Schuppan

Justin Kohmetscher

Internet Support Specialist | City Communications

O: 402-441-6801 | M: 402-937-2328

555 South 10th Street, Ste. 301
Lincoln, NE 68508

lincoln.ne.gov
Follow Us: Facebook / Twitter / YouTube / Instagram / RSS
From: Paige Schuppan <paige68301@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 2, 2019 11:42 AM
To: NewsHelp <news@lincoln.ne.gov>
Subject: Devil Statue at Children Zoo

Mayor,

My family and I drive past the Lincoln Children Zoo very often, and continue to be offended by a sculpture that has been placed in front of the Lincoln Children Zoo (27th & Capital Expressway).

It is a sculpture of two hands open, painted Red & Black, and formed into Devil Horns. This is anti-Christian, and demonic, and completely inappropriate and offensive to place in front of the Children Zoo and the Gardens where couples are married.

The thing is, as we drive around Lincoln, and see all the wonderful sculptures, there is a vacant lot, desolated, just dirt, that has the most beautiful hands sculpture with a butterfly painted on it..... wouldn't this be more appropriate for the children Zoo (which has a butterfly exhibit and hosts weddings at the garden, and family parties), and the devil hands should go in front of the vacant lot.

This is so offensive to so many people. So in appropriate. Would you allow a nude in front of the children Zoo?

Where is your tact. Who is the horrible insensitive person that decided this debacle?

You understand that Devil worshiping is anti-Christian, and not a religion of it’s own. You have to be against the church inorder to hold these evil beliefs. It is a Hate Crime against the church.

Example KKK is racist against blacks, or Jews, or any group that is inspired by Hate and violence. And this is what you place in front of the Children Zoo and Gardens.... It’s so ugly and perverse. PLEASE MOVE IT or TAKE IT AWAY. Give it to the artist, or what ever, but remove it please. Put it is a empty ditch somewhere.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.
From: Russell Miller 5 July 2019

341 S. 52
Lincoln, NE 68510

To: Lincoln City Council,

Hello,

In the next several weeks this Council will be asked to approve Annexation #19004 and its related Change of Zone #19012 which is related to property at South 84 & Rokeby Road.

I am neutral about this annexation # 19004 which is expected to add 50 acres and approximately 100 single family homes pus approximately 300 multifamily units to Lincoln.

I am not neutral about who will pay for their required infrastructure.

It is easy to overlook and forget that this project’s success depends 100% on Lincoln tax paying citizens to provide sewer and water infrastructure immediately plus improved arterials soon thereafter.

WHAT WILL IT COST LINCOLN TO PROVIDE THAT EXTREMELY IMPORTANT INFRASTRUCTURE? INFRASTRUCTURE THAT MUST BE PROVIDED FOR THE PROJECT TO BE A SUCCESS? We do not know exactly but we can make educated guesses as to what that amount will be.

The January 2018 Lincoln Transportation Strategy Recommendation and Report (LTSRR) (on page 3-1) lists 23 reports and documents that the committee reviewed. None of those reports considered the total infrastructure cost for growth or new housing. In other words, a new dwelling requires a water source (well), a treatment plant and its related piping from the well field to the house. Plus that house must have a sewer treatment plant and its necessary connecting mains to the development. The increase traffic from the new development will require improved or widened arterial streets to alleviate traffic congestion serving those developments.

An essential part of any City budget is knowing what City services cost, especially infrastructure cost for new developments or annexations.

In 2002 Duncan Associates published an exhaustive study on infrastructure costs that I shall refer to as Duncan Study. The Duncan Study “attempted to quantify the capital and operating costs of accommodating new development at existing levels of service for municipal facilities, such as roads, water and wastewater service.” The essential part of the Duncan Study’s 57 pages provided the methodology used to determine what complete or total infrastructure costs would be for each new housing unit.
In other words the Duncan Study was an engineering study that determined what our Transportation & Utility Department would have to pay in order to provide each new dwelling unit with water, sewer and arterial infrastructure.

These infrastructure services are an absolute necessity for the success of any annexation. We taxpayers must know what the cost is and how our taxes will pay for them.

The Duncan Study’s conclusion is that in 2002 the net capital cost to the City of a new single family unit for arterial streets is $3,212.²

These are 2002 numbers and do not reflect 17 years of building material inflation plus labor inflation. However, the Duncan Study estimated that a 4 lane arterial would cost approximately $3.26 million per mile.³ LTSRR states that a 4 lane mile costs roughly $12 million.⁴ That is approximately a 260% increase to provide improved arterial streets to service a development.

Using that 260% inflation increase, a new housing unit now costs the City approximately $8,350 just to provide improved arterial streets. If a new house in this development is assessed at $300,000, then its taxes will be $6,017.⁵ HOWEVER the City portion will be only $845. Thus it will take 9 years for its taxes to pay just for its improved arterial street and we have to disregard the other City funded expenses such as police, fire, snow plowing etc.

Lincoln is no longer a small city.⁶ We have over 1,250 center lane miles of streets with ages varying from 1 year to 100 years. In 1960, Lincoln could use all of its street money for arterials because the residential streets were relatively new and comparatively few lane miles (350 miles). Funding that worked in 1960 for street repair will not work today. In the past years the City made a choice to defer residential rehab so there would be money for the new arterials serving growth. The residential streets today are extremely deteriorated. For the next 6 years it will take every dollar of the just passed, voter approved, sales tax allocated to restore the residential streets; i.e. all 73.5% of sales tax and none for “promote private sector investment”⁷ as stated in the ballot.

Yet Lincoln’s recent annexations⁸ will require major amounts of money. Today you only have guesses as to what ‘must have’ infrastructure will cost for the annexations that are already in the pipe line.

For proper financial infrastructure planing the City should urgently:

1. Mandate that the Duncan Study be updated so that the City knows exactly what infrastructure actually costs the taxpayers.
2. The Lincoln Council direct that the Street Department returns to the 1999 practice of negotiating with each annexation request to provide additional money for the necessary infrastructure that will make their project a success.

Thank you, Russell Miller

ENDNOTES
¹ This study is an outgrowth of the Infrastructure Financing Study initiated by the City in June 2000. Three reports were prepared: Financial Alternatives Memorandum (September 2000), Capital Cost Memorandum (September 2000) and Fiscal Impact Analysis Memorandum (November 2000). These reports attempted to quantify the capital and operating costs of accommodating new development at existing levels of service for municipal facilities, such as roads, water and wastewater service.”

² Table 1 MAXIMUM POTENTIAL FEES BY LAND USE

From page 1 of Lincoln Impact Fee Study for Arterial Streets, Water, Wastewater, and Neighborhood Parks and Trails (https://lincoln.ne.gov/city/ltu/transportation/row/impact-fees/pdf/feestudy.pdf) which I will refer to as the Duncan Study.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility Type</th>
<th>single family/unit</th>
<th>multi-family/unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>arterial streets*</td>
<td>$3,212</td>
<td>$1,955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>water **</td>
<td>$3,669</td>
<td>$611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wastewater **</td>
<td>$1,815</td>
<td>$302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>neighborhood parks/trails not pertinent to this letter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$8,696</td>
<td>$2,868</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* excludes ROW costs

** multi-family unit assumes 6" meter per 200 units; nonresidential assumes 3" meter for 100,000 sq. ft.

Source: Maximum fees from Tables 11, 26, 34 and 49.

from page 1 of OVERVIEW section Duncan Study or page 5 of PDF format

3 “The cost to add capacity to Lincoln's arterial street system can be estimated based on the unit costs developed for the Long Range Transportation Plan. Excluding the highly variable components, such as bridges and environmental mitigation, the average construction cost for a four-lane arterial is estimated to be $3.26 million (see Table 4).”

Duncan Study page 12 ARTERIAL STREETS section or page 19 PDF format

4 The city can budget roughly $35 to $40 million per year in capital projects. Capital projects include major maintenance and reconstruction projects as well as capacity enhancements and new streets. For comparison, a mile of four-lane arterial street costs roughly $12 million per mile. LTSRR page 4-8

5 total 2018 tax rate is 2.005963% The portion of that tax rate to Lincoln’s is 0.281820

6 1960 Lincoln had about 350 center-lane miles of residential streets. 2018 Lincoln had approximately 1,250 or more center-lane residential miles or about 200% increase. 1960 Lincoln was 25 square miles, 2018 the City was 97 square miles or 280 % square miles increase.

7 quote from 19R-22 SALES TAX ballot question
(1) The sales tax revenue generated by the additional one quarter of one percent (1/4%) shall be used for:

1. street rehabilitation and reconstruction of existing neighborhood and arterial streets, in all quadrants of the City, to maximize their useful life;

2. b. improvements to existing streets, in all quadrants of the City, to enhance safety, streamline traffic flow, or promote private sector investment

8 I am aware of 2 other recent annexations: 93rd & A street of 290 dwelling units and West Old Cheney & West Folsom with approximately 100 dwelling units. There certainly are more because the Council annexed 834 acres in 2018 and over 160 acres so far this year.
The Lincoln City Council

My name is Richard Furasek and I am the Treasurer of the Meadowlane Area Residents Association. I am writing this letter to seek funding for a project that we undertook starting in the spring of 2018. Our water fountain in Herbert Park was installed 30 years ago, but has not been functional for the last 7 years. The Neighborhood contacted Lincoln Parks and Recreation and they said that they had no funds available to replace the fountain. The Neighborhood Board decided to try to raise funds to replace the fountain. Parks and Recreation stated that a new fountain would cost about $7000. Parks and Recreation stated that they would be able to install the fountain with plumbing to make it functional if we were able to purchase the fountain.

We started fundraising in the spring of 2018 and as of today have about $6200 toward the goal. We have worked with the Lincoln Parks Foundation and received a couple of grants from them. Our MARA board members stepped up and made sizeable donations toward the project. We also solicited our Neighborhood members and businesses in our Neighborhood boundaries to raise money to get the fountain replaced. We are just a little short to get the project completed.

I am asking to see if the City Council would be able to assist us with the $800 needed to get the new fountain for Herbert Park. The park is used by many children and families every day. We know about the budgetary issues that you deal with every day, but we are asking if you would assist us with completing our goal to get the new fountain in Herbert Park.

Thanks for allowing us to address this issue and hope that you will find our cause worthy of your assistance.

Richard Furasek

Meadowlane Area Residents Association Treasurer

520 Trail Ridge Road

Lincoln, Nebraska  68505