IN LIEU OF
DIRECTORS’ MEETING
ADDENDUM
Monday, January 28, 2019

I. CONSTITUENT CORRESPONDENCE
1. Council Agenda/Website - Robert Way
   Staff response provided by Teresa Meyer, City Clerk
2. Proposed Transportation Sales Tax - Joe Shandera
   Staff response provided by Councilman Camp
3. Proposed Krueger Development Project, opposition - Steven Peek
4. City Council open microphone session - Robert Ravndal
5. Firearm Safety Task Force - Dan Walz
6. Firearm Safety Task Force - Jonathan Thorne
7. Firearm Safety Task Force - David Scholting
8. Wheel Tax - Cathy Robertson
9. Firearm Safety Task Force - Vern Young
Angela M. Birkett

From: Teresa Meier
Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2019 4:53 PM
To: 'robertway11@yahoo.com'
Cc: Angela M. Birkett
Subject: RE: Why is nothing available?

Good afternoon, Mr. Way! Ms. Birkett of the City Council Office forwarded your email to me regarding the Agenda not being available online yesterday.

Per State Statute, Agenda’s for public meetings are only required to be available 24 hours prior to the meeting.

That being said, our procedure is as follows....

On Wednesday, we start preparing the Agenda for the next City Council Meeting. It is distributed to Staff for review.

On Thursday, we finalize the Agenda. We try to have publish it online with all the documents linked by 4:30 p.m. However, the City may still be negotiating terms of an agreement or there may be some issue with one of the documents that needs to be linked so we may not get it published on Thursday.

Obviously, since we do not work on Saturday & Sunday, Friday by 3 p.m. is our 24 hour deadline to have it published with all documents linked.

Please be assured that City staff does their best to get the Agenda & all related documents published as soon as we possibly can.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you & have a great day!

Teresa J. Meier
City Clerk
555 S. 10th St.
Lincoln NE  68508
Ph:  (402) 441-7438

If you are always trying to be NORMAL, you will never know how AMAZING you can be. - Maya Angelou

From: Angela M. Birkett
Sent: Thursday, January 24, 2019 3:42 PM
To: Teresa Meier <tmeier@lincoln.ne.gov>
Subject: FW: Why is nothing available?

Good Afternoon,

Can you please provide a response to Mr. Way and explain the process of posting the Council Agenda to the website. Thanks.
From: Robert Way [mailto:robertway11@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2019 5:26 PM
To: Council Packet <CouncilPacket@lincoln.ne.gov>
Subject: Why is nothing available?

Dear Council Members,

The new sales tax proposal has been the subject of two major article in the Lincoln Journal Star. According the most recent article, it has been the subject of months of talks.

Still end of business Wednesday and nothing is the city council website. Why is this? This is a major proposal and those of use that weren’t involved in the negotiations would like to see what is on the table.

Here is the screen shot of your website.
Hopefully something will be posted tomorrow.

Sincerely,
Robert Way
Joe:

Thanks for your inquiry. I am including Public Transportation and Utilities Director Miki Esposito on this email, who can accurately respond to your question on Lincoln’s wheel tax revenues. To provide the best perspective, I am asking Miki to provide 5 years of wheel tax revenue information.

Best regards,

Jon

JON A. CAMP
Lincoln City Council
200 Haymarket Square
808 P Street
P.O. Box 82307
Lincoln, NE 68501-2307

Office: 402.474.1838/402.474.1812
Fax: 402.474.1838
Cell: 402.560.1001

Email: joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Joe Shandera [mailto:jlshandera@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2019 8:09 AM
To: Jon Camp <jcamp@lincoln.ne.gov>
Subject: Street maintenance

Hi,

Hi,

I have a question about the proposal to institute a sales tax for street maintenance. How much money is collected through the wheel tax? Perhaps a way to encourage people to vote for the proposal would be to eliminate the wheel tax and increase the sales tax enough to offset funds generated by the wheel tax. Just a thought!

Joe

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or
distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.
City Council - Contact

Date: 1/25/2019 8:43:12 AM

name STEVEN PEEK
address 2841 PORTER RIDGE RD
state NE
zip 68516-5846
e-mail SCPEEK@GMAIL.COM

Dear Council members,

It looks like you'll have another vote on Krueger Development's plea for a height waiver at his vacant land at 27th and Porter Ridge Road. You might remember that the Planning Commission voted down his first proposal 6-1, then the Council approved it 4-3, only to have it vetoed by the Mayor. Now the Planning Commission has voted against the height waiver 7-0. Now it's your turn. If you would overturn their decision, it begs the question: Why even have a Planning Commission? I can't imagine how they would feel if you approved the project after they've put all their time and effort into weighing the factors involved. This is a quality of life issue for the mostly senior citizens living in the Porter Ridge West Townhouse Association. We don't object to the office/medical use of this land but do object to having apartments staring into our living rooms, bedrooms and kitchens. Please don't disrespect the Planning Commission by voting with Krueger. BTW, he's hardly hurting for additional income.

Thanks for listening.

IP: 104.218.67.198
Form: https://lincoln.ne.gov/city/council/contact.htm
User Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/71.0.3578.98 Safari/537.36
Dear Council Persons Shobe, Camp, Baird, Christensen and Lamm:

I will be coming before the city council on January 28, 2019 during the open microphone session. While I understand that the council meeting begins at 5:30pm and then progresses I wanted to ask if an approximate time to move through agenda items could be provided?

In addition, I will be bringing some concerns forth as to how the City handles or rather ignores requests by employees for reasonable accommodation under the ADA as well as the City's refusal to follow current law concerning first responder mental injuries. I have some documents to make available to members of the council and wanted to know if I should bring 7 hard copies or would email transmission be preferred?

Any member of the council may reach me by email or telephone as provided above should they so desire.

Thank you and have a great weekend.

Rob Ravndal
This past Friday, Lincoln Mayor Chris Beutler announced the establishment of a Task Force to examine strategies to reduce access to firearms by children and to increase use of safe storage of firearms in the community. NOT ONE EXPERT FROM THE FIREARMS COMMUNITY was asked to join this "task

WHY wouldn't this Task force want the most comprehensive team formed to address concerns? Why is diversity of thought and expression is not welcome on this task force? Why are the citizens who have the most experience with and access to best practices in education and safety with firearms are not represented?

And remember. The City of Lincoln does not have the power to regulate the ownership, possession, registration or transportation of any handgun carried in compliance with the Nebraska Concealed Handgun act according to state law.

The Mayor needs to act immediately to balance the task force membership to include all the parties this task force will effect including members of the hunting, target shooting and self-defense communities.

Dan Walz
Lincoln NE
Dear, Jon Camp,
Mayor Chris Beutler has sought fit to put together a task force to study the storage of firearms and the safety of children. I am against any law that would regulate how I store my firearms although I do store them in a safe I will not go into all the reasons I am against such a law and would never vote for anyone who supports such laws, I do have some questions. Why are there no representatives of the firearm community on his ‘task force”? Shouldn’t such a task force be balanced? I see a task force made up of people who are antigun and who want to do whatever they can to impose their will on others when it comes to firearms. But I don’t see any experts from the firearms community, not a single progun voice. Why aren’t the citizens who have the most experience with and access to the best practices in safety and education with firearms not represented? Why doesn’t he want the most comprehensive team available for his task force? If this somehow makes it into a bill I will then discuss the reasons I’m against the law but I also promote safe storage and education.
Thanks, Jonathan Thorne

To whom it may concern.
In light of today's never-ending barrage of unwarranted attacks on the 2ndA despite overwhelming data gathered over decades that proves that guns and their legal owners do far more good than harm. I am compelled to weigh in on the formulation of this Task-Force with a measured amount of scrutiny and concern.
I am not a resident of Lincoln but I am a member of the Nebraska Firearms Owners Association, a former three time NRA member, a former GOA member, a current CCW permit holder, life-long resident of Nebraska and a citizen of the United States of America.
And where-ever a perceived threat on the rights of one of us is amassed however small and insignificant it may appear, it's evident in today's PC/Political climate that we must gather our numbers and raise our voices to reply in kind when-ever something doesn't seem right.

***Where We Go One, We Go All***

So I humbly ask, Why?
Like the citizens who elected you are wondering.
*Why would you not want the most comprehensive team formed to address concerns?*
*Why is diversity of thought and expression not welcome on this task force?*
*Why are the citizens who have the most experience with and access to best practices in education and safety with firearms not represented?*

Thank you for your time.

David Scholting
745 N 3rd St
Springfield, NE 68059
Hi Mr. Camp -

I was listening to Cyndi Lamm on the radio this morning. She made a comment that $10M was moved each year from the wheel tax fund into the city account and went mostly for staff. I'm not sure I have the correct wording but the basic information.

Is that correct? Are those funds going into use for road repairs, new roads, etc.? If not, what is that money being used for?

I'm concerned about the proposal for an additional sales tax to assist with roads. If we have that much money each year from wheel tax, we should be using it for roads.

Thanks.
Cathy
If a person of reasonable intellect were to form a task force on a subject, such as the one that our esteemed mayor has assembled to ‘examine strategies to reduce access to firearms by children, etc’ this person would stock said task force with folks who might have some knowledge about the subject. So tell me why in the FRESH HELL are there NONE on this one?

Assuming that folks in city hall are of reasonable intellect, this begs the question: is the safety of our youngest citizens actually the reason for this task force or is there another agenda involved?

I am a proud patriot, a concerned parent, and a citizen of this (at least at one point in time) great city. I pay attention, and I vote.

Thank you for your time.

Vern Young
3916 Pace BLVD
Lincoln NE 68502

4022173506

Sent from my iPhone
Delores Ann Soukup  
address 1820 Saybrook Ln  
city Lincoln  
state NE  
zip 68512  
email csgma7@windstream.net

I am urging you to vote against the Kruger apt./business project proposed for the area off 27th by the Porter Ridge housing area. That area due to the success of existing businesses is already congested with vehicles at certain times of the day. The light at 27th across from Super Saver backs up traffic from Red Robin and that area and there is little hope of turning left from Duteau. Traffic backs up into Porter Ridge. I see many students, I assume from Scott, cross at that same light. More traffic is not needed. The proposed building is not appropriate for that area. Have some regard for the already existing businesses for when traffic gets difficult people no longer go to those businesses. Vote NO. Thank you!