I. MINUTES
   1. Approval of Directors’ minutes from October 1, 2018

II. ADJUSTMENTS TO AGENDA

III. CITY CLERK

IV. MAYOR'S OFFICE
   1. Anthony Butler, Captain, LPD - Briefing on the opening of the new Belmont, police substation.

V. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

   PLANNING COMMISSION
   1. Administrative Approvals from September 25, 2018 through October 1, 2018
   2. Action dated October 10, 2018
   3. Final Action dated October 10, 2018

VI. BOARDS/COMMITTEES/COMMISSION REPORTS
   1. Funders Group - Gaylor Baird (10.11.18)
   2. PBC - Camp, Raybould (10.09.18)
   3. MAC - Shobe (10.09.18)
   4. ISPC - Gaylor Baird, Eskridge (10.11.18)
   5. BOH - Shobe (10.09.18)
   6. Safe & Successful Kids Inter-local - Shobe, Lamm (10.18.18)
   7. New Americans Task Force - Lamm (10.19.18)

VII. CONSTITUENT CORRESPONDENCE
   1. Safe Storage of Firearms - April Jorgensen
   2. Safe Storage of Firearms - Melody Vaccaro
      Staff response provided by Councilman Eskridge
   3. Safe Storage of Firearms - Daniel Kroll
   4. Safe Storage of Firearms - Mary Stillwell
   5. Safe Storage of Firearms - Mary B. King
   6. Safe Storage of Firearms, opposition - Tim Holverson
   7. Claim Against the City - Brian Boes
      Staff response provided by Councilman Camp
      Staff response provided by Jeff Kirkpatrick, City Attorney
   8. Safe Storage of Firearms - Owen Killham
   9. Safe Storage of Firearms, opposition - Justin Weiss
10. Safe Storage of Firearms - Christy Yang
11. Safe Storage of Firearms, opposition - Scott Pierre
12. Safe Storage of Firearms, opposition - Sean Washington
13. Safe Storage of Firearms - Carrie Sublette
14. Safe Storage of Firearms, opposition - Robert Dangler
15. Safe Storage of Firearms, opposition - Teresa Predmore
16. Safe Storage of Firearms, opposition - Doug Herndon
17. Safe Storage of Firearms, opposition - Roger Berman
18. Lincoln Fire and Rescue - Gary Schneider
19. Safe Storage of Firearms, opposition - Steve Burham
20. Safe Storage of Firearms, opposition - Barnet Sherman
21. Safe Storage of Firearms - Mo Neal
22. Safe Storage of Firearms, opposition - Larry Stumpf
23. Safe Storage of Firearms, opposition - Katherine Soto
24. Allo - John Rose  
   Staff response provided by Lin Quenzer, City Ombudsman
25. Frank H. Woods Telephone Museum - Camilla Svoboda
26. Southpointe Occupation Tax - Mat Ellison  
   Staff response provided by Councilman Camp

VIII. MEETINGS/INVITATIONS  
See invitation list.

IX. ADJOURNMENT
Memorandum

Date: October 2, 2018

To: City Clerk

From: Amy Huffman, Planning Dept.

Re: Administrative Approvals

cc: Planning Commission
    Geri Rorabaugh, Planning Dept.

This is a list of the administrative approvals by the Planning Director from September 25, 2018 through October 1, 2018:

Administrative Amendment 18061, to Preliminary Plat 18003, Highland View 1st Addition, approved by the Planning Director on September 27, 2018, to identify the boundary of Blanket Height Permit #180025, generally located at W. Silverado Court and Highway 34.

Administrative Amendment 18056, to Special Permit/Use Permit 19A, Lincoln Surgical Center, approved by the Planning Director on October 1, 2018, to revise the parking lot layout to include an island for electrical equipment for a net reduction in stalls from 412 to 411, generally located at S. 70th Street and Lincolnshire Road.

F:\DevReview\AA\AA weekly approvals City.wpd
**ACTION BY PLANNING COMMISSION**

NOTICE: The Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission will hold a public hearing on Wednesday, October 10, 2018, at 1:00 p.m. in Hearing Room 112 on the first floor of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St., Lincoln, Nebraska. For more information, call the Planning Department, (402) 441-7491.

The Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission will meet on Wednesday, October 10, 2018, immediately following the regular Planning Commission hearing, in Hearing Room 112 on the first floor of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St., Lincoln, Nebraska, to discuss (1) Proposed County Regulation Changes and (2) Development Viewer Training.

**PLEASE NOTE:** The Planning Commission action is final action on any item with a notation of “FINAL ACTION”. Any aggrieved person may appeal Final Action of the Planning Commission to the City Council or County Board by filing a Notice of Appeal with the City Clerk or County Clerk within 14 days following the action of the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission action on all other items is a recommendation to the City Council or County Board.

AGENDA

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 10, 2018

[Commissioner Beckius absent]

Approval of minutes of the regular meeting held September 26, 2018. **APPROVED:** 8-0; (Beckius absent)**

1. CONSENT AGENDA
   (Public Hearing and Administrative Action):

   COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CONFORMANCE:

   1.1 Comprehensive Plan Conformance 18014, to review as to conformance with the Lincoln Lancaster County 2040 Comprehensive Plan, a request to declare two properties near Arnold Elementary School as surplus, on property generally located at NW 48th and West Cuming Streets.

   Staff recommendation: Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan
   Staff Planner: Andrew Thierolf, 402-441-6371, athierolf@lincoln.ne.gov
   This application was removed from the Consent Agenda and had separate public hearing. Planning Commission ‘final action’:
   FINDING OF CONFORMANCE: 8-0 (Beckius absent). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for Monday, October 29, 2018, at 5:30 p.m.
1.2 Comprehensive Plan Conformance 18016, to review as to conformance with the 2040 Lincoln Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan, a proposed conservation easement to preserve flood storage capacity and natural resources of the easement area, on property generally located between 56th and 70th Streets and between Fletcher Avenue and Arbor Road

**FINAL ACTION**
Staff recommendation: Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan
Staff Planner: Andrew Thierolf, 402-441-6371, athierolf@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission ‘final action’: FINDING OF CONFORMANCE: 8-0 (Beckius absent). Resolution No. PC-01619.

ANNEXATION AND RELATED CHANGE OF ZONE:

1.3a Annexation 18007, to annex approximately 46.37 acres, more or less, on property generally located north of Van Dorn Street, approximately 88th to 98th Streets.
Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: George Wesselhoft, 402-441-6366, gwesselhoft@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL: 8-0 (Beckius absent). Public hearing before the City Council is currently pending.

1.3b Change of Zone 17030A, from AG (Agricultural District) to R-3 (Residential District) PUD (Planned Unit Development), to expand the Wandering Creek PUD to add additional residential area, with waivers, located on approximately 134.42 acres, more or less, generally located north of Van Dorn Street, approximately 88th to 98th Streets.
Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: George Wesselhoft, 402-441-6366, gwesselhoft@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL: 8-0, as set forth in the conditions of the staff report dated September 27, 2018. Public hearing before the City Council is currently pending.

CHANGE OF ZONE:

1.4 Change of Zone 18028, from B-3 (Commercial District) to H-2 (Highway Business District), on property generally located at North 44th and Q Street.
Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: George Wesselhoft, 402-441-6366, gwesselhoft@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL: 8-0, as set forth in the revised staff report dated September 27, 2018, as offered by the applicant and agreed upon by staff. Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for Monday, October 29, 2018, 5:30 p.m.
2. **REQUESTS AND FOR DEFERRAL - None.**

3. **ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA – See Item 1.1.**

4. **PUBLIC HEARING AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION:**

   AT THIS TIME, ANYONE WISHING TO SPEAK ON AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA, MAY DO SO

   ************

Adjournment: 1:18 p.m.
PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION NOTIFICATION

TO: Mayor Chris Beutler  
Lincoln City Council

FROM: Geri Rorabaugh, Planning

DATE: October 10, 2018

RE: Notice of final action by Planning Commission: October 10, 2018

Please be advised that on October 10, 2018, the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission adopted the following resolution:

Resolution No. PC-01619, approving COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CONFORMANCE 18016, request of Nebco, Inc., for a conservation easement to preserve flood storage capacity and natural resources of the easement area, on property legally described as part of Lots 4, 30, and 43, I.T., located in the N 1/2 of Section 33-11-7, Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska, generally located between 56th and 70th Streets and between Fletcher Avenue and Arbor Road, to be in conformance with the 2040 Lincoln Comprehensive Plan. The action by the Planning Commission as to conformity with the Comprehensive Plan is final action. The proposed Conservation Easement Agreement will be considered by the Lincoln City Council.

The Planning Commission action on this application is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a notice of appeal with the Planning Department within 14 days of the action by the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission Resolution may be accessed on the internet at www.lincoln.ne.gov (Keyword = PATS). Use the “Search Selection” screen and search by application number (i.e. CPC18016). The Resolution and Planning Department staff report are in the “Related Documents” under the application number.
Hello,

My name is April and I am writing to urge you to bring a safe storage ordinance. It’s clear that many people are in favor, as testimony shows. Please protect us with this type of legislation.

April Jorgensen

Sent from my iPhone
Angela M. Birkett

From: Carl B. Eskridge
Sent: Monday, October 01, 2018 5:02 PM
To: Melody Vaccaro; Cyndi Lamm; Jon Camp; Jane Raybould; Leirion Gaylor Baird; Roy A. Christensen; Bennie R. Shobe
Cc: mreist@journalstar.com
Subject: Re: safe storage ordinance - What is the next step?

Melody,
I am sorry that you came for Open Mic at today's meeting and were unable to testify. Typically we have Open Mic sessions after the 2nd and last meetings of each month. Since we are not meeting Oct. 8th the next Open Mic meeting will be October 15th. There will also be one on Oct. 29th. The holidays in November, December and January confuse things some as well, so be careful to check the Agenda before you come.

Regarding the point of your email, I can say that I listened carefully to the testimony last week and particularly appreciated the strong advocacy that you and others in support of gun safes brought forward. I also heard several express the opinion that there be an effort to engage various groups in an effort to broaden the appeal. I believe that there are benefits to such an endeavor, if it is possible to work together on an issue that tends to be very divisive.

Carl B. Eskridge
Lincoln City Council
District 4 (Central and NW Lincoln)

From: Melody Vaccaro
Sent: Monday, October 1, 2018 12:44:43 PM
To: Cyndi Lamm; Jon Camp; Jane Raybould; Carl B. Eskridge; Leirion Gaylor Baird; Roy A. Christensen; Bennie R. Shobe
Cc: mreist@journalstar.com
Subject: safe storage ordinance - What is the next step?
My name is Melody Vaccaro and I serve as Vice President of Nebraskans Against Gun Violence. It has been a week since our community asked you to make the city safer for children and require gun owners to lock up their guns with a safe storage ordinance. I haven't seen a response back to the city. Please let me know if I missed a press release or public statement.

It is fair and it is reasonable for the public to see action on the part of our local government. I expect each member of the council to let me, and the broader city of Lincoln, what you will do to address the concerns about accidental shootings, teen suicide, and public endangerment by guns that are not locked up.

Thank you,
Melody Vaccaro
402-515-7481

Earlier this year a kindergartner in Grand Island brought a handgun on the school bus. The police officer on the scene assured the local paper that the parents were not to blame. The story ended by saying the child was referred for possible charges.
Earlier this month, a teenager in Grand Island brought in a plastic gun and scared some other students with it. The school resource officer passed the teen along to police for possible criminal charges. These stories give us evidence that times have changed. When it comes to kids and guns, adults have zero tolerance for children making bad choices.

We’re all worried about gun violence. We all want the children in this community to come home safe from school every day. We don’t want guns in the schools. We don’t want young children accidentally shooting themselves or others in their homes or in the homes where they play. We don’t want teenagers taking their own lives. Sadly, in Grand Island, and all across Nebraska, children are bearing the penalty of our inability to respond to gun violence. They are dying through accidental shootings and suicides, and their futures are being erased with criminal charges that may follow them the rest of their lives.

It’s time to call upon the people who ought to shoulder more responsibility. We are already asking too much from the kids, it’s time to hold the adults in Lincoln to a higher standard. I’m asking you to bring forth an ordinance telling those who bring guns into our community, to lock them up. When guns are locked up, children cannot get them. When guns are locked up, teenagers cannot use a gun in a suicide attempt that rarely offers a second chance at life. When guns are locked up, they can’t be brought to school.

As a community member and as a mother, I’m asking for a safe storage ordinance. As a member of the Unitarian Church of Lincoln, I’m asking on behalf of 57 congregants for a safe storage ordinance, their names I have included at the September 24, 2018 city council meeting during the open comments period.
Dear Lincoln City Council:

As a parent in the City of Lincoln, I am concerned about unsecured firearms in the city. I have seen numerous news stories recently about irresponsible gun owners leaving weapons in public or having them stolen from unsecured locations. A safe storage ordinance would encourage more responsible behavior from gun owners. This kind of ordinance would not create any unfair burden on responsible gun owners, because they likely already have their weapons secured.

Thanks,

Daniel Kroll
1836 Euclid Ave
Lincoln, NE 68502
Dear City Council Member Camp,

I am a resident of Lincoln, and I want an ordinance requiring gun owners to lock up their guns when not in use. It is a small step, that’s true, but we can build on it to make our community safer. We always kept our guns locked up when we lived on the farm, but in my experience this isn’t the case in town. I don’t think people realize how deadly they can be. A few years ago a neighbor kids (4 or 5) carried over a gun case with his dad’s gun and ammo to show to us. Took my breath away. I asked to look at it and took it from me. His dad said the kid didn’t know where he kept it, but obviously he did.

Thank you!

Mary K. Stillwell

The Life and Poetry of Ted Kooser
Maps & Destinations
Fallen Angels

Mary K. Stillwell

Order from your local bookseller or online at Amazon.com

Mary K. Stillwell

2018 One Book, One Nebraska: Nebraska Presence (co-editor)
Dear Mr. Camp,

As a Lincoln resident, I urge you to support a firearm safe storage ordinance. Less than 50% of citizens are gun owners yet their perceived rights seem to be more highly valued than the majority. We want safe, non violent streets and schools.

Thank you,
Mary B. King
3900 Orchard St.
Dear Councilmember Jon Camp:

As someone who lives and works in the Lincoln area, I urge you to oppose the firearm ordinance that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird is going to propose.

Gun safety and storage is a matter of personal responsibility and every person's situation is different. It is unreasonable for the law to impose a one-size-fits-all government solution as these "nanny state" ordinances do nothing to keep families safe. Councilwoman Gaylord Baird's poorly thought out ordinance would invade people's homes and force them to render their firearms useless in a self-defense situation.

Again, please oppose any firearm ordinances that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird may propose. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Tim Holverson
1368 County Road 14
Hooper, NE 68031-2070
The City received a claim from Dr. Boes on August 1st. The claim was related to the application of asphalt sealant by a city contractor on A Street on July 13th. The contractor closed off the lanes being worked on prior to applying the sealant. An unexpected rainstorm occurred before the sealant dried. The sealant washed into the adjoining lanes which were still open to traffic and splashed on to vehicles. As soon as the City was notified, it authorized closing the entire street to all traffic. The application of sealant to streets that are closed to traffic is a common practice. The problem that arose was due to an unanticipated rain storm. The City did work with the contractor to make free carwashes available to remove the sealant from affected vehicles.

The Law Department concluded that neither the City nor the contractor were negligent in not anticipating a surprise rainstorm and recommended the claim be denied. We had a number of people who took advantage of the free carwashes, but no other related claims.

Dr. Boes also complains about a lack of notice. The incident occurred on July 13th. We announced the car wash on July 13th for the 13th through the 15th. Because of additional requests, carwashes were also performed on July 23rd through the 27th. On August 1st, we received Dr. Boes’ claim. On September 17th, we sent him the letter that we were recommending denial and that his claim was set for the October 1st council meeting. He never notified our office that he had a conflict, had further evidence, or would like his claim continued. Based on our prior experience, we believe the notice is appropriate.

The proactive step of working with the contractor to offer free carwashes was not an admission of negligence on our part, but a recognition that sometimes bad things happen through nobody’s fault and since we recognized that this particular sealant was not going to come off during a regular car wash, we wanted to limit the damage done.

Jeff Kirkpatrick

---

Brian thank you for your email. While I’m currently out of town, I will send a message to the City attorney requesting that you be given reasonable time to your matter.

I will also ask the City attorney’s office to provide the city council with an explanation on its review of your case.

To facilitate this matter, I have copied Angela Birkett, who is the city council staff member, so that she can make inquiries on my behalf. Jeff Kirkpatrick, the City attorney, is copied on this email as well.

Best regards,
Please see the email below from Brian Boes regarding his claim against the City.

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

----- Forwarded Message ----- 
From: "Brian Boes"
To: "jcamp@lincoln.ne.gov"
Cc: 
Sent: Mon, Oct 1, 2018 at 16:48
Subject: Fw: asphalt damage

Please see my message to council re claim of asphalt damage. Thank you. Concerned taxpayer of district 2 SE
On July 13, I received damage to my Lincoln Navigator due to the city's inability to close the street after laying down fresh asphalt. This was negligent on behalf of the city. I brought the vehicle into the detail shop to prevent permanent damage to the paint. My experienced detailer advised immediate removal to prevent damage. It cost over $200 to do this. I submitted my claim to the city attorney's office and received a letter dated September 17. I was out of town and only heard about it when I returned. I was asked to appeal before October 1 today. I don't believe I was given sufficient time to respond to this. I am asking to hear my appeal again. The city provided a free car wash, but I heard about it several hours after my car was in the detail shop. I don't believe this action absolves the city from liability. The city should have closed both sides of the street in anticipation of problems, such as the sudden rain that occurred. The city will likely hold the contractor responsible for this. I also don't believe that a hearing about my claim should be scheduled so close to the notification of the city attorney's office decision. I should have received more time to respond. The incident occurred in July, and the city attorney's office did nothing for 6 weeks, yet notification to appear for a meeting was less than 2 weeks. This does not seem to ensure due process. Please reconsider the city attorney's denial of my claim.

Sincerely,

Dr. Brian Boes
7208 Parkridge Circle
Lincoln NE 68516
Dear City Council,

I am a lifelong resident of Lincoln and want an ordinance requiring gun owners to lock up their guns when not in use.

Gun Violence is a complicated issue, and I don't know what the right answer is, but continuing to do nothing and hoping that we don't have a massive tragedy in Lincoln is definitely not the answer.

Thank you for your time,

Owen Killham
Dear Councilmember Jon Camp:

As someone who lives and works in the Lincoln area, I urge you to oppose the firearm ordinance that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird is going to propose.

Gun safety and storage is a matter of personal responsibility and every person's situation is different. It is unreasonable for the law to impose a one-size-fits-all government solution as these "nanny state" ordinances do nothing to keep families safe. Councilwoman Gaylor Baird's poorly thought out ordinance would invade people's homes and force them to render their firearms useless in a self-defense situation.

Again, please oppose any firearm ordinances that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird may propose. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Justin Weiss
37 Wanda Ave
Wayne, NJ 07470-6575
Dear Lincoln City Council,

I am a resident of Lincoln, and I want an ordinance requiring gun owners to lock up their guns when not in use.

Sincerely,
Christy
Dear Councilmember Jon Camp:

As someone who lives and works in the Lincoln area, I urge you to oppose the firearm ordinance that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird is going to propose.

Gun safety and storage is a matter of personal responsibility and every person’s situation is different. It is unreasonable for the law to impose a one-size-fits-all government solution as these "nanny state" ordinances do nothing to keep families safe. Councilwoman Gaylord Baird's poorly thought out ordinance would invade people's homes and force them to render their firearms useless in a self-defense situation.

Again, please oppose any firearm ordinances that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird may propose. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Scott St.Pierre
5748 Murray Rd
Whitehall, MI 49461-9454
Dear Councilmember Jon Camp:

As someone who lives and works in the Lincoln area, I urge you to oppose the firearm ordinance that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird is going to propose.

Gun safety and storage is a matter of personal responsibility and every person's situation is different. It is unreasonable for the law to impose a one-size-fits-all government solution as these "nanny state" ordinances do nothing to keep families safe. Councilwoman Gaylord Baird's poorly thought out ordinance would invade people's homes and force them to render their firearms useless in a self-defense situation.

Again, please oppose any firearm ordinances that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird may propose. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Sean Washington
574 Kallam Mill Rd
Madison, NC 27025-8255
Dear Lincoln City Council,

I am a resident of Lincoln, and I want an ordinance requiring gun owners to lock up their guns when not in use.

Sincerely,
Carrie Sublette
Sent from my iPhone
Dear Councilmember Jon Camp:

As someone who lives and works in the Lincoln area, I urge you to oppose the firearm ordinance that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird is going to propose.

Gun safety and storage is a matter of personal responsibility and every person's situation is different. It is unreasonable for the law to impose a one-size-fits-all government solution as these "nanny state" ordinances do nothing to keep families safe. Councilwoman Gaylor Baird's poorly thought out ordinance would invade people's homes and force them to render their firearms useless in a self-defense situation.

Again, please oppose any firearm ordinances that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird may propose. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Robert Dangler
1255 Apache Ave
Hastings, NE 68901-2814
Dear Councilmember Jon Camp:

As someone who lives and works in the Lincoln area, I urge you to oppose the firearm ordinance that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird is going to propose.

Gun safety and storage is a matter of personal responsibility and every person's situation is different. It is unreasonable for the law to impose a one-size-fits-all government solution as these "nanny state" ordinances do nothing to keep families safe. Councilwoman Gaylor Baird's poorly thought out ordinance would invade people's homes and force them to render their firearms useless in a self-defense situation.

Again, please oppose any firearm ordinances that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird may propose. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Teresa Predmore
7933 Yellow Knife Dr
Lincoln, NE 68505-3095
Dear Councilmember Jon Camp:

As someone who lives and works in the Lincoln area, I urge you to oppose the firearm ordinance that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird is going to propose.

Gun safety and storage is a matter of personal responsibility and every person's situation is different. It is unreasonable for the law to impose a one-size-fits-all government solution as these "nanny state" ordinances do nothing to keep families safe. Councilwoman Gaylord Baird's poorly thought out ordinance would invade people's homes and force them to render their firearms useless in a self-defense situation.

Again, please oppose any firearm ordinances that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird may propose. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Doug Herndon
805 Juniper Ave
Crete, NE 68333-2840
Dear Councilmember Jon Camp:

As someone who lives and works in the US, I urge you to staunchly oppose the firearm ordinance that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird is going to propose.

Gun safety and storage is a matter of personal responsibility and every person's situation is different. It is unreasonable for the law to impose a one-size-fits-all government solution as these "nanny state" ordinances do nothing to keep families safe. Councilwoman Gaylord Baird's poorly thought out ordinance would invade people's homes and force them to render their firearms useless in a self-defense situation. Absolutely ridiculous in my opinion. What is the point of having "self defense" if you can't defend yourself in a reasonable manner?

Again, please oppose any firearm ordinances that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird may propose. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Roger Berman
1714 Jervey Ave
Charleston, SC 29407-3602
Mr. Camp, again I am writing you about Lincoln Fire and the taking in of land they cannot protect, let alone find a working fire hydrant. Apparently the call came in for Lincoln Fire at 2000, by 2020 they were out of water. They apparently found a hydrant at 56th and Yankee Hill Rd. With a 5” hose and ran it down to the fire. In the meantime, they called SERFD, SWRD, Bennet, and Hickman for tankers. SE was first on the scene with trucks, tanners, made a dump tank for Lincoln Fire, also running water from the hydrant at 56th and Yankee Hill Rd. There is also a hydrant at 63rd and Yankee Hill Rd. After about an hr, Lincoln Fire had let everything else burn and tried to save the house, then had SE2 Chief co charge with the fire. Then suddenly they started picking up hose and said they would be done and turned everything over to SE command and left. At 0010, SE is still there mopping up after them and putting out the rest of the fires. Again this is what happens when Lincoln cannot take care of property that they have taken in. When is this greediness going to stop or teach Lincoln how to fight fires like the volunteers in the county have for the last 40+ yrs. They need to be buying tankers and go to school and learn how to fight fires like the volunteers in this state who do not get enough recognition from Lincoln Fire. After all of this, Lincoln was not supposed to be called for this fire. It was the fault of 911 center. It belonged to SERD. Thank you for your time. MArlene King.

Sent from my iPad
Dear Councilmember Jon Camp:

As someone who lives and works in the Lincoln area, I urge you to oppose the firearm ordinance that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird is going to propose.

Gun safety and storage is a matter of personal responsibility and every person's situation is different. It is unreasonable for the law to impose a one-size-fits-all government solution as these "nanny state" ordinances do nothing to keep families safe. Councilwoman Gaylor Baird's poorly thought out ordinance would invade people's homes and force them to render their firearms useless in a self-defense situation.

Again, please oppose any firearm ordinances that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird may propose. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Steve Burham
14133 SW 100th St
Crete, NE 68333-5036
Dear Councilmember Jon Camp:

I urge you to oppose the firearm ordinance that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird is going to propose.

Gun safety and storage is a matter of personal responsibility and every person's situation is different. It is unreasonable for the law to impose a one-size-fits-all government solution as these "nanny state" ordinances do nothing to keep families safe. Councilwoman Gaylor Baird's poorly thought out ordinance would invade people's homes and force them to render their firearms useless in a self-defense situation.

Again, please oppose any firearm ordinances that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird may propose. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barnet Sherman
PO Box 214
Willards, MD 21874-0214
Just a reminder of what happens without safe storage laws.

“Tragic unintentional shooting and death of a toddler in Ohio after she found a gun that was in a holster in a bedroom. Every gun should be locked, stored securely and away from ammo to #EndFamilyFire.
http://bit.ly/2y7BRPR

Sad Family Fire death of 3-yr-old in Ohio. Family Fire is completely preventable and does not just 'happen'. With proper gun locks and storage, unintentional shootings are prevented. #EndFamilyFire
http://bit.ly/2y7BRPR”

https://www.wlwt.com/article/police-investigation-indicates-shooting-was-accidental/23622291

I am deeply disappointed in the Council’s lack of action on a safe storage law. You choose to side with form emails from the NRA instead of you own citizens.
Shame on you! Shame of Mayor Beutler!

Mo Neal

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Councilmember Jon Camp:

As someone who lives and works in the Lincoln area, I urge you to oppose the firearm ordinance that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird is going to propose.

Gun safety and storage is a matter of personal responsibility and every person’s situation is different. It is unreasonable for the law to impose a one-size-fits-all government solution as these "nanny state" ordinances do nothing to keep families safe. Councilwoman Gaylord Baird’s poorly thought out ordinance would invade people’s homes and force them to render their firearms useless in a self-defense situation.

Again, please oppose any firearm ordinances that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird may propose. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Larry Stumpf
1222 Yosemite Rd
Oconomowoc, WI 53066-2294
Dear Councilmember Jon Camp:

As someone who lives and works in the Lincoln area, I urge you to oppose the firearm ordinance that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird is going to propose.

Gun safety and storage is a matter of personal responsibility and every person's situation is different. It is unreasonable for the law to impose a one-size-fits-all government solution as these "nanny state" ordinances do nothing to keep families safe. Councilwoman Gaylord Baird's poorly thought out ordinance would invade people's homes and force them to render their firearms useless in a self-defense situation.

Again, please oppose any firearm ordinances that Councilwoman Gaylord Baird may propose. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Katherine Soto
26 Fitzrandolph Rd
West Orange, NJ 07052-3549
Dear Mr. Rose:

Thanks for contacting Councilman Camp regarding Allo’s use of public right of way and the creation of easements to run fiber in Lincoln. Allo entered into agreements with the City of Lincoln in 2015 to make fiber internet [http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/council/agenda/2015/112315/15139.pdf](http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/council/agenda/2015/112315/15139.pdf) and cable TV [http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/council/agenda/2015/112315/15138.pdf](http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/council/agenda/2015/112315/15138.pdf) connections available to every property in the City. Under Nebraska state law, Allo is using an easement “in gross,” which is a right that belongs to a particular person or entity regardless of location, and is not specifically attached to a piece of property. This type of easement can be granted to an entity who does not own an adjacent piece of land but may need access to multiple parcels of property for their purposes—for example, utility companies, communications companies, billboard companies, etc. [http://www.koleyjessen.com/resources/a-brief-overview-of-easements/](http://www.koleyjessen.com/resources/a-brief-overview-of-easements/)  The City is not able to prevent utilities wanting to locate here from access to the right of way, however, franchise agreements for use of the right of way with the City ensure that companies do responsible work and maintain the surrounding infrastructure appropriately.

As part of its work, Allo is required to repair any damage to private property or utilities and to restore areas disturbed by the fiber installation to their prior condition. Information on the Allo project can be found here: [http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/pworks/engine/dsc/row/fiber-to-the-home.htm](http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/pworks/engine/dsc/row/fiber-to-the-home.htm)  I have also included both Public Works and Allo staff on this email to answer any specific questions or concerns you may have about work done on your property. Please also feel free to contact me should you have other issues regarding Allo or about Lincoln City government in general. I am always happy to help.

Sincerely,

Lin Quenzer
Ombudsman, LGBT Liaison
Title VI/ADA Co-Coordinator
Office of the Mayor
City of Lincoln, Nebraska 68508
402.441.7511

“All acts of kindness are lights in the war for Justice”
~Joy Harjo
-----Original Message-----
HI Councilman Camp.

My Name is John Rose. I have lived in Lincoln from 1989 - 2004 and 2014- current. I live in the southeast corner of town at 7344 Rachel Road. I am writing to you in regards to the Allo construction that has finally hit our corner of town.

I was just curious who one could contact in regards to construction of utilities in the right of way. I understand the legal easement that surrounds my property. I am very thankful for the electricity, natural gas, sewer, storm sewer, cable and telephone services the city and private entities offers its residents. I can also add that I grew up 2 miles west of the city boundaries of Grand Island, Ne. I witnessed the city annex my parent’s property and add city water lines in the front yard (due to RDX and TNT groundwater contamination by the nearby Cornhusker Army Ammunition Plant just 2 miles west of our neighborhood) and later sewer installed in the back yards of the neighborhood. Both of these services disrupted the streets and yards of many, but the benefits outweighed the disruptions caused by construction.

This leads up to the questions I have on Allo. How many entities will the city allow to build their for-profit infrastructure through easements of private property? I understand LES, Black Hills, Lincoln Water and Wastewater, Spectrum (which holds the city cable TV franchise), and Windstream needing access to property. The utilities serve the city and the easements were created so the utilities could serve the city for the greater good of its residents. Spectrum is operating as a contractor for the city, and Windstream is the local telephone company, which serves my area already with Fiber Optic service.

We have city utilities in place or private utilities that have a city franchise in place to eliminate duplication of power lines, gas lines, etc. in city limits. One could argue that we do not need Allo, Allo needs us to make money. The disruptions of construction will benefit only those that want to use Allo. There is also the issue of damage - seen and unseen caused by directional boring of any contractors - along with the expense and possible dangers of service interruptions and repairs. One could debate that LES provides a needed service, and therefore the risks of them using directional boring are warranted. However, Allo is a duplication of services (at least in my area) of utilities that already exist.

I am proud of my community, and can see how much planning went forth into laying out my area of town. The inter local agreement that LES has with Spectrum and used to have with Alltel (now Windstream) to bury their cables in one deep trench from easement to the home is brilliant - not all communities do that. I lived in Gardner, KS for 10 years and bought a home in a new area in 2004. The electric was connected to the home when we moved in. However Time Warner Cable buried their line 2 inches under the sod from their pedestal to the home and Sprint installed their phone cable in a different trench. This led to three buried cables crisscrossing the west side of my back yard. It was a pain to landscape as there were certain areas you had to avoid. Allo has come into my area, and placed pedestals and underground vaults wherever it is easiest to place them in regards to the surrounding infrastructure. However, many of us landscaped and fenced our yards in response to what existed in the area. Many of us built fences to leave utility transformers and pedestals outside of our fenced area for access by technicians and utility personnel only to have Allo drop giant pedestals (in my case) inside the fenced area. This circumvents the very idea of planning ahead in regards to the safety of utility personnel along with the safety and containment of my dog.

Does the city have to allow duplicate services such as Allo access to easements? If so, how many companies will be allowed to install their services - especially duplicate services?

If this is going to occur more frequently in the future, perhaps the city or developers could install ducts - like LES is doing - when building new subdivisions. This would allow installation of new services with minor to no disruptions along with
planning and placement of service pedestals and infrastructure in place. No street and sidewalk damage and minor digging on one’s property. No future surprises to the homeowners either.

I just wanted to share my thoughts on this issue with you. Is there a specific office in the city that this concern would be more directed to?

Thank you for taking the time to review my thoughts and concerns.

John Rose
City Council - Contact

Date: 10/10/2018 2:43:55 AM

name Camilla Svoboda
address 6431 Monticello Dr
        Lincoln
state NE
zip 68510
email cmsvoboda@gmail.com
comments What is happening with the Frank H. Woods Telephone Museum? That was such a wonderful gem for our City. I hope you are finding a new location for this treasure.
Mat

Thank you for your email and copy of your receipt itemizing the “occupation tax”. I am including our City Attorney, Jeff Kirkpatrick, on this email so that he and his staff can review this.

Bet regards,

Jon

JON A. CAMP  
Lincoln City Council  
200 Haymarket Square  
808 P Street  
P.O. Box 82307  
Lincoln, NE  68501-2307  

Office:  402.474.1838/402.474.1812  
Fax:  402.474.1838  
Cell:  402.560.1001  

Email:  joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com

Councilman Camp, I am Mat Ellison, you probably know me best as the Stone Ridge HOA president from the WalMart fiasco, but this email is in reference to another injustice layed upon the citizens of Lincoln by this city council.

I will not debate the merit of the 1 percent Occupation tax to pay for the parking garage at Southpointe, as that ship has sailed. However I will challenge the council to hold the businesses at Southpointe, especially Scheels to the very ordinance that gave them the garage.
Nebraska state law states that there are 3 ways of collecting an Occupation tax. One of those ways is to pass it on to the customers by itemizing it on their bill or receipt, which is what all of the businesses at Southpointe seem to be doing. As you most likely remember, per the amendment to Section 3(b) of Ordinance 20291 if a business chooses to pass the tax on to customers it must itemize it on the bill, and if it is itemized on the bill "it SHALL be labeled as a "parking assessment" or "parking fee".

So far not one business that we have purchased goods from since the tax took effect have abided by this city law. One has to assume this is to hide the tax and its purpose from the patrons or Southpointe.

I have attached a photo of my Scheels receipt from tonight, but rest assured this is only 1 example of many thousands of instances in which businesses at Southpointe are breaking the law each day.

I look forward to hearing from you soon.

Thanks for your time

Mat Ellison
2221 Grainger Parkway
402 405-4607

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone