I. MINUTES
   1. Approval of Directors’ minutes from August 6, 2018

II. ADJUSTMENTS TO AGENDA

III. CITY CLERK

IV. MAYOR’S OFFICE
   1. Fiscal Impact Statement, Finance - WIOA
   2. Fiscal Impact Statement, Police/Garage
   3. Fiscal Impact Statement, Mayor’s/Aging

V. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

VI. BOARDS/COMMITTEES/COMMISSION REPORTS
   1. TeleCommunications/Cable TV Board - Lamm (08.9.18)
   2. ISPC - Gaylor Baird (08.9.18)
   3. Parks & Rec - Gaylor Baird (08.9.18)

VII. CONSTITUENT CORRESPONDENCE
   1. Proposed Krueger Development, opposition - Jim Ziller
   2. Proposed Krueger Development, opposition - Richard Clark
   3. Proposed 13th Street Improvement Project - Mark Weddleton
   4. Proposed Krueger Development, opposition - Todd Lardenoit
   5. Proposed Krueger Development, opposition - Jody Cameron
   6. Accident involving A StarTran Bus - Dave Kirby
   7. Proposed Krueger Development, opposition - Ranette Engstrom
   8. Proposed Krueger Development, opposition - Jeff and Debbie Hoeft
   9. Proposed Krueger Development, opposition - Philip Michael
   10. FY 2019-20 Budget - Mike James

VIII. MEETINGS/INVITATIONS
      See invitation list.

IX. ADJOURNMENT
FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Finance - WIOA__________________________ DATE:07/31/2018________________

NEED When the 17-18 WIOA budget was done, estimated amounts were used since the final WIOA grant Notice of Awards were not yet available. This FIS is requested to adjust the original budget dollars to match the actual available WIOA funds, which includes carrying amounts from prior year, and current year grant awards. Processing this FIS will ensure that current year expenditures will not exceed budgeted amounts.

| FUTURE IMPACT: | ☐ Ongoing | ☒ Limited | Projected Completion Date |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUES GENERATED</th>
<th>LEGISLATIVE CHANGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>Yes ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>Yes ☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Yes ☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>Current Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Next Fiscal Year Annualized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (full time equivalents)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (cost) business unit:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code</td>
<td>description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPPLIES business unit:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code</td>
<td>description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER SERVICES &amp; CHARGES business unit:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code</td>
<td>description</td>
<td>See Attached for Coding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIPMENT business unit:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code</td>
<td>description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</td>
<td>$597,031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SOURCE OF REVENUES WIOA Grant Funds

DIRECTOR ___________________________ DATE 7/31/18
WHEN TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Requesting transfer of operating appropriations.
2. Requesting increase in personnel (full time equivalents) appropriations.
3. Requesting transfer of capital improvement appropriations.
4. Requesting operational change not authorized during the budget process.
5. Requesting appropriations based on receipt of additional funds from outside sources.
6. Requesting use of Contingency funds.

HOW TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

NEED: There should be a detailed explanation of why a change to the previously approved budget is necessary. If the change will have any impact beyond the current fiscal year, it should also be noted.

FUTURE IMPACT: One of the boxes should be checked. An example of an item with ongoing impact would be a request for additional fte authorization that will also be requested in upcoming budgets. This would necessitate filling out the "Next Fiscal Year Annualized" column. An example of an item with limited impact would be asking for authorization to use salary savings for the one time purchase of equipment. If "Projected Completion Date" applies, please fill in.

REVENUES GENERATED: Please note if the request will affect current and future revenues.

LEGISLATIVE CHANGES: These boxes should be marked yes or no. Some of the actions this form is used for (transfer of capital improvement appropriations, Contingency Funds) require a City Council ordinance.

PERSONNEL (full time equivalents): Please note the number of fte’s the request involves, if applicable.

PERSONNEL (cost), SUPPLIES, OTHER SERVICES AND CHARGES, EQUIPMENT: All entries in these boxes must have the business unit, object code, and object code description along with the dollar amount. Negative amounts must be indicated by brackets.

TOTAL EXPENDITURES: This box should contain the sum of the dollar amounts in the various expenditure categories.

SOURCE OF REVENUES: This box should contain the name of the fund the action is required for.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>BU</th>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>277012</td>
<td>5621</td>
<td>171,443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DW</td>
<td>277112</td>
<td>5621</td>
<td>115,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth IS</td>
<td>277212</td>
<td>5621</td>
<td>78,374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth OS</td>
<td>277614</td>
<td>5621</td>
<td>213,803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin</td>
<td>277512</td>
<td>5021</td>
<td>17,967</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

597,031
WIOA FY 17/18 Analysis  
Sept 1, 2017 thru August 31, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Adult 277012</th>
<th>DLW 277112</th>
<th>Youth-IN 277212</th>
<th>Youth-OUT 277614</th>
<th>General 277312</th>
<th>Admin 277512</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 17/18 JDE Budget</td>
<td>277,521</td>
<td>138,741</td>
<td>45,337</td>
<td>171,783</td>
<td>108,160</td>
<td>77,966</td>
<td>819,508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal Impact to be processed</td>
<td>171,443</td>
<td>115,444</td>
<td>78,374</td>
<td>213,803</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17,967</td>
<td>597,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total FY 17/18 Budget</td>
<td>448,964</td>
<td>254,185</td>
<td>123,711</td>
<td>385,586</td>
<td>108,160</td>
<td>95,933</td>
<td>1,416,539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds Carried into July 2017</td>
<td>152,416</td>
<td>87,127</td>
<td>63,155</td>
<td>100,558</td>
<td>5,727</td>
<td>408,983</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PY 17 Base</td>
<td>31,232</td>
<td>34,129</td>
<td>85,956</td>
<td>257,869</td>
<td>45,464</td>
<td>454,650</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 18 Advance</td>
<td>214,051</td>
<td>181,840</td>
<td>43,987</td>
<td>439,878</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>2,320</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June NOA revisions</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>440</td>
<td>1,319</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>2,320</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revisions/Reductions?</td>
<td>(1,437)</td>
<td>(1,246)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(2,683)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June NOA revisions</td>
<td>2,545</td>
<td>2,163</td>
<td></td>
<td>523</td>
<td>5,231</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfers</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>(50,000)</td>
<td>(25,840)</td>
<td>25,840</td>
<td>108,160</td>
<td>108,160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds available for City FY 16/17</td>
<td>448,964</td>
<td>254,185</td>
<td>123,711</td>
<td>385,586</td>
<td>108,160</td>
<td>95,933</td>
<td>1,416,539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference for Fiscal Impact</td>
<td>171,443</td>
<td>115,444</td>
<td>78,374</td>
<td>213,803</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>17,967</td>
<td>597,031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fiscal Impact Information
Police would like to move an Auto Service Worker 5115 N22 to a Senior Office Assistant 1032 N09. The Auto Service Worker resigned and position held to reclassify. This will not increase our FTEs just reclassify one at a savings of approximately $3 an hour.

| FUTURE IMPACT: | □ Ongoing
|               | □ Limited
|               | Projected Completion Date

**REVENUES GENERATED**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEGISLATIVE CHANGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IMPACT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>Current Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Next Fiscal Year Annualized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (full time equivalents)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (cost) business unit:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code</td>
<td>description</td>
<td>04025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: Auto Service Worker 5115</td>
<td>$40,396 (N22)</td>
<td>$40,396 (N22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: Senior Office Assistant 1032</td>
<td>$33,284 (N09)</td>
<td>$33,284 (N09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPPLIES business unit:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code</td>
<td>description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER SERVICES &amp; CHARGES business unit:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code</td>
<td>description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIPMENT business unit:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code</td>
<td>description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE OF REVENUES** None

**DIRECTOR**

DATE: 8/3/2018
WHEN TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Requesting transfer of operating appropriations.
2. Requesting increase in personnel (full time equivalents) appropriations.
3. Requesting transfer of capital improvement appropriations.
4. Requesting operational change not authorized during the budget process.
5. Requesting appropriations based on receipt of additional funds from outside sources.
6. Requesting use of Contingency funds.

HOW TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

NEED: There should be a detailed explanation of why a change to the previously approved budget is necessary. If the change will have any impact beyond the current fiscal year, it should also be noted.

FUTURE IMPACT: One of the boxes should be checked. An example of an item with ongoing impact would be a request for additional full time authorization that will also be requested in upcoming budgets. This would necessitate filling out the "Next Fiscal Year Annualized" column. An example of an item with limited impact would be asking for authorization to use salary savings for the one time purchase of equipment. If "Projected Completion Date" applies, please fill in.

REVENUES GENERATED: Please note if the request will affect current and future revenues.

LEGISLATIVE CHANGES: These boxes should be marked yes or no. Some of the actions this form is used for (transfer of capital improvement appropriations, Contingency Funds) require a City Council ordinance.

PERSONNEL (full time equivalents): Please note the number of FTE’s the request involves, if applicable.

PERSONNEL (cost), SUPPLIES, OTHER SERVICES AND CHARGES, EQUIPMENT: All entries in these boxes must have the business unit, object code, and object code description along with the dollar amount. Negative amounts must be indicated by brackets.

TOTAL EXPENDITURES: This box should contain the sum of the dollar amounts in the various expenditure categories.

SOURCE OF REVENUES: This box should contain the name of the fund the action is required for.
**FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Mayor's/Aging  
DATE: August 7, 2018

**NEED** Casework volume in the Medicaid Waiver program continues to increase and our capacity to provide service and proper supervision to the Service Coordinators has not kept pace. We discussed the need for additional staffing with the State of Nebraska Department of Health & Human Services (funder) and they indicate that as a contractor, we have the authority to staff the program as needed so long as it's within the contracted amount. Without these hires we risk falling out of compliance with the State of Nebraska related to required activities of the program. To meet the demands of the program, we need to add two additional FTEs. One as an Aging Specialist III classification and one as an Aging Specialist IV classification. We have evaluated the financial impact of these two new positions over the remaining life of the contract (two years) and find that these additional costs will be covered under the contract. We are currently starting the second year of a three year contract for $6,069,637. Any staffing for the program is paid directly from this contract and we are only reimbursed actual expenses. Future costs such as unemployment should the contract not be continued after two years, can be captured using the remaining fund balance of $895,568 that accumulated as a result of fees charged to the State of Nebraska for operation of this program in past years.

**FUTURE IMPACT:**  
Ongoing  
Limited  
Projected Completion Date for duration of contract (June 2020) or further if renewed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUES GENERATED</th>
<th>LEGISLATIVE CHANGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$6,069,637 in contracted services for DHHS. All reimbursable.</td>
<td>City Yes □ No X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County Yes □ No X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State Yes □ No X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>Current Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Next Fiscal Year Annualized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (full time equivalents)</td>
<td>0 FTE</td>
<td>2 FTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (cost) business unit: <strong>210221</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$165,883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5021-$109,689</td>
<td></td>
<td>5081-$36,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5082-$971</td>
<td></td>
<td>5083-$246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5086-$8,391</td>
<td></td>
<td>5091-$1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5094-$9,158</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPPLIES business unit:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code description</td>
<td></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER SERVICES &amp; CHARGES business unit: <strong>210221</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5631-$993.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5685-$400.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5723-$2,253</td>
<td></td>
<td>5829-$1,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5922-$1,906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIPMENT business unit: <strong>210221</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6069-$4,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6072-$1,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL EXPENDITURES</td>
<td></td>
<td>$178,059</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHEN TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Requesting transfer of operating appropriations.
2. Requesting increase in personnel (full time equivalents) appropriations.
3. Requesting transfer of capital improvement appropriations.
4. Requesting operational change not authorized during the budget process.
5. Requesting appropriations based on receipt of additional funds from outside sources.
6. Requesting use of Contingency funds.

HOW TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

NEED: There should be a detailed explanation of why a change to the previously approved budget is necessary. If the change will have any impact beyond the current fiscal year, it should also be noted.

FUTURE IMPACT: One of the boxes should be checked. An example of an item with ongoing impact would be a request for additional fte authorization that will also be requested in upcoming budgets. This would necessitate filling out the "Next Fiscal Year Annualized" column. An example of an item with limited impact would be asking for authorization to use salary savings for the one time purchase of equipment. If "Projected Completion Date" applies, please fill in.
REVENUES GENERATED: Please note if the request will affect current and future revenues.

LEGISLATIVE CHANGES: These boxes should be marked yes or no. Some of the actions this form is used for (transfer of capital improvement appropriations, Contingency Funds) require a City Council ordinance.

PERSONNEL (full time equivalents): Please note the number of fte’s the request involves, if applicable.

PERSONNEL (cost), SUPPLIES, OTHER SERVICES AND CHARGES, EQUIPMENT: All entries in these boxes must have the business unit, object code, and object code description along with the dollar amount. Negative amounts must be indicated by brackets.

TOTAL EXPENDITURES: This box should contain the sum of the dollar amounts in the various expenditure categories.

SOURCE OF REVENUES: This box should contain the name of the fund the action is required for.
Aging Partners Billable Medicaid Waiver & SCO Cases
July 2015 - June Actual with Trend to March 2019

797 Current capacity if 100% functioning

707 Current capacity due to vacancy and learning curve.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Award-WAIVER</th>
<th>$ 6,069,637</th>
<th>Total Budget</th>
<th>Actual/Projected</th>
<th>Amount of shift to LOC</th>
<th>Over/Under budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 1,937,597</td>
<td>$ 1,889,988 actual</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$ 47,609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,011,056</td>
<td>$ 2,196,913 projected</td>
<td>$ 131,575</td>
<td>$ (6,860)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 2,120,984</td>
<td>$ 2,271,410 projected</td>
<td>$ 136,105</td>
<td>$ (2,816)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$ 6,069,637</td>
<td>$ 6,358,311</td>
<td>$ 267,680</td>
<td>$ 37,933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Award-SCO/LOC</td>
<td>$ 172,049</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected shift from Waiver</td>
<td>$ 131,575</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$ 40,474</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Expected unspent from three year grant.
City Council - Contact

Date: 8/7/2018 5:05:28 PM

name Jim Ziller
address 7421 Lambert Pl
      city Lincoln
      state NE
      zip 68516
email XZILLER8@YAHOO.COM
comments Jon,

I am writing to convey my opposition to the latest version of Krueger Development's proposed apartment/commercial buildings in Porter Ridge. I have heard that they are reducing the two buildings by a story to 4, but I feel that is still going to be too much added traffic to an already busy area.

My wife and I regularly walk up to the restaurants in that area and the access for pedestrians is already awful. We have to walk through parking lots and dodge cars with our young son. We also use the daycare across the street from there at Colorful Minds and if we walk him up there in the summer, getting across the street can be near impossible when cars are zipping up the hill.

I am not sure there is a good answer to the increased traffic.

The height of the buildings being proposed are also not in line with any other building in the area except the apartments behind Super Saver. Porter Ridge is a quaint little neighborhood that is tucked in and has such wonderful walkability for the families back there. Please oppose the plan and keep Krueger Development within the standards that are already set and not to get an exception/variance to it.

Respectfully,

Jim & Andrea Ziller
City Council - Contact

Date: 8/7/2018 6:47:25 PM

name: Richard Clark
address: 7731 Orourke Dr.
city: Lincoln
state: NE
zip: 68516
e-mail: rtclk@windstream.net

comments: I drive through the area of the proposed apartments at South Ridge Mall multiple times a week. Placement of the proposed 4 story apartment complex will transform the area into a jammed up, ugly area. Cars will be parked on the side streets making it congested. It will become similar to the street behind Anderson Mazda where the apartments sit almost on the street. Drive down that street any day any time and you are dodging parked cars! The townhomes and other residential homes in the area will be looking at the apartments and are likely to be devalued. Our planners have not stepped back and visualized just how jammed up and congested this development will make that area. Please do not vote approval!

Thank you.
Dick Clark
Dear City Council member,

My elderly mother lives on 13th St. in the area that would be affected by the proposed changes to the street design. At eighty-five years old it is important that she have a walkable neighborhood so that she can get the exercise she needs to maintain her physical and mental vitality and enjoy the independence of being able to get to the stores, coffee shops and restaurants she loves on her own. Crossing 13th St. as it now exists with four lanes of traffic is an obstacle to her freedom of movement because it takes her more time than impatient drivers care to wait.

I've researched the proposed changes to 13th street and am convinced they will improve this situation. Making the area safer for all pedestrians, including those with advanced age like my mother, will create a better neighborhood for all.

This dear woman has taught me much, including how to grow old gracefully. I thought I would share this lesson with you so that you can understand why I enthusiastically hope you will embrace the 13th Street Improvement Plan.

Sincerely,
Mark Weddleton
2000 S. 23rd St.
Lincoln, NE 68502
402-742-5025
City Council - Contact

Date: 8/8/2018 7:48:21 AM

name: Todd Lardenoit
address: 2825 Lawson Drive

city: Lincoln
state: NE
zip: 68516
email: t0615lardenoit@email.com

comments: Please do not allow the apartment complex. If any of you drove by and looked where they want to put it, it would shake your foundation there is no space. What you would think about adding that to the area for traffic? Vote with your heart and head and just say no! This area is packed with car dealerships and shopping malls. We don't need another change to the zoning laws there have already been to many. It will have an adverse effect on home values. If you lived here, would you want it in your backyard?

IP: 66.37.231.149
Form: https://lincoln.ne.gov/city/council/contact.htm
User Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/58.0.3029.110 Safari/537.36 Edge/16.16299
City Council - Contact

Date: 8/8/2018 1:49:02 PM

name: Jody Cameron
address: 3046 WILLIAMSBURG DR
City: Lincoln
State: NE
Zip: 68516-6002
Email: camskid@aol.com

Comments: I am writing in opposition to the proposed apartment complex that will abut the Porter Ridge West Townhouse Association and the surrounding businesses. Although Mr. Krueger has amended his original request for a height variance the fact remains that putting an apartment complex in that area will be detrimental to not only the homeowners that will surround it, but the businesses as well. There is already a significant amount of traffic in this area due to the businesses, offices, homes and schools nearby. Starbucks alone on most days has a line that goes out onto 29th Street. I work in an office that will be directly across from this complex so I am concerned about the additional traffic this would bring to the area. I am also very aware of the current traffic in the area because I see it every day. People tend to speed down 29th Street as it is and when school starts, the traffic gets even worse with parents taking kids to nearby Cavett Elementary not far from this development. Add to that Southpointe Mall across Pine Lake Street and all of the activity on 27th Street and this is just not a very good location for an apartment complex. It would make a lot more sense to put the same kind of office buildings in this area as Mr. Krueger currently owns on the East side of 29th Street. Thank you for your consideration.
Sorry – I just saw that this driver had an at-fault accident while she was driving a bus on 11/14/16. That was a month before the December, 2016 accident and her third in less than 2 years. Her last accident was the one involving Sheila.

You know it’s bad when you have trouble keeping up with the accidents.

Dave Kirby
McCashland Kirby Insurance Agency
402-466-2800

“*We are a nation of immigrants. But we are also a nation of laws. It is wrong and ultimately self-defeating for a nation of immigrants to permit the kind of abuse of our immigration laws we have seen in recent years, and we must do more to stop it.* “ William Jefferson Clinton, State of the Union address, Jan 24, 1995

Good afternoon! You probably heard about the lady who got hit by the bus while in the crosswalk downtown last December. She’s suing the City for $1 mil. I know her – she used to work in my insurance agency when I first moved to Lincoln in 1994. Did you happen to see the entire, unedited video on the 10/11 news app? It’s about 14 minutes long. I knew about the accident right after it happened, but I didn’t see the video until Friday. Sheila’s daughter told me they hadn’t seen the video until it was on the news Sunday evening….that no one from the TV station called to give them a heads up. If you watch it, it’s pretty violent, and gives you a little more perspective as to what happened. I’m not sure that was handled properly by 10/11…but they may have given Sheila’s attorney a call beforehand. The entire thing is pretty disgusting, and there are so many facets that need to be addressed.

First, if you look at the bus driver’s driving record, you have to ask why is this woman allowed to drive a bus? According to 10/11, she’s had two prior at-fault accidents before this one involving Sheila. She had a non-related ticket for improper lane change 13 days before this December 2017 accident, as well as a ticket for following too closely three weeks before the December 2016 accident at 16th and G. On February 27 or 2015, she made a lane change and hit another car, but she wasn’t driving a bus at the time as she was in a pickup. She was charged with Negligent Driving, however it was dismissed….probably because she took the STOP class. All the accident reports are attached. In my opinion as an insurance professional, someone at StarTran needs to re-visit what’s “acceptable” with respect to their driver’s records. Does anyone check MVRs at StarTran? How often? Certainly the managers knew about the prior bus accidents. In my world of insurance, if I had an employer with a commercial auto policy, I could not insure someone with this record unless we went with Progressive and their high risk plan. You wouldn’t want to know how expensive that would be. The StarTran manager interviewed on the newscast seemed to say someone could have up to 5 accidents IN A YEAR without being terminated, although this driver never was allowed to drive a bus again. In the private sector, the insurance company would require the driver with this record be excluded from the policy.
How about the Lincoln Fire & Rescue response time? I think someone should answer the question of HOW it took 8 minutes and 11 seconds for the ambulance to arrive on scene. This happened at 12th and M Streets, at 2:21 PM. The fire station is at 17th and Q – how could it take that long? I thought LFR was very slow to get to the scene, particularly after the driver reported it by radio to her dispatch immediately after she hit Sheila. The ambulance got there first, but it was still slow. Eventually the engine shows up, but that was well after the ambulance arrived. This furthers my belief that we need private ambulance services in Lincoln, and we need to stop sending our $500,000 trucks on medical calls because they put on so many miles and therefore need more upkeep.

How about the lack of compassion shown in the video by the police? If you watch the entire video on the app, the officer arrives, bends down to talk to Sheila for a little bit, but then steps back and leaves her alone lying in the street. This is December! Do they not have a coat or blanket in the patrol car they could offer her while waiting for the ambulance? If this were your loved one, wouldn’t you be disgusted by what you saw (or didn’t see) in the video?

I’m hoping you can do some investigating and find answers. Our citizens deserve much better than what is displayed on that 14-minute video. Please watch it and listen to the police officer’s casual attitude toward this driver who had just hit a woman in the crosswalk. I’d like you to also find out if blankets are in police cruisers. If they’re not, they should be. I carry one in my car for that very reason...you never know when you’re going to need one for an injured person.

Dave Kirby
McCashland Kirby Insurance Agency
402-466-2800

“We are a nation of immigrants. But we are also a nation of laws. It is wrong and ultimately self-defeating for a nation of immigrants to permit the kind of abuse of our immigration laws we have seen in recent years, and we must do more to stop it.” William Jefferson Clinton, State of the Union address, Jan 24, 1995
City Council - Contact

Date: 8/8/2018 8:54:59 PM

name: Ranette Engstrom
address: 2847 Porter Ridge Rd
city: Lincoln
state: NE
zip: 68516
e-mail: rgegett@yahoo.com

comments: I am asking you to vote NO to the Krueger apartment/commercial building proposed in the Porter Ridge Neighborhood. If you would, please take the time to visit this location, you will see that it is a very unique strip of land that abuts our well kept townhouses. A 50 ft apartment building would tower over the privacy fences and decks of our homes causing a loss in property value. The added population will cause parking and traffic congestion for the strip mall, Starbucks and our streets. There has to be a better location for such a development. Please, Please, take a look before you vote.

Thank You

IP: 76.84.3.81
Form: http://www.lincoln.ne.gov/city/council/contact.htm
User Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; WOW64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/67.0.3396.99 Safari/537.36
City Council - Contact

Date: 8/8/2018 8:56:42 PM

name: Jeff and Debbie Hoeft
address: 9211 Medinah Dr
city: Lincoln
state: NE
zip: 68526
email: dkhoeft@gmail.com
comments: Hello

We have owned a townhouse on Porter Ridge Rd since 2001. You will be considering a proposal on August 20 from Krueger Development to build two 4-story apartment buildings directly behind our property. (App #SP1629H and UP100C). We ask you to very carefully consider the negative impacts these proportionally large buildings and associated traffic will have on the surrounding area. We urge you to personally look at the small strip of land these buildings will sit on to really see how poor the fit is. You will have to search long and hard to find another established neighborhood in Lincoln that has four story buildings that close and that tall. The townhomes along Porter Ridge will all look directly into these apartments (and vice versa) even with the slight slope. This is already an extremely busy area which has changed tremendously since 2001. Even with underground parking, we don’t think the small area can handle that many more residents in addition to the businesses already there and being planned.

We think it’s absurd to suggest that traffic in the retail areas won’t be affected because the apartment tenants will be going to work at 8 and returning after 5. That’s a mighty big assumption that has no facts to back it up. In addition, even though ~60% of the apartments will be one bedroom, that also does not automatically mean that translates to one car.

We have always known this area was going to be developed into commercial buildings and we have no problems or concerns with that original reasonable plan. Even a 2-3 story apartment building would probably be acceptable. Anything bigger than that simply is the wrong plan for this narrow area. We respectfully request that you deny the request for the height variance and parking waiver as the Planning Commission did.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Jeff and Debbie Hoeft
Dear Council Members,

I am writing to you and pleading for you not to approve Krueger Developments latest request for 4 story apartment buildings south of Pine Lake between 28 & 29th street. There will be insufficient parking with underground parking. Without a walkway through the retail development few people will walk around this 1.5 block shopping center to park in their lot. This means more congestion on 29 and Porter Ridge Road. The height variance request is also at the expense of an unusually large building as compared to the remainder of the development. Additionally, congested parking on 29th street will further enhance the opportunity for accidents on icy mornings with young mothers turning across south 29th on the brink of a hill during rush hour.

This is another request by Krueger for variances. First there was the Duteau facility. The argument then was there was no more land for auto dealers. Many others have subsequently found desirable locations. Next was the variances for the day care center on 29th. One variance was allowing him to build closer to the property line and the second was the location off a main arterial by two blocks. Now we are faced with two more variances: One for excessive height & second less parking that necessary. Multiple variances create a cumulative negative effect on the neighborhood.

I realize the available land needs something built for Krueger to make money. However the zoning was for 3 stories and office buildings. If the city allows multiple variances each time a developer request it, why do we pretend to have zoning? We are already dealing with Krueger's past variance requests. Please do not allow this final request which will benefit Krueger Development at the expense of so many others.

Respectfully,
Philip C. Michel
If the city of Lincoln paid Firefighters what they paid Police Officers that would free up 9.6M funding in FY 2019-20. The math is 307.29 * (115,252-83,947) LFR headcount * (LFR cost average – LPD cost average) This trend has been growing for a long time. I am trying to figure out when it started. 50 years ago, cops and firefighters made similar wages, when did this change start? When did the fire union get the legislature to change the law? The change forced Lincoln and Omaha to pay wages higher than what we really need to recruit and retain firefighter labor. One key moment I remember is back to the lawsuit between the city under mayor Mike Johanns and the fire union. The fire union won the lawsuit and got a huge pay boost.

*Important footnote: I am not attacking Police or Teacher pay, only firefighters. Firefighters, on average, make way more (20-40k). I can point to the potholes in Lincoln and Omaha as a direct result of this budget imbalance. I fear this growth trend is unsustainable when you factor the future fire pension obligations from these salaries. City budgets will tilt further fire heavy, cutting further into other important city services.

Michael James
4810 S 76th St
Lincoln NE 68516