I. MINUTES
   1. Approval of Directors’ minutes from June 4, 2018

II. ADJUSTMENTS TO AGENDA

III. CITY CLERK

IV. MAYOR’S OFFICE

V. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE
   PLANNING
   1. Administrative Approvals from May 29, 2018 through June 4, 2018
   2. Action dated Wednesday, June 6, 2018
   3. Final Action dated Wednesday, June 7, 2018

VI. BOARDS/COMMITTEES/COMMISSION REPORTS
   1. PBC - Camp, Raybould (06.05.18)
   2. RTSD - Christensen, Lamm, Raybould (06.04.18)

VII. CONSTITUENT CORRESPONDENCE
   1. Sales Tax for Roads - Kent Thompson
   2. Public Intoxication - Gary Boltz
   3. Ongoing Recycling Problems - Darin Rich
   4. LED Street Lights, brightness - Claudia Lindley
      Staff response provided by Lin Quenzer, Ombudsman
   5. LED Street Lights, winter weather - Janice Jones
      Staff response provided by Councilman Camp
   6. LED Street Lights, night driving - Natalie Rader

VIII. MEETINGS/INVITATIONS
      See invitation list.

IX. COUNCIL MEMBERS
   1. Proposed Security Entrance Renovation

X. ADJOURNMENT
Date: ♦ June 5 2018
To: ♦ City Clerk
From: ♦ Amy Huffman, Planning Dept.
Re: ♦ Administrative Approvals
cc: ♦ Planning Commission
    ♦ Geri Rorabaugh, Planning Dept.

This is a list of the administrative approvals by the Planning Director from May 29, 2018 through June 4, 2018:

Administrative Amendment No. 18031, to Change of Zone No. 16038, Terrace Gardens PUD, approved by the Planning Director on May 31, 2018, to adjust the rear setback to allow for air conditioners for the Laneway Cottages, generally located at N. 35th Street and Superior Street.
**ACTION BY PLANNING COMMISSION**

NOTICE: The Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission will hold a public hearing on Wednesday, June 6, 2018, at 1:00 p.m. in Hearing Room 112 on the first floor of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St., Lincoln, Nebraska. For more information, call the Planning Department, (402) 441-7491.

**PLEASE NOTE:** The Planning Commission action is final action on any item with a notation of “FINAL ACTION”. Any aggrieved person may appeal Final Action of the Planning Commission to the City Council or County Board by filing a Notice of Appeal with the City Clerk or County Clerk within 14 days following the action of the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission action on all other items is a recommendation to the City Council or County Board.

AGENDA

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 6, 2018

[Commissioners Edgerton and Washington absent]

Approval of minutes of the regular meeting held May 23, 2018. **APPROVED:  7-0; (Edgerton and Washington absent)**

1. CONSENT AGENDA
   (Public Hearing and Administrative Action):

USE PERMIT:

1.1 Use Permit No. 18004, to include 5 lots for public and commercial uses on approximately 7.58 acres, more or less, on property generally located at North 98th Street and O Street. **FINAL ACTION**
   Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
   Staff Planner: George Wesselhoft, 402-441-6366, gwesselhoft@lincoln.ne.gov

2. REQUESTS TO PLACE ON PENDING LIST AND FOR 2 WEEK DEFERRAL:

2.1a Change of Zone No. 18013, from AGR (Agricultural Residential) to O-3 (Office Park District), on property generally located at 8435 Firethorn Lane.
   Staff Planner: Brian Will, 402-441-6362, bwill@lincoln.ne.gov
   Planning Commission granted the applicant’s request to place this application on pending until further notice.
2.1b Use Permit No. 107F, to expand the use permit by approximately 1.12 acres for an additional 13,400 square feet of commercial floor area, on property legally generally located at 8435 Firethorn Lane. **FINAL ACTION**
Staff Planner: Brian Will, 402-441-6362, bwill@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission granted the applicant’s request to place this application on pending until further notice.

2.2a Change of Zone No. 18014, From R-2 (Residential District) To R-3 (Residential District), on property generally located at SW 17th and West B Streets.
Staff Planner: Dessie Redmond, 402-441-6373, dredmond@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission granted the applicant’s request for an additional 2-week deferral, with CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING LIMITED TO NEW INFORMATION AND ACTION scheduled for June 20, 2018.

2.2b Special Permit No. 18019, for 21 dwelling units with a CUP (Community Unit Plan), on property generally located at SW 17th and West B Streets. **FINAL ACTION**
Staff Planner: Dessie Redmond, 402-441-6373, dredmond@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission granted the applicant’s request for an additional 2-week deferral, with CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING LIMITED TO NEW INFORMATION AND ACTION scheduled for June 20, 2018.

2.2c Street and Alley Vacation No. 18002, to vacate approximately 50 by 40 feet of the West B Street right-of-way, generally located at SW 17th and West B Streets.
Staff Planner: Dessie Redmond, 402-441-6373, dredmond@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission granted the applicant’s request for an additional 2-week deferral, with CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING LIMITED TO NEW INFORMATION AND ACTION scheduled for June 20, 2018.

3. ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA – None.

4. PUBLIC HEARING AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION:

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CONFORMANCE:

4.1 Comprehensive Plan Conformance No. 18011, to review as to conformance with the 2040 Lincoln Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan, a proposed amendment to the West O Redevelopment Plan, to add the West O Street Revitalization Project. The revitalization project involves a series of improvements within the public rights of way along West O Street, West P Street and the north-south streets throughout the corridor, including addressing blighted and substandard conditions throughout the area by investing in both improvements to existing public facilities and construction of new public facilities. Improvements may include new sidewalks, streetlights, and additional streetscape beautification projects. The West O Redevelopment Plan area is generally located at West P Street to north, the first set of railroad tracks to south, 9th Street to east and NW/SW 70th to the west.
Staff recommendation: Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan
Staff Planner: Andrew Thierolf, 402-441-6371, athierolf@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: Conforms with the Comprehensive Plan: 7-0; Edgerton and Washington absent.
Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for Monday, July 9, 2018, 3:00 p.m.

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CONFORMANCE AND RELATED ITEMS:

4.2a Comprehensive Plan Conformance No. 18012, to review as to conformance with the 2040 Lincoln Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan, a request to declare city owned property as surplus, on property generally located at West B Street and South Folsom Street.
Staff recommendation: Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan
Staff Planner: Dessie Redmond, 402-441-6373, dredmond@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: Conforms with the Comprehensive Plan: 7-0; Edgerton and Washington absent.
Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively pending at this time.

4.2b Change of Zone No. 18016, from R-2 (Residential District) to P (Public Use District), on property generally located at West B Street and South Folsom Street.
Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Dessie Redmond, 402-441-6373, dredmond@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: Approval: 7-0; Edgerton and Washington absent. Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively pending at this time.

4.2c Special Permit No. 13055C, allow for the expansion of nonconforming use and amend the special permit, with requested waiver to allow parking within the front yard setback, on property generally located at 1245 South Folsom Road. **FINAL ACTION**
Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Dessie Redmond, 402-441-6373, dredmond@lincoln.ne.gov

4.2d Special Permit No. 18023, to allow for a Non-Residential Healthcare Facility, with requested waiver to allow parking within the front yard setback, on property generally located at West B Street and South Folsom Street. **FINAL ACTION**
Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Dessie Redmond, 402-441-6373, dredmond@lincoln.ne.gov
4.2e Street and Alley Vacation No. 18003, to vacate an east portion of West B Street adjacent to Block 6, generally located at West B Street and Folsom Street.

Staff recommendation: Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan
Staff Planner: Dessie Redmond, 402-441-6373, dredmond@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: Conforms with the Comprehensive Plan: 7-0; Edgerton and Washington absent. Public hearing before the City Council will be scheduled when the provisions of Chapter 14.20 of the Lincoln Municipal Code have been satisfied.

AT THIS TIME, ANYONE WISHING TO SPEAK ON AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA, MAY DO SO

************

Adjournment: 2:23 p.m.

Pending List: None
PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION NOTIFICATION

TO: Mayor Chris Beutler
   Lincoln City Council

FROM: Geri Rorabaugh, Planning

DATE: June 7, 2018

RE: Notice of final action by Planning Commission: June 6, 2018

Please be advised that on June 6, 2018, the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission adopted the following resolutions:

Resolution No. PC-01603, approving USE PERMIT NO. 18004, to include 5 lots for public and commercial uses on approximately 7.58 acres, more or less, on property legally described as a portion of Outlot C, Waterford Estates 9th Addition, located in the SE 1/4 of Section 23-10-7, Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska, generally located at North 98th Street and O Street;

Resolution No. PC-01604, approving SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 13055C, allow for the expansion of nonconforming use and amend the special permit, with requested waiver to allow parking within the front yard setback, on property legally described as the east 66 feet of Lot C, Lots 43-48, Block 6, Lowe A. Rickett’s Consolidation of Lots in Blocks 6-8 of Highland Park and the adjacent right-of-way of West B Street except for the east 7 feet of said Lot 48, located in the SW 1/4 of Section 27-10-6, Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska, generally located at 1245 South Folsom Road; and

Resolution No. PC-01605, approving SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 18023, to allow for a Non-Residential Healthcare Facility, with requested waiver to allow parking within the front-yard setback, on property legally described as a portion of Lot A, Block 7, Lowe A. Rickett’s Consolidation of Lots in Block 6-8 of Highland Park and the adjacent right-of-way of West B Street except for the east 7 feet of said Lot A, located in the SW 1/4 of Section 27-10-6, Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska, generally located at West B Street and South Folsom Street.

The Planning Commission action on these applications is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a notice of appeal with the City Clerk within 14 days of the action by the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission Resolution may be accessed on the internet at www.lincoln.ne.gov (Keyword = PATS). Use the “Search Selection" screen and search by application number (i.e. UP18004, SP13055C SP18023). The Resolution and Planning Department staff report are in the “Related Documents" under the application number.
City Council - Contact
Date: 6/4/2018 1:24:12 PM

name  Kent Thompson
address  6411 Vanderslice Ln.
city  Lincoln
state  NE
zip  68516
email  kthompson@thompsonrealtygroup.com
comments  Sales tax for roads.
          We need more roads. More major roadways. I would appreciate your supporting new sale tax funds for major roadways - curb to curb only. Leaving existing funds for roads to service residential needs as well as all maintenance.
          It would be foolish not to consider the role of autonomous vehicles in the near term.
          Further if we really care about the health of the citizens of Lincoln we need to allow room on the new streets between the curbs for bike lanes.
          Thank you for your thoughtfulness in looking at this important infrastructure issue
City Council - Contact

Date: 6/4/2018 2:46:30 PM

name Gary Boltz
address 1830 Rusty Ln.
city Lincoln
state NE
zip 68506
e-mail garyboltz56@hotmail.com

In 1979 the laws changed for public Intoxication. If public intoxication is no longer a crime and people are not locked up or need to pay a fine for public intoxication why do we take such people to the Bridge? They are taken to the bridge by the police with no fine, jail time. I see this as a free ride for people who have no penalties getting drunk. Having an open container is a crime but drinking is not. Being the police are involved any way why can't such people be taken to jail and charged and given a fine to pay, I think this will tell people not to drink in public. When people need to pay a price for intoxication instead of a free ride and a place to sleep it off. No one is going to learn a lesson when they do not have to face consequences. The same people who go to the bridge get out within hours after they arrive. Take the intoxicated people to jail, give them a fine to pay. Apparently taking them to the bridge just so they can sober up is not the answer.
I took the photo below on Sunday, 6/3 at 8:10pm at the recycling drop-off site by Seacrest Field. But, I could have taken a similar photo any day of the week. BTW, I also happened to be at the recycling site by Union College yesterday, and it was a similar mess.

This has to be addressed NOW. This is not a "education issue for businesses or citizens", which I have previously heard from city officials. The city is not stepping up to hire more haulers to take away cardboard. I recycle a couple times a week on different days and it is EXTREMELY rare to not see cardboard stacked on the ground because there is no room in the bins.

The administration of Lincoln forced this on the populace. Ok, fine. So step up and make this work so we don't have this continued mess.
Darin Rich
1733 South 105th Street
Lincoln, NE 68520
402-489-1348
The LED street light in front of our home in the East Campus neighborhood is way, way too strong. When it was put in a little over a year ago, we were told by the LES installers that its strength could not be lowered. If the entire street has this magnitude of bulb in every lamp it will be unacceptably bright. The lampposts in the East Campus neighborhood are not suitable for strong, glaring lights. The posts are much shorter than other residential posts, and the globes radiate light uniformly —the beam cannot be re-directed (or directed, period).

I called LES to talk to someone there and was transferred to the street light problem line. I’ve already told LES about the street light problem (when the bulb was installed) and was told by the crew that nothing could be done about it. Please do not simply accept that LES will “work with the city if the new LED lights in residential areas turn out to be too bright or have too much glare” (as reported in today’s LJS). Does the city really plan to spend money to install new bulbs and then spend more money to change them? I doubt it.

If the LJS story today is accurate, I’m sorry the council had to spend time arguing about the theory of municipal financing instead of addressing an existing problem — a problem that could actually be addressed in a meaningful way.

Please don’t let this become an issue that individual residents have to battle with LES about. Please take action on behalf of your constituents.

In disappointment,

Claudia Lindley
1411 North 38th
Hello, all:

UPLNK has had no complaints regarding LED street lights to date. Street light concerns that have been received since UPLNK was launched in February of this year are run of the mill requests for replacement of burned out bulbs, malfunctioning electric eyes, damaged poles or wiring; nothing out of the ordinary. They have all been addressed in a timely fashion.

I will continue to monitor the topic to see if concerns do arise with regard to the new bulbs' brightness or coloration. If a trend of complaints on the LEDs begins to develop, I will let you know.

Respectfully submitted,

Lin Quenzer
Ombudsman, LGBT Liaison
Title VI/ADA Co-Coordinator
Office of the Mayor
City of Lincoln, Nebraska  68508
402.441.7511

“All acts of kindness are lights in the war for Justice”
~Joy Harjo

From: Miki M. Esposito
Sent: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 8:58 AM
To: Cyndi Lamm; Lin Quenzer; Angela M. Birkett
Cc: Bennie R. Shobe; joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com; Carl B. Eskridge; Roy A. Christensen; Leirion Gaylor Baird; Jane Raybould
Subject: RE: LED residential lights
Importance: High

Good morning Councilmembers,
I am referring your request to the City Ombudsman, Lin Quenzer who is the steward for UPLNK, the City’s reporting and service request system linked here:
https://lincoln.ne.gov/city/uplnk/index.htm
As the custodian of the UPLNK system, our Ombudsman can mine information and data associated with street lights on a regular basis to accommodate your request.

As to the original request by Ms. Lindley below, I will forward it on to Dan Pudenz with LES to see if we can get an adequate response to her.

Thank you,
Miki

Miki Esposito, Director
Public Works & Utilities
O: 402.441.6173
C: 402.525.0065

From: Cyndi Lamm
Sent: Tuesday, June 5, 2018 4:38 PM
To: Miki M. Esposito <MEsposito@lincoln.ne.gov>
Cc: Bennie R. Shobe <BShobe@lincoln.ne.gov>; joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com; Carl B. Eskridge <CEskridge@lincoln.ne.gov>; Roy A. Christensen <RChristensen@lincoln.ne.gov>; Leirion Gaylor Baird <LGaylorBaird@lincoln.ne.gov>; Jane Raybould <JRaybould@lincoln.ne.gov>
Subject: Fw: LED residential lights

Miki,
Council members received this email today regarding LED lighting and a complaint already made. Could you please provide us with complaints made to the "street light problem line" or to the city's general problem reporting line and keep us updated with a list of complaints received as the new LEDs begin to be installed?

Thank you,

Cyndi Lamm
Lincoln City Council
District 1/NE Lincoln
clamm@lincoln.ne.gov
402.432.9770
follow me on facebook at cyndiforthecity

From: crl1030@gmail.com <crl1030@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 5, 2018 11:34 AM
To: Cyndi Lamm; ceskridge@leg.ne.gov; Bennie R. Shobe; Leirion Gaylor Baird; jraybould@lincoln.ne.gov; Jon Camp; Roy A. Christensen
Cc: Jon D. Carlson; Rick D. Hoppe
Subject: LED residential lights
The LED street light in front of our home in the East Campus neighborhood is way, way too strong. When it was put in a little over a year ago, we were told by the LES installers that its strength could not be lowered. If the entire street has this magnitude of bulb in every lamp it will be unacceptably bright. The lampposts in the East Campus neighborhood are not suitable for strong, glaring lights. The posts are much shorter than other residential posts, and the globes radiate light uniformly — the beam cannot be re-directed (or directed, period).

I called LES to talk to someone there and was transferred to the street light problem line. I’ve already told LES about the street light problem (when the bulb was installed) and was told by the crew that nothing could be done about it. Please do not simply accept that LES will “work with the city if the new LED lights in residential areas turn out to be too bright or have too much glare” (as reported in today’s LJS). Does the city really plan to spend money to install new bulbs and then spend more money to change them? I doubt it.

If the LJS story today is accurate, I’m sorry the council had to spend time arguing about the theory of municipal financing instead of addressing an existing problem — a problem that could actually be addressed in a meaningful way.

Please don’t let this become an issue that individual residents have to battle with LES about. Please take action on behalf of your constituents.

In disappointment,

Claudia Lindley
1411 North 38th
I find it interesting that complaints are being made that the LED lights are too bright.

A bigger complaint will come in the winter when the LED lights are not hot enough to melt the snow. One direction will have no idea when they can go. Has this problem been solved? Can the red lights not be replaced. Or has technology addressed and solved this problem by now?

I lived in St. Louis for 17 years and the Quad Cities for 11 years. I don’t remember which was replacing their lights with LED, but this was a serious problem. And city workers are not going to go out into the blustery wind conditions to clear the snow from the lights.

Janice Jones
6706 S 91st St.

PS I’m glad you are our representative
Janice:

Thank you for your email on LED lights and the snow factor, as it pertains to traffic lights.

Your email will be forwarded to our Public Works Department for its review and response (Miki Esposito, Public Works Director). With heavy winds during the winter months, this could be a serious safety feature. Lincoln has changed to LED traffic lights in recent years and I believe all traffic lights are now 100% LED.

Thanks, too, for your final comment!

Best regards,

Jon

JON A. CAMP
Lincoln City Council
200 Haymarket Square
808 P Street
P.O. Box 82307
Lincoln, NE  68501-2307

Office:       402.474.1838/402.474.1812
Fax:            402.474.1838
Cell:            402.560.1001

Email:     joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com

---

From:  Janice Jones <janice0427@gmail.com>
Sent:  Tuesday, June 05, 2018 11:13 AM
To:    Jon Camp
Subject:  LED lights

I find it interesting that complaints are being made that the LED lights are too bright.

A bigger complaint will come in the winter when the LED lights are not hot enough to melt the snow. One direction will have no idea when they can go. Has this problem been solved? Can the red lights not be replaced. Or has technology addressed and solved this problem by now?
I lived in St. Louis for 17 years and the Quad Cities for 11 years. I don’t remember which was replacing their lights with LED, but this was a serious problem. And city workers are not going to go out into the blustery wind conditions to clear the snow from the lights.

Janice Jones
6706 S 91st St.

PS I’m glad you are our representative
City Council - Contact

Date: 6/5/2018 2:01:23 PM

**name**  Natalie Rader
**address**  14430 Eastbourne St
**city**  Lincoln
**state**  NE
**zip**  68462
**email**  natalie.rader@yahoo.com

**comments**  Have you REALLY thought about the effects these LED lights will have on drivers? I have glaucoma in my left eye and before you tell me not to drive I am cleared for driving by my DR - HOWEVER with these LED lights you may be taking that right away from me as LED lights are VERY hard to drive in at night they cause too much glare and can actually play tricks on my eyes. NOPE all you thought about was the money...well what about me being able to make a living?? I need to be able to drive to & from work and sadly that doesn't always fall within normal daylight hours. Not to mention if there is an emergency or something I need to do after dark, STOP being SELFISH and actually take people and our well being into consideration. Try reading some comments on Facebook you have 0 good comments on this decision. LED lights are TERRIBLE for people's eyes - the headlights are bad enough we don't need street lights too. Also the glare they will cause into people's homes from the streets - come on now! WAKE UP AND THINK ABOUT US THE PEOPLE WHO PAY YOUR WAGES!!!!!
Renovation Plan

Hall of Justice
Security Renovations
June 2018
Exterior Elevation
Entrance Plaza Option 3

- ADA accessibility at Entry
- Building Signage/ Wayfinding Signage
- Enhance Exterior Environment
- Relocation of “Young Lincoln”
- Integrate Force Protection
Hall of Justice Security Renovations
Preliminary Project Cost for this project is $480,000 - $510,000

East Entry Plaza
Preliminary Project Cost for this project is $190,000 - $220,000

Preliminary Probable Costs