IN LIEU OF
DIRECTORS’ MEETING
Monday, February 26, 2018

I. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

FINANCE DEPARTMENT
1. Sales Tax Report reflecting December, 2017 sales

TREASURER
1. Cash Letter for the month of January, 2018

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1. Action dated February 14, 2018
2. Final Action dated February 14, 2018
3. Annexation Map No. 17007
4. Annexation Map No. 17019

II. CONSTITUENT CORRESPONDENCE
1. Nomination for the Plumbing Examination Board, opposition - Justin Kreifels
2. Proposed amendment cooking recirculating systems - Matthew Burt, Phat Jacks BBQ
3. Nomination for the Plumbing Examination Board, opposition - Dustin Thomas
4. LED Street lights - Mary Borakove
5. Royal Grove Parking lot, zoning issues & liquor license - Carrie Mardock
6. Proposed ban of bump stocks, opposition - Zachary Yost
7. Proposed ban of bump stocks - Doris Pleskac
8. Proposed ban of bump stocks - Carolyn Anderson Bentz
9. Plumbing Board Nomination, opposition - Justin Kreifels
10. Plumbing Board Nomination, opposition - Dusty Thoms
11. Plumbing Board Nomination, opposition - Terry Kreifels
12. West “A” Street Roads - Mark Antonson

III. MEETINGS/INVITATIONS
See invitation list.

IV. ADJOURNMENT
Here is the February 2018 Sales Tax Report reflecting December sales. The amount of the ¼ cent sales tax for public safety projects in February is $1,243,187.15.
## Actual Compared to Projected Sales Tax Collections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>2017-18 PROJECTED</th>
<th>2017-18 ACTUAL</th>
<th>FROM PROJECTED</th>
<th>$ CHANGE</th>
<th>% CHANGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>$6,241,848</td>
<td>$6,288,498</td>
<td>$46,650</td>
<td>$239,946</td>
<td>3.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>$6,515,645</td>
<td>$6,780,531</td>
<td>$264,886</td>
<td>$213,486</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>$6,482,909</td>
<td>$6,536,831</td>
<td>$53,922</td>
<td>$146,570</td>
<td>2.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>$6,202,974</td>
<td>$6,324,661</td>
<td>$121,687</td>
<td>$275,454</td>
<td>4.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>$6,197,601</td>
<td>$6,052,437</td>
<td>($145,164)</td>
<td>$61,424</td>
<td>1.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>$7,579,660</td>
<td>$7,458,413</td>
<td>($121,247)</td>
<td>$255,238</td>
<td>3.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>$5,939,416</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>$5,770,028</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>$6,890,278</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>$6,451,042</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>$6,419,310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>$6,836,893</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$77,527,604</strong></td>
<td><strong>$39,441,371</strong></td>
<td><strong>$220,734</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,192,118</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.12%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Actual collections for the fiscal year to date are 0.6% over projections for the year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>ACTUAL 2013-14</th>
<th>ACTUAL 2014-15</th>
<th>ACTUAL 2015-16</th>
<th>% CHG. FR. PRIOR YEAR</th>
<th>ACTUAL 2016-17</th>
<th>% CHG. FR. PRIOR YEAR</th>
<th>ACTUAL 2017-18</th>
<th>% CHG. FR. PRIOR YEAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>$5,431,071</td>
<td>$5,741,404</td>
<td>$6,041,963</td>
<td>5.23%</td>
<td>$6,265,764</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
<td>$6,386,734</td>
<td>1.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>$5,740,406</td>
<td>$5,848,947</td>
<td>$6,089,519</td>
<td>4.11%</td>
<td>$6,598,756</td>
<td>8.36%</td>
<td>$6,811,452</td>
<td>3.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>$5,729,609</td>
<td>$5,873,441</td>
<td>$6,266,119</td>
<td>6.69%</td>
<td>$6,471,721</td>
<td>3.28%</td>
<td>$6,537,754</td>
<td>1.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>$5,401,140</td>
<td>$5,737,783</td>
<td>$5,876,792</td>
<td>2.42%</td>
<td>$6,128,386</td>
<td>4.28%</td>
<td>$6,371,026</td>
<td>3.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>$5,562,529</td>
<td>$5,525,231</td>
<td>$5,651,337</td>
<td>2.28%</td>
<td>$6,285,444</td>
<td>11.22%</td>
<td>$6,432,363</td>
<td>2.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>$6,570,418</td>
<td>$6,802,647</td>
<td>$7,137,154</td>
<td>4.92%</td>
<td>$7,293,928</td>
<td>2.20%</td>
<td>$7,459,132</td>
<td>2.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>$5,304,048</td>
<td>$5,396,268</td>
<td>$5,392,157</td>
<td>-0.08%</td>
<td>$5,521,761</td>
<td>2.40%</td>
<td>$5,521,761</td>
<td>2.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>$5,214,537</td>
<td>$5,188,877</td>
<td>$5,426,539</td>
<td>4.58%</td>
<td>$5,639,028</td>
<td>3.92%</td>
<td>$5,639,028</td>
<td>3.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>$5,642,139</td>
<td>$6,348,190</td>
<td>$6,494,521</td>
<td>2.31%</td>
<td>$6,708,815</td>
<td>3.30%</td>
<td>$6,708,815</td>
<td>3.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>$5,635,827</td>
<td>$5,728,421</td>
<td>$6,030,654</td>
<td>5.28%</td>
<td>$6,255,952</td>
<td>3.74%</td>
<td>$6,255,952</td>
<td>3.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>$5,654,660</td>
<td>$5,841,882</td>
<td>$6,000,464</td>
<td>2.71%</td>
<td>$6,440,709</td>
<td>7.34%</td>
<td>$6,440,709</td>
<td>7.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>$5,921,577</td>
<td>$6,196,574</td>
<td>$6,657,168</td>
<td>7.43%</td>
<td>$6,736,493</td>
<td>1.19%</td>
<td>$6,736,493</td>
<td>1.19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$67,807,961</td>
<td>$70,229,665</td>
<td>$73,064,387</td>
<td>4.04%</td>
<td>$76,346,757</td>
<td>4.49%</td>
<td>$39,998,461</td>
<td>2.44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## CITY OF LINCOLN
### SALES TAX REFUNDS
#### 2013-2014 THROUGH 2017-2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>($80,176)</td>
<td>($44,232)</td>
<td>($105,779)</td>
<td>139.15%</td>
<td>($217,212)</td>
<td>($98,235)</td>
<td>-54.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>($96,046)</td>
<td>($191,059)</td>
<td>($94,343)</td>
<td>-50.62%</td>
<td>($31,712)</td>
<td>($30,920)</td>
<td>-2.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>($15,001)</td>
<td>($151,968)</td>
<td>($83,553)</td>
<td>-45.02%</td>
<td>($81,460)</td>
<td>($923)</td>
<td>-98.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>($18,536)</td>
<td>($23,916)</td>
<td>($43,624)</td>
<td>82.41%</td>
<td>($79,179)</td>
<td>($46,365)</td>
<td>-41.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>($603,295)</td>
<td>($277,201)</td>
<td>($98,310)</td>
<td>-64.53%</td>
<td>($294,431)</td>
<td>($379,926)</td>
<td>29.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>($58,173)</td>
<td>($381,405)</td>
<td>($276,479)</td>
<td>-27.51%</td>
<td>($90,752)</td>
<td>($719)</td>
<td>-99.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>($169,963)</td>
<td>($69,314)</td>
<td>($39,620)</td>
<td>-42.84%</td>
<td>($92,105)</td>
<td>($49,445)</td>
<td>-46.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>($81,416)</td>
<td>($79,747)</td>
<td>($75,796)</td>
<td>-4.95%</td>
<td>($29,707)</td>
<td>($719)</td>
<td>-99.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>($43,775)</td>
<td>($72,554)</td>
<td>($105,297)</td>
<td>45.13%</td>
<td>($67,726)</td>
<td>($46,365)</td>
<td>-41.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>($81,809)</td>
<td>($26,219)</td>
<td>($152,053)</td>
<td>479.93%</td>
<td>($83,394)</td>
<td>($17,202)</td>
<td>-94.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>($116,801)</td>
<td>($40,332)</td>
<td>($55,289)</td>
<td>37.08%</td>
<td>($1,932)</td>
<td>($46,365)</td>
<td>-41.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>($49,577)</td>
<td>($10,119)</td>
<td>($312,528)</td>
<td>2988.53%</td>
<td>($17,202)</td>
<td>($606,533)</td>
<td>-31.61%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL** | ($1,414,568)      | ($1,368,066)     | ($1,442,671)     | 5.45%                  | ($1,086,812)     | ($606,533)       | -31.61%                |

*Year to date vs. previous year*
## CITY OF LINCOLN
### NET SALES TAX COLLECTIONS
#### 2013-2014 THROUGH 2017-2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Actual 2013-14</th>
<th>Actual 2014-15</th>
<th>Actual 2015-16</th>
<th>% CHG. FROM PR. YEAR</th>
<th>Actual 2016-17</th>
<th>% CHG. FROM PR. YEAR</th>
<th>Actual 2017-18</th>
<th>% CHG. FROM PRIOR YEAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>$5,350,895</td>
<td>$5,697,172</td>
<td>$5,936,184</td>
<td>4.20%</td>
<td>$6,048,552</td>
<td>1.89%</td>
<td>$6,288,498</td>
<td>3.97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>$5,644,359</td>
<td>$5,657,888</td>
<td>$5,995,177</td>
<td>5.96%</td>
<td>$6,567,045</td>
<td>9.54%</td>
<td>$6,780,531</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>$5,714,609</td>
<td>$5,721,474</td>
<td>$6,182,565</td>
<td>8.06%</td>
<td>$6,390,261</td>
<td>3.36%</td>
<td>$6,536,831</td>
<td>2.29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>$5,382,604</td>
<td>$5,713,868</td>
<td>$5,833,168</td>
<td>2.09%</td>
<td>$6,049,207</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
<td>$6,324,661</td>
<td>4.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>$4,959,233</td>
<td>$5,248,031</td>
<td>$5,553,027</td>
<td>5.81%</td>
<td>$5,991,013</td>
<td>7.89%</td>
<td>$6,052,437</td>
<td>1.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>$6,512,245</td>
<td>$6,421,242</td>
<td>$6,860,675</td>
<td>6.84%</td>
<td>$7,203,175</td>
<td>4.99%</td>
<td>$7,458,413</td>
<td>3.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>$5,134,084</td>
<td>$5,326,954</td>
<td>$5,352,537</td>
<td>0.48%</td>
<td>$5,429,656</td>
<td>1.44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>$5,133,122</td>
<td>$5,109,130</td>
<td>$5,350,744</td>
<td>4.73%</td>
<td>$5,609,320</td>
<td>4.83%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>$5,598,363</td>
<td>$6,275,635</td>
<td>$6,389,224</td>
<td>1.81%</td>
<td>$6,641,089</td>
<td>3.94%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>$5,554,017</td>
<td>$5,702,202</td>
<td>$5,878,601</td>
<td>3.09%</td>
<td>$6,172,558</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>$5,537,859</td>
<td>$5,801,550</td>
<td>$5,945,175</td>
<td>2.48%</td>
<td>$6,438,777</td>
<td>8.30%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>$5,872,000</td>
<td>$6,186,455</td>
<td>$6,344,640</td>
<td>2.56%</td>
<td>$6,719,292</td>
<td>5.91%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$66,393,390</td>
<td>$68,861,601</td>
<td>$71,621,717</td>
<td>4.01%</td>
<td>$75,259,945</td>
<td>5.08%</td>
<td>$39,441,371</td>
<td>3.12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OFFICE OF TREASURER, CITY OF LINCOLN, NEBRASKA

JANUARY 31, 2018

TO: MAYOR CHRIS BEUTLER & CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS
FROM: FINANCE DEPARTMENT / CITY TREASURER

SUBJECT: MONTHLY CITY CASH REPORT

The records of this office show me to be charged with City cash as follows at the close of business January 31, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance Forward</td>
<td>$323,821,068.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus Total Debits January 1-31, 2018</td>
<td>$42,028,702.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Total Credits January 1-31, 2018</td>
<td>$30,125,164.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash Balance on January 31, 2018</strong></td>
<td><strong>$335,724,597.20</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I desire to report that such City cash was held by me as follows which I will deem satisfactory unless advised and further directed in the matter by you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U. S. Bank Nebraska, N.A.</td>
<td>$1,605,629.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank</td>
<td>$(92,452.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank Credit Card Account</td>
<td>$(183,925.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornhusker Bank</td>
<td>$19,336.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinnacle Bank</td>
<td>$16,082.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Bank &amp; Trust Company</td>
<td>$73,893.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Gate Bank</td>
<td>$(403.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle Funds - Short-Term Pool</td>
<td>$84,554,806.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle Funds - Medium-Term Pool</td>
<td>$249,253,782.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash, Checks and Warrants</td>
<td>$477,849.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cash on Hand January 31, 2018</strong></td>
<td><strong>$335,724,597.20</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The negative bank balances shown above do not represent the City as overdrawn in these bank accounts. In order to maximize interest earned on all City funds, deposits have been invested prior to the Departments' notification to the City Treasurer's office of these deposits; therefore, these deposits are not recorded in the City Treasurer's bank account balances at month end.

I also hold as City Treasurer, securities in the amount of $25,067,608.48 representing authorized investments of the City's funds.

ATTEST:

[Signature]

Melinda J. Jones, City Treasurer

[Signature]

Teresa Meier, City Clerk
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CUSIP</th>
<th>MATURITY DATE</th>
<th>CURRENT PAR</th>
<th>MARKET VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3128P7JK0</td>
<td>03/01/2028</td>
<td>$164,353.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3130A5X1</td>
<td>08/22/2018</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31371KW0</td>
<td>12/01/2032</td>
<td>$266,346.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNMATM</td>
<td>01/01/2018</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31377MP0</td>
<td>04/15/2019</td>
<td>$272,687.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3138DOC3</td>
<td>12/01/2018</td>
<td>$244,985.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBAP 1968-8</td>
<td>03/10/2020</td>
<td>$127,189.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBAP 1968-8</td>
<td>11/11/2021</td>
<td>$65,901.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PLEDGED</td>
<td>5/1/2022</td>
<td>$6,101,871.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31333ELZ1</td>
<td>3/09/2018</td>
<td>$2,832,455.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORNHUSKER BANK</td>
<td>11/11/2021</td>
<td>$456,971.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3138AF1W3</td>
<td>08/01/2028</td>
<td>$640,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNLMDP</td>
<td>12/10/2023</td>
<td>$750,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PLEDGED</td>
<td>03/29/2022</td>
<td>$7,177,480.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313330FB8</td>
<td>8/16/2019</td>
<td>$803,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNION BANK AND TRUST</td>
<td>08/28/2019</td>
<td>$802,264.49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313378CN2</td>
<td>08/01/2021</td>
<td>$750,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNBLCN</td>
<td>10/12/2023</td>
<td>$750,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PLEDGED</td>
<td>11/11/2021</td>
<td>$7,633,112.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313378CB3</td>
<td>9/10/2024</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PINNACLE BANK</td>
<td>10/12/2024</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313378CA3</td>
<td>10/12/2024</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PLEDGED</td>
<td>09/11/2024</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMCACODPC</td>
<td>3/01/2018</td>
<td>$908.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMCACODPC</td>
<td>3/01/2018</td>
<td>$908.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMCACODPC</td>
<td>3/01/2018</td>
<td>$908.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMCAMFS</td>
<td>3/01/2018</td>
<td>$168,592.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMCAMFS</td>
<td>3/01/2018</td>
<td>$168,592.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WELLS FARGO</td>
<td>3/01/2018</td>
<td>$168,408.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WELLS FARGO</td>
<td>3/01/2018</td>
<td>$168,408.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL PLEDGED</td>
<td>3/01/2018</td>
<td>$370,408.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CITY OF LINCOLN - PLEDGED COLLATERAL STATEMENT AS OF JANUARY 31, 2018
**ACTION BY PLANNING COMMISSION**

NOTICE: The Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission will hold a public hearing on Wednesday, February 14, 2018, at 1:00 p.m. in Hearing Room 112 on the first floor of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St., Lincoln, Nebraska. For more information, call the Planning Department, (402) 441-7491.

**PLEASE NOTE:** The Planning Commission action is final action on any item with a notation of “FINAL ACTION”. Any aggrieved person may appeal Final Action of the Planning Commission to the City Council or County Board by filing a Notice of Appeal with the City Clerk or County Clerk within 14 days following the action of the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission action on all other items is a recommendation to the City Council or County Board.

AGENDA

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 14, 2018

[Commissioner Scheer absent]

Approval of minutes of the regular meeting held January 30, 2018. **APPROVED: 7-0; (Corr abstained; Scheer absent)**

1. CONSENT AGENDA

   (Public Hearing and Administrative Action):

   COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CONFORMANCE AND RELATED ITEMS:

   1.1 Comprehensive Plan Conformance No. 18001, to review as to conformance with the 2040 Lincoln Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan, a request to declare City owned property as surplus, on property generally located at 1233 Garden Valley Road.

   Staff recommendation: Conformance to the Comprehensive Plan
   Staff Planner: Rachel Jones, 402-441-7603, rjones@lincoln.ne.gov
   Planning Commission recommendation: Conforms to the Comprehensive Plan: 7-0 (Beckius and Scheer absent). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for Monday, March 5, 2018, 3:00 p.m.
1.2a Comprehensive Plan Conformance No. 18002, to review as to conformance with the 2040 Lincoln Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan, a request to declare City owned property as surplus, on property generally located at the SE corner of NW 48th Street and West Adams Street.

Staff recommendation: Conformance to the Comprehensive Plan
Staff Planner: Rachel Jones, 402-441-7603, rjones@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: Conforms to the Comprehensive Plan: 7-0 (Beckius and Scheer absent). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for Monday, March 5, 2018, 3:00 p.m.

1.2b Change of Zone No. 18002, from I-2 (Industrial Park District) to B-1 (Local Business District), on property generally located at the SE corner of NW 48th Street and West Adams Street.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Rachel Jones, 402-441-7603, rjones@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL; 7-0 (Beckius and Scheer absent). Public hearing before the City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, March 5, 2018, 3:00 p.m.

1.3 Comprehensive Plan Conformance No. 18003, to review as to conformance with the 2040 Lincoln-Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan, a proposed amendment to the Antelope Valley Redevelopment Plan, that adds the "Viet Hao Redevelopment Project". The redevelopment project site is approximately 16,600 square feet and includes the rehabilitation of the 2365 O Street building and the demolition and construction of a new building at 2373 O Street for new retail and office space.

Staff recommendation: Conformance to the Comprehensive Plan
Staff Planner: George Wesselhoft, 402-441-6366, gwesselhoft@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: Conforms to the Comprehensive Plan: 7-0 (Beckius and Scheer absent). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for Monday, March 12, 2018, 3:00 p.m.

1.4 Comprehensive Plan Conformance No. 18004, to review as to conformance with the 2040 Lincoln Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan, a request to declare City owned property as surplus, on property generally located at 820 South 27th Street.

Staff recommendation: Conformance to the Comprehensive Plan
Staff Planner: George Wesselhoft, 402-441-6366, gwesselhoft@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: Conforms to the Comprehensive Plan: 7-0 (Beckius declared a conflict of interest; Scheer absent). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for Monday, March 5, 2018, 3:00 p.m.
PERMITS:

1.5 Special Permit No. 18001, to allow a daycare center for up to 100 children on property generally located at 7700 A Street. **FINAL ACTION**

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: George Wesselhoft, 402-441-6366, gwesselhoft@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission ‘final action’: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set for in the staff report dated February 1, 2018: 7-0 (Beckius and Scheer absent). Resolution No. PC-01589.

REQUESTS FOR DEFERRAL - See Item No. 4.3.

ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA - None.

PUBLIC HEARING AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION:

TEXT AMENDMENT:

4.1 Text Amendment No. 18002, to amend the Lincoln Municipal Code Section 27.72.110 Exceptions to the Height Requirements, in all zoning districts where private schools are allowed, whereby private school buildings may exceed the district height limit of 35 feet; and repealing Section 27.72.110 of the Lincoln Municipal Code as hitherto existing.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Dessie Redmond, 402-441-6373, dredmond@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL; 8-0 (Scheer declared a conflict of interest and absent). Public hearing before the City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, March 5, 2018, 3:00 p.m.

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CONFORMANCE AND RELATED ITEMS:

4.2a Comprehensive Plan Conformance No. 18005, to review as to conformance with the 2040 Lincoln Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan, an amendment to the West O Street Redevelopment Plan, to create the Lincoln Sports Facility Redevelopment Project which includes five underdeveloped parcels of land within the West O Street Redevelopment Area, located at 150 SW. 14th Place. The West O Redevelopment Plan area is generally bounded by West P Street, the first set of railroad tracks south of West O Street, 9th Street and NW/SW 70th Street.

Staff recommendation: Conformance to the Comprehensive Plan
Staff Planner: Dessie Redmond, 402-441-6373, dredmond@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: Conforms to the Comprehensive Plan: 8-0 (Scheer absent). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for Monday, March 12, 2018, 3:00 p.m.
4.2b Change of Zone No. 18001, from H-3 (Highway Commercial District) and I-1 (Industrial District) to H-4 (General Commercial District), on property generally located at 150 SW. 14th Place.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Dessie Redmond, 402-441-6373, dredmond@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL; 8-0 (Scheer absent). Public hearing before the City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, March 12, 2018, 3:00 p.m.

4.2c Special Permit No. 18003, for the development of an indoor sports facility of approximately 78,500 square feet and additional commercial space, more or less, with waivers to parking and reduced setbacks, on property generally located at 150 SW. 14th Place.

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Dessie Redmond, 402-441-6373, dredmond@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL; 8-0 (Scheer absent). Public hearing before the City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, March 12, 2018, 3:00 p.m.

PLATS:

4.3 Preliminary Plat No. 18001, to add two commercial lots, on property generally located at 10200 South 158th Street. **FINAL ACTION**

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Tom Cajka, 402-441-5662, tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission granted the applicant’s request for a 4-week deferral, with PUBLIC HEARING AND ACTION scheduled for March 14, 2018.

AT THIS TIME, ANYONE WISHING TO SPEAK ON AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA, MAY DO SO

* * * * * * * * * *

Adjournment: 2:10 p.m.
PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION NOTIFICATION

TO: Mayor Chris Beutler
    Lincoln City Council

FROM: Geri Rorabaugh, Planning

DATE: February 14, 2018

RE: Notice of final action by Planning Commission: February 14, 2018

Please be advised that on February 14, 2018, the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission adopted the following resolution:

Resolution No. PC-01589, approving SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 18001, requested by Dimensions Educational Research Foundation, to allow a daycare center for up to 100 children, on property legally described as Lot 30, Block 14, Wedgewood Manor First Addition, located in the SE 1/4 of Section 27-10-7, Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska, generally located at 7700 A Street.

The Planning Commission action on this application is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a notice of appeal with the City Clerk within 14 days of the action by the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission Resolution may be accessed on the internet at www.lincoln.ne.gov (Keyword = PATS). Use the “Search Selection” screen and search by application number (i.e. SP18001). The Resolution and Planning Department staff report are in the “Related Documents” under the application number.

F:\devreview\final action notices\cc\2018\021418
Annexation by Ordinance
N 105th St & O St
Effective: February 27, 2018

Area of Annexation
Ownership Parcels
City Limits Before Annexation
Annexation by Ordinance
S 91st St & Van Dorn St
Effective: February 27, 2018
City Council - Contact

Date: 2/16/2018 3:47:33 PM

name            Justin Kreifels
address         7524 Whitestone Dr
city            Lincoln
state           NE
zip             68506
email           justink@actionlincoln.com

comments: I want to reach out to the city council to voice my opposition to the Mayor’s recent nomination for the Plumbing Examination Board. As a plumbing business owner here in Lincoln it concerns me when our Mayor nominates an individual who's company does not hold an office within our own city. I ask that the council refer to Chief Plumbing Inspector Rex Crawford and hear his recommendation for nominations and question why the mayor did not believe those persons were fit for this position. The integrity and political independence of our licensing system is vital to the health of our local industry. We must protect our plumbing exam board from biased members with special interests that may in turn damage its reputation. For the mayor to forgo the recommendation of a reputable city official like Rex Crawford and nominate an individual of that the local industry and the Plumbing, Heating & Cooling Contractors Association (PHCC) as a whole opposes is a sad example of overreach and a power play that corrupts a previously fair and balanced licensing exam system.
Dear Lincoln City Council Members,

We recently purchased an Auto-fryer, it was intended for use as Lent was quickly approaching and fish and seafood were items we wanted to begin offering as well as having French fries and appetizers. Before deciding on the Auto-fryer we considered other options for frying but we made this choice mostly because our restaurant works with a company (Mosaic) that employs the mentally challenged, these people work at our restaurant learning different trades in the food industry from dishwashing to serving lunches, and food prep. We felt it was a safe way to do fried items and not have an opening of hot oil that someone could get burnt with.

We made a phone call to building and safety to clarify to legality of this fryer in Lincoln and was told that it would be fine and meant all building and safety codes, with that in mind we purchased it.

Approximately a week and a half after we begin using it the fire department showed up to do our fire inspection, at the time we were told that the Auto-fryer was not to code and should not be used as if there were to be a fire our insurance would not pay out on it because of this. He proceeded to tell us that there was a motion in the City Council regarding making these fryers code safe for use and that they should be voting soon on this.

We feel as if we are in limbo as we have a large expensive piece of restaurant equipment that we are unable to make work for us and the city of Lincoln as well. This would expand our sales and in doing so would also create more sales tax.

We are asking each and every member to vote yes on February 26th when this comes up for a vote, we would also like to offer our assistance in anyway possible that will help you understand the safety of this fryer.

Yours Truly,

Matthew Burt
Phat Jacks BBQ
402-464-7428
City Council - Contact

Date: 2/19/2018 8:21:00 AM

**name**: Dustin Thoms

**address**: 8200 skybright rd

**city**: Lincoln

**state**: NE

**zip**: 68517

**email**: dustythoms@gmail.com

**comments**: Doug Biggerstaff was recently removed from the Examining Board for the Plumbing Tests. I was curious how we can nominate someone who works for a company that does not even have a business in the city of Lincoln. There were recommendations that were given to the mayor from our chief Inspector that were ignored. I would love to hear how someone with an Omaha based shop is looking out for Lincoln's best interest.
I read an article in the Journal Star that talked about the plan for Lincoln to change over to LED street lights.

I have also read a related article in a Discover magazine. It explored the issues that a 'green/environmentally' conscious town (Davis, California that is the home of UC Davis and is very progressive) had faced after switching over to LED lights. While LED street lights were an "upgrade", both cost-wise and environmental impact-wise, they didn't make the residents happy. Why? They were too bright! And having gone to college at the University of California at Davis, I can attest to the fact that the demographic is decidedly pro-environment. For them to eschew environmentally conscious choices must have only been because the lighting was dramatically different. The city ended up having to pull out all the LED street lighting they had installed and re-install the original type of lighting. To do so cost the city a lot of money, and the city regretted the decision.

CNN had another article about the same issue: [www.cnn.com/2016/06/21/health/led-streetlights-ama/](http://www.cnn.com/2016/06/21/health/led-streetlights-ama/). They discuss the pitfalls of choosing the LED "gimme" in the cost/energy saving lighting arena. CNN discusses how the American Medical Association is advocating using "cooler" LED's. As the AMA said in their June 14, 2017 release: "Recognizing the detrimental effects of poorly-designed, high-intensity LED lighting, the AMA encourages communities to minimize and control blue-rich environmental lighting by using the lowest emission of blue light possible to reduce glare. The AMA recommends an intensity threshold for optimal LED lighting that minimizes blue-rich light. The AMA also recommends all LED lighting should be properly shielded to minimize glare and detrimental human health and environmental effects, and consideration should be given to utilize the ability of LED lighting to be dimmed for off-peak time periods."

I understand the progressive view that our Mayor and Council Members have regarding upgrading the street lights. Having seen LED lights go into the failed regular street lights in my neighborhood, I realize they are too bright for residential neighborhoods. I do acknowledge their value on arterial streets such as O Street and other such streets.

Our neighborhood: Eastridge, Piedmont and Taylor Meadows at 70th and A Street area could mobilize and
request that we do not have the LED lights put into our residential street lights. That is not to say putting them into the boundary arterial streets would be bad--i.e. 56th St, 70th St and A St.

What do you think of putting this nuanced approach to LED's replacements of street lights forward within our whole community within Lincoln?

Thank you,

Mary Borakove
We are reaching out to you to explain in detail the zoning issue with the parking lot of The Royal Grove, which is posing a significant threat to our business by preventing us from being approved for a liquor license.

In November of 2017, as we considered whether to purchase or lease the building & land at 340 West Cornhusker in Lincoln, we used both the Development Viewer and GIS Viewer available on the Lincoln Planning Department website to gather information on the property. Both sites led us to the property data sheet, which clearly states the legal description of the property/parcel as “MIDWAY, BLOCK 7, Lot 10-38, EX TRI IN NE CORNER BEING 25’ ON N & 25’ ON E & vac ALLEY ADJ LOTS 12-37.” The document also clearly states the Property Class as being Commercial Improved, with primary use Retail. The zoning for the entire property/parcel is listed as H3 – Highway Commercial.

On the GIS Viewer there is a zoning map which shows the north half of the property/parcel, i.e. the parking lot of the club, as zoned R2 – Residential. However, when you click on the property/parcel for more information, the same data sheet/appraisal card comes up which lists all the lots on the property as being zoned H3. The data we gathered seemed to conflict itself, but our conclusion was that the appraisal card/property data sheet and legal description of the property carried more weight, or had a higher probability of being accurate, than a map. Given the 40 years of precedence of this part of the property being used as a parking lot for the club, and the legal description which clearly showed all lots on the property/parcel zoned as H3, this did not raise a red flag with us. We had no reason to assume the information regarding the zoning we found on the property Appraisal Card which we retrieved from the Planning Department’s site would be incorrect or inaccurate.

We now understand that the information we accessed is incorrect and that this isn’t necessarily an uncommon issue – according to staff at the Planning Department, inaccurate information on the sites commonly causes issues. Wednesday of last week we submitted our application for a change of zone for the parking lot to the Planning Department. Our understanding is that the change of zone process can take up to 10-12 weeks.

The issue this causes our business is a very damaging delay in the issuance of our retail liquor license, which coincides with another, separate issue we have encountered which involves the wording of the Liquor Control Commission's rules and regulations.

The Royal Grove has been holding weekend events up ‘til this point using SDL’s, i.e. catering those events using the liquor license of another bar we operate in Nebraska City, Nebraska. The LCC rule regarding SDLs states: "Applications for Special Designated Licenses may be denied if the proposed location could otherwise be granted a regular license and if such regular license best serves the purposes of the Liquor Control Act. To help accomplish this policy any location that has received twelve (12) Special Designated Licenses in one calendar year shall have any further applications set for hearing to make a determination whether additional licenses are justified prior to the issuance of the requested Special Designated License."
What this means is there is a limit of twelve (12) SDLs at any location. The way the city attorney interpreted this – as you heard her say during the last council meeting – is twelve (12) licenses, which can be up to six (6) consecutive days long. This is also how we and our legal counsel interpreted the rules & regulations. Furthermore, with each SDL we have been issued we receive one physical license/paper and one license number with multiple dates listed. However, we have learned that that is not the case. The LCC has informed us that the limit they enforce is actually twelve (12) calendar days, not twelve (12) SDLs. The language in their rules and regulations does not once ever mention twelve (12) calendar days.

We had reasonably planned – again, as even the city attorney determined - that we could bridge the gap before receiving our retail liquor license with SDLs, but that is now not the case. We are now facing up to a three (3) month gap where we will be forced to close our doors, cancel all upcoming events, release all our staff, etc. This puts the future of the business in serious jeopardy, as it is terrible for our brand, damages our relationship & trust with agents, promoters, bands both locally & nationally, and puts a stop to any cash flow for up to 3 months. The situation is an absolute nightmare for any business owner. We feel it is an issue which has nothing to do with us as operators (we have no marks against us as alcohol managers, and have an excellent safety record with our other bars and venues), and one we could not foresee because we received and relied upon inaccurate information.

We have such exciting plans for the new Royal Grove, a historic venue which is the largest club in Lincoln and one that was once considered among the best venues in the Midwest. We believe the new Royal Grove will contribute a powerful economic anchor to the West Lincoln neighborhood as well as return as an important cultural and nightlife institution contributing to Lincoln's great quality of life. Our initial grand opening events have been very successful and shown that there is a lot of excitement in Lincoln for this historic club to reopen. We are very concerned that the brand and business will not be able to survive a three month shut-down – it’s incredibly damaging to the business.

We are requesting the City Council to reconsider this issue and conditionally approve our retail liquor license against the recommendation of the Planning Department. With the process to change the zone of the parking lot already underway, we are requesting members who voted to deny the license to re-introduce the issue at the next Council meeting so this business does not have to close down for three (3) months waiting on this zone change. We are asking for your support in this and would also love to opportunity chat further with you about the issue if you are available.

Thank you so much for taking the time to hear us out on this issue.

Sincerely,
Carrie, Eli, & Luther Mardock
Good morning,
My name is Zachary Yost. I hope all is well. As a law abiding citizen I am writing to say that I do not support the proposed ordinance to ban bump stocks in the city of Lincoln.

Zachary Yost

Sent from my Sprint Samsung Galaxy S8+.
Councilman Camp,

If it is introduced by Gaylor-Baird and/or Raybould, please support a city council ordinance change to ban bump stocks for guns. According to the Journal-Star, Denver has already made bump stocks illegal.
You must act to help to keep my granddaughters and all of Lincoln’s schoolchildren safe at school.

Doris Pleskac
6446 Westshore Dr
Lincoln, NE 68516
REQUIEM

Never to watch the full moon rise.
Never to see the golden sunset.
Never to marry your own true love.
Never to hold your baby in your arms.
Never to hear Daddy I love you.
Never to kiss your grandchild.
Never to choose whether to take the knee or salute the flag.
Never to pray in a church or a temple or a mosque.
Never to grow into the person you were meant to be.
Never Never Never.

These children and our children do not have to die young.
We can protect them from assault rifles and stump guns and all guns.
We can ask our own elected officials:
Do you accept donations from the American Rifle Association?
Which and how many of you? We can find out. We can vote you out.

Are you members of the ARA?
You can change your guidelines.

Millennials are not the only one who vote. Mothers and Fathers and Uncles and Aunts vote. Seniors vote!
Whites and Hispanics and Blacks and Asians all vote!

What about identifying the danger signs of mental illness, threats of evil intent, instability and access to guns. All of us can watch for them and report them to the schools, the police, the FBI. WHAT ABOUT YOUTH WHO ARE TOO YOUNG TO DRINK BUYING GUNS! We can incorporate laws which make access to guns difficult without screening.

So stump guns enable other guns to shoot clay pigeons faster? Balderdash!

Never another school shooting.

Carolyn Anderson Bentz
League of Little Old Ladies and Lads.
PLEASE FORWARD THIS TO WHOMEVER YOU CAN
Jon,

I wanted to reach out to you about this plumbing exam board nomination issue. From what I've heard you've been made aware about the concerns the local industry has with what's been going on with this seat. As an active member of the Plumbing, Heating, & Cooling Contractors Association (PHCC) I can speak for the group in saying that this is a major threat to our local industry. Our organization was not made aware of this examination board position going out for public application. Is that or is that not part of the process for these types of positions? Unfortunately for us, we are at the mercy of the city council to stand up for us and call into question the way this unseating of Doug Biggerstaff and placement of a non-Lincoln citizen went down. This issue does not involve a party line, there are both republican and democratic business owners throughout this city that will be negatively affected if the city council does not put a stop to the mayor's nomination.

Justin Kreifels
Director of Plumbing Operations
Action Plumbing, Heating, & A/C Inc.
4101 S 8th St
Lincoln, NE 68502
O: 402-423-6960
C: 402-314-4307
www.actionlincoln.com
Council members, I wanted to again reach out to you and call into question the nomination put forth by the mayor for a seat on the plumbing examination board. I want to thank the members who have already responded to the pleas sent to you from your local business owners, we appreciate your time.

I would like to know if this position opening was made public before the mayor's office sent their nominee to the council. As an active member of the Plumbing, Heating, & Cooling Contractors Association (PHCC) of Lincoln I can assure you that our group was not made aware of this unseating and replacement nomination made by the mayor until after the nominee was sent to the council.

The applicant brought to you by the mayor was NOT on the list of applicants referred to his office by the Chief Plumbing Inspector here in Lincoln. I would like to know why one of the chief inspector's referrals were not selected for this seat. This is the detail that is most troubling to me and my piers. Why would an elected official disregard the recommendation of a qualified city official for this position? What does the mayor have to gain by seating this individual that no one in that industry within his own city supports? The whole situation just reeks of foul play.

The PHCC does not care who the individual is that goes on this board just as long as they are a Lincoln resident and their business holds an office/shop within this city. There are plenty of well qualified individuals meeting that simple criteria for the mayor to choose from. To bring in an outside individual is a disservice to Lincoln business affected by this. This licensing system is a city specific license, an applicant that lives in Gretna and holds an office in Omaha does not deserve to be on this board over the local applicants put forth by the chief inspector. I can assure you the city of Omaha has never and would never allow a Lincoln resident/business owner to hold a seat on their plumbing exam board.

IP: 216.96.59.121
Form: http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/council/contact.htm
User Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/63.0.3239.132 Safari/537.36
Hello,

Our Mayor has recently nominated someone for the plumbing exam board that lives in Gretna Nebraska. His company that he works for is from Omaha. Can anyone please tell me how this helps Lincoln? We are a small enough town with enough plumbers that there are many people deemed "worthy" to be on this examination board. Our chief Plumbing inspector gave his input but those were not even taken into consideration. Your help would be greatly appreciated Thank you for your time.

--

Dusty Thoms

Biggerstaff Plumbing, Heating, and Air Conditioning
3605 N. 40th
Lincoln, NE 68504
402-466-8118
dusty@biggerstaffs.com
Dear council members,

I am writing to voice my displeasure with the mayor's recommendation on a replacement for an outgoing member of the Lincoln plumbing licensing exam board. The mayor has to my knowledge nominated a person to this board that works for a mechanical company that has its closest office in Omaha Nebraska. While this company does do some business in Lincoln it does not maintain an office here and I feel that a member of this exam board should be someone with a local business that is based in Lincoln Ne. Also this nominee does not even live in Lincoln or Lancaster county but in Gretna.

As a person who has had a Lincoln masters plumbing license and an ownership in a local business in Lincoln for over 35 years I think this is a slap in the face to all of the local plumbing shops that operate and pay fees to this city every day.

As a past member of the Lincoln plumbing code task force review committee I can tell you we take great pride in working with our local plumbing inspectors and maintaining local control on the plumbing codes and examinations that keep our city safe and maintain providing clean water for all citizens of this city.

I ask that you deny this replacement and ask the mayor to recommend someone that is actively involved with a Lincoln based plumbing company for this position and resides in or near our great city.

Thank You,

Terry Kreifels
President Action Plumbing and Heating Inc.
Mayor Chris Beutler  
555 South 10th Street, Suite 301  
Lincoln, Nebraska 68508

RE: West A Street

Mayor Beutler,

I'm writing as a resident of West A Street. First of all, thank you from all the residents of West A Street for your attention and focus on our road – all of my neighbors are ecstatic that construction is on-schedule to begin next year on this long overdue project.

One concern that I have heard is the western terminus of the project, currently set at the city limits (approximately SW 36th Street). While I understand why the city would stop at the current city limits, this seems like a missed opportunity to connect with the newly-opened SW 40th Street viaduct. If you recall, that crossing was closed for nearly eleven years as the replacement viaduct was repeatedly delayed. After how much work went into getting that crossing reopened, it's disappointing to see the West A Street project not finish out to SW 40th Street, especially when that means another construction project in the future.

I know both the city and county are in a desperate situation with roads funding, but I see this as a long-term cost savings. Eventually either the city or county will need to finish improving West A Street to SW 40th Street, and that work will never be cheaper than when construction for the west leg occurs in 2020/21. To that effect, a number of residents attended the Board of Commissioners One & Six Year Road Program meeting in November 2017, to ask the county to work with the city to this end. Unfortunately, the county has declined to include any funding in the One & Six Year program for this fiscal year.

If we are going to get ahead of roads funding, city-county cooperation on projects like this will be crucial. This is a project that not only demonstrates a clear need, but also where a county-city partnership can have a real impact, not only in dollars but also in construction. I would propose several options going forward:

1. County participation – residents will continue their advocacy work with the Lancaster County Board of Commissioners to include funding for West A Street in the One & Six Year program for the next fiscal year.
2. City participation – in the past, the city has contributed to projects partially or wholly outside city limits when it made sense to do so, such as the Coddington & West Van Dorn project currently in construction.
3. Annexation – I believe the properties along West A Street between SW 36th Street and SW 40th Street meet the city criteria for annexation, and could be included in the next annexation package.

Thank you for your time and consideration on this, and please let me know if I can be of any further assistance.

Mark Antonson  
1521 SW 30th St  
Lincoln, NE 68522

CC: Lincoln City Council  
Jennifer Brinkman, Lancaster County Board of Commissioners for District 2  
Deb Schorr, Lancaster County Board of Commissioners for District 3  
Pamela L. Dingman, Lancaster County Engineer  
Danielle Vachal, City of Lincoln Project Manager, West A Street Project  
Bill Vocasek, President, West A Neighborhood Association