IN LIEU OF
DIRECTORS’ MEETING
Monday, August 21, 2017

I. MINUTES
   1. Approval of minutes August 14, 2017

II. MAYOR’S CORRESPONDENCE
   1. Letter - Re: 17R-190 School Zone near 84th & Leighton Ave
   2. Fiscal Impact Statement dated 06.17.17

III. DIRECTORS’ CORRESPONDENCE

   PLANNING DEPARTMENT
   1. Action - Agenda dated Wednesday, August 16, 2017
   2. Final Action dated August 16, 2017

IV. CONSTITUENT CORRESPONDENCE
   1. Recycling Education - Carolyn Butler
   2. Recycling Education - Diane Kohtz
   3. Recycling Education - Ann Ringlein
   4. Recycling Education - Martha Horvay
   5. City Budget - Linda Zech
   6. City Budget - Jon Zvolanek
   7. Future hotel site 9th and “O” Street - Ron Schreiner
   8. Lack of traffic enforcement on South 6th Street between “E” and “F” Streets - Pam Grieser

V. MEETINGS/INVITATIONS
   See invitation list.

VI. ADJOURNMENT
Letter to City Council  
Re: 17R-190 School Zone near 84th & Leighton Ave  

August 16, 2017  

City Council Members,  

I appreciate and share your genuine concern for the safety of the children and adults who cross 84th Street and Leighton Avenue. The City has a responsibility to protect our citizens and we take that responsibility to heart. That intersection is currently highly-controlled with traffic signals in all four directions, push button pedestrian crossings, and prepare-to-stop flashers ahead of the intersection in both directions.  

While I greatly respect the position of the people advocating for a school zone, it is critical that we rely on engineering data to make these decisions. It is not in the best interest of the children or the general public to ignore scientific evidence.  

As it stands, the engineering finding we have is that the school zone would be less safe than the current situation. The City Engineer, Lonnie Burklund, followed the state requirements for analysis and study of the intersection and determined that a school zone in this location will compromise the safety of those using it, including children. Lonnie is a talented and dedicated public servant and I have great confidence in his analysis.  

The Law Department has also advised that in order to install a school zone, a professional licensed engineer must certify that a school zone is both safe and in accordance with the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) and State Law.  

Rather than veto 17R-190 I am offering a compromise approach that involves two studies – one specific and one more comprehensive.  

First, several Council members have asked about the possibility of a second study to analyze if a school zone is warranted at 84th & Leighton. I have no problem having our work scrutinized precisely because I know the high caliber of work delivered by our city professionals and I respect the expertise of our City engineers. I am willing to engage an independent, private sector engineer to conduct an additional engineering study of the type necessary before taking action to install a school zone. If the second opinion definitively concludes a school zone is safer than the current situation, I will move to execute the Council’s directive. If the independent analysis does not find that installing a school zone at that location is appropriate, or if no one is willing to undertake the study, then State Law continues to disallow a school zone at this location.
NEED: Transferring operating appropriations for workspace improvements. The improvements will include the remodeling of a workspace to provide two additional workstation to accommodate four administrative support staff adjacent to the public counter. The change will give the additional staff better access to the public counter and improve how we deliver customer service. The change may require minor building improvements to relocate file storage currently located in this space.

A second workspace will eventually need to be reconfigured and updated to accommodate relocating remaining administrative support staff to a central location to better facilitate a team approach to completing miscellaneous assigned tasks, supporting Building and Safety staff and working with other departments and agencies. This workgroup also answers incoming calls to the department and delivers service to our customers needing annual license or operational permit renewals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUTURE IMPACT:</th>
<th>Ongoing</th>
<th>Limited</th>
<th>Projected Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUES GENERATED</th>
<th>LEGISLATIVE CHANGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>Current Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Next Fiscal Year Annualized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

PERSONNEL (full time equivalents)

PERSONNEL (cost) business unit:
object code description

SUPPLIES business unit:
object code description

OTHER SERVICES & CHARGES business unit: 220 Building and Safety 080101
object code: 5865 description: Minor Building Improvements
(Transfer from business unit: 080102 object code: 5633 – Software)

EQUIPMENT business unit: 08002.5633 - 30,000

TOTAL EXPENDITURES $30,000.00

SOURCE OF REVENUES: Building and Safety Fund

DIRECTOR DATE 8.8.2017
FINANCE DEPARTMENT COMMENTS

B&G has realized savings on the Interactive Voice Response System.

Availability of Appropriations: Yes ☐ No ☐

BUDGET OFFICER: John W. Doe

PURCHASING AGENT

FINANCE DIRECTOR: [Signature] DATE 8/10/17

APPROVED: Yes ☐ No ☐ DATE 8/14/17

WHEN TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Requesting transfer of operating appropriations.
2. Requesting increase in personnel (full time equivalents) appropriations.
3. Requesting transfer of capital improvement appropriations.
4. Requesting operational change not authorized during the budget process.
5. Requesting appropriations based on receipt of additional funds from outside sources.
6. Requesting use of Contingency funds.

HOW TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

NEED: There should be a detailed explanation of why a change to the previously approved budget is necessary. If the change will have any impact beyond the current fiscal year, it should also be noted.

FUTURE IMPACT: One of the boxes should be checked. An example of an item with ongoing impact would be a request for additional fte authorization that will also be requested in upcoming budgets. This would necessitate filling out the "Next Fiscal Year Annualized" column. An example of an item with limited impact would be asking for authorization to use salary savings for the one time purchase of equipment. If "Projected Completion Date" applies, please fill in.

REVENUES GENERATED: Please note if the request will affect current and future revenues.

LEGISLATIVE CHANGES: These boxes should be marked yes or no. Some of the actions this form is used for (transfer of capital improvement appropriations, Contingency Funds) require a City Council ordinance.

PERSONNEL (full time equivalents): Please note the number of fte’s the request involves, if applicable.

PERSONNEL (cost), SUPPLIES, OTHER SERVICES AND CHARGES, EQUIPMENT: All entries in these boxes must have the business unit, object code, and object code description along with the dollar amount. Negative amounts must be indicated by brackets.

TOTAL EXPENDITURES: This box should contain the sum of the dollar amounts in the various expenditure categories.

SOURCE OF REVENUES: This box should contain the name of the fund the action is required for.
The Request for Proposal (RFP) will follow the City’s usual and customary process. It will include the available traffic data and conditions around 84th & Leighton and request an independent engineering analysis to determine if a school zone is appropriate. The selection of the engineer will be based on their professional qualifications and not dependent on a predetermined outcome.

Second, a comprehensive, ongoing school pedestrian safety review for all existing and future school speed zone locations will be conducted to review safety strategies and opportunities for improvements. That study would also include an evaluation of implementing a professional school crossing guard program at pilot locations as one of the safety strategies. The process would engage all stakeholders (parents, LPS, engineers, city staff, and more).

We all share the common goal of safety for our families and for our kids. I believe this approach allows us to continue working together – families, school officials, community leaders, safety professionals, and more – to ensure that safety.

Sincerely,

Chris Beutler
Mayor of Lincoln
**ACTION BY PLANNING COMMISSION**

NOTICE: The Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission will hold a public hearing on Wednesday, August 16, 2017, at 1:00 p.m. in Hearing Room 112 on the first floor of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St., Lincoln, Nebraska. For more information, call the Planning Department, (402) 441-7491.

**PLEASE NOTE:** The Planning Commission action is final action on any item with a notation of “FINAL ACTION”. Any aggrieved person may appeal Final Action of the Planning Commission to the City Council or County Board by filing a Notice of Appeal with the City Clerk or County Clerk within 14 days following the action of the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission action on all other items is a recommendation to the City Council or County Board.

AGENDA

WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 16, 2017

[Commissioners Edgerton and Scheer absent]

Approval of minutes of the regular meeting held August 2, 2017. **APPROVED: 6-0; Edgerton and Scheer absent**

1. CONSENT AGENDA
   (Public Hearing and Administrative Action):

   COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CONFORMANCE:

   1.1 Comprehensive Plan Conformance No. 17012, to review as to conformance with the 2040 Lincoln Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan, a proposed amendment to the West O Street Redevelopment Plan by adding the Huvelpharma Inc. Redevelopment Project generally located at 245 SW 40th Street. The project includes the redevelopment of an underdeveloped, vacant parcel into an approximately 30,000 square foot research and development complex.

   Staff recommendation: Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan
   Staff Planner: Rachel Jones, 402-441-7603, rjones@lincoln.ne.gov

   Planning Commission recommendation: A FINDING OF CONFORMANCE WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN; 6-0 (Edgerton and Scheer absent). Public hearing before the City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, September 11, 2017, 3:00 p.m.
PERMITS:

1.2 County Special Permit No. 17027, for a Community Unit Plan for 5 single family lots with a waiver to the subdivision regulations of 1 test well per 10 acres, on property generally located at SW 98th Street, 1/2 mile south of West Yankee Hill Road.

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Tom Cajka, 402-441-5662, tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the staff report dated August 3, 2017; 6-0 (Edgerton and Scheer absent; Scheer declared a conflict of interest). Public hearing before the County Board is pending at this time.

1.3 Special Permit No. 10004B, for excavation and stone milling, on property generally located at North 56th Street and I 80. **FINAL ACTION**

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Tom Cajka, 402-441-5662, tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov

1.4 Use Permit No. 05004A, to alter the existing approved layout to 6 lots for 54,000 square feet of commercial space, with waivers to setbacks, on property generally located at South 14th Street and Yankee Hill Road.

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Rachel Jones, 402-441-7603, rjones@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL as set forth in the staff report dated August 8, 2017; 6-0 (Edgerton and Scheer absent). Public hearing before the City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, September 11, 2017, 3:00 p.m.

MISCELLANEOUS:

1.5 Street and Alley Vacation No. 17008, to vacate the east west alley from the east line of South 17th Street to the west line of South 18th Street located between K Street and L Street, on property generally located at 1700 K Street.

Staff recommendation: Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan
Staff Planner: George Wesselhoft, 402-441-6366, gwesselhoft@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: Conforms to the Comprehensive Plan: 6-0, Edgerton and Scheer absent. Public hearing before the City Council will be scheduled when the provisions of Chapter 14.20 of the Lincoln Municipal Code have been satisfied.

2. REQUESTS FOR DEFERRAL - None.

3. ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA - None.

4. PUBLIC HEARING AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION:
AT THIS TIME, ANYONE WISHING TO SPEAK ON AN ITEM
NOT ON THE AGENDA, MAY DO SO

************

Adjournment  1:04 p.m.
TO: Mayor Chris Beutler
   Lincoln City Council

FROM: Geri Rorabaugh, Planning

DATE: August 16, 2017

RE: Notice of final action by Planning Commission: August 16, 2017

Please be advised that on August 16, 2017, the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission adopted the following resolution:

**Resolution No. PC-01567**, approving **SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 10004B**, for excavation and stone milling, on property generally located at North 56th Street and Interstate 80.

The Planning Commission action on this application is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a notice of appeal with the City Clerk within 14 days of the action by the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission Resolution may be accessed on the internet at [www.lincoln.ne.gov](http://www.lincoln.ne.gov) (Keyword = PATS). Use the “Search Selection” screen and search by application number (i.e. SP11004B). The Resolution and Planning Department staff report are in the “Related Documents” under the application number.

F:\devreview\final action notices\cc\2017\081617
Dear Council Members,

I am writing in support of the recycling education proposal on behalf of the Nebraska Wildlife Federation’s (NEWF) staff and Board of Directors. Our organization focuses on education, conservation and policy under the umbrella of environmental stewardship. The NEWF has nearly a fifty-year history of protecting our state's wildlife and wild places.

Our work has taught us the importance of conserving our natural resources. We've also learned how critical it is to engage our communities in our efforts and to educate them about why we do the things we do. Experts tell us that lasting change begins by getting buy-in from those we want to take action. In fact, without that buy-in the shift we desire to see will not occur.

If people don't understand why we are asking them to think and act in new ways, they simply will not be committed to the process. Even if they start out with enthusiasm, they are not likely to stick with it.

If Lincoln is committed to being a green city, this recycling component is foundational to that effort. If we want to draw young technical workers and young progressive families to Lincoln we need to show them that we are on par with what is happening in other cities on the rise. Studies show this is important to young professionals. It is also a factor for students who are researching which university to attend. It will only become more and more important as climate change continues to demand our attention.

When I think of Lincoln, I want to see us as a leader on the Great Plains, a model city for the good things that are happening in urban environments today. I take pride when I read the ever-growing list of positives about Lincoln. Committing to comprehensive recycling that is paired with a strong educational strategy fits right in with that list.

Thank you for your consideration and support of this important issue.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Butler,
Monarch Butterfly and Other Pollinator Program Director
I wish to express my support for the Lincoln Recycling Education Project proposed by Carson+Co in partnership with Verdis Group. They have already proved that they are very capable with doing an excellent job with handling such a program and it is something that is desperately needed in our community. They have submitted a proposal lower than any other of the competition and have mapped out in concise detail what they intend to step by step.

Please consider my recommendation for a yes vote for the proposal.
Dear Council members

I am writing to encourage you to fund an educational program for the RecycleLincoln program that begins next April. This recycling program is so important to Lincoln but will only be successful with proper education. A city the size of Lincoln needs an organized educational program that is comprehensive to the entire city. Where the recycling program reaches across the city including in its reach are residents, business owners, tenants, educational institutions – anyone that is a part of this great city – but that means an educational program that is comprehensive to all these individuals, businesses and institutions. This can’t be something just thrown together, it needs to be a well thought out plan that conveys the message and the information accurately and easily.

This isn’t brain surgery BUT it is important and it is just silly to think we can institute a recycling initiative and not educate the people involved. We, here at the Lincoln Running Co, experienced the trash haulers not understanding the initiative already. They were removing the cardboard from the trash and piling it up in our alley when the recycling talks began. This is when the education part hit home to me! if our trash haulers don’t understand it, how are our citizens going to understand it?

The great thing is our city has a plan and a team (Carson Global) ready to implement this program. There is no one more involved in recycling and marketing than Carson Global. Please take action and approve this funding so the citizens and businesses have the information to recycle the right way!

Thanks for your time and effort in this wonderful program!

Sincerely,
Ann Ringlein
Dear Councilman Camp,
Please vote to fund recycling education. This is critically important to forming the attitudes of the next generation.
Martha Horvay
4433 Pawnee

Sent from my iPhone
It appears we have no one on the city council representing the wishes of the citizens of Lincoln. What is the purpose of proposing and approving a city budget if it can be changed at anytime when an increase in property taxes is exacted from the citizens of Lincoln. I am not surprised the mayor is for the increase because he gives me the impression he would like us to send him our pay check and he would send back the money he doesn’t spend. But I was hoping that the city council members would listen to the wishes of the citizens of Lincoln.

Sent from Mail for Windows 10
City Council,

Please do not increase my property taxes as our Mayor and several of you support by standing with him in the recent press meeting. Our Mayor wanted a 2 year budget. He has that. Do not allow him to take another $1 million windfall from property taxes. He can't have it both ways!
The budget was passed and voted upon and the Council was even sued by the Mayor to increase it. Now and a couple of you want to increase it again?

Roy and Leirion, you ran on a campaign promise of no more taxes. Have some honor and integrity and stick with your campaign pledge.

What will you do next year when Commercial Property taxes are increased? Take some of that also?

Don't take the money like LPS Board of Education. Enough is Enough.

I await your response and more importantly your vote to take more property taxes. Please don't use any spin about the Levy being low, no one is buying that line. And expect people to watch the vote and remember how you voted to either hold the budget as voted upon as the Mayor wanted, or that you caved and voted for more spending.

Interesting that we can find over 1/2 million dollars for a recycling survey and another almost $600 million to support Bryan. Seems there is lots of money to found in the current budget, don't take more.

Jon Zvolanek
Angela M. Birkett

From: WebForm <none@lincoln.ne.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 17, 2017 10:16 AM
To: Council Packet
Subject: InterLinc - Feedback

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Council Office - Feedback
Date: 8/17/2017 10:15:33 AM

Full Name: Ron Schreiner
Address: 2127 Heather Lane
City: Lincoln
Phone: 4024216916
Email: ron.schreiner@gmail.com

Comments: I understand the raising of the buildings at the corner of 9th and O will not take place for a number of months, could it be possible to have a sign there stating that this is the site of a future hotel? I hate to think people coming into our town the first thing they see is a boarded up building.

IP: 76.84.30.161
Form: http://lincoln.ne.gov/City/council/feedback.htm
User Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/60.0.3112.90 Safari/537.36
Council Office - Feedback
Date: 8/17/2017 12:11:34 PM

Full Name: Pam Grieser
Address: 925 South 6th Street
City: Lincoln, NE
Phone: (402) 474-5958
Email: radwbba@neb.rr.com
Comments: I have no idea about what to do anymore...I've been complaining about traffic on S 6th Street for over 4 years. And school just started this past Monday the 14th, and guess what? Nothing has changed! If I'm standing outside, some of these people behave...some don't. Some don't have a clue that they need to stop for a child wanting to cross the street at the crosswalk to go to school! There was a child standing there this morning, and cars just kept going. I threw my hands in the air like "what the hell"? And someone finally stopped to let this child cross. There are still NO speed limit signs.
I have seen some police presence around, maybe they should be here when people are going to work, or children are going to school, or when school is getting out, or when these people are going to lunch break, or when they are getting off work. Then they could see more of what the traffic is really like.
I'm just tired of caring about what happens in my neighborhood, since it seems like no one else cares about what happens!

IP: 76.84.242.144
Form: https://lincoln.ne.gov/city/council/feedback.htm
User Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/52.0.2743.116 Safari/537.36 Edge/15.15063
DIRECTORS'/ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA
ADDENDUM
MONDAY, August 21, 2017

I. CITY CLERK

II. MAYOR’S CORRESPONDENCE

III. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

BUDGET
1. Actual Compared to Projected Sales Tax Collections, September, 2016 through August, 2017
2. Net Sales Tax, September, 2016 through August, 2017

IV. CONSTITUENT CORRESPONDENCE
1. 84th Street School Zone - William Boernke
2. Taxes - Travis Crozier
3. Taxes - Jon Zvolanek
## Actual Compared to Projected Sales Tax Collections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2016-17 PROJECTED</th>
<th>2016-17 ACTUAL</th>
<th>FROM PROJECTED</th>
<th>$ CHANGE FR. 15-16</th>
<th>% CHANGE FR. 15-16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>$6,001,777</td>
<td>$6,048,552</td>
<td>$46,775</td>
<td>$112,368</td>
<td>1.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>$6,265,043</td>
<td>$6,567,045</td>
<td>$302,002</td>
<td>$571,868</td>
<td>9.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>$6,233,567</td>
<td>$6,390,261</td>
<td>$156,694</td>
<td>$207,696</td>
<td>3.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>$5,964,398</td>
<td>$6,049,207</td>
<td>$84,809</td>
<td>$216,039</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>$5,959,232</td>
<td>$5,991,013</td>
<td>$31,781</td>
<td>$437,986</td>
<td>7.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>$7,288,134</td>
<td>$7,203,175</td>
<td>($84,959)</td>
<td>$342,500</td>
<td>4.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>$5,710,977</td>
<td>$5,429,656</td>
<td>($281,321)</td>
<td>$77,119</td>
<td>1.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>$5,548,104</td>
<td>$5,609,320</td>
<td>$61,216</td>
<td>$258,576</td>
<td>4.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>$6,625,268</td>
<td>$6,641,089</td>
<td>$15,821</td>
<td>$251,865</td>
<td>3.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>$6,202,925</td>
<td>$6,172,558</td>
<td>($30,367)</td>
<td>$293,957</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>$6,172,414</td>
<td>$6,438,777</td>
<td>$266,363</td>
<td>$493,602</td>
<td>8.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>$6,573,935</td>
<td>$6,719,292</td>
<td>$145,357</td>
<td>$374,652</td>
<td>5.91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| TOTAL          | $74,545,774        | $75,259,945   | $714,171       | $3,638,228        | 5.08%              |

Actual collections for the fiscal year to date are 0.96% over projections for the year.
CITY OF LINCOLN
GROSS SALES TAX COLLECTIONS (WITH REFUNDS ADDED BACK IN)
2012-2013 THROUGH 2016-2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Actual 2012-13</th>
<th>Actual 2013-14</th>
<th>Actual 2014-15</th>
<th>% CHG. FR. PRIOR</th>
<th>Actual 2015-16</th>
<th>% CHG. FR. PRIOR</th>
<th>Actual 2016-17</th>
<th>% CHG. FR. PRIOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>$5,189,424</td>
<td>$5,431,071</td>
<td>$5,741,404</td>
<td>5.71%</td>
<td>$6,041,963</td>
<td>5.23%</td>
<td>$6,265,764</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>$5,568,892</td>
<td>$5,740,406</td>
<td>$5,848,947</td>
<td>1.89%</td>
<td>$6,089,519</td>
<td>4.11%</td>
<td>$6,598,756</td>
<td>8.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>$5,194,649</td>
<td>$5,729,609</td>
<td>$5,873,441</td>
<td>2.51%</td>
<td>$6,266,119</td>
<td>6.69%</td>
<td>$6,471,721</td>
<td>3.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>$5,250,751</td>
<td>$5,401,140</td>
<td>$5,737,783</td>
<td>6.23%</td>
<td>$5,876,792</td>
<td>2.42%</td>
<td>$6,128,386</td>
<td>4.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>$5,180,028</td>
<td>$5,562,529</td>
<td>$5,525,231</td>
<td>-0.67%</td>
<td>$5,651,337</td>
<td>2.28%</td>
<td>$6,285,444</td>
<td>11.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>$6,223,991</td>
<td>$6,570,418</td>
<td>$6,802,647</td>
<td>3.53%</td>
<td>$7,137,154</td>
<td>4.92%</td>
<td>$7,293,928</td>
<td>2.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>$5,077,914</td>
<td>$5,304,048</td>
<td>$5,396,268</td>
<td>1.74%</td>
<td>$5,392,157</td>
<td>-0.08%</td>
<td>$5,521,761</td>
<td>2.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>$4,681,796</td>
<td>$5,214,537</td>
<td>$5,188,877</td>
<td>-0.49%</td>
<td>$5,426,539</td>
<td>4.58%</td>
<td>$5,639,028</td>
<td>3.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>$5,655,098</td>
<td>$5,642,139</td>
<td>$6,348,190</td>
<td>12.51%</td>
<td>$6,494,521</td>
<td>2.31%</td>
<td>$6,708,815</td>
<td>3.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>$5,458,626</td>
<td>$5,635,827</td>
<td>$5,728,421</td>
<td>1.64%</td>
<td>$6,030,654</td>
<td>5.28%</td>
<td>$6,255,952</td>
<td>3.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>$5,439,682</td>
<td>$5,654,660</td>
<td>$5,841,882</td>
<td>3.31%</td>
<td>$6,000,464</td>
<td>2.71%</td>
<td>$6,440,709</td>
<td>7.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>$5,696,527</td>
<td>$5,921,577</td>
<td>$6,196,574</td>
<td>4.64%</td>
<td>$6,657,168</td>
<td>7.43%</td>
<td>$6,736,493</td>
<td>1.19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL**  | **$64,617,378** | **$67,807,961** | **$70,229,665** | **3.57%** | **$73,064,387** | **4.04%** | **$76,346,757** | **4.49%** |
## CITY OF LINCOLN
### SALES TAX REFUNDS
#### 2013-2014 THROUGH 2017-2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>$(80,176)</td>
<td>$(44,232)</td>
<td>$(105,779)</td>
<td>139.15%</td>
<td>$(217,212)</td>
<td>105.35%</td>
<td>$(98,235)</td>
<td>-54.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>$(96,046)</td>
<td>$(191,059)</td>
<td>$(94,343)</td>
<td>-50.62%</td>
<td>$(31,712)</td>
<td>-66.39%</td>
<td>$(98,235)</td>
<td>-54.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>$(15,001)</td>
<td>$(151,968)</td>
<td>$(83,553)</td>
<td>-45.02%</td>
<td>$(81,460)</td>
<td>-2.50%</td>
<td>$(98,235)</td>
<td>199.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>$(18,536)</td>
<td>$(23,916)</td>
<td>$(43,624)</td>
<td>82.41%</td>
<td>$(79,179)</td>
<td>81.50%</td>
<td>$(98,235)</td>
<td>-54.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>$(603,295)</td>
<td>$(277,201)</td>
<td>$(98,310)</td>
<td>-64.53%</td>
<td>$(294,431)</td>
<td>199.49%</td>
<td>$(98,235)</td>
<td>-54.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>$(58,173)</td>
<td>$(381,405)</td>
<td>$(276,479)</td>
<td>-27.51%</td>
<td>$(90,752)</td>
<td>-67.18%</td>
<td>$(98,235)</td>
<td>-54.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>$(169,963)</td>
<td>$(69,314)</td>
<td>$(39,620)</td>
<td>-42.84%</td>
<td>$(92,105)</td>
<td>132.47%</td>
<td>$(98,235)</td>
<td>-54.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>$(81,416)</td>
<td>$(79,747)</td>
<td>$(75,796)</td>
<td>-4.95%</td>
<td>$(29,707)</td>
<td>-60.81%</td>
<td>$(98,235)</td>
<td>-54.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>$(43,775)</td>
<td>$(72,554)</td>
<td>$(105,297)</td>
<td>45.13%</td>
<td>$(67,726)</td>
<td>-35.68%</td>
<td>$(98,235)</td>
<td>-54.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>$(81,809)</td>
<td>$(26,219)</td>
<td>$(152,053)</td>
<td>479.93%</td>
<td>$(83,394)</td>
<td>-45.15%</td>
<td>$(98,235)</td>
<td>-54.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>$(116,801)</td>
<td>$(40,332)</td>
<td>$(55,289)</td>
<td>37.08%</td>
<td>$(1,932)</td>
<td>-96.51%</td>
<td>$(98,235)</td>
<td>-54.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>$(49,577)</td>
<td>$(10,119)</td>
<td>$(312,528)</td>
<td>2988.53%</td>
<td>$(17,202)</td>
<td>-94.50%</td>
<td>$(98,235)</td>
<td>-54.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$(1,414,568)</td>
<td>$(1,368,066)</td>
<td>$(1,442,671)</td>
<td>5.45%</td>
<td>$(1,086,812)</td>
<td>-24.67%</td>
<td>$(98,235)</td>
<td>-54.77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Year to date vs. previous year
CITY OF LINCOLN
NET SALES TAX COLLECTIONS
2012-2013 THROUGH 2016-2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Actual 2012-13</th>
<th>Actual 2013-14</th>
<th>Actual 2014-15</th>
<th>% CHG. FROM PR. YEAR</th>
<th>Actual 2015-16</th>
<th>% CHG. FROM PR. YEAR</th>
<th>Actual 2016-17</th>
<th>% CHG. FROM PR. YEAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>$5,069,566</td>
<td>$5,350,895</td>
<td>$5,697,172</td>
<td>6.47%</td>
<td>$5,936,184</td>
<td>4.20%</td>
<td>$6,048,552</td>
<td>1.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>$5,516,359</td>
<td>$5,644,359</td>
<td>$5,657,888</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>$5,995,177</td>
<td>5.96%</td>
<td>$6,567,045</td>
<td>9.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>$5,026,408</td>
<td>$5,714,609</td>
<td>$5,721,474</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
<td>$6,182,565</td>
<td>8.06%</td>
<td>$6,390,261</td>
<td>3.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>$5,063,144</td>
<td>$5,382,604</td>
<td>$5,713,868</td>
<td>6.15%</td>
<td>$5,833,168</td>
<td>2.09%</td>
<td>$6,049,207</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>$5,034,261</td>
<td>$4,959,233</td>
<td>$5,248,031</td>
<td>5.82%</td>
<td>$5,553,027</td>
<td>5.81%</td>
<td>$5,991,013</td>
<td>7.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>$6,092,554</td>
<td>$6,512,245</td>
<td>$6,421,242</td>
<td>-1.40%</td>
<td>$6,860,675</td>
<td>6.84%</td>
<td>$7,203,175</td>
<td>4.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>$4,692,772</td>
<td>$5,134,084</td>
<td>$5,326,954</td>
<td>3.76%</td>
<td>$5,352,537</td>
<td>0.48%</td>
<td>$5,429,656</td>
<td>1.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>$4,613,747</td>
<td>$5,133,122</td>
<td>$5,109,130</td>
<td>-0.47%</td>
<td>$5,350,744</td>
<td>4.73%</td>
<td>$5,609,320</td>
<td>4.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>$5,612,398</td>
<td>$5,598,363</td>
<td>$6,275,635</td>
<td>12.10%</td>
<td>$6,389,224</td>
<td>1.81%</td>
<td>$6,641,089</td>
<td>3.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>$5,400,947</td>
<td>$5,554,017</td>
<td>$5,702,202</td>
<td>2.67%</td>
<td>$5,878,601</td>
<td>3.09%</td>
<td>$6,172,558</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>$5,343,780</td>
<td>$5,537,859</td>
<td>$5,801,550</td>
<td>4.76%</td>
<td>$5,945,175</td>
<td>2.48%</td>
<td>$6,438,777</td>
<td>8.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>$5,668,871</td>
<td>$5,872,000</td>
<td>$6,186,455</td>
<td>5.36%</td>
<td>$6,344,640</td>
<td>2.56%</td>
<td>$6,719,292</td>
<td>5.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$63,134,807</td>
<td>$66,393,390</td>
<td>$68,861,601</td>
<td>3.72%</td>
<td>$71,621,717</td>
<td>4.01%</td>
<td>$75,259,945</td>
<td>5.08%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Year to date vs. previous year
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Dear Councilperson Camp:

The LJS reports today that the mayor wants a second opinion. This is a waste of money because there are two possible results from this action: 1) the second opinion agrees with the first opinion; or, 2) the second opinion disagrees with the first opinion.

In the case of agreement, this simply begs the question: “Is the first opinion correct that a school zone at 84th and Leighton is unsafe?” All people, even engineers are entitled to their own opinions, but they are not entitled to their own facts.

In the case of disagreement, the council is then placed in the position of a juror in a trial where experts disagree. It is up to the jurors to decide whom to believe.

I bet the mayor is a lawyer. The problem with lawyers is that legal arguments are nothing more than an appeal to the authority of the law, just as religious arguments are appeals to the authority of the gods. The Supreme Court appeals to the authority of the Constitution when making decisions. The problem with this is the Constitution was written by a small group of white, male property-holders, some of whom owned slaves. The founders all had 18th-century minds. We don’t uncritically accept the science written the 18th century, why should we accept uncritically the ideas of the founders? Reading the Constitution literally makes as much sense as reading the Bible literally. If you read the Bible literally, you will think the sun moves in the sky and that sodomites, adulterers and disobedient children should be stoned to death. A wise Methodist theologian once told me that you don’t have to read the Bible literally to take it seriously. Similarly, you don’t have to read the Constitution literally to take it seriously.

This issue (is a school zone at 84th and Leighton safe?) is a scientific question, not a legal question. Scientists appeal to evidence, not to experts.

The mayor is passing the buck by saying he wants experts to decide the question. The mayor (and you council members) have a duty to think critically about what the experts say. Remember that experts said: “the Titanic is unsinkable”; “heavier than air flying machines are impossible”; and, “nobody would ever want a personal computer.”

William Boernke
1004 Galloway Circle
Lincoln, NE 68512
Campaign promises should be upheld. Return the windfall..... Lincoln taxpayers are taken advantage of enough! We will be there when you campaign again..... on your side or opposing you!
Sincerely,
Travis Crozier

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.
Lincoln City Council:

Do not vote in favor of higher taxes for the City of Lincoln and the Mayor's proposal to spend $1 million of the windfall created by property valuation increases.

Councilmembers Christensen & Gaylor Baird to return all the windfall.

Enough is enough. If the City needs more find it in the Recycling survey or funds spent to build a Brine plant. Seems there is money in the budget for those items.

Regards,

Jon O. Zvolanek

jon.zvolanek@gmail.com
402.770.1080
6946 Ash Hollow Lane
Lincoln, NE 68516