I. MINUTES
   1. Approval of Directors’ Minutes August 7, 2017

II. ADJUSTMENTS TO AGENDA

III. CITY CLERK

IV. MAYOR’S CORRESPONDENCE
   1. Fiscal Impact Statement dated July 19, 2017

V. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

   PLANNING DEPARTMENT
   1. Administrative Approvals from August 1, 2017 through August 7, 2017

   URBAN DEVELOPMENT
   1. Street and Alley Vacation No. 17007

VI. BOARDS/COMMITTEES/COMMISSION REPORTS
   1. Funders Group - Gaylor Baird (8.10.17)
   3. ISPC - Raybould (8.10.17)
   4. Board of Health - Shobe (8.08.17)

VII. COUNCIL MEMBERS
   1. Discussion on items for the Performance Audit Committee 2017/2018

VIII. CONSTITUENT CORRESPONDENCE
   1. Abbott Sports Complex - Dana and Brian Meves
   2. Recycling Education Contract - Sandi Sullivan
   3. 84th Street School Zone - Tom Albin
   4. Recycling Education Contract - Pamela Firebaugh
   5. Recycling Education Contract - Jack Coogan
   6. Saunders Ave Re-Paving Project - Judy Nissen
   7. Assaults, Stabbings and Shootings in Lincoln - David Berkheim
   8. School Zones - William Boernke

IX. MISCELLANEOUS

X. MEETINGS/INVITATIONS
   See invitation list.

XI. ADJOURNMENT
FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Mayor's/Aging

DATE: July 19, 2017

NEED: Aging Partners is requesting an increase in hours to an Aging Specialist III position. This increase would be from 32 hours a week to 40 hours a week and is based on increased casework in both Case Management and State Care Management programs. We have been running a consistent waiting list of 15-20 clients. This additional time will assist in decreasing wait times for service which can last 3-4 weeks. The staff member in the position is already receiving benefits and this would not impact the budget for those costs. The increase in hours would cause an increase in salary by 16 hours per pay period with 26 pay periods in the next fiscal year for a total of 416 extra hours. Aging Partners has had a vacancy that equals 1 FTE that has not been filled. The source of funding for the vacant FTE can be used to fund the increased hours of the existing position. .20 of that FTE will be used to support the increased hours. The employee impacted has seniority as an Aging Specialist III and bumping rights will not be a factor.

FUTURE IMPACT: X Ongoing

PROJECTED COMPLETION DATE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUES GENERATED</th>
<th>LEGISLATIVE CHANGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>Yes ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>Yes ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Yes ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>CURRENT FISCAL YEAR</th>
<th>NEXT FISCAL YEAR ANNUALIZED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (full time equivalents)</td>
<td>.20 FTE</td>
<td>.20 FTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (cost) business unit:</td>
<td>$10,638.00</td>
<td>$12,739.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code</td>
<td>description</td>
<td>02005.5021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPPLIES business unit:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code</td>
<td>description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER SERVICES &amp; CHARGES business unit:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code</td>
<td>description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIPMENT business unit:</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code</td>
<td>description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL EXPENDITURES

$10,638.00 | $12,739.00

SOURCE OF REVENUES: Personal and Family Services budget-02005 Fund 125

DIRECTOR

DATE 7-19-2017
WHEN TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Requesting transfer of operating appropriations.
2. Requesting increase in personnel (full time equivalents) appropriations.
3. Requesting transfer of capital improvement appropriations.
4. Requesting operational change not authorized during the budget process.
5. Requesting appropriations based on receipt of additional funds from outside sources.
6. Requesting use of Contingency funds.

HOW TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

NEED: There should be a detailed explanation of why a change to the previously approved budget is necessary. If the change will have any impact beyond the current fiscal year, it should also be noted.

FUTURE IMPACT: One of the boxes should be checked. An example of an item with ongoing impact would be a request for additional fte authorization that will also be requested in upcoming budgets. This would necessitate filling out the "Next Fiscal Year Annualized" column. An example of an item with limited impact would be asking for authorization to use salary savings for the one time purchase of equipment. If "Projected Completion Date" applies, please fill in.

REVENUES GENERATED: Please note if the request will affect current and future revenues.

LEGISLATIVE CHANGES: These boxes should be marked yes or no. Some of the actions this form is used for (transfer of capital improvement appropriations, Contingency Funds) require a City Council ordinance.

PERSONNEL (full time equivalents): Please note the number of fte’s the request involves, if applicable.

PERSONNEL (cost), SUPPLIES, OTHER SERVICES AND CHARGES, EQUIPMENT: All entries in these boxes must have the business unit, object code, and object code description along with the dollar amount. Negative amounts must be indicated by brackets.

TOTAL EXPENDITURES: This box should contain the sum of the dollar amounts in the various expenditure categories.

SOURCE OF REVENUES: This box should contain the name of the fund the action is required for.
Memorandum

Date: August 8, 2017

To: City Clerk

From: Amy Huffman, Planning Dept.

Re: Administrative Approvals

cc: Mayor Chris Beutler
    Planning Commission
    Geri Rorabaugh, Planning Dept.

This is a list of the administrative approvals by the Planning Director from August 1, 2017 through August 7, 2017:

Administrative Amendment No. 17046, to Change of Zone No. 16036, Wilderness Hills Commercial PUD, approved by the Planning Director on August 2, 2017, to increase the maximum number of dwelling units on Lot 17 to 175, generally located at S. 30th Street and Wilderness Hills Boulevard.

Administrative Amendment No. 17050, to Special Permit No. 1342A, Capital Tower Personal Wireless Facility, approved by the Planning Director on August 3, 2017, to waive the screening as required by Design Standards Chapter 3.50, Section 7.9 due to the remote location of the tower and the lack of surrounding land uses, generally located at 341 W. Calvert Street, near the intersection of Homestead Expressway & W. Calvert Street.
A request has been made to vacate the alley between 53rd and 54th, Gladstone to Judson Streets by the adjacent property owners. The area to be vacated was viewed by the writer and is 2,912 sf in size and is a grassed area most likely being maintained by these same property owners. There are LES poles and lines that are located in the alley on the west side, a raised flower bed and bush adjacent to 5323 Gladstone and a piece of fence lattice that may extend into the alley adjacent to 5329 Gladstone. A street light pole sits at the north end of the alley but appears may already be located in ROW. LES and Charter Communications are the only utility companies that require retention of easements.

The adjacent properties are currently zoned R-2, and the writer has knowledge of comparable lot sales in the area at $25,000 to $30,000 per lot. Using $30,000 per lot and the various sizes of each lot adjacent to the alley, the per square value range is from $3.91/sf to $6.52/sf. Eliminating the high and low psf values, leaves an average of $4.50/sf and will be used for calculation purposes for each parcel. Small narrow strips such as the one being vacated rarely have any value to anyone except the abutting landowner. In this case, it is not expected any purchaser would be willing to pay more than roughly 10% of fee value for assemblage especially with the retention of the easements.

Each property owner adjacent to the alley has signed a petition and wishes to purchase their portion of the alley so it will be divided into five different segments. Calculations for each of those areas to be vacated are as follows:

- 5323 Gladstone (Adams) - 736 sf x $4.50/sf x 10% = $331
- 5329 Gladstone (Graeb) - 736 sf x $4.50/sf x 10% = $331
- 5320 Judson (Beran) - 720 sf x $4.50/sf x 10% = $324
- 3301 North 54th Street (Krejdl) - 360 sf x $4.50/sf x 10% = $162
- 3307 N. 54th Street (Stuefer) - 360 sf x $4.50/sf x 10% = $162

Therefore, if the area is to be vacated, it is recommended that the area be sold to each applicant as indicated above for a grand total of $1,310.

Respectfully submitted,

Michelle R. Backemeyer
Real Estate & Relocation Assistance Agent
Good Afternoon Council Members,

We would like to take a moment to encourage you to vote against making the Abbott Complex an industrial development area. The city of Lincoln, the youth of Lincoln and the citizens of Lincoln and surrounding communities are in desperate need for more areas to utilize for athletics, especially youth athletics.

We have heard about options and alternatives to this industrial development. We are for continuing to make the area available to athletics including youth baseball, softball, volleyball, football, soccer, tennis etc. There is a tremendous need for youth facilities made for youth activities. Our child has participated in several sports at Abbott including indoor baseball, indoor and outdoor tennis and indoor and outdoor soccer as part of a soccer club and also as an individual registering for these activities. We would have no problem paying a membership fee as an individual to continue indoor baseball and indoor and outdoor tennis or as part of his indoor and outdoor soccer club fee. Our son has continued to play tennis and baseball at Abbott this year. His soccer club was unable to continue to utilize Abbott as their "home" due to the financial situation of Abbott and outdoor field care. That has made a tremendous shortage of soccer fields. As for youth baseball, we have seen a tremendous need for field availability for practice, games, tournaments etc. Not just for Lincoln. Many surrounding communities play games and host tournaments that are not from Lincoln but would absolutely utilize Lincoln facilities if they were available. This would also increase revenue for the city as families would need lodging, food and entertainment. There are wonderful programs in every aspect of youth athletics, including non profit organizations, in Lincoln, and surrounding communities. All need more space and areas available to them for use.

We have participated at numerous youth baseball and soccer facilities in Iowa, including Council Bluffs and Des Moines. We have been very impressed by family friendly and youth oriented designs with these facilities. Shade, grounds maintenance, field quality, parks and play areas, concessions, hotels, restaurants, entertainment, cleanliness and safety. Youth love playing at them. Families love bringing their children there. We have witnessed many adults and youth discuss and question why we don't have that in Nebraska. Why we don't have that in Lincoln. Everyone has their opinion as to why.

Youth and their families enjoy playing at facilities that are for youth. An analogy would be a medical facility or hospital for all ages vs one for pediatrics or children. Elementary schools are made for elementary students K-5, middle schools etc. What do more families and youth typically feel more comfortable with? Children and youth love when things are built for them. Their needs, their size, their world. The city has more facilities made for adults that youth are playing at because they are underserved. Whether Abbott is only for youth,
adults or both we want it to stay a complex for athletics or something the community and families can utilize. It's built for athletics. Why not keep it that way. It's a nice green space as well.

There are lots of open and unleased industrial buildings in the city, including around Cornhusker Hwy and the Abbott area. Has anyone provided numbers for vacancies in the city or in that area? We saw many first hand, in July and August, while making deliveries in that area. We live in northeast Lincoln and don't want to see this become an industrial space or eye sore. There are plenty of other industrial developments that need tenants or have industrial land available.

Thank you for your time and service to our community in Lincoln.

Sincerely,

Dana and Brian Meves
8970 Prairie Village Drive
Lincoln, Ne 68507
I support these efforts and hope they are informative, realistic, and specific in terms of dollars and energy saved. 

Sent from my iPhone
Dear Jon,

I have lived in Regent Heights for over 10 years and use 84th exclusively to go to work. I have seen this stretch of road and the surrounding landscape develop in that time. The intersection at 84th and Leighton has been in the news lately to put in a school zone for the children coming from Harrison Heights.

I agree that something needs to be done, but not a school zone. I agree with the engineers who have studied this intersection. The solution is simple and has already been voiced by many people. The city needs to put in a pedestrian overpass on the north side of that intersection. I would be willing to bet that if the city says they cannot afford it that you could probably get it donated by a whole bunch of caring folks. You may even have a naming opportunity for this bridge for some foundation or wealthy estate owner to donate the monies. The list of possibilities for the funding are endless.

Please recommend/vote to put in a pedestrian bridge. The funding should not really even be an issue, the safety of our children and all pedestrians using that intersection come first.

Thank you for your consideration.

Tom Albin
402.477.0072
7645 Rutledge Ave
tomalbin@neb.rr.com
Wouldn't it be so much cheaper to mail every household the salient points on recycling? That all we need. You already have that information. I don't want a coloring book with pictures of what's recyclable and what isn't. I'm kinda tired of all of expensive studies that lead to nowhere but to make those that do the studies more economically well off at our expense.

Sent from my iPad
Dear City Council,

As a Community we took the first step by passing the ordinance. However, promotion and education is required to avoid confusion and do this correctly. I fear we will not reach our community goals without community education. We can do it now or later but it will be required for us to succeed. Let’s do this right the first time!

Regards,

Jack Coogan

Jack Coogan | Director / Team Leader
Environmental Health and Safety
Zoetis | 601 West Cornhusker Highway, Lincoln, NE  68521
Office: 402-441-2648 | Mobile : 402-499-1005 | jack.coogan@zoetis.com
Visit Us: zoetis.com
I read the article in the paper about Saunders Avenue being so bad and I agree it is but have you ever driven North 48th, north of Superior Street that goes to the refuse drop-off site? It is horrible and has been for years. Thanks. Bill Nissen
Raymond, Nebraska

Sent from my iPhone
Council Office - Feedback

Date: 8/9/2017 12:13:24 PM

Full Name: David Berkheim
Address: 9510 Avalon Ct.
City: LINCOLN, NE 68526
Phone: 4025250782
Email: dgberkheim@gmail.com

Comments: I'm writing concerning the increase in assaults, stabbings, and shooting in Lincoln; the latest being the stabbing at the Lancaster county fair. It appears to me that a fair amount of these crimes are committed by Mexicans or individuals from South America and some are gang related. My question is this: Does the LPD or sheriffs office check the immigration status of these individuals? and if they do are these individuals turned over for deportation if they are in the country illegally?

IP: 108.167.13.46
Form: https://www.lincoln.ne.gov/city/council/feedback.htm
User Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/60.0.3112.90 Safari/537.36
Dear Councilperson Camp:

I hate to harp on this brouhaha, but I can’t help myself when engineers make absolutely stupid comments in the paper.

“A week ago, Lonnie Burklund, manager of Engineering Services for the city, used crash data for the 84th and Pyrtle school speed zone showing crashes occurred far more frequently when the school zone lights were flashing, during a City Council meeting.” (“Lincoln Journal”)

H. L. Mencken once said: “You’ll never go broke underestimating the intelligence of the general public.” This is certainly true for engineers who think that because more accidents happen in school zones, this is due to the school zones. This is a classic logical fallacy. Simply because there is a correlation between the number of accidents and the existence of school zones, it does not logically follow that the school zone is the cause of the accidents. My wife (a retired LPS teacher) is convinced that student behavior was bad when there was a full moon. Although the correlation may exist, you cannot conclude that the moon causes people to behave badly, despite the notion that people turn into wolves when the moon is full (this idea exists in many cultures where there are wolves; I used to love to watch wolf-man movies when I was a kid). The great skeptic, David Hume, said there is no logical reason to think the sun will rise in the east tomorrow morning simply because it has done so every day in the past (a correlation coefficient of 1.0).

Engineers are certainly qualified to declare a bridge designed improperly to be unsafe. Engineers, however, cannot conclude that a bridge is unsafe if a drunk has an accident on the bridge.

Similarly, if people drive unsafely in a school zone, accidents are more likely to happen because any time people drive unsafely, accidents are likely to happen. You can’t blame a law for the fact people break laws, because people are supposed to obey the law.

Anybody who doesn’t slow down during a rain storm is fool. Similarly, people who don’t slow down when deer and children are present are fools.

The behavior of deer is unpredictable. Ergo, you should slow down if deer are present because they might dash out in front of your car. Since your reaction time is constant, the faster you are driving, the more likely it is that you won’t be able to drive defensively. You don’t blame your car or the road if you hit a deer. You blame yourself. Similarly, the problem with children is that the frontal lobes of the brain (the part of the brain responsible for preventing risky behavior) do not fully mature until the mid-20’s. This means children are much more likely to cross a road when it is unsafe because they are biologically incapable of accurately evaluating risk. Although a driver may have the right of way, a dead child is still dead regardless of who has the right of way. There is a reason the first rule of driving is: “Don’t insist on your right of way.”

Do you remember Ralph Nader’s book: “Unsafe at any Speed”? The book was about the Ford Pinto. It had a design flaw that caused the Pinto to burst into flames when in a rear-end collision. The point of the title is that because the kinetic energy of a moving vehicle is a function of the square of the velocity, the faster you drive the less safe it will
Collisions at high speed cause more damage because energy is conserved. This is why people should slow down in school zones where children are likely to be present, just as you should slow down when deer are likely to be present. The problem with the Pinto is that the Pinto would burst into flames in even slow-speed rear-end collisions. Driving a Pinto more slowly would not improve its safety.

But even if there are more car-on-car collisions in school zones, the real question is: are there more car-on-child collisions in school zones compared with roads near schools where there are no school zones?

This brouhaha is not different than the proposal to make all gun-control laws uniform throughout Nebraska. People from rural areas who pack heat did not want to be accused of breaking the law in Lincoln and Omaha. Even though the laws made Lincoln and Omaha safer, the laws should be repealed because they are inconvenient for people who want to pack heat. Airport screening laws are inconvenient (not to mention that they violate my right to privacy). But I am not opposed to them because they make air travel safer. I wonder why the NRA doesn’t protest airport screening because preventing a person from packing heat on an airplane to defend himself/herself from terrorists is a clear violation of the Second Amendment.

Arguing that we shouldn’t have school zones because they are less safe is simply specious and engineers who make this claim should be fired for stupidity.

William Boernke
1004 Galloway Circle
Lincoln, NE 68512
DIRECTORS'/ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA
ADDENDUM
MONDAY, August 14, 2017

I. CITY CLERK

II. MAYOR'S CORRESPONDENCE

III. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES
1. Lead Service Line Notification

IV. MISCELLANEOUS
1. LES Administrative Board Agenda - August 18, 2017

V. CONSTITUENT CORRESPONDENCE
1. 84th Street School Zone - Kim Remington
2. Recycling - Cathie Bailey
3. Recycling - Lisa King
4. School Crossing Zones - Katy Boggs and Karen Stelling
5. Recycling - Carrie Smith
6. Recycling - Pippa White Lawson
7. Recycling - Jim Ziller
8. Recycling - Robert Hinrichs
9. Recycling - Tausha Ward armbruster
10. Recycling - Bob Boyce
11. Recycling - Gaye Mason
12. Recycling - Lynne Fritz
13. Recycling - Elizabeth Peterson
14. Recycling - Mary Klein
15. Recycling - Virginia Martin
16. Recycling - Dana Fritz
17. Recycling - Arda Pounds
18. Recycling - Linda Montag
19. Recycling - Lilly Blase
20. Recycling - Anica Brown
21. Recycling - Van Ham Laurel
22. Recycling - Karen Griffin
23. Pinewood Bowl Concert 08.13.17 - Kay Koch
24. Recycling - Wayne Boles
25. Recycling - Dakota Staggs
26. Recycling - Marjorie J. Willeke
27. Recycling - Charlie Humble
28. Recycling - Hank Bruner
29. Recycling - Dan Schlitt
Date:

Reminder – it is now time to flush your water service and interior plumbing lines.

IMPORTANT HEALTH NOTICE – Lead Water Service Line

Lincoln Water System has completed the replacement of a portion of your water service line with copper pipe in accordance with Lincoln Municipal Code 17.18.030b. The replaced portion was located in the Right of Way between the new water main and the curbstop.

However, the remaining service line from the curbstop to your home may still contain lead and/or galvanized materials. Replacement of those lines is the responsibility of the property owner.

Studies have shown that when lead service lines are disturbed through construction, they can release lead into the property’s internal plumbing and drinking water for weeks or months afterward. Therefore, homeowners and plumbing contractors are encouraged to flush internal plumbing to reduce the amount of lead-containing particles and sediment.

If you did not replace the lead or galvanized water service lines into your home or business during our recent project, please take the following steps to minimize your exposure to any lead that may have been released.

Before drinking or using water or making ice, flush all your faucets following these steps:

1. Remove faucet aerators from all cold water taps in the home.
2. Beginning in the lowest level of the home, fully open the cold water taps throughout the home.
3. Let the water run for at least 30 minutes at the last tap you opened (top floor).
4. Turn off each tap starting with the taps in the highest level of the home. Be sure to run water in bathtubs and showers as well as faucets.
5. Do not consume tap water, open hot water faucets, or use icemaker or filtered water dispenser until after flushing is complete.

You may also wish to use a water filter for drinking and cooking, particularly if you are pregnant, breastfeeding, or have children under the age of six. Only use filters that meet NSF/ANSI Standard 53, which assures they will remove lead. More information about filters can be found at the following website: http://info.nsf.org/Certified/DWTU/listings_leadreduction.asp
City of Lincoln Water Department will analyze water samples from your home upon request. Contact Lincoln Water System at 402-441-7571 for instructions. You may want to have your water tested for lead more than once after your service lines and/or plumbing are replaced.

If you have questions related to water service line work, flushing, sampling or testing, please contact the Lincoln Water System:

Assistant Superintendent Water Service:
  Dave Caulkins, 402-441-5923, dcaulkins@lincoln.ne.gov

Superintendent of Water Distribution:
  Dave Beyersdorf, 402-441-5932, dbeyersdorf@lincoln.ne.gov

If you have any questions related to the health issues related to lead you may contact the Lincoln Lancaster County Health Department at 402-441-8065. Public health nurses will help you and can refer you to useful medical resources.

Enclosed is some information about the potential health effects of lead in drinking water. More information about lead in service lines can be found at the following website:

https://www.epa.gov/ground-water-and-drinking-water/basic-information-about-lead-drinking-water
Health Effects of Exposures to Lead in Drinking Water*

*The health effects information on this page is not intended to catalog all possible health effects for lead. Rather, it is intended to let you know about the most significant and probable health effects associated with lead in drinking water, as identified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

Is there a safe level of lead in drinking water?

The Safe Drinking Water Act requires EPA to determine the level of contaminants in drinking water at which no adverse health effects are likely to occur with an adequate margin of safety. These non-enforceable health goals, based solely on possible health risks, are called maximum contaminant level goals (MCLGs). Since lead is a toxic metal and can accumulate in the human body over time, the EPA has set the MCLG for lead in drinking water at zero.

Young children, infants, and fetuses are particularly vulnerable to lead because the health effects of lead occur at lower exposure levels in children. A dose of lead that would have little effect on an adult can have a significant effect on a child. In children, low levels of exposure have been linked to damage to the nervous system, learning disabilities, shorter stature, impaired hearing, and damaged blood cells.

It is important to recognize all the ways a child can be exposed to lead. Children are exposed to lead in paint, dust, soil, air, and food, as well as drinking water. If the level of lead in a child's blood is at or above the CDC action level of 5 micrograms per deciliter, it may be due to lead exposures from a combination of sources. EPA estimates that drinking water can make up 20 percent or more of a person's total exposure to lead. Infants who consume mostly mixed formula can receive 40 to 60 percent of their exposure to lead from drinking water.

Children

Even low levels of lead in the blood of children can result in:

- Behavior and learning problems
- Lower IQ and hyperactivity
- Slowed growth
- Hearing problems
- Anemia

In rare cases, ingestion of lead can cause seizures, coma and even death.

Pregnant and Nursing Women

Lead can accumulate in our bodies over time, where it is stored in bones along with calcium. During pregnancy and breastfeeding, lead is released from bones as maternal calcium and is used to help form the bones of the fetus and in milk production. This is particularly true if a woman does not have enough dietary calcium. Lead can also cross the placental barrier exposing the fetus to lead. This can result in serious effects to the mother and her developing fetus, including:
• Reduced growth of the fetus
• Premature birth
• Spontaneous abortion

Adults

Lead is also harmful to adults. Adults exposed to lead can suffer from:

• Cardiovascular effects, increased blood pressure and incidence of hypertension
• Decreased kidney function
• Reproductive problems (in both men and women)

Can I shower in lead-contaminated water?

Yes. Bathing and showering should be safe for you and your children, even if the water contains lead over the EPA action level. Human skin does not absorb lead in water.

This information applies to most situations and to a large majority of the population, but individual circumstances may vary. Some situations, such as cases involving highly corrosive water, may require additional recommendations or more stringent actions.

Customers with plumbing containing lead should have water samples from their home analyzed for lead. Always use a certified laboratory to analyze water quality samples. City of Lincoln Water Department will analyze water samples from your home upon request. Contact Lincoln Water System at 402-441-7571 for instructions. You may want to have your water tested for lead more than once after your service lines and/or plumbing are replaced.

Lincoln Water System can supply you with sampling and testing information. Lincoln Water System, as well as local plumbing professionals, can assist in identifying lead contamination sources in your plumbing and that can affect your tap water. Many public water authorities have websites that include data on drinking water quality, including results of lead testing. Links to such data can be found on the EPA Consumer Confidence Report website:

https://www.epa.gov/lead/learn-about-lead

For more information, see CDC's "Sources of Lead: Water" Web page:

https://www.cdc.gov/nceh/lead/tips/water.htm
Goals:

1. Keep Lincoln residents safe
2. Encourage resident/business participation in lead lines replacement associated with water main repair
3. Provide citizens assurance that:
   a. Lincoln water is healthy
   b. Construction is responsibly managed to protect citizens
4. Raise homeowner awareness of the presence lead water services, potential health risks associated with lead exposure and ways to reduce exposure in homes that have lead pipes

Important Information:

- Lincoln residents deserve the best we can give them when it comes to the quality of their drinking water. At Public Works and Utilities, we take that responsibility to heart.

- The city of Lincoln’s drinking water does not contain detectable levels of lead.

- If lead exposure is occurring in Lincoln, it is due to the use of lead pipes for water service lines to the home, a popular choice prior to 1950.

- Because water service lines are part of private property, the City cannot unilaterally replace them. Replacement costs are the responsibility of the home or business owner.

- However, during a water main construction project, we offer residents and businesses the opportunity to use our contractors to replace their lead service lines at a potentially reduced costs. We also offer water quality testing at the request of property owners who are concerned about potential lead contamination.

- We want to encourage greater use of those offers, so we have developed informational letters and door hangers, geared specifically toward those with lead service lines, to help clarify the health risks associated with lead contamination.

- It is well-known that exposure to lead in drinking water can cause serious health problems, especially for infants, young children and pregnant women.

- If at all possible, we hope Lincoln residents and businesses will consider using our construction project as a reason to replace those lead service lines. If replacement is not possible, our letters provide information on the best ways to safeguard your drinking water from lead contamination.

- Those who are interested in replacing their lead water service lines or testing their water quality from the tap should contact Lincoln Water System at 402-441-7571.
Water main construction and lead
August 10, 2017

Lead Lines and Construction

- The latest research in our field shows a relationship between detectable lead levels and water system construction, which can disturb lead service lines and release lead deposits into the home or business plumbing.

- Our engineers and contractors design and manage the project to create as little disturbance to homeowners as possible. Licensed plumbers are used to reconnect water services and flush the pipes.

- However, because of these findings, we decided to revise our communications to ensure residents with lead service lines are aware of the health risks and ways to protect themselves.

Communication with Residents During Construction

- Open communication with residents who may be impacted by any construction project is a priority for Public Works and Utilities.

- Before a project, property owners are contacted by mail and sometimes neighborhood meetings about the project and possible impacts.

- They notified again when their water service is disrupted during the few hours service lines are connected to the new water main. A flyer is left at their doors when no one is home or available.

- Those with lead or galvanized water service lines receive a separate notification that includes an offer to work with city contractors and replace their lines (at their own expense), as well as a summary of potential health threats related to lead exposure and ways to protect themselves.

- For residents questioning the materials used in their water service pipes, please call Lincoln Water System at 402-441-7571 to learn more about lines servicing your property.

- The U.S. EPA has a hotline, resources and tips available to private citizens concerned about lead contamination. (www.epa.gov/safewater/lead, 1-800-426-4791)

State of Our System

- The city of Lincoln’s drinking water does not contain detectable levels of lead in its source of water or after treatment.

- According to testing required by federal law in 2016 (which involves going into at least 50 homes or businesses in Lincoln and testing water at the tap), lead levels in Lincoln were well below U.S. Environmental Protection Agency action levels.
  - The U.S. EPA lead and copper rule requires sampling every three years. The collective test results for the 57 samples collected in 2016 were well below U.S. EPA action levels.
  - Last year, only one sample collected from a private water service line containing lead pipes tested above the action level for lead. This shows that lead-containing plumbing materials can increase exposure to lead from drinking water inside individual homes. No water main construction was associated with this home.

- For more information on lead and other information on Lincoln’s water quality, please refer to the Consumer Confidence Report at http://www.lincoln.ne.gov/city/pworks/water/quality.htm
Potential Questions:

1. **How much does it typically cost to replace lead service lines?**
   Typically about $3,000 - $5,000.

2. **What if the home or business owner cannot afford to replace their lines?**
   Lincoln’s Urban Development Department uses funds from several sources (federal, state, and local) for programs that help low- and moderate-income homeowners maintain and improve their homes. To learn more about these programs please call 402-441-7864, email urbndev@lincoln.ne.gov, or stop by 555 S.10th Street, Suite 205.

   Increasing awareness about the potential health risks of lead lines is half the battle. If water users are armed with information, they can take important steps to protect their family, which may be as simple as running tap water for a period of time before drinking it or using a water filter that removes lead.

   The U.S. EPA has a hotline, resources and tips available to private citizens concerned about lead contamination. (www.epa.gov/safewater/lead, 1-800-426-4791)

3. **Have you considered programs that help residents pay for those replacements?**
   We are aware of some communities that have begun pilot programs in this area, and we are monitoring their success.

   Lincoln’s Urban Development Department uses funds from several sources (federal, state, and local) for programs that help low- and moderate-income homeowners maintain and improve their homes. To learn more about these programs please call 402-441-7864, email urbndev@lincoln.ne.gov, or stop by 555 S.10th Street, Suite 205.

4. **Do you test tap water before and after construction?**
   We offer water testing at the request of home or business owners with lead pipes. Those interested should contact Lincoln Water System at 402-441-7571.

5. **How does the City of Lincoln currently test for lead in the water system?**
   Lead and copper sampling is performed by Lincoln Water System every three years as required by the U.S. EPA Lead and Copper Rule. The collective test results for the 57 samples collected in 2016 were below the U.S. EPA action levels of 15 ppb lead and 1,300 ppb copper. Only one sample from the tap of a private water customer with lead service pipes tested above the action level for lead, proving that having lead plumbing and water service lines increase the risk of exposure to lead.

6. **Would the City of Lincoln consider performing the lead tests more often?**
   Due to cost considerations, we will continue to follow the U.S. EPA requirements for testing. However, testing is available to Lincoln residents and business owners with lead pipes at any time. Those interested should contact Lincoln Water System at 402-441-7571.
7. **Why are you adding this information now? Have you ever had an incident of contamination associated with water main construction?**
   This is a developing area of study in our industry, and we do not have information that would indicate whether contamination has occurred or not.

   It’s important to educate our customers with lead water service lines about the possibility, and encourage them to take measures to protect themselves.

8. **When did you start including information about health impacts related to lead exposure?**
   We have always alerted private property owners when they have lead service lines and offered our contractors to help replace those lines (at their expense). Because the city is already making improvements to the water system, now is a good time to consider replacing those lead lines.

   We added information about potential health impacts this summer as we ramp up our water main replacement program and as the industry learns more about lead contamination in older water service lines. Our hope is that the additional information will encourage property owners to replace their portion of the service lines when we are in the area.

9. **How do you keep in contact with residents after a construction project to ensure they are not experiencing health impacts?**
   We deliver a notice to residents or business owners (via door hangers or hand delivered) with lead pipes after construction is complete to again warn them of potential health risks associated with lead contamination and give them guidance for protecting themselves and their families or patrons.

   If residents have any medical concerns they should contact a medical professional immediately. Cases of lead poisoning are reported to numerous agencies for attention, including the Lincoln Lancaster County Health Department.

10. **Can you provide the address and name of the home or business that exceeded the EPA lead level?**
    We typically work with property owners one-on-one to identify the best steps for guarding against lead exposure. It is not our practice to release that information.

11. **How are property owners billed if they decide to replace their lead service lines using city contractors?**
    The city’s contractors bill the home or business owner directly for the work.
AGENDA
LES ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD
Friday, August 18, 2017
9:30 a.m.
LES Board Room
1040 “O” Street

9:30 A.M.
1. Safety Briefing

2. Call to Order

3. Approval of Minutes of the July 21, 2017 Regular Meeting of the LES Administrative Board

4. Comments from Customers

5. Committee Reports
   A. Operations & Power Supply Committee
   B. Personnel & Organization Committee
   C. Finance & Audit Committee

6. CEO Reports
   A. 2017 Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) Recommendations
   B. *Approval of Employee Benefits Adjustments – LES Resolution 2017-7
   C. North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) Compliance Program Review and Audit Update
   D. Sustainable Living Festival Report
   E. United Way Campaign Report

7. Other Business
   A. Monthly Financial and Power Supply Reports
   B. Miscellaneous Information

8. Adjournment

* Denotes Action Items

Next Regular Administrative Board meeting Friday, September 15, 2017.
The Honorable Chris Beutler  
Mayor, City of Lincoln  
555 South 10th Street, Suite 301  
Lincoln, NE 68508

Dear Mayor Beutler:

As you are aware, earlier this week the City Council voted to amend Section 10.14.260 of the Lincoln Municipal Code relating to the approval for school related speed zones and voted to approve resolution 17R-190 to install a school related speed zone along North 84th Street near Leighton Avenue.

The American Council of Engineering Companies of Nebraska (ACEC/Nebraska) believes that the City Council may be in violation of State law by mandating an engineering decision that is in direct conflict with the recommendation of the City Traffic Engineering Manager.

ACEC/Nebraska urges you to veto the Council’s decision. No third party, in the form of an independent consultant or another public agency with a similar school proximity to the traffic network can provide a professional opinion on instituting a school zone at this location.

Further, we encourage rescinding any City ordinances or similar measures in place allowing a non-engineer to override the decision of the City Engineer for matters clearly under the purview of the City Engineer on future projects. The risks to public safety, potential for increased City-liability should accidents occur, and questionable legality of decision-making enabled by such ordinances clearly outweigh the benefits of having these ordinances in place.

The action by the City Council was conducted contrary to the recommendation of the Engineering Services Manager who is a licensed Professional Engineer (PE), and Professional Traffic Operations Engineer (PTOE). The City Attorney has also testified regarding the unknown legal risks of installing a school-related speed zone without the support of Public Works engineering staff. There is shared concern regarding execution of this resolution since it conflicts with the individual responsibilities for which professional engineers are legally accountable under the Nebraska Engineers and Architects Regulation Act.

ACEC/Nebraska strongly opposes the suggestion that the City find a Professional Engineer to sign off on the Council’s decision to establish the school zone. This suggests that the City will only hire an engineer who would agree to endorse the majority of the council members’ wishes and not one who will impartially review the situation and render a true professional opinion. We are concerned that this situation is viewed primarily as a case of “engineering judgment” and that there may be differing opinions with regard to the requirements of establishing a school zone. The Public Works Department has clearly indicated their recommendations are based upon readily available studies.
and guidance related to establishment of school zones and their recommendations are not based solely upon "engineering judgment".

The American Council of Engineering Companies in Nebraska and its 49-member firms believe it is important to educate the public about the role of Professional Engineers and their fiduciary duty to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public. The title "Professional Engineer" is not a title for authority; it is a title of responsibility.

The engineering profession is founded in professional training, licensure and ethics, which is mandated in Nebraska statute. A Professional Engineer is bound to limit their practice to areas in which they are qualified in order to safeguard life, health, and property and to promote the public welfare. Professional Engineers consider all study requests on a standalone basis and precedence should not weigh in on any engineer's recommendation. Engineering judgment provided by individuals who are properly trained and credentialed must be consistent with the requirements enforced by the Nebraska Board of Engineers and Architects. The practice of engineering, including engineering decision-making, in Nebraska is subject to the rules and regulations set forth by the State Board of Engineers and Architects.

Thank you for your consideration and if you have any questions or wish to discuss this matter further, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Jeanne McClure
Executive Director
jeanne@acecnebraska.org

cc: Councilwoman Leirion Gaylor Baird
    Councilman Carl Eskridge
    Councilman Jon Camp
    Councilman Roy Christensen
    Councilwoman Cyndi Lamm
    Councilwoman Jane Raybould
    Councilman Bennic Shobc
Dear City Council members:

I write to express my strong support of RecycleLincoln educational program to increase recycling in Lincoln. My husband and I have been using curbside recycling for years and our recycle pickup container is bursting compared to our garbage. In fact we have been able to reduce by half our weekly garbage pickup.

To recycle, the efforts are minimal and the rewards for our environment are huge. It's consistent with the values of our beautiful city. Please vote in favor of the educational program. Thank you.

Cathie Bailey
68502
Angela M. Birkett

From: WebForm <none@lincoln.ne.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 11, 2017 1:11 PM
To: Council Packet
Subject: InterLinc - Feedback

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Council Office - Feedback
Date: 8/11/2017 1:10:40 PM

Full Name: Lisa King
Address: 2210 Essex Rd
City: Lincoln, NE
Phone: -
Email: -
Comments: Come on, Lincoln we can do better than 22% recycling!!

IP: 129.93.111.106
Form: http://lincoln.ne.gov/City/council/feedback.htm
User Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; WOW64; rv:54.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/54.0
August 11, 2017

Nebraska Society of Professional Engineers (NeSPE)
Statement of support for the Engineering Department of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska

The Nebraska Society of Professional Engineers (NeSPE), comprised of 385 members across the state of Nebraska, in partnership with the National Society of Professional Engineers (NSPE), serves as the recognized and authoritative expert in licensure, ethics, and professional practice.

The NSPE code of ethics requires, as a fundamental canon that engineers, in the fulfillment of their professional duties, shall hold paramount the safety, health, and welfare of the public. Nebraska statute 81-3416 requires a professional of good ethical character to discharge his or her duties for the benefit of the public’s health, safety, and welfare. Source: Laws 1997, LB 622, § 16; Laws 2015, LB23, § 14.

The Nebraska Board of Engineers and Architects (NBEA) rules and regulations requires professional engineers to be qualified by education, training, and experience in the specific technical areas involved. The rules and regulations require a professional engineer, when acting as the interpreter of studies, and reports to render decisions impartially. The professional engineer shall not knowingly aid or abet the practice or the performance of activities requiring a license by a person not licensed to conduct such practice or activity.

The NBEA rules and regulations require professional engineers, when aware of a decision or an action taken against his or her advice, which violates applicable laws and regulations and which will, in the professional engineer’s judgment, have a material adverse effect on the safety to the public, advise and request reconsideration of the decision or action. In the event the employer or client does not reverse or alter the decision or action to bring it into conformance, the professional engineer shall refuse to consent to the decision or action.

In regard to the issue of school crossing zones in Lincoln, and in particular the potential school speed zone in the area of 84th Street and Leighton, NeSPE supports the city engineer’s commitment to uphold the safety and welfare of the public.

Sincerely,

Karen Stelling, President
Katelyn Boggs, Executive Director

The Nebraska Society of Professional Engineers, recognizing that service to humanity, to our nation and to our state is the fundamental purpose of professional engineering, dedicates itself to the promotion and the protection of the profession of engineering as a social and economic influence vital to the welfare of the community and of all mankind.
Dear City Council,

Please fund an information/education program for our new cardboard recycling program, so we can have the best success possible!

Thank you,

Carrie Smith
1721 Prospect St
Lincoln, NE 68502
I am one of the many people for increasing recycling, and educating the public as to why it's the best decision for our lovely city.

Thank you for listening.
Angela M. Birkett

From: WebForm <none@lincoln.ne.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 11, 2017 6:31 PM
To: Council Packet
Subject: InterLinc - Feedback

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Council Office - Feedback

Date: 8/11/2017 6:31:20 PM

Full Name: JIM P ZILLER
Address: 7421 Lambert Place
City: Lincoln
Phone: 5152402312
Email: xziller8@gmail.com
Comments: Please support the recycling education program.

IP: 76.85.154.2
Form: https://lincoln.ne.gov/city/council/feedback.htm
User Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Linux; Android 7.0; XT1585 Build/NCKS25.118-10-6) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/59.0.3071.125 Mobile Safari/537.36
Dear Mr. Camp,

I hope you're well. I'm writing to City Council members to encourage you to please vote to pass the Recycling Education Ordinance. My views are those of this letter: http://journalstar.com/opinion/columnists/local-view-education-key-to-recycling-success/article_7e214fad-6ef4-5467-8b96-d5866089c7a2.html I agree that education is a key component toward improved recycling efforts that will life our city's standing as a leading place to live and work. The education proposal receives widespread bipartisan support, and is the most comprehensive and cost-effective proposal. Together, these facts indicate to me that a 'yes' vote is wise. Thank you for reading my suggestion.

cheers
--Bob Hinrichs
2310 Smith St.
Dear Mr. Camp:

I understand there is a vote coming regarding recycling in Lincoln and I wanted to let you know that as your constituent, I am in favor of any recycling program we can implement in Lincoln. It is so important that we as a community protect our environment for the future! I would like to see the responsibility of recycling on the individual. I believe the more we educate the more people will understand the importance.

Thank you for listening.

Tausha Ward Armbruster
402-430-5846
Please support recycling education. We need to know things like--are cereal boxes "cardboard"?
Will my recycler have to separate cardboard from other recycling? Will they charge more?
How many people in Lincoln already do significant recycling?
Thanks.

Robert L. Boyce, III
This message is to encourage Council members to approve and initiate the recycling education plan submitted by the Verdis Group/Carson+Global/Nebraska Recycling Council. I understand that:

* This proposal was the lowest of the four received and has now been renegotiated with the city to an even lower amount;
* This group will include the recommendations of the Solid Waste Plan 2040 task force; and
* This group is Lincoln-based - they know our City.

As a tax payer, I am always "surprised" by the cost of any consulting services. However, I believe that the success of increasing Lincoln's recycling rate is, first and foremost, educating our citizens. I also believe that our current city departments are not over-staffed to the degree that we have "extra" people that can be temporarily reassigned to design and deliver this education function.

We have an informed and capable group that is ready to deliver this key component. The funding for them already exists. Please, on Monday, vote to begin this critical project. Thank you. Our City owes its good health to all of you.
Jon- Just wanted to express my support of funding the proposed recycling education. The recycling of cardboard will be much more effective if the public fully understands what is required and what the options are to do it. Education is the key. I hope you vote to fully fund the education component. Thanks for your service. Lynne Fritz

Sent from my iPhone
Recycling education is critically important because education can create a fundamental change in how we manage our natural resources. When citizens understand the issues, they can help ensure our natural capital is maintained for the benefit of future generations.
Greetings to City Council members.....
Thank You for serving our city with your time and gifts!
I want to strongly encourage you to vote this week in favor of supporting education re recycling. No number of filled potholes or balanced budgets will return our planet should we lose it to global warming or environmental destruction.
My thanks,
Mary Klein
Council Office - Feedback

Date: 8/13/2017 8:56:18 AM

Full Name: Virginia MARTIN
Address: 2925 S. 25th St, Lincoln
City: Lincoln
Phone: 4028023400
Email: ginny.w.martin@gmail.com

Comments: I write to voice my support of the Lincoln Recycling education project. Recycling is vital to reducing landfill waste and requires major behavior change to change our habits. Please vote in support of this important initiative.
Comments

Lincoln has an opportunity to move forward in our recycling efforts and the goals won't be achieved without community education. I am embarrassed that our city is so far behind in this regard and that it is hamstrung by our inefficient private trash hauling system. We can and should do better. I hope the council will consider the importance of these positive actions that improve our environment for us and for future generations.
I urge you to vote yes to double Lincoln's recycle rate. We are woefully behind the rest of the civilized citizens of this country....time to catch up. Time to clean up!

Thank you

Arda Pounds

5110 Madison Ave.
Council Office - Feedback

Date: 8/13/2017 6:57:17 PM

Full Name: Linda Montag
Address: 3031 S. 74th Street
City: Lincoln, NE
Phone: 402-489-2418
Email: lmontag@allophone.com

Comments: Please support the education package to alert the citizens of Lincoln on the need to recycle. Make it easy for everyone to participate.

IP: 72.46.61.125
Form: http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/council/feedback.htm
User Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (iPad; CPU OS 10_3_3 like Mac OS X) AppleWebKit/603.3.8 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/10.0 Mobile/14G60 Safari/602.1
Council Office - Feedback

Date : 8/13/2017 9:27:16 PM

Full Name  Lilly Blase
Address  1735 Euclid Ave.
City  Lincoln, NE
Phone  402-450-4167
Email  lillyblase@hotmail.com

Comments  Please support the education program for Lincoln's recycling standards. We simply need to do this.

IP: 75.117.107.84
Form:  http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/council/feedback.htm
User Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/51.0.2704.79 Safari/537.36 Edge/14.14393
I am writing to give my support for the Recycling Education plan that is being voted on Monday, Aug. 14. I believe we need to educate the citizens of Lincoln about the many opportunities we foresee in our future to be more sustainable and keep our environment clean. I know there are those that oppose the education plan. They say we already have haulers for recycled items and we have recycling sites around Lincoln. This has been in place for many years and many citizens think this is all they need to do. But, we all know there is more to it than just that. We have the opportunity to advance our recycling program, provide more incentives to compost, protect our water and land if we proceed with an education campaign for the entire city. Everyone needs to change their mindset to doing more. LPS is busy educating our young people about the importance of recycling and composting. Many of our schools compost all their lunches and that number is growing each year. We are lucky to have 3 composting businesses that share this compassion to keep items from our landfill and compost them for rich soil. Other businesses need to do the same. Let's not be complacent and stay the course. We need to continue to educate everyone about what alternatives and programs our city can offer. All of Lincoln needs to be on board, working on the same recycling goals. We know businesses thinking about moving into Lincoln will want to know our city is investing in a clean future. The Recycling Education plan can offer just that. Thank you for considering my thoughts on this matter. Vote yes on Recycling Education.
Dear Mr. Camp,

As a long-time resident I feel justifiably proud of Lincoln—and clearly blessed to live here. Friends and family in other parts of the country are often surprised (and impressed) when I talk about my experiences "out here on the prairie." Lincoln is a real sleeper!

Now Lincoln's new Environmental Action Plan puts another feather in our cap. Building on previous good decisions, it continues a tradition of employing practical and effective strategies to enhance our environment, our economy, and our quality of life. So I am especially eager to see our City Council lend its support to the various steps necessary to implement the plan.

On Monday you will make a decision about adopting the education and outreach component that is critical to reaching our community recycling goals. I am aware that there has been a lot of pushback about the cost of the bids that are being considered. It is certainly the responsibility of the City Council to look carefully at costs and make prudent decisions about spending our tax revenues, and I applaud the care you take with this. The degree of resistance that has been expressed, however, may also be an indication of exactly how critical education is to reaching this particular goal. Simply put, we have a lot to learn--about the need for recycling, about accomplishing it with ease, and about the range of benefits that improved recycling will bring to our community.

Recycling is an important element of the overall environmental plan; we have a lot to learn about doing it; and you have before a means of helping all of us get on board. I encourage you to give our recycling effort a good push by supporting the recycling education program with your vote.

Sincerely,

Laurel Van Ham
Hello Jon,

I am writing to you today to urge you vote in favor of the Recycling Education Program.

Recycling education is critically important because education can create a fundamental change in how we manage our natural resources. When citizens understand the issues, they can help ensure our natural capital is maintained for the benefit of future generations.

Solving today’s challenging issues, and moving Lincoln toward a more sustainable future, cannot be done by experts and governments alone. It needs the combined support and active participation of consumers, voters, employees, and community and business leaders. The proposed educational campaign works to achieve that goal. It is focused on community engagement, and ensuring that we all know how to properly recycle.

The companies selected for the education campaign are Verdis Group and Carson+Co Global, Nebraska-based firms with outstanding reputations in environmental education. Their proposal was unanimously selected by the Recycling Right Selection Committee, which consisted of public and business leaders. Carson+Co Global & Verdis group received unanimous support because they not only submitted the most comprehensive proposal, they also submitted the lowest-cost bid, a win-win for Lincoln’s recycling program.

Time is short -- the new landfill standards take effect in April 2018. And the stakes are high -- recent trends show that businesses deciding where to locate are considering a city’s commitment to environmental sustainability.

Thank you for your consideration on this important issue,

Karen Griffin

P.S. I am Dr. Bill and Sheila Griffin's daughter and I know my mom and dad would be in support of this too. They taught us to recycle but not all families understand the issues and need better education to do all they can for our city.
Council Office - Feedback

Date: 8/14/2017 10:06:50 AM

Full Name: Kay Koch
Address: 1240 West Ryons St.
City: Lincoln NE 68522
Phone: 402-476-3293
Email: twokochs@yahoo.com

Comments: I am very upset about the concert last evening (13th) at Pinewood Bowl, not who was performing, because I believe the opportunity should be there for any group. It was the absolute LOUD DECIBAL SOUND! I at first thought it was the stereo of our neighbors boys two houses east of us, then realized it was the group from Pinewood bowl. Checking my facebook page, immediately friends from all around the Pioneers Park area were asking what is that noise, etc. I love over a mile away (my back yard goes right onto west South street) and I could even hear the words they were saying. Yes, at times a shelf on my front wall was shaking! This is just way overboard for a performance for Pinewood. There have been many types of performances there, as I am sure you are aware of, even some of the "heavy metal" types and we have never heard any part of their music, etc. Last night was a true topper of horrendous! I am not prejudiced to any kind of music, however I can honestly say I do not like anything country! HA! I am a registered voter and this will not effect my voting thoughts for any of the council members, but I am sending this to all of you, because I do feel this is an issue that needs to be addressed. Many parents in the Roper district were trying to get their little ones to bed early for the first day of school today. Will you be getting lots of feedback from this one performance, probably yes. I am from another household that is very upset from the noise. level. My husband wears a hearing aid and he took it out and still could hear the music and that was from inside of our house. All of my complaint is because of the noise level inside of our home, trust me I did not go outside!! Thank you for reading this and I do hope you will at least have some kind of conversation about this with the entire council.
Dear Friends,

Thank you for your service.

According to the Lancaster County Election Commissioner's office, there are 20,631 registered voters between the ages of 18 and 24 in Lincoln. That's a population larger than most towns in Nebraska.

Let's make sure they are educated in the value of recycling.

Sincerely,

Wayne

E. Wayne Boles
128 N. 13th St., # 506
Lincoln, NE 68508
(402) 450-4523
Hello Council Member,

My name is Dakota Staggs, and I am emailing you to encourage you to approve the education budget. Lincoln needs this education in order to improve our recycling habits, and if the money is not spent here and now, it will end up being spent to build a new land fill far sooner that is necessary. The only way to improve our sustainability and recycling is to educate the public.

Thank you,
Dakota Staggs
The last time I wrote to the general City Council about recycling in Lincoln was July 28, 2016 - more than one year ago! I send sincere appreciation for the progress made by the city of Lincoln since that time. I think the LEAP is an excellent step in the right direction!

Today I am writing about RECYCLING EDUCATION. As a life-long educator, I believe in the power of education; LPS has done a good job of teaching students about recycling, as well as improving school district practices in energy efficiency and low maintenance landscaping. As you know, educating students is NOT enough. I urge you to help educate the whole city about recycling by approving the hiring of a firm to support other educational efforts. Thank you!

Respectfully,
Marj Willeke
I was out of the state at a family wedding until last night. While it was impossible to survey all of the members in such a short period of time, the response from those we could reach was that all of the information which the Council could want and need on this subject, can be obtained so much easier and quicker, from the 3 recycling processors rather than from individual haulers. The information will be accurate, more timely and more relevant to what the Council needs for their monitoring purposes, than from that, which may or may not, be provided by individual haulers. It is far easier and more timely to receive information from 3 companies rather than trying to get information from many companies. Thank you.

Hi Ryan, Charlie, and Jim,

First of all, thank you so much for your support of the education campaign. With the controversy over the cost, as you probably saw in the paper this morning, we are considering a shortened time for the contract. One of our Council Members is requesting that we have monthly indicators to determine if the contract is successful (thus providing information for renewal). The indicator of interest in the number of recycling subscriptions. As leaders in the hauling community, I want to seek your opinion of how well this would be accepted by our haulers. We already ask for this information on an annual basis for renewal of licenses, but this, by this request, would have to be made on a monthly basis.

We are trying to provide factual information to our Council Members and if this is an impossible request, we need to let them know. And conversely if this is reasonable and haulers would participate (hopefully not mandated to participate) then we need to know that as well.

And finally, I’d like to extend an invitation to all of you to provide testimony on Monday, 3 PM Council Chambers during the continued public hearing on the Recycling Education campaign. Thanks again for your support and efforts to make recycling successful in Lincoln.

Sincerely,
Mr Camp I wont be able to attend the council meeting today but I dont think we need to spend $500,000 on a recycling education project. I think the money would be better spent on our city streets. Thank You.
Council Office - Feedback

Date: 8/14/2017 12:15:42 PM

Full Name: Dan Schlitt
Address: 2600 C Street
City: Lincoln, NE
Phone:
Email: schlitt@theworld.com
Comments: I hope you will support the recycling education contract.

Lincoln has done a good job of supporting recycling in the past. But we have fallen behind in the last couple of years. We need to educate people about how to participate in recycling in today's world.

Incentives will not work without prior education.

/dan