IN LIEU OF
DIRECTORS’ MEETING
MONDAY, June 26, 2017

I. MINUTES
   1. Approval of Director’s Minutes June 19, 2017

II. ADJUSTMENTS TO AGENDA

III. CITY CLERK

IV. MAYOR’S CORRESPONDENCE

V. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

   PLANNING COMMISSION
      1. Final Action Notification dated June 21, 2017

   PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES
      1. Proposed & Assessed Assessment Summary for Water Districts #1208 and #1209

   BUDGET
      1. June sales tax reports reflecting April, 2017 sales

   TREASURER
      1. Monthly City Cash Report for the month of May, 2017

   LINCOLN ELECTRIC SYSTEM
      1. Minutes of regular meeting held Friday, June 16, 2017

VI. BOARDS/COMMITTEES/COMMISSION REPORTS
   1. MPO Officials Committee - Christensen, Raybould (06.22.17)

VII. MISCELLANEOUS

   PRE-COUNCIL
      1. Fremont Costco Poultry Plant Findings dated Monday, June 5, 2017

   LINCOLN COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS
      1. April 2017 Minutes
      2. June 29, 2017 Agenda

VIII. MEETINGS/INVITATIONS
      See invitation list.

IX. ADJOURNMENT
PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION NOTIFICATION

TO: Mayor Chris Beutler  
   Lincoln City Council

FROM: Geri Rorabaugh, Planning

DATE: June 22, 2017

RE: Notice of final action by Planning Commission: June 21, 2017

Please be advised that on June 21, 2017, the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission adopted the following resolutions:

Resolution No. PC-01558, approving SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 17021, for an AGR (Agricultural Residential District) Community Unit Plan, to include 9 acreage lots on approximately 25.12 acres, more or less, on property legally described as Lot 79, located in the SW 1/4 of Section 16-9-6, Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska, generally located at SW 25th Street and West Denton Road;

Resolution No. PC-01561, approving SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 17019, to allow for expansion of a nonconforming use, with waivers to the front and side yard setbacks, on property legally described as Lot 12, Block 6, Woodsdale, located in the NE 1/4 of Section 1-9-6, Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska, generally located at 2554 Woodsdale Boulevard;

Resolution No. PC-01563, approving SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 17017, to allow for the development of a community unit plan with up to 79 single-family dwelling units, with a waiver to allow double frontage lots, on property legally described as Lot 84, I.T., located in the NE 1/4 of Section 36-9-6, Lancaster County, Nebraska, generally located southwest of the intersection of South 27th Street and Rokeby Road; and

Resolution No. PC-01564, approving SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 17012, to allow for installation of a 100-kilowatt solar energy conversion system, with a waiver to reduce the yard along Interstate 80 from 25 feet to 5 feet, on property legally described as Capitol Beach West Addition, Outlots B and C, located in the SE 1/4 of Section 16-10-6, and N 1/2 of Section 21-10-6, Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska, generally located at West Lakeshore Drive.

The Planning Commission action on this application is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a notice of appeal with the City Clerk within 14 days of the action by the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission Resolution may be accessed on the internet at www.lincoln.ne.gov (Keyword = PATS). Use the “Search Selection” screen and search by application number (i.e. SP17021, SP17019, SP17017, SP17012). The Resolution and Planning Department staff report are in the “Related Documents” under the application number.
To: Board of Equalization

From: Susie Filipi

Subject: June 26th, 2017 Board of Equalization Meeting

Date: June 19th, 2017

cc: Mayor Beutler, Don Taute, Randy Hoskins, Brandon Kauffman, Thomas Shafer

The table below summarizes the proposed assessments for the districts which will be before the Board of Equalization at the June 26th, 2017 meeting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project No.</th>
<th>Total Cost</th>
<th>City Subsidy</th>
<th>Assessed Amount</th>
<th>Actual Rate</th>
<th>Est. Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WD #1208</td>
<td>$142,919.48</td>
<td>$128,239.48</td>
<td>$14,680</td>
<td>$40/FF</td>
<td>$144,450 +/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD #1209</td>
<td>$145,473.58</td>
<td>$131,473.58</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
<td>$40/FF</td>
<td>$124,000 +/-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All owners of record within these Districts have been notified of their proposed assessment and the time and location of the Board of Equalization meeting.

**Water District No.1208 in 26th Street from ‘H’ to ‘J’ Streets** was created 10/5/2015 by Ordinance 20249. Property owner, Ken Flowerday, requested the district because of very poor water pressure and sediment in his service line which was difficult to repair to due to the age of the line. Design and Construction Management of the project was done by City Staff. H.R. Bookstrom Construction was the contractor with the majority of construction work being done in June of 2016 at a cost of $120,035.

**Water District No.1209 in ‘C’ Street from 1st to 2nd Streets** was created 10/5/2015 by Ordinance 20250. Property owner, Melodee Cummings, requested the district because of numerous leaks which were difficult to repair because of the age of the line. Design and Construction Management of the project was done by City Staff. The contractor for the project was K2 Construction who completed the work in 2016 at a cost of $129,071. Due to unusual circumstances, the City will subsidize the assessment on the Hertzel property, Block 198, Lot 9.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Owner</th>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Lot</th>
<th>Addition</th>
<th>Total Tax</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SELF, VANDER &amp; JOANN</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 1, N47 1/3' S94 2/3' &amp; LOT 2 N47 1/3' S94 2/3' E6'</td>
<td>$1,880.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHAM, ANH THY &amp; TROUNG, UY H</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lot 1, S47 1/3' &amp; LOT 2 S47 1/3' E6'</td>
<td>$1,880.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNOPP, CHRISTOPHER K</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Lot 6, S1/2</td>
<td>YOUNGS EAST LINCOLN</td>
<td>$2,840.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLOWERDAY, KENNETH D &amp; JOHNSON-FLORIDAY, LINDA L</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Lot 7, N48' &amp; LOT 8 N48' W19'</td>
<td>YOUNGS EAST LINCOLN</td>
<td>$1,920.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FISHER, DEBORIA A</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Lot 12, N60'</td>
<td>YOUNGS EAST LINCOLN</td>
<td>$2,400.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSING, JAMES</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Lot 7, S48' N96' LOT 8 S48' N96' W19'</td>
<td>YOUNGS EAST LINCOLN</td>
<td>$1,920.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEEM, KEVIN &amp; TERI</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Lot 7, S46' &amp; LOT 8 S46' W19'</td>
<td>YOUNGS EAST LINCOLN</td>
<td>$1,840.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Assessed Amount: $14,680.00

Total Assessed Footage: 367'

Rate: $40 FF

Contractor: HR Bookstrom Construction

Contract Cost: $120,035.00

Engr. Insp. & Assm't: $21,036.48

R.O.W. / Misc: $1,848.00

Grand Total Cost: $142,919.48

Subsidies:

Water: $128,239.48

Total Assessed Amount: $14,680.00
## Water District No. 1209
### C Street from 1st Street to 2nd Street

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Owner</th>
<th>Block</th>
<th>Lot</th>
<th>Addition</th>
<th>Total Tax</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NYHOFF, CHARLES L. &amp; CHERYL</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>LINCOLN ORIGINAL BLOCK</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERTZEL, ROBERT L. &amp; PATRICIA R. &amp;</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>LINCOLN ORIGINAL BLOCK</td>
<td>$2,000.00 * See Below</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID R. &amp; WESSEK, MICHELLE R.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARTINEZ, TERRY &amp; MANDI</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>LINCOLN ORIGINAL BLOCK</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUTIERREZ, GRANCISCO &amp; NINA MICHELLE</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>LINCOLN ORIGINAL BLOCK</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOUNG, STEPHEN L. &amp; VICKIE L.</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>LINCOLN ORIGINAL BLOCK</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUMMINGS, STEWART A. &amp; CHRISTENSON, MELODEE</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>LINCOLN ORIGINAL BLOCK</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADISION, SCOTT L. &amp; MICHELLE R.</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>LINCOLN ORIGINAL BLOCK</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* City will subsidize the $2000 for this property

---

Total Assessed Amount: $14,000.00
Total Assessed Footage: 350.00
Rate: $40 FF

Contractor: K2 Construction
Contract Cost: $127,454.50
Engr. Insp. & Assmt: $16,402.08
R.O.W. / Misc $1,617.00

Grand Total Cost: $145,473.58

Subsidies:
- Water: $131,473.58
- Total Subsidies: $131,473.58

Total Assessed Amount: $14,000.00
## Actual Compared to Projected Sales Tax Collections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>2016-17 PROJECTED</th>
<th>2016-17 ACTUAL</th>
<th>VARIANCE FROM PROJECTED</th>
<th>$ CHANGE FR. 15-16</th>
<th>% CHANGE FR. 15-16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>$6,001,777</td>
<td>$6,048,552</td>
<td>$46,775</td>
<td>$112,368</td>
<td>1.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>$6,265,043</td>
<td>$6,567,045</td>
<td>$302,002</td>
<td>$571,868</td>
<td>9.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>$6,233,567</td>
<td>$6,390,261</td>
<td>$156,694</td>
<td>$207,696</td>
<td>3.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>$5,964,398</td>
<td>$6,049,207</td>
<td>$84,809</td>
<td>$216,039</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>$5,959,232</td>
<td>$5,991,013</td>
<td>$31,781</td>
<td>$437,986</td>
<td>7.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>$7,288,134</td>
<td>$7,203,175</td>
<td>$(84,959)</td>
<td>$342,500</td>
<td>4.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>$5,710,977</td>
<td>$5,429,656</td>
<td>$(281,321)</td>
<td>$77,119</td>
<td>1.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>$5,548,104</td>
<td>$5,609,320</td>
<td>$61,216</td>
<td>$258,576</td>
<td>4.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>$6,625,268</td>
<td>$6,641,089</td>
<td>$15,821</td>
<td>$251,865</td>
<td>3.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>$6,202,925</td>
<td>$6,172,558</td>
<td>$(30,367)</td>
<td>$293,957</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>$6,172,414</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>$6,573,935</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$74,545,774</strong></td>
<td><strong>$62,101,876</strong></td>
<td><strong>$302,451</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,769,974</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.67%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Actual collections for the fiscal year to date are 0.49% over projections for the year.
CITY OF LINCOLN
GROSS SALES TAX COLLECTIONS
(WITH REFUNDS ADDED BACK IN)
2012-2013 THROUGH 2016-2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ACTUAL 2012-13</th>
<th>ACTUAL 2013-14</th>
<th>ACTUAL 2014-15</th>
<th>% CHG. FR. PRIOR YEAR</th>
<th>ACTUAL 2015-16</th>
<th>% CHG. FR. PRIOR YEAR</th>
<th>ACTUAL 2016-17</th>
<th>% CHG. FR. PRIOR YEAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>$5,189,424</td>
<td>$5,431,071</td>
<td>$5,741,404</td>
<td>5.71%</td>
<td>$6,041,963</td>
<td>5.23%</td>
<td>$6,265,764</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>$5,568,892</td>
<td>$5,740,406</td>
<td>$5,848,947</td>
<td>1.89%</td>
<td>$6,089,519</td>
<td>4.11%</td>
<td>$6,598,756</td>
<td>8.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>$5,194,649</td>
<td>$5,729,609</td>
<td>$5,873,441</td>
<td>2.51%</td>
<td>$6,266,119</td>
<td>6.69%</td>
<td>$6,471,721</td>
<td>3.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>$5,250,751</td>
<td>$5,401,140</td>
<td>$5,737,783</td>
<td>6.23%</td>
<td>$5,876,792</td>
<td>2.42%</td>
<td>$6,128,386</td>
<td>4.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>$5,180,028</td>
<td>$5,562,529</td>
<td>$5,525,231</td>
<td>-0.67%</td>
<td>$5,651,337</td>
<td>2.28%</td>
<td>$6,285,444</td>
<td>11.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>$6,223,991</td>
<td>$6,570,418</td>
<td>$6,802,647</td>
<td>3.53%</td>
<td>$7,137,154</td>
<td>4.92%</td>
<td>$7,293,928</td>
<td>2.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>$5,077,914</td>
<td>$5,304,048</td>
<td>$5,396,268</td>
<td>1.74%</td>
<td>$5,392,157</td>
<td>-0.08%</td>
<td>$5,521,761</td>
<td>2.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>$4,681,796</td>
<td>$5,214,537</td>
<td>$5,188,877</td>
<td>-0.49%</td>
<td>$5,426,539</td>
<td>4.58%</td>
<td>$5,639,028</td>
<td>3.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>$5,655,098</td>
<td>$5,642,139</td>
<td>$6,348,190</td>
<td>12.51%</td>
<td>$6,494,521</td>
<td>2.31%</td>
<td>$6,708,815</td>
<td>3.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>$5,458,626</td>
<td>$5,635,827</td>
<td>$5,728,421</td>
<td>1.64%</td>
<td>$6,030,654</td>
<td>5.28%</td>
<td>$6,255,952</td>
<td>3.74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>$5,439,682</td>
<td>$5,654,660</td>
<td>$5,841,882</td>
<td>3.31%</td>
<td>$6,000,464</td>
<td>2.71%</td>
<td>$6,657,168</td>
<td>7.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>$5,696,527</td>
<td>$5,921,577</td>
<td>$6,196,574</td>
<td>4.64%</td>
<td>$6,657,168</td>
<td>7.43%</td>
<td>$6,657,168</td>
<td>4.57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$64,617,378</td>
<td>$67,807,961</td>
<td>$70,229,665</td>
<td>3.57%</td>
<td>$73,064,387</td>
<td>4.04%</td>
<td>$63,169,555</td>
<td>4.57%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## CITY OF LINCOLN
### SALES TAX REFUNDS
#### 2012-2013 THROUGH 2016-2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>($119,857)</td>
<td>($80,176)</td>
<td>($44,232)</td>
<td>-44.83%</td>
<td>($105,779)</td>
<td>139.15%</td>
<td>($217,212)</td>
<td>105.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>($52,533)</td>
<td>($96,046)</td>
<td>($191,059)</td>
<td>98.92%</td>
<td>($94,343)</td>
<td>-50.62%</td>
<td>($31,712)</td>
<td>-66.39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>($168,241)</td>
<td>($15,001)</td>
<td>($151,968)</td>
<td>913.05%</td>
<td>($83,553)</td>
<td>-45.02%</td>
<td>($81,460)</td>
<td>-2.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>($187,607)</td>
<td>($18,536)</td>
<td>($23,916)</td>
<td>29.02%</td>
<td>($43,624)</td>
<td>82.41%</td>
<td>($79,179)</td>
<td>81.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>($145,767)</td>
<td>($603,295)</td>
<td>($277,201)</td>
<td>-54.05%</td>
<td>($98,310)</td>
<td>-64.53%</td>
<td>($294,431)</td>
<td>199.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>($131,438)</td>
<td>($58,173)</td>
<td>($381,405)</td>
<td>555.64%</td>
<td>($276,479)</td>
<td>-27.51%</td>
<td>($90,752)</td>
<td>-67.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>($385,142)</td>
<td>($169,963)</td>
<td>($69,314)</td>
<td>-59.22%</td>
<td>($39,620)</td>
<td>-42.84%</td>
<td>($92,105)</td>
<td>132.47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>($68,049)</td>
<td>($81,416)</td>
<td>($79,747)</td>
<td>-2.05%</td>
<td>($75,796)</td>
<td>-4.95%</td>
<td>($29,707)</td>
<td>-60.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>($42,699)</td>
<td>($43,775)</td>
<td>($72,554)</td>
<td>65.74%</td>
<td>($105,297)</td>
<td>45.13%</td>
<td>($67,726)</td>
<td>-35.68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>($57,679)</td>
<td>($81,809)</td>
<td>($26,219)</td>
<td>-67.95%</td>
<td>($152,053)</td>
<td>479.93%</td>
<td>($83,394)</td>
<td>-45.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>($95,902)</td>
<td>($116,801)</td>
<td>($40,332)</td>
<td>-65.47%</td>
<td>($55,289)</td>
<td>37.08%</td>
<td>($1,932)</td>
<td>-96.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>($27,656)</td>
<td>($49,577)</td>
<td>($10,119)</td>
<td>-79.59%</td>
<td>($312,528)</td>
<td>2988.53%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>($1,482,570)</td>
<td>($1,414,568)</td>
<td>($1,368,066)</td>
<td>-3.29%</td>
<td>($1,442,671)</td>
<td>5.45%</td>
<td>($1,069,610)</td>
<td>-5.36%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Year to date vs. previous year
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Actual 2012-2013</th>
<th>Actual 2013-14</th>
<th>Actual 2014-15</th>
<th>% CHG. FROM PR. YEAR</th>
<th>Actual 2015-16</th>
<th>% CHG. FROM PR. YEAR</th>
<th>Actual 2016-17</th>
<th>% CHG. FROM PR. YEAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>$5,069,566</td>
<td>$5,350,895</td>
<td>$5,697,172</td>
<td>6.47%</td>
<td>$5,936,184</td>
<td>4.20%</td>
<td>$6,048,552</td>
<td>1.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>$5,516,359</td>
<td>$5,644,359</td>
<td>$5,657,888</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>$5,995,177</td>
<td>5.96%</td>
<td>$6,567,045</td>
<td>9.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>$5,026,408</td>
<td>$5,714,609</td>
<td>$5,721,474</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
<td>$6,182,565</td>
<td>8.06%</td>
<td>$6,390,261</td>
<td>3.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>$5,063,144</td>
<td>$5,382,604</td>
<td>$5,713,868</td>
<td>6.15%</td>
<td>$5,833,168</td>
<td>2.09%</td>
<td>$6,049,207</td>
<td>3.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>$5,034,261</td>
<td>$4,959,233</td>
<td>$5,248,031</td>
<td>5.82%</td>
<td>$5,553,027</td>
<td>5.81%</td>
<td>$5,991,013</td>
<td>7.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>$6,092,554</td>
<td>$6,512,245</td>
<td>$6,421,242</td>
<td>-1.40%</td>
<td>$6,860,675</td>
<td>6.84%</td>
<td>$7,203,175</td>
<td>4.99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>$4,692,772</td>
<td>$5,134,084</td>
<td>$5,326,954</td>
<td>3.76%</td>
<td>$5,352,537</td>
<td>0.48%</td>
<td>$5,429,656</td>
<td>1.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>$4,613,747</td>
<td>$5,133,122</td>
<td>$5,109,130</td>
<td>-0.47%</td>
<td>$5,350,744</td>
<td>4.73%</td>
<td>$5,609,320</td>
<td>4.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>$5,612,398</td>
<td>$5,598,363</td>
<td>$6,275,635</td>
<td>12.10%</td>
<td>$6,389,224</td>
<td>1.81%</td>
<td>$6,641,089</td>
<td>3.94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>$5,400,947</td>
<td>$5,554,017</td>
<td>$5,702,202</td>
<td>2.67%</td>
<td>$5,878,601</td>
<td>3.09%</td>
<td>$6,172,558</td>
<td>5.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>$5,343,780</td>
<td>$5,537,859</td>
<td>$5,801,550</td>
<td>4.76%</td>
<td>$5,945,175</td>
<td>2.48%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>$5,668,871</td>
<td>$5,872,000</td>
<td>$6,186,455</td>
<td>5.36%</td>
<td>$6,344,640</td>
<td>2.56%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$63,134,807</td>
<td>$66,393,390</td>
<td>$68,861,601</td>
<td>3.72%</td>
<td>$71,621,717</td>
<td>4.01%</td>
<td>$62,101,876</td>
<td>4.67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Year to date vs. previous year
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OFFICE OF TREASURER, CITY OF LINCOLN, NEBRASKA

MAY 31, 2017

TO: MAYOR CHRIS BEUTLER & CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

FROM: FINANCE DEPARTMENT / CITY TREASURER

SUBJECT: MONTHLY CITY CASH REPORT

The records of this office show me to be charged with City cash as follows at the close of business May 31, 2017:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance Forward</td>
<td>$333,449,566.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus Total Debits May 1-31, 2017</td>
<td>$41,839,801.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Total Credits May 1-31, 2017</td>
<td>($36,364,469.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash Balance on May 31, 2017</strong></td>
<td><strong>$338,924,698.39</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I desire to report that such City cash was held by me as follows which I will deem satisfactory unless advised and further directed in the matter by you:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U. S. Bank Nebraska, N.A.</td>
<td>$1,975,140.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank</td>
<td>($136,163.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank Credit Card Account</td>
<td>($240,429.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornhusker Bank</td>
<td>$68,272.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinnacle Bank</td>
<td>$127,291.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Bank &amp; Trust Company</td>
<td>$68,066.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Gate Bank</td>
<td>$327.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle Funds - Short-Term Pool</td>
<td>$87,740,784.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle Funds - Medium-Term Pool</td>
<td>$249,324,046.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash, Checks and Warrants</td>
<td>($2,637.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cash on Hand May 31, 2017</strong></td>
<td><strong>$338,924,698.39</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The negative bank balances shown above do not represent the City as overdrawn in these bank accounts. In order to maximize interest earned on all City funds, deposits have been invested prior to the Departments’ notification to the City Treasurer’s office of these deposits; therefore, these deposits are not recorded in the City Treasurer’s bank account balances at month end.

I also hold as City Treasurer, securities in the amount of $21,635,302.12 representing authorized investments of the City's funds.

ATTEST:

[Signature]

Teresa Meier, City Clerk

[Signature]

Melinda J. Jones, City Treasurer
## CITY OF LINCOLN - PLEDGED COLLATERAL STATEMENT

**AS OF MAY 31, 2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CUSIP</th>
<th>MATURITY DATE</th>
<th>CURRENT PAR</th>
<th>MARKET VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FHLMC GOLD POOL C91166 6%</td>
<td>3128P7JK0</td>
<td>03/01/2028</td>
<td>$164,353.76</td>
<td>$186,391.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHLB 1.25%</td>
<td>3130A5PX1</td>
<td>06/28/2018</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td>$999,640.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNMA POOL #254548 5.5%</td>
<td>31371KWH0</td>
<td>12/01/2032</td>
<td>$266,346.50</td>
<td>$298,915.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNMA POOL 256125 5%</td>
<td>31371MPJ0</td>
<td>01/01/2026</td>
<td>$106,612.92</td>
<td>$116,906.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHR 2776 CG FREDDIE MAC CMO 5%</td>
<td>31394WJC3</td>
<td>04/15/2019</td>
<td>$272,087.84</td>
<td>$277,983.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBAP 1998-20L 1 PASS-THROUGH 5.8%</td>
<td>83162CJR5</td>
<td>12/01/2018</td>
<td>$64,963.60</td>
<td>$65,559.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBIC 2010-10A 1 4.11%</td>
<td>831641ES0</td>
<td>03/10/2020</td>
<td>$244,999.79</td>
<td>$253,969.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORNHUSKER BANK</td>
<td>TOTAL PLEDGED</td>
<td>$2,119,364.41</td>
<td>$2,199,366.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNMA GTD PASS THRU POOL #AU5145</td>
<td>3138X4WF3</td>
<td>08/01/2028</td>
<td>$640,000.00</td>
<td>$613,187.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHLB 2.63%</td>
<td>313376C94</td>
<td>12/10/2021</td>
<td>$750,000.00</td>
<td>$771,480.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHLMC 1.63%</td>
<td>3137BN5M2</td>
<td>01/25/2023</td>
<td>$2,000,000.00</td>
<td>$1,530,972.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNION BANK AND TRUST</td>
<td>TOTAL PLEDGED</td>
<td>$3,390,000.00</td>
<td>$2,915,639.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHLB LOC #522941</td>
<td>11/01/2017</td>
<td>$7,000,000.00</td>
<td>$7,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USBANK</td>
<td>TOTAL PLEDGED</td>
<td>$7,000,000.00</td>
<td>$7,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHLMC REMIC SER K-707 A2 2.22%</td>
<td>3137ANMN2</td>
<td>11/23/2018</td>
<td>$5,000,000.00</td>
<td>$5,000,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MORRILL NEB ELEC UTIL REV 1 4.0%</td>
<td>617785AU4</td>
<td>02/15/2018</td>
<td>$130,000.00</td>
<td>$130,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROWN COUNTY NEB GO 2%</td>
<td>115403CY1</td>
<td>05/15/2017</td>
<td>$180,000.00</td>
<td>$180,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PINNACLE BANK</td>
<td>TOTAL PLEDGED</td>
<td>$5,310,000.00</td>
<td>$908.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FMAC FGPC 3.500%</td>
<td>3132GSUG1</td>
<td>09/1/2045</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$908.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNMA FNMS 3.000%</td>
<td>3138ALU21</td>
<td>10/1/2026</td>
<td>$869,408.00</td>
<td>$168,582.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MINUTES OF LINCOLN ELECTRIC SYSTEM ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD

Minutes of regular meeting held at 9:30 a.m., Friday, June 16, 2017, at the offices of Lincoln Electric System, 1040 O Street, Lincoln, Nebraska.

Board Members Present: Jerry Hudgins, Layne Sup, Dan Harshman, DaNay Kalkowski, Tammy Ward, W. Don Nelson

Board Members Absent: Leidy Anderson, Sarah Peetz, Vicki Huff

LES Staff Present: Kevin Wailes, Shelley Sahling-Zart, Jason Fortik, Dan Pudenz, Lisa Hale, Trish Owen, Laura Kapustka, Paul Crist, Lacy Stockdale, Jim Rigg, Emily Koenig, David Wood, Melissa Palmer, Lucas Fahrer, Kelley Porter, Bruce Hunke, Joe Cocklin, Taletha Kryzsko, Marc Shkolnick, Scott Benson, Dave Auman, Zachary Wilkerson

Others Present: John Atkeison, Travis Carnes, Cathy Pudenz

News Media Present: None

Chair Jerry Hudgins called the meeting to order at approximately 9:30 a.m. A safety briefing was provided. He noted that LES conducts its meetings in compliance with the Nebraska Open Meetings Act, and noted that copies of the Act are located near the entrance to the Board room.

Chair Hudgins asked for approval of the minutes of the meeting of May 19, 2017 and the special meeting of May 11, 2017. W. Don Nelson moved their approval. DaNay Kalkowski seconded the motion. The vote for approval of the minutes was:

Aye: Hudgins, Sup, Harshman, Kalkowski, Ward, Nelson

Nay: None

Absent: Anderson, Peetz, Huff
Bruce Hunke, Line Technician 1st Class-Main, T&D Construction, Energy Delivery, was recognized by the Board for 20 years of service to LES.

David Wood, Substation Technician 1st Class, Substation, Energy Delivery, was recognized by the Board for 40 years of service to LES.

Dan Pudenz, Vice President, Energy Delivery, was recognized by the Board for 40 years of service to LES.

Tammy Ward, member of the Operations & Power Supply Committee, reported on Committee discussion which included: 1) the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) audit results; 2) an update regarding the LES Operations Center and Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) assessment; 3) the performance of LES’ landfill gas to energy project; and 4) a NUCorp update.

DaNay Kalkowski, Chair of the Communications & Customer Services Committee, reported on Committee discussion which was centered on ways to encourage customers to participate in paperless billing. The initial goal is to convert 20 percent of customers to paperless statements, which would save approximately $200,000. The initial savings will be reinvested into the community. The campaign will be launched at LES’ Sustainable Living Festival on July 29, 2017, in the Railyard in the West Haymarket.

Laura Kapustka, Vice President & Chief Financial Officer, provided the annual review of LES’ tax-exempt financing compliance. (Exhibit I) In 2012, the LES Administrative Board adopted tax-exempt financing compliance procedures to ensure compliance with the rules associated with being an issuer of tax-exempt bonds. There were no deficiencies found during the compliance review.

Emily Koenig, Director, Finance & Rates, Financial Services, presented the annual 2017 National Electric Rate Study. (Exhibit II) The rate study includes and ranks electric rates for 100 cities across the nation, both public power and investor-owned utilities. Cities with populations greater than 100,000 are selected with the objective of at least one city per state. In 2017, Lincoln’s overall ranking moved to 16th lowest, down three positions from last year. LES improved to 10th from 13th in overall residential rankings. In overall commercial and
industrial rankings, LES dropped to 16\textsuperscript{th} from 13\textsuperscript{th}. Fuel cost adjustments due to falling natural gas prices, especially in commercial and industrial sectors, account for most of the change in rank.

Kevin Wailes, Chief Executive Officer, presented LES Resolution 2017-6 to the Board. (Exhibit III) LES Resolution 2017-6 adopts the recommended changes to the Service Regulations to be effective July 1, 2017. Layne Sup moved approval of LES Resolution 2017-6. W. Don Nelson seconded the motion. The vote for approval of LES Resolution 2017-6 was:

Aye: Hudgins, Sup, Harshman, Kalkowski, Ward, Nelson

Nay: None

Absent: Anderson, Peetz, Huff

Scott Benson, Manager, Resource & Transmission Planning, and Marc Shkolnick, Manager, Energy Services, presented an Integrated Resource Plan update. (Exhibit IV) The primary decision points of the IRP include the Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) contract, the Sustainable Energy Program metrics and assumptions and a smart thermostat program. LES will begin to assess those primary decision points against a range of potential alternatives across an array of possible future scenarios. LES will host its second public meeting regarding the IRP on Thursday, June 29, 2017, from 4-7:00 p.m. at the Walter A. Canney Service Center.

The Monthly Financial and Power Supply Reports were distributed to the Board and staff was available to answer questions. (Exhibit V).

Kevin Wailes, Chief Executive Officer, informed the Board that the 2017 onsite NERC compliance audits took place from May 22-26, 2017. He commended the work of LES staff involved in the audits and noted the audit team was impressed by LES’ compliance program and transparency.

The next regular meeting of the LES Administrative Board is scheduled for Friday, July 21, 2017, at 9:30 a.m.
There being no further business before the Board, Jerry Hudgins declared the meeting adjourned at approximately 10:55 a.m.

Leidy Anderson, Secretary

BY: S/Lacy Stockdale
Lacy Stockdale
Assistant Secretary
Tab 1
2017 Bond Compliance Update

LES Administrative Board
June 16, 2017

Laura L. Kapustka
Vice President & CFO
Bond Compliance Review Process

The LES Administrative Board adopted Tax Exempt Financing Compliance Procedures in 2012

- Procedures ensure compliance with the rules associated with being an issuer of tax exempt bonds.

- Procedures require an annual update to the Board on the status of compliance.
  - The 2017 annual checklist has been completed.
  - Checklist includes items such as: financial assets ownership, management agreements (new/changed), arbitrage calculations, continuing disclosure filings, etc.
  - There were no deficiencies found during the compliance review.
  - The CFO, as the identified “Bond Officer” has certified the annual review and it has been filed accordingly in our bond compliance records.
Bond Compliance Files

The Compliance Procedures (and IRS) require that we have available all transcripts, tax forms, bid documents, etc.:

- For each bond issue currently outstanding, and,
- Any bond issues that was refunded by an outstanding bond issue.

Currently preparing electronic “Bond Files” for 15 bond issues.

- Bond Files will contain required data for each bond issue.
- Current bond documents are stored electronically while the older ones have to be manually located and scanned into the file.
- Compiling the documents is an extensive time commitment.
- An Accounting Assistant is dedicating 4 hours a week to this project.
- Completion is targeted for 2017 year-end.
## LES Bond File Completion Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Substantially Complete</th>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Substantially Complete</th>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Substantially Complete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015A</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1998A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1993A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1971</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007A &amp; B</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1992A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1986A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1979</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Green = progress since last year’s report  
Yellow = little documentation exists due to records retention  

Similar compliance work is also being completed for DEC and NUCorp.
Tab 2
2017 National Electric Rate Study

Ranking of Typical Residential, Commercial and Industrial Electric Bills

LES Administrative Board
June 16, 2017

Emily N. Koenig
Director of Finance & Rates
Study Background

- Why is the rate study prepared?
  - Provide comparison for LES and our customers
  - Complement to Regional Electric Utility Bill Comparison
  - Monitor “affordability” of electric bills (Important to LES and Rating Agencies)
Study Methodology

- Data Collection
  - Pricing models used to calculate typical bills from posted public power rate schedules
  - EEI data used for select group of investor-owned utilities

- Sampling
  - The rate study includes and ranks electric rates for 100 cities across the nation
  - Includes both public power and investor-owned utilities
  - Cities with population greater than 100,000 are selected with the objective of at least one city per state

- Uses rates in effect as of January 1, 2017
- Includes effects of summer and winter rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breakdown of Sampled Utilities</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Municipal/Public Power</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investor-Owned</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Survey Results

• Lincoln’s overall ranking moved to 16th this year
  • Ranked 13th last year, Ranked 11th in 2015
  • LES had a rate increase for 2017
    • LES improved to 10th from 13th in overall residential rankings
    • LES dropped to 16th from 13th in overall commercial and industrial rankings
  • Fuel cost adjustments due to falling natural gas prices, especially in commercial and industrial sectors, account for most of the change in rank

• In 2017 Oklahoma City, OK is the lowest cost and Honolulu, HI is again the highest cost

• The following utility’s rankings moved in relation to LES’ 2016 ranking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seattle, WA</th>
<th>Reno, NV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Orleans, LA</td>
<td>Raleigh, NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jackson, MS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shreveport, LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Little Rock, AR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[\downarrow = \text{ranking dropped below LES (higher rates than LES)}\]
\[\uparrow = \text{ranking improved above LES (lower rates than LES)}\]
Survey Results

• LES generally uses categories defined by the Edison Electric Institute in its National Rate Study.

• LES’s ranking is least competitive in the low-load factor, high-demand industrial category.

• The average load factors among LES commercial and industrial customers are:
  
  Large Power Contract  74%
  Large Light & Power  58%
  General Service – Demand  47%

• There are no LES customers in the 1,000 kW/200,000 kWh category

Absent this category, LES would rank 14th in the Commercial and Industrial sector and 13th overall.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2017 Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residential</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. 500 kWh</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 1,000 kWh</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Residential Survey Rank</strong></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial and Industrial</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 40 kW and 10,000 kWh (35% Load Factor)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. 40 kW and 14,000 kWh (49% Load Factor)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. 500 kW and 150,000 kWh (42% Load Factor)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. 500 kW and 180,000 kWh (50% Load Factor)</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. 75 kW and 15,000 kWh (28% Load Factor)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. 75 kW and 30,000 kWh (56% Load Factor)</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. 75 kW and 50,000 kWh (93% Load Factor)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. 1,000 kW and 200,000 kWh (28% Load Factor)</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. 1,000 kW and 400,000 kWh (56% Load Factor)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. 1,000 kW and 650,000 kWh (90% Load Factor)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Commercial and Industrial Survey Rank</strong></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Survey Rank</strong></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Average Monthly Bill Per State Residential 1,000 kWh

Note: The bills of public power utilities include the city dividend, surcharges, and other non-sales tax charges if known.
Note:
- Bills include city dividend, surcharges, and other non-sales tax charges (if known)
- The state averages only reflect cities that are included in the LES National Rate Study

2017 Rankings are unchanged for LES and Nebraska
## Average Monthly Bill Per State ($ in thousands)
### Industrial 1,000 kW & 400,000 kWh

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Bill ($ in thousands)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>$62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NV</td>
<td>$29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR</td>
<td>$30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ID</td>
<td>$25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>$31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT</td>
<td>$35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ND</td>
<td>$33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>$34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>$29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>$26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>$31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>$32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>$36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MO</td>
<td>$32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KS</td>
<td>$36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS</td>
<td>$24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>$28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OK</td>
<td>$20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>$31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>$30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>$33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>$39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FL</td>
<td>$35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>$45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>$28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>$29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WV</td>
<td>$31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WI</td>
<td>$41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>$45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI</td>
<td>$45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>$31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>$31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>$38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY</td>
<td>$30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TN</td>
<td>$38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK</td>
<td>$73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HI</td>
<td>$92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH</td>
<td>$61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>$58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ME</td>
<td>$43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA</td>
<td>$64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>$47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>$59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI</td>
<td>$57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NJ</td>
<td>$40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD</td>
<td>$41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE</td>
<td>$31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>$46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The bills of public power utilities include the city dividend, surcharges, and other non-sales tax charges if known.

**LES -** $31,060  
**U.S. Average -** $39,071  
**Muni. Average -** $44,153  
**IOU Average -** $37,467

---

**LES -** $31,060  
**U.S. Average -** $39,071  
**Muni. Average -** $44,153  
**IOU Average -** $37,467

Striving to be the world’s best energy company.
Note:
- Bills include city dividend, surcharges, and other non-sales tax charges (if known)
- The state averages only reflect cities that are included in the LES National Rate Study

LES moved from 9th lowest to 15th lowest

Nebraska moved from 6th lowest to 8th lowest

Reasons:
- Fuel cost adjustments due to falling natural gas prices
- Possible cross-subsidization in rate structures between residential and industrial in other states
Appendix
## Rate Changes Since 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Increases</th>
<th>Decreases</th>
<th>No Change or New</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Classes</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipals</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investor-Owned</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The largest rate decreases are primarily driven by fuel cost adjustments
## Lowest Overall Ranks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Survey Rank</th>
<th>City (2016 Rank)</th>
<th>Average Bill Difference from 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Oklahoma City, OK (3)</td>
<td>-6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tulsa, OK (1)</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tacoma, WA (2)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Baton Rouge, LA (10)</td>
<td>-8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Boise, ID (4)</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Des Moines, IA (5)</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Amarillo, TX (6)</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Davenport, IA (7)</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Charlotte, NC (11)</td>
<td>-3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Reno, NV (18)</td>
<td>-6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Highest Overall Ranks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall Survey Rank</th>
<th>City (2016 Rank)</th>
<th>Average Bill Difference from 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>Manchester, NH (86)</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>Bridgeport, CT (91)</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Cambridge, MA (96)</td>
<td>-5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>Lansing, MI (93)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Boston, MA (98)</td>
<td>-4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>San Francisco, CA (95)</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>Fairbanks, AK (92)</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>Anchorage, AK (89)</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>San Diego, CA (99)</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Honolulu, HI (100)</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Tab 3
LES RESOLUTION 2017-6

WHEREAS, it is the responsibility of the Lincoln Electric System (LES) Administrative Board to develop Service Regulations which provide the conditions for receiving electric service in the LES service area;

WHEREAS, LES staff has prepared a document entitled “Service Regulations” which includes formatting changes and clarifying revisions to wording for customer-operated generation equipment, service response requirements, proper use of the LES distribution system, rate code notification requirements, easements, mislabeled meter sockets or cross wiring, purchase of LES-owned equipment, non-metered services, meter pole ownership, service installations, security deposits, billing adjustments, delinquent account balances, access requirements, disconnection of electric service, aid-to construction conditions, outdoor lighting information along with other minor service-related revisions;

WHEREAS, LES staff provided customer information on the proposed Service Regulations changes throughout the period May 19, 2017 to June 15, 2017;

WHEREAS, a public open house on the Service Regulations changes was held on Tuesday May 30 at 6:00 p.m. at the Walter A. Canney Service Center, 27th & Fairfield, to receive public input and answer questions regarding the proposed changes; and

WHEREAS, the LES Administrative Board has reviewed the changes to the Service Regulations with LES staff and supports the recommended revisions.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the LES Administrative Board adopts the recommended changes to the Service Regulations to be effective July 1, 2017 in substantially the form as attached.

S/Jerry Hudgins
Chair

Adopted: June 16, 2017
Tab 4
2017 Integrated Resource Plan
Status Update

Scott Benson
Manager, Resource & Transmission Planning

June 16, 2017
## 2017 IRP – Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JAN</th>
<th>FEB</th>
<th>MAR</th>
<th>APR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refine Scope</td>
<td>SEP Program Analysis</td>
<td>Primary IRP decision points</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| • SEP metrics  
  • SEP assumptions |  |  |  |
| MAY       | JUN          | JUL          | AUG          |
| SEP Program Analysis | Assemble final report |  |  |
| • WAPA  
  • SEP portfolio  
  • Smart thermostat program |  |  |  |
| SEP       | OCT          | NOV          | DEC          |
| • Report approval |  |  |  |

- **Board meeting**
- **Public meeting**
- **IRP due to WAPA**
2017 IRP – Primary Decision Points

Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) Contract
LES’ current hydropower contract expires in 2020. LES must evaluate a recent offer to extend the contract from 2021 – 2050.

Sustainable Energy Program (SEP) Metrics/Assumptions ✔
LES must determine which metric(s) to use for evaluating the SEP, as well as assumptions to be used for related capacity and carbon emission inputs.
» Given this information, LES must now evaluate the continuation of the SEP.

Smart Thermostat Program
Based on the results of its recently completed pilot program, LES must evaluate whether to proceed with a full smart thermostat program for the residential sector.
2017 IRP – Upcoming Resource Analysis

Evaluate LES’ primary decision points…

• WAPA
• SEP
• Smart Thermostat Program

…against a range of potential alternatives…

• Battery Storage
• Biomass
• Coal (with carbon capture)
• Fuel Cells
• Geothermal
• Hydro
• Natural Gas Combustion Turbine
• Natural Gas Combined Cycle

• Nuclear
• Pumped Hydro
• Natural Gas Reciprocating Engine
• Solar PV
• Solar Thermal
• Solid Waste
• Wind

…across an array of possible future scenarios.
Evaluating the Sustainable Energy Program
2017 Integrated Resource Plan

June 16, 2017
Marc Shkolnick
Manager, Energy Services
Unprecedented Change

- Flattening load growth
- Excess generating capacity
- Regulatory uncertainty
- Distributed Energy Resources

Leidos Study

Smart Thermostat Demand Response Pilot

Striving to be the world’s best energy company.
SEP Purpose

Cost effectively help meet LES’ Sustainability Target.
How do we reasonably determine what’s cost-effective?

Provide a hedge against uncertainty.
How do we adequately assign value to this hedge?

Strengthen relationships with customers and trade allies to optimize energy use.
How do we adequately assign value to these relationships?

Striving to be the world’s best energy company.
What Planning Assumptions to Use?

Value of avoided generating capacity

Value of avoided carbon emissions

Striving to be the world’s best energy company.
Planning Assumptions: A Spectrum of Possibilities.

- Leidos Report “base case”.
- LES long on generation; representative of sales of excess capacity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current to Mid Term</th>
<th>Mid Term to Long Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Value of Capacity ($0/kW-Year)</td>
<td>New Generation Value of Capacity ($80/kW-Year)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Value of Carbon ($0/ton)</td>
<td>Value of Carbon ($40/ton)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Value of Capacity ($19/kW-Year)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Uncertainty

- Development/Adoption of Energy Storage
- Clean Power Plan
- Load Growth
- Natural Gas Prices

- Adoption of Customer-Owned Generation
- Adoption of Electric Vehicles

- Planning Assumptions: A Spectrum of Possibilities.
- Current to Mid Term
- Mid Term to Long Term

- Leidos Report “base case”.
- Published studies cover array of values; representative of mid-range.

No Value of Carbon ($0/ton)
Value of Carbon ($20/ton)
Value of Carbon ($40/ton)
What Economic Evaluation to Use?

- More than 75% of states evaluate at portfolio level according to 2012 ACEEE rate-payer funded energy efficiency program survey.

Evaluate at portfolio or measure level

Benefit-Cost test selection
Benefit-Cost Test: Components.

Benefits
- Avoided purchase of energy.
- Avoided generating capacity.
- Avoided carbon costs.
- Customer bill savings.

Costs
- Program administrative costs.
- Incentives.
- Lost revenue.
- Customer cost.
## Benefit Cost Test: Utility Evaluation Types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Utility Cost Test</th>
<th>Rate Impact Measure Test</th>
<th>Total Resource Cost Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentives</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost Revenue</td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✔</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Utility Cost Test at $\geq 1.0$ as primary screening evaluation.

• “The UCT accurately compares the utility (and, therefore, utility customer) costs with supply-side alternatives.” — Whose Perspective? The Impact of the Utility Cost Test, The Cadmus Group, 2012

• “Along with the TRC test, the (UCT) test is one of the most commonly-used tests for energy efficiency program planning purposes.” — Energy Efficiency Guide Book for Public Power Communities, Energy Center of Wisconsin, 2009

Benefit Cost Test: LES Secondary

• Ratepayer Impact Measure (RIM) Test at $\geq 0.6$ as a secondary screening evaluation.

• Recognizes that some level of subsidization by non-participants is provided.

• Places a cap on the level of subsidization of non-participants that is permitted.

• Subsidization justified in part by the value of hedging and other community benefits.
Use a representative market value of generating capacity.

Use a mid-range value for carbon reduction.

Evaluate SEP cost-effectiveness at the portfolio level.

Require SEP portfolio to achieve $\geq 1.0$ on UCT and $\geq 0.6$ RIM as a secondary test.
2017 IRP—Now Evaluate Prospective Programs

- Smart Thermostat Demand Response Program
- Heat Pump Water Heater
- Multi-Family Direct Install (Pilot)
- 16 Additional Leidos Report Measures
- Others?
DISCUSSION
Tab 5
Financial Performance

May 2017
Retail Energy Sales – May 2017

Retail Energy Sales were above budget for residential customers as a result of greater than budgeted energy use per customer.

Unbilled change allocated to retail revenue classes.
Retail & Other Revenue – May 2017
(excludes Wholesale Revenue)

Retail Revenue was above budget for residential customers, as a result of greater than budgeted energy use per customer.

Unbilled change allocated to retail revenue classes.
### Administrative & General, Operation & Maintenance and Other Expense – May 2017
(Excludes Power Cost)

- **YTD Operating Expenses** were below budget primarily due to lower transmission, legal expenses and consulting fees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oper Exp less Power Cost</td>
<td>$50,512</td>
<td>$53,551</td>
<td>$-3,039</td>
<td>-5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$67,074</td>
<td>$68,916</td>
<td>$-1,842</td>
<td>-2.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### YTD Status (Amounts in Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M</td>
<td>$12,034</td>
<td>$14,283</td>
<td>$2,249</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A&amp;G</td>
<td>$17,380</td>
<td>$17,901</td>
<td>$521</td>
<td>2.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>$21,098</td>
<td>$21,367</td>
<td>$269</td>
<td>1.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Operating Expense (Income)</td>
<td>$16,561</td>
<td>$15,364</td>
<td>$1,197</td>
<td>7.72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YTD less current month</td>
<td>$9,412</td>
<td>$11,091</td>
<td>$1,679</td>
<td>15.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$12,034</td>
<td>$14,283</td>
<td>$2,249</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Amounts in 000's**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amounts in 000's</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M</td>
<td>$12,034</td>
<td>$14,283</td>
<td>$17,380</td>
<td>$17,901</td>
<td>$21,098</td>
<td>$21,367</td>
<td>$16,561</td>
<td>$15,364</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Striving to be the world’s best energy company.**
Net Power Cost

Net Power Cost = Purchased Power + Produced Power – Wholesale Revenue

Wholesale Revenues are below budget due to WS4 being offline resulting in lower sales and lower prices in the SPP IM.

Power Cost is below budget due to WS4 being off-line and lower than expected energy and fixed costs at LES and SPP.

YTD Status
(Amounts in Thousands)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Variance</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power Cost</td>
<td>$59,514</td>
<td>$71,169</td>
<td>$-11,655</td>
<td>-16.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale Revenue</td>
<td>$16,136</td>
<td>$17,456</td>
<td>$-1,320</td>
<td>-7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Power Cost</td>
<td>$43,378</td>
<td>$53,713</td>
<td>$-10,335</td>
<td>-19.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wholesale Revenue

Amounts in 000’s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPP Sales</td>
<td>$10,827</td>
<td>$10,689</td>
<td>$1,113</td>
<td>$1,113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Sales</td>
<td>$6,768</td>
<td>$5,310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wholesale sales revenue separated into SPP sales and contract sales.

Power Cost

Amounts in 000’s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPP Purchased Power</td>
<td>$31,945</td>
<td>$32,567</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Owned Asset Power</td>
<td>$827</td>
<td>$2,221</td>
<td>$7,882</td>
<td>$10,365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Produced Power (Owned</td>
<td>$26,742</td>
<td></td>
<td>$26,016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$36,381</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Purchased power separated into SPP purchased power and non-owned asset power.
Change in Net Position (Net Revenue) – 2017

2017 Budgeted Change in Net Position (Net Revenue) = ($8.44) Million

(millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Month</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017 Actual</td>
<td>$1.30</td>
<td>$0.61</td>
<td>$(0.29)</td>
<td>$(3.54)</td>
<td>$(7.53)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017 Budget</td>
<td>$(2.28)</td>
<td>$(4.91)</td>
<td>$(8.32)</td>
<td>$(13.28)</td>
<td>$(21.85)</td>
<td>$(22.43)</td>
<td>$(9.75)</td>
<td>$(3.65)</td>
<td>$0.08</td>
<td>$(2.74)</td>
<td>$(6.65)</td>
<td>$(8.44)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Change in Net Position (Net Revenue) – 2017

Striving to be the world’s best energy company.
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Debt Service Coverage & Fixed Charge Coverage

Debt Service Coverage

Year-end Projection as of May 2017: 2.44X
Budget: 2.00X

Fixed Charge Coverage

Year-end Projection as of May 2017: 1.83X
Budget: 1.45X

The Debt Service Coverage Ratio measures the ability of current year funds to cover long-term debt obligations.

The Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio measures the ability of current year funds to cover long-term and short-term debt and capacity payments.

Striving to be the world's best energy company.
SIGNIFICANT CAPITAL PROJECTS - MAY 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>YTD Actuals</th>
<th>YTD Budget</th>
<th>2017 Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laramie River Station</td>
<td>$1,600.3</td>
<td>$1,491.1</td>
<td>$22,510.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LES Operations Center</td>
<td>$1,988.6</td>
<td>$1,892.3</td>
<td>$19,905.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE Lincoln Reliability Program</td>
<td>$922.4</td>
<td>$1,170.2</td>
<td>$11,203.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UND Rebuilds</td>
<td>$1,166.4</td>
<td>$1,145.8</td>
<td>$4,163.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duct Installation Program</td>
<td>$1,806.5</td>
<td>$1,236.6</td>
<td>$4,158.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH Rebuilds</td>
<td>$1,170.2</td>
<td>$1,055.0</td>
<td>$3,126.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UND Residential</td>
<td>$527.0</td>
<td>$967.3</td>
<td>$2,201.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UND Relocations</td>
<td>$538.4</td>
<td>$523.2</td>
<td>$2,214.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UND Commercial</td>
<td>$303.2</td>
<td>$194.6</td>
<td>$2,010.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walter Scott Energy Center #4</td>
<td>$1,380.6</td>
<td>$1,751.4</td>
<td>$12,771.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rokeby Unit 2 Major Inspection</td>
<td>$3,233.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Projects</td>
<td>$3,233.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

YTD STATUS
(Amounts in Thousands)

- Actuals: $16,214.3
- Budget: $33,586.8
- Variance: -$17,372.6
- %: -51.7%
Power Supply Division

Jason Fortik
June 16, 2017
## May 2017
### Monthly Actual vs. Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Owned Asset Power</strong></td>
<td>$6.26</td>
<td>$6.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Owned Asset Power</strong></td>
<td>$7.88</td>
<td>$10.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contract Sales</strong></td>
<td>-$1.33</td>
<td>-$1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPP IM Load Purchases</strong></td>
<td>$1.33</td>
<td>$1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPP IM Market Revenue</strong></td>
<td>-$2.81</td>
<td>$2.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SPP Other</strong></td>
<td>-$0.44</td>
<td>-$0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net</strong></td>
<td>$10.90</td>
<td>$14.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Non-Owned Asset Power does not include SPP IM Purchased
**Contract Sales does not include SPP IM Revenue
***SPP Other includes Over-Collected Losses and ARR’s/TCR
May 2017

Daily Temperature Range

- Monthly High: 93°F
- Monthly Low: 36°F

Degrees in Fahrenheit

- Daily Range
- Normal Low
- Normal High
May 2017

Loads

Forecasted = 599 MW

Actual = 613 MW

MW

Mon  Wed  Fri  Sun  Tue  Thu  Sat  Mon  Wed  Fri  Sun  Tue  Thu  Sat  Mon  Wed
May 2017

Customer Energy Consumption

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2017 Budget</th>
<th>2017 Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GWH</td>
<td>267.1</td>
<td>261.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Striving to be the world’s best energy company.
May 2017

Unit Equivalent Availability

[Bar chart showing availability percentages for various units, with categories for Available, Limited, Scheduled Outage, and Forced Outage.

Striving to be the world’s best energy company.
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Resource Energy

Striving to be the world’s best energy company.
May 2017
Peak Load Day – May 16, 2017

Actual = 613 MW

Striving to be the world’s best energy company.
May 2017

Energy Offered to SPP IM - Approximate (Fuel Type)

- Renewable: 22%
- Coal: 44%
- Oil & Gas: 34%

Energy Utilized by SPP IM - (Fuel Type)

- Renewable: 40%
- Coal: 59%
- Oil & Gas: 1%

Note: Total percentage may not add up to 100% due to rounding

Striving to be the world’s best energy company.
May 2017
Energy Utilized by SPP IM

- WAPA (23 GWh) 7%
- Gentleman Station (46 GWh) 14%
- Laramie Station (83 GWh) 25%
- Sheldon Station (11 GWh) 3%
- Walter Scott (53 GWh) 16%
- Terry Bundy (5 GWh) 2%
- Wind PSA's (8 GWh) 2%
- Landfill Gas (3 GWh) 1%
- Wind PPA's (97 GWh) 30%

Note: Total percentage may not add up to 100% due to rounding.
PRE-COUNCIL

FREMONT COSTCO POULTRY PLANT FINDINGS

MONDAY, JUNE 5, 2017 – 1:45 p.m.

CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT: Carl Eskridge, Bennie Shobe, Leirion Gaylord Baird, Roy Christensen, Jon Camp, Cindy Lamm, Jane Raybould

PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES STAFF PRESENT: Donna Garden, Eric Lee, John Keith

Councilman Roy Christensen introduced Donna Garden, Asst. Director of Public Works & Utilities.

The Department received a lot of inquiries when Costco announced they were considering building a poultry plant and associated producer barns in Fremont. Citizens questioned whether this operation would affect Lincoln in any way. We (Donna Garden, Jon Carlson and Steve Owen) over the first six months of the year, researched possible effects this operation may have on Lincoln’s water supply. The main mission of Lincoln Water System is to provide, safe, reliable, quality drinking water to our customers. We intend to maintain that mission well into the future.

There were two public meetings, one held in Omaha and one in Lincoln. I (Donna) attended the meeting in Lincoln where a number of citizen concerns were raised. (Attached PowerPoint slides were presented which displayed the prominent concerns):

Q: **Will nutrients be increased and require additional treatment and expense?**
A: We (Lincoln Water System) must continue to supply safe drinking water to our customers, so if pollutants did increase in our intake water, we would treat and remove pollutants before distribution to our customers.

Q: **Are Fremont’s wastewater plant upgrades adequate?**
A: Fremont is currently undergoing a major upgrade in their wastewater treatment plant that was not associated with the Costco plant originally, so we wanted to make sure their upgraded plant capacity could handle the additional load from the Costco plant.

Additional questions addressed:

*Are there numeric permit limits for nutrients (nitrates and phosphorus)?*

*How will the large number of chickens in a relatively small area be addressed?*

*How will chicken manure be handled and will that impact water quality?*

*Why aren’t there opportunities for public comment?*

- Costco is building a chicken processing facility, hatchery, and feed mill on 417 acres southeast of Fremont.
- At full capacity the hatchery will produce 2 million chicks per week.
- Costco will contract and work with 120 – 125 independently owned producers to grow the chickens. These producers are located within 60 miles of Fremont in an 11 county area which allows for convenient transport to the processing facility. Each producer will have four barns on their property dedicated to growing the chickens. The feed mill will produce all feed. It is expected that 150,000 tons of chicken litter will be land applied.

Q: **Who regulates this operation?**
A: Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality (NDEQ) is the State regulating authority.
The City of Fremont has zoning authority over the Costco plant. The City of Fremont treats wastewater under both State and Federal requirements. Each of the 11 counties have zoning and siting authority over the producer barns located within their county.

**Question from Jane Raybould:** If Lancaster County is not one of the participating producer counties, what authority do we have?

**Answer from Donna Garden:** We really don’t have any authority. If we would have a future need to address issues, we would need to contact one of these regulating agencies to address our issues or to influence decisions.

**Q:** Where does Lincoln’s water come from?

**A:** Lincoln’s water comes from a combination of groundwater aquifer wells and is not directly withdrawn from the Platte River. The groundwater aquifer level is influenced by the Loup, Elkhorn and Platte Rivers. Wastewater from Fremont’s treatment plant, including from the Costco operation, is discharged into the Elkhorn River.

**Analyzing Impacts to Lincoln’s Water**

Earlier this year when we learned of the proposed Costco Poultry Plant, we decided to do fact finding for ourselves. We had meetings with NDEQ to find out what permitting was going to occur.

We joined forces with Metropolitan Utilities District (MUD) in Omaha and worked together to talk to other entities associated with this project, including Costco and Lincoln Premium Poultry (LPP) which is a subsidiary of Costco, to discuss their schedule and protections included in their proposals.

We, along with MUD, also met with the City of Fremont and their engineers (HDR) to discuss the schedule, design, and operation of their wastewater treatment facility which will be processing Costco Chicken wastewater. NDEQ is responsible for approving the construction plans for Fremont’s wastewater treatment facility.

After talking to the regulating community, we found out that both the City of Fremont’s wastewater treatment facility and the producer farms are going above and beyond what is required by state and federal environmental regulations.

- The City of Fremont is investing $25 M to upgrade their WWTP for increased nitrogen removal.
- All wastewater from the Costco plant will go to Fremont’s WWTP.
- The upgraded WWTP will remove a higher percentage of nitrogen (70%) than it does today (57%).
- The upgraded WWTP includes a dedicated anoxic zone for denitrification.

The explanation of denitrification processes that take place in a wastewater treatment plant:

- Most of the nitrogen that enters the plant comes in as ammonia and goes through a biological reactor where oxygen is added which turns it into nitrates (we don’t want nitrates in our discharge water). Then it goes through a non-oxygen or anoxic zone which turns it into simple nitrogen which enters the atmosphere and is out of the wastewater at that point.

Lincoln’s Theresa St. Water Resource Recovery Facility is very similar to the Fremont WWTP once their upgrades are complete. Therefore, we are familiar and very comfortable with Fremont’s treatment and discharge technology processes.

**Producer Barn – Fact Finding**

Most of the citizen concerns are with regard to the large number of Costco contracted producer barns producing such a large number of chickens and all of the manure that will be generated. Costco has told us that all of the producer barns are required by contract to obtain DEQ permits and implement nutrient management plans. The
producers can be terminated from their contracts, if they violate their plans and/or permits. That is an added safe
guard that would not have been required otherwise under current State law.

The nutrient management plans are quite substantial and will protect against over application of nitrogen. We
expect that the organic litter will be a replacement for other sources of nitrogen, such as anhydrous ammonia or
other commercial fertilizers. We know from our own experience with our bio-solids program that organic nitrogen
is much less mobile. It doesn't wash out of the soil as easily as other commercial grade fertilizers. The nutrient
management plans will be monitored by a third party. They have hired another company to generate the nutrient
management plans for the producer barns and the company will be on-site to make sure the nutrient management
plan is being followed. As stated by Costco/LLP, chicken feed will not contain arsenic to fatten birds for production.
Costco is only interested in a 6 lb. sized chicken for their business model and does not plan to use arsenic
supplemented feed. Arsenic is monitored frequently by LWS in source and treated water.

The litter containing the chicken manure will be used as replacement for – not in addition to – nitrogen fertilizer
already being applied on farmland. A composting process is performed with the litter in the barns where it is not
removed when a new batch of chickens come in. It will be applied to the farmland only once or twice per year.
They also require a certain amount of acreage to apply the litter so it is not overused and has an adequate amount
of farmland for application. The number of chickens and amount of manure appears to be managed well by the
plans put forth by Lincoln Premium Poultry.

**Review Impacts to the Platte River**

We are assuming the producer barns will not add anymore nutrients to the Platte River because they are managed
by a nutrient management plan. If chicken manure is used rather than commercial grade nitrogen there would not
be a net increase from the Producer Barns.

Slides were presented explaining the national Safe Drinking Water Act Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) for
nitrates in all Public Water Supplies for POE (point of entry) finished drinking water, including the City of Lincoln.
This level is 10.0 mg/l (milligrams per liter). Average Lincoln Water System POE Nitrate is 1.11 mg/l.

Using information provided by HDR on nitrate discharge before and after the Fremont plant upgrade / Costco
nitrate levels in the Platte River were provided for low flow conditions, high flow conditions, and average
conditions. During average flow conditions in the Platte River, river nitrate values would increase by 0.01 mg/l.

Because Lincoln draws its water from the aquifer, which has natural filtering and attenuation, the amount of
increase to the Lincoln Water System would be much less.

**Our Conclusions**

Lincoln's water quality should not be significantly impacted with the implementation and enforcement of
treatment and nutrient management plans. Both MUD and LWS are recommending continued monitoring of our
water quality and continued communication with the City of Fremont and Costco/Lincoln Premium Poultry.

There are opportunities for public comment regarding the various permits coming up for review at NDEQ. Costco
Processing Plant Pretreatment Permit with Fremont and NDEQ; Producer Barn Ag Permits from NDEQ; and
Fremont Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant has NPDES Permit, which will possibly be modified due to the
increased loading from the Costco Plant. The NPDES renewal will be in 2019 – coincident with the Costco
operation. Citizens may request public hearings on any of permits.
That concludes the project findings.

Questions & Answers

Question from Jane Raybould:
NDEQ will be doing some of the monitoring, but from what I understand their staff have been cut down considerably so should we be concerned about the monitoring requirements by NDEQ and can they fulfill their duty to monitor? And also the Producers, who hires the third party inspectors and how often do they inspect and test the discharge from them and do we already have a baseline about what is the current discharge from Fremont into the Elkhorn River and then on to the Platte River? I know you gave the scenario in a heavy rain event with the runoff, but how is Fremont’s treatment plant expected to process that and same with the Producers, how can they possibly keep up with treating that on their end?

Answer from Donna Garden: The processing plant that takes the chickens from the Producers and cleans them, packages them for sale at the retail stores is an operation that uses a lot of water. The wastewater from that operation will go through the wastewater treatment plant. The Producers that are growing the chickens in their barns do not have a wastewater discharge. They land apply the dry composted material onto their land as fertilizer, it is not a liquid manure. They don’t have a discharge from the Producer barn facilities that discharge into any of our waterways. It is only runoff from the land application that could potentially get into our waterways.

Jane: With all those additional barns, would it be anticipated that there would be an increase in the runoff and who would be monitoring that?

Donna: Are you referring to the Ag ground around it?

Jane: As the slide showed, when there is a heavy rain the nitrate level increases, so if you are increasing the number of barns that would increase the levels of nitrate runoff.

Donna: It is all Ag ground and most Ag ground has fertilizer associated with it. So they are not adding additional nitrates they are replacing commercially purchased fertilizer with a manure source. So they are not actually making a net increase in nitrates or nitrogen. By doing a nutrient management plan, they are maintaining a level of nitrogen in the soil and that will not change because you use chicken manure rather than commercial fertilizer.

Jane: Who has oversight of making sure that the Producer’s nutrient management plans are in conformance with environmental safety requirements to prevent the runoff from their land, instead of just being hauled by farmers to fertilize their fields. The litter that is composting in their barns and the carcasses, how will that be handled?

Donna: The carcasses will be composted as well and is part of their on-site composting plan. In terms of who actually regulates the Producer barns, when the Producers obtain a permit with NDEQ, the nutrient management plans will be required to be followed. Do we have enough enforcement capabilities at NDEQ? That is a question that NDEQ would need to address, but, from the wastewater treatment plant side, we submit the NPDES reports from our facilities quarterly. They are not only tracked by the State but also by the EPA and enforcement capability is there as well. There is no difference between how we are monitored compared to how they will be monitored.

Jane: Do we have baseline numbers in terms of what is being discharged from Fremont’s plant into the Elkhorn River and into the Platte River?

Donna: Yes we do. There is a chart that contains those baseline numbers in the packet sent out last week which was prepared by Fremont’s consultant, HDR.

Jane: Does the Theresa St. Plant have the capability or capacity to be able to do the denitrification that is already being done, so if those numbers shift or become critical, does it have the potential to do additional denitrification without upgrades or enhancements?

Donna: There would probably be some upgrades involved but it has similar structures with both the anoxic and aerobic zones that they’re speaking about with Fremont.
**Question from Bennie Shobe:** Referring back to the slide referencing 10 milligrams per liter (mg/l) for nitrates, how do you come up with that number and how does that compare with other communities?

**Donna:** The Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) is 10 mg/l, which is the limit, the maximum amount you can have in public water supplies at any given time. So if we ever get close to that maximum, say reach 8 mg/l, we would be building something to treat for nitrates because we don’t ever want to reach the 10 mg/l limit for nitrates in our drinking water.

**Bennie:** Is that a Federal standard?

**Donna:** Yes it is, from the Safe Drinking Water Act.

**Bennie:** So the numbers for High Flow at the bottom of the slide (3.81 mg/l) are higher, about one third of the limit.

**Donna:** Yes, and that is river water, not drinking water, so you are going to see about 60% of that for drinking water.

**Question from Leirion Gaylor-Baird:** Can you talk about the treatment of contaminants in both the groundwater and river water and the strict differences of treatment of both and secondly, if there was contamination detected through monitoring and the numbers did in fact indicate pollution, what would the City be able to do in response to that in order to protect our citizens and the quality of our drinking water?

**Donna:** We are currently testing our horizontal collector wells where they look at any kind of microbial organisms and particulates, anything that would indicate a direct link to surface water. Usually that is an influence based on time of year. All of the 40 vertical wells in the system are not under the influence of surface water. We do have ozone disinfection, chlorination, chloramines in the East Plant that handles the horizontal collector wells because those are more subject to Platte River water than the vertical wells in the system.

**Donna introduced Eric Lee, Asst. Superintendent of Water Production and Treatment:** The horizontal collector wells are large capacity wells. A typical vertical well may produce 2 million gallons per day, the horizontal collector wells will produce 17 to 20 million gallons per day. They are located very close to the banks of the Platte River and on an island in the river and are generally in closer proximity to surface water. All of the wells, whether horizontal or vertical do have anywhere from 50 to 70 feet of sand filtration. So that is the major difference between those two types of wells.

**Leirion:** Let’s say this doesn’t turn out the way we all want it to and there is contamination of our drinking water, what if human health is jeopardized, what is our response? How does Lincoln protect its citizens?

**Donna:** We will be watching and talking with Fremont and just like they have access to our monitoring reports, we also have access to theirs as it is public information through NDEQ. We will track and make sure the nitrogen removal coming from the plant is adequate and keep the line of communication open with them. We will talk to NDEQ about protecting our water supply and be able to participate in permits as they are renewed over time. That is our best option if anything should go wrong. Our best option is to work with our regulating community.

Obviously, we have to provide clean drinking water, so if we need to build something to remove those pollutants then that is what we will do.

**Eric Lee added:** As an intermediate step we continually monitor the Platte River and our wellfield for increased nitrogen levels. As noted in some of the slides presented and some of the information Councilwoman Raybould cited regarding runoff events, one of the options we for wellfield management is the ability to turn off the larger collector wells during a runoff event that indicates higher nitrogen levels. Lincoln would not need the water because it has rained, producing those runoff events. So we would shut those wells off and rely on the vertical wells for production during those times and allow the nitrates to move down river before turning the horizontal wells back on.

**Leirion:** If the monitoring discovers increased pollutants, surely there is a cost to increased treatment, what is the potential for recovering those costs? Is that something you’ve had to deal with in the past? How do you envision dealing with that?
Donna: I don’t know that I can adequately answer that. There have been lawsuits filed around the country for various aspects, not necessarily regarding nitrates. Some have succeeded and some have not. I would need to do more research to answer your question adequately.

Question from Jon Camp: Regarding the current slide, you have Post Costco numbers reflecting August 2012 but Costco was not there then so help me understand.

Donna: No, we're just looking at the mass balance of knowing what additional nitrogen is coming from that plant, we just used it in the same flows that we used for the low flow calculation above. So you're looking at the same flow rates in the river and just knowing that there is additional mass of nitrogen and how much it would actually impact. It is based on design calculations from HDR.

Jon: On slide three, you have “Concerns Raised by Citizens”, one of the bullet points states, “Are Fremont’s wastewater plant upgrades adequate?”. Can you describe what they are doing to make sure it is adequate or exceeding what is necessary to ensure the water that they're treating from the plant will be alright?

Donna: Yes, they are actually installing a biological reactor which is basically to provide an aerobic and an anoxic zone for removal of nitrogen, which is very similar to what we have at Theresa St.

Jon: When you use the word adequate, does that mean just a marginal average or additional protection above and beyond?

Donna: It provide more protection than they have right now. So there will be more nitrogen removal than they have the ability to do right now. In terms of what it will discharge and the effects on the river, it will provide adequate protection for the river. Adequate means no significant increase.

Jon: The slide referencing “Fact Finding – Fremont WWTP”, Fremont’s plant upgrade will allow an increase in removal of nitrogen from the current 57% to 70%, is that correct?

Donna: Yes.

Question from Carl Eskridge: Arsenic, I believe that is something that is monitored in our water currently. They have indicated they will not use arsenic in the chicken feed, but what is there to prevent them from using it in the future? How will that be monitored? What protections do we have as a community?

Donna: We will continue to monitor arsenic levels on our own end. They will produce all of the feed at their feed mill on site. I don’t know if we will have the ability to check on whether they are using arsenic in their feed or not. The only thing we have to go on is what they have told us. They do not want to have very large chickens because they are looking to grow these chickens specifically for their rotisserie chicken operation and to meet a certain price point. But we will continue to monitor those levels to make sure we don’t have a problem.

Carl: Another related question is we not only have flows from the Elkhorn and Platte Rivers, but we also have underground flows and plumes that we have seen with the groundwater contamination from the Mead Ordinance Plant getting close to our Lincoln wellfields and that is monitored by the Army Corps of Engineers there, but what underground channels from this operation would be flowing toward our wellfields?

Eric: In general, groundwater movement will continue to flow the same direction. So from the Fremont/Mead area toward our wellfields. We have the capabilities to monitor for some of the more expensive, exotic organic contaminants and nitrates can be and probably is included in the background monitoring we do in association with USGS and ACOE studies. That would be groundwater monitoring prior to our wellfield. Of course we do our own groundwater and river water monitoring within the wellfields.

Question from Jane Raybould: Who hires the third party monitors? What are the qualifications of the third party? Are they on a list from NDEQ? How often do we do our monitoring, is it on a daily or weekly basis? How quickly would we be able to flag something and see trends?

Donna: Lincoln Premium Poultry did hire the third party monitor. It is Nutrient Advisors LLP. They are a company that is registered in Nebraska. They do nutrient management plans for many other agricultural operations such as cattle feed operations. They are not sanctioned by NDEQ (NDEQ does not sanction companies), but they follow the same procedures in terms of developing the nutrient management plans that have to match up with what the
permit requires. Lincoln Premium Poultry has hired them to set up the nutrient management plans for all of the Producers. So as part of the contract with the Producers, they will need to sign on with the nutrient management plan and allow this company to come in and meeting the requirements of their contract.

Jane: Do the Producers have to pay for that or is that something Lincoln Premium Poultry provides as part of the cost of production?

Donna: I don’t have the answer to that question.

John Keith, Laboratory Manager for the Ashland Water Treatment Plant was introduced to brief City Council on water monitoring. We are currently performing monitoring on a weekly basis but we can make the change to monitor on a daily basis. We do our collecting of samples throughout the Treatment Plant from the raw water source all the way through to the finish product. We now have new instrumentation in the Lab that we can run arsenic samples more often. We also have instrumentation that does nitrates and nitrites as nitrogen that we can test on a daily basis. Once they begin operations we can start an aggressive plan and do a daily monitoring to get a tracking and if within normal ranges go to a weekly monitoring. If necessary we can go back to a daily monitoring.

Jane: So you already have the baselines for nitrate, phosphates, e-coli, the works, right?

John: Yes

Question from Jon Camp: Wrapping up all the discussion and work you have done over the past several months, what is your bottom line conclusion as far as the safety for Lincolnites and the water supply?

Donna: In terms of what they are proposing for getting their permits and the nutrient management plans, the processing plant and upgrades of Fremont’s Wastewater Treatment Plant, we do not think there is a significant impact on Lincoln Water System at this time. MUD is feeling the same way. They have also addressed their Board with this same information. As long as they go through with what they have proposed, we do not see a significant impact.

Jon: Any comments from the operations standpoint?

John Keith: I feel the same way.

Eric Lee: I would agree. We will probably step up the nitrogen monitoring, although nitrogen has not been a big issue for us as a contaminant. We have done a baseline on it and are very comfortable with where we are with it. We have looked at the loading proposed and feel that it is small loading but it would be in our best interest to step up the frequency of the nitrogen monitoring.

Jon: Would it be possible for us as a City Council and the Mayor’s Office to have some reports on a periodic basis so we can see in practice what the levels are versus what you projected? Because without water, we don’t exist.

Donna: We would be happy to provide you reports.

Leirion: Do you publish the data of your water quality monitoring and if not, could this be published in real time?

Donna: We do release a consumer confidence report each year. The “2016 Annual Drinking Water Quality Report” is currently available on the website. If you would like real time information, we certainly would be happy to provide that moving forward with this.

Jane: I got a report that was published by Johns Hopkins, the Center for a Livable Future, and after they reviewed the current set-up and programs that are being established by the plant, they felt that there was insufficient monitoring and enforcement, and even compliance with having sufficient requirements established by Fremont City Council. Do you feel comfortable moving forward that there are sufficient requirements and enforcement capabilities established by Fremont City Council? And with oversight by NDEQ?

Donna: I am familiar with that report and it stated a lot of different issues, not only with water, but air quality, and issues with truck traffic and other issues. I wasn’t sure what piece they were referring to or if it was addressing all of it. I do believe NDEQ does provide adequate monitoring on this issue. They know what is coming out of the Wastewater Treatment Plant. They have all of the information prior to the upgrade and the Costco operation going in that we can compare to because all of the same information is available to us. We can keep track of it as part of our due diligence. As for the Producer Barns, I am very encouraged, in fact it is very unusual in the State of
Nebraska to require small operators to obtain permits, but Costco has taken this extra step to enforce and make sure the nutrient management plans are in place and to make it part of the contract with those Producers. Because they have put these additional safeguards in place. I am very encouraged that there is adequate enforcement and monitoring in place.

The meeting was adjourned at 2:49 p.m.

Meeting notes submitted by: Robyn Cruse-Miller
Evaluation of Costco – Fremont

Donna Garden
Steve Owen
Jon Carlson

Our Mission

- To provide safe, reliable, quality drinking water and customer service to the citizens of Lincoln.

Our Process

- With due diligence, obtain information from all parties to evaluate the impacts, if any, from Costco Operations in Fremont on the Lincoln Water System.
Concerns raised by citizens
LWS staff attended Public Meeting in Lincoln

- Will nutrients be increased and require additional treatment and expense?
- Are Fremont’s wastewater plant upgrades adequate?
- Are there numeric permit limits for nutrients (nitrates and phosphorus)?
- How will the large number of chickens in a relatively small area be addressed?
- How will chicken manure be handled?
- Why aren’t there opportunities for public comment?

What is being built in Fremont?

- Processing facility, hatchery, and feed mill on 417 acres SE of Fremont.
- At full capacity the hatchery will produce 2 million chicks per week.
- 120 – 125 independently owned producers will grow the chickens under contract to Costco.
- All producers within 60 miles of Fremont in an 11 county area.
- 150,000 tons / year of chicken litter to be land applied.
Who regulates?

- NDEQ is the environmental regulating authority.
- City Fremont has zoning authority over Costco plant.
- City of Fremont treats wastewater under State and Federal requirements.

Where does Lincoln’s Water Come From?

Wellfields - our water comes from the groundwater aquifer, and is not directly withdrawn from the Platte River

Groundwater is influenced by the Loup, Elkhorn, Platte Rivers
Analyzing Impacts to Lincoln’s Water
Fact Finding

- Discussions with The Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality (NDEQ)
- Coordinated efforts with Metropolitan Utilities District of Omaha (MUD)
- Discussions with Natural Resources Districts
- Met with Costco and Lincoln Premium Poultry to discuss schedule and protections included in their proposals.
- Met with the City of Fremont to discuss their schedule, design, and operation of their City Wastewater Treatment Facility which will be processing Costco Chicken Processing wastewater.

Fact Finding

- Both the City of Fremont’s Wastewater Treatment Facility (WWTF) and the producer farms are going above and beyond what is required by state or federal environmental regulation.
Fremont is investing $25M to upgrade its WWTF for increased nitrogen removal.
All wastewater from the processing plant will go to Fremont's WWTF.
A higher percentage (57% to 70%) of nitrogen will be removed.
Upgrade includes a dedicated anoxic zone for denitrification.
Fact Finding – Producer Barns

- All producer barns are required to obtain DEQ permits and implement nutrient management plans as part of their contracts.
- Producers can be terminated from their contracts, if violating their plans or permits.

Fact Finding

- Nutrient management plans will protect against over application of nitrogen.
- Organic nitrogen from the litter will replace other sources of nitrogen such as anhydrous ammonia and other commercial fertilizers.
- Organic nitrogen is less mobile in soil and is considered a safer source of nitrogen for crops.
- Nutrient management plans will be monitored by a third party entity.
- No arsenic will be fed to chickens.
Fact Finding

- Litter containing the chicken manure will be used as replacement for – not in addition to - nitrogen fertilizer already being applied on farmland.
- There is adequate land and demand for the amount of litter produced.
- The number of chickens and amount of manure appears to be managed well by the plans put forth by Lincoln Premium Poultry.

Review Impacts to Platte River

REMEMBER: Lincoln’s water comes from the groundwater aquifers – not directly from the Platte River

- Maximum Contaminant Load (MCL) for nitrates in City of Lincoln Drinking Water – **10 mg/l** (milligrams per liter)
- Current Platte River Concentrations
  - Low Flow – August 2012: 0.40 mg/l
  - High Flow – June 2016: 3.80 mg/l

- Post Costco/LPP / Treatment Upgrade
  - Low Flow – August 2012: 0.57 mg/l
  - High Flow – June 2016: 3.81 mg/l
Our Conclusions

- Lincoln’s water quality should not be significantly impacted with the implementation and enforcement of treatment and nutrient management plans
- Both MUD and LWS are recommending continued monitoring of our water quality and continued communication with the City of Fremont and / or Costco/LPP
Public Hearings and Opportunities for Comment

- Costco Processing Plant Pretreatment Permit
- Fremont Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant NDES Permit
- Renewal in 2019 – coincident with Costco operation

Questions?

Donna Garden
Assistant Director of Public Works and Utilities
402.441.8605

Steve Owen
Superintendent of Water Production & Treatment
402.441.5925

Jon Carlson
Aide to Mayor Beutler
402.441.7224
CALL TO ORDER:
The April 20, 2017, meeting of the Commission on Human Rights was called to order at 4:00 p.m. by Micheal Q. Thompson, Chair.

ROLL CALL:
The roll call was called and documented as follows.

MEMBERS PRESENT:
Commissioners: Micheal Q. Thompson (Chair), Amanda Baron (Vice-Chair), Carlos Garcia, Susan Oldfield, Jon Rehm, and Melanie Ways. Quorum was present. Jaine Merliss joined the meeting at 4:11 p.m.

MEMBERS ABSENT:
Commissioners: Bennie Shobe was absent.

STAFF PRESENT:
LCHR: Kimberley Taylor-Riley, Margie Nichols, Michelle Ware, Francisca Beltran, and Peg Dillon.

APPROVAL OF MARCH 30 2017, MINUTES:
A motion was made by Oldfield and seconded by Baron to approve the minutes of the previous meeting.

Hearing no discussion, Chairperson Thompson asked for the roll call. Voting “aye” was: Thompson, Baron, Garcia, Oldfield, Rehm, and Ways. Motion carried.

APPROVAL OF APRIL 20 2017, AGENDA:
A motion was made by Baron and seconded by Garcia to approve the meeting agenda.

Hearing no discussion, Chairperson Thompson asked for the roll call. Voting “aye” was: Thompson, Baron, Garcia, Oldfield, Rehm, and Ways. Motion carried.
CASE DISPOSITIONS:

LCHR #16-1021-046-E-R
A motion was made by Oldfield and seconded by Ways to recommend a finding of no reasonable cause on both allegations.

Garcia commented that the conversation between the Complainant and the supervisor was not appropriate for a work environment. He asked if there was any discussion during the investigation about remedying this situation. Nichols said the Respondent considered them to be friends and due to the personal connection they had, it allowed for a more casual conversation. Garcia said maybe going forward they should control the conversation and keep away from derogatory comments.

Baron asked if the comment made to the Complainant “Don’t give me a reason to fire you” could be considered a threat. Nichols replied that it was often repeated and served as a warning for the Complainant. Thompson agreed it appeared as a friendly warning to the Complainant.

Baron noted the supervisor had received a warning at one time from Respondent. Nichols said this was due to an order he sent out being rejected by a customer.

Hearing no further discussion, Chairperson Thompson asked for the roll call. Voting “aye” was: Thompson, Baron, Garcia, Merliss, Oldfield, Rehm, and Ways. Motion carried.

LCHR #16-1116-051-E-R
A motion was made by Ways and seconded by Baron to recommend a finding of reasonable cause that Complainant was denied a reasonable accommodation and retaliated against based on his disability.

Rehm asked if the job offered to the Complainant could be considered a reasonable accommodation. Nichols said the sedentary position was not actually offered to Complainant as Respondent told him they did not have any positions available that were completely sedentary. Rehm asked if he had lifting and concentration restrictions. Nichols said the Complainant did. Rehm asked if the coordinator job would have been difficult for the Complainant. Nichols said that was difficult to answer because there was no interaction with Complainant or his doctor to determine if this job would be appropriate.

Ways asked if the Complainant even knew about the sedentary position. Nichols said the information about the other position was in the response from Respondent and Complainant learned about it during the rebuttal process.

Merliss asked if there were any questions about the Complainant having a disability. Nichols said no, the Respondent was aware of Complainant’s disability. Baron asked about the Complainant’s attendance. Nichols said the Complainant provided documentation to excuse his absences in order to collect Worker’s Compensation.
Merliss asked if retaliation is because of the loss of employment. Nichols said yes. Rehm asked Nichols about how the termination links to the request for a reasonable accommodation. Nichols replied that the act of engaging in a protected activity and requesting a reasonable accommodation followed by termination shows a connection between them.

Hearing no further discussion, Chairperson Thompson asked for the roll call. Voting “aye” was: Thompson, Baron, Garcia, Merliss, Oldfield, and Ways. Voting no was Rehm. Motion carried.

**ADMINISTRATIVE CLOSURES:**

**LCHR #16-0318-010-E-R**

A motion was made by Oldfield and seconded by Merliss to recommend approval of the administrative closure and conciliation agreement.

There was discussion about the conciliation agreement and how the amount was determined. Nichols said it was negotiated between Complainant and Respondent. Garcia wanted to know what would happen if the Commission voted not to accept this agreement. Taylor-Riley and Nichols discussed actions that could follow if the Commission did not vote to accept.

Hearing no further discussion, Chairperson Thompson asked for the roll call. Voting “aye” was: Thompson, Baron, Garcia, Merliss, Oldfield, Rehm, and Ways. Motion carried.

**OLD BUSINESS:**

**Outreach**
Beltran updated Commissioners on outreach activities and distributed the new postcards with magnets. This new campaign is to reach out to immigrant and minority women to inform them of their rights.

Beltran stated she is presenting at all Lincoln high schools providing equity presentations. The Ponca Tribe had a week-long fair and LCHR had a booth there with promotions and information. Beltran attended Renters Together. She stated they have meetings at the Commons neighborhood once a month that includes the 14th and J Street area. Beltran said she also participated at Victims Week and Union College. They invite students to attend to learn about local agencies. LCHR will have a booth at the Star City Pride event on July 7 – 8. Beltran told Commissioners to let her know if they are able to volunteer at this event.

**Travel/Training**
Taylor-Riley, Nichols, and Ware plan to attend HUD training in Kansas City, MO, May 9-11. Taylor-Riley will attend NCRFC in St. Louis, MO May 16-18. Ware will be starting her online Fair Housing training.
New Commissioner
Taylor-Riley stated Dr. Sheri Blok will be approved at the next City Council meeting and will serve as our new Commissioner beginning in May.

NEW BUSINESS:

Public Hearings Update
Nichols updated Commissioners on the status of Public Hearings on cases that were determined as Reasonable Cause and failed to conciliate. Inzunza v. Let’s Talk was closed with a successful conciliation agreement so the hearing was canceled. Alvarez v. SBM hearing was postponed due to a court filing regarding binding arbitration. Ritchey v. CIP hearing was postponed but has been rescheduled for May 15 - 17 at 9 a.m.

PUBLIC COMMENT:
No public comment.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:58 p.m.

Next Meeting:
Thursday, May 25, 2017, at 4:00 p.m.
555 S. 10th Street, 1st Floor, Council Chambers
CITY OF LINCOLN
COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS
Thursday, June 29, 2017, 4:00 p.m.
City County Building, 555 South 10th Street, City Council Chambers

AGENDA

I. Roll Call

II. Approval of Minutes, May 25, 2017, Commission Meeting

III. Approval of Agenda, June 29, 2017, Commission Meeting

IV. Case Dispositions
   A. Reasonable Cause / No Reasonable Cause
      1. LCHR Case No.: 16-1115-050-E-R
      2. LCHR Case No.: 16-1214-054-E-R
      3. LCHR Case No.: 16-1221-055-E-R
      4. LCHR Case No.: 17-0104-001-E-R
      5. LCHR Case No.: 17-0125-003-E-R
   B. Pre-Determination Settlement
      1. LCHR Case No.: 17-0530-007-H
   C. Successful Conciliation
      1. LCHR Case No.: 16-0706-029-E-R
   D. Administrative Closures
      1. LCHR Case No.: 17-0331-002-H
      2. LCHR Case No.: 17-0504-010-E-R

V. Old Business
   A. Outreach
   B. Grant Update
   C. New Commissioner Suggestions/Referrals

VI. New Business
   A. Promotional T-shirts

VII. Public Comment**

VIII. Adjournment

**Public comments are limited to 5 minutes per person. Members of the public may address any item of interest to LCHR during this open session with the exception of LCHR cases. Also, no member of the public who wishes to address the Commission will be allowed to examine any individual Commissioner or staff member on any item/question before the Commission unless invited to do so by the Chairperson.

ACCOMMODATION NOTICE
The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public’s access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.
I. CITY CLERK

II. MAYOR’S CORRESPONDENCE
1. NEWS RELEASE. Public Invited to Celebrate International Yoga Day
2. NEWS RELEASE. Dietrich Trail and Bridge Closed Through June 22, 2017
3. NEWS RELEASE. Health Department Offers Extended Vaccination Hours
4. NEWS RELEASE. Portion of Yankee Hill Road to Open Friday, June 23, 2017
5. NEWS RELEASE. Portion of Jamaica North Trail Temporarily Closed Due to Storm Damage
6. NEWS RELEASE. Mayor Joins Celebration of Pollinator Week
7. NEWS RELEASE. Uncle Sam Jam Features Soul Dawg, Kids’ Games and Fireworks From J & M Displays Monday, July 3, 2017 at Oak Lake Park
8. NEWS RELEASE. Seniors Invited to Participate in Aging Partners Events July 26 through July 2, 2017
9. NEWS RELEASE. Mayor Beutler’s Public Schedule Week of June 24 through June 30, 2017
10. NEWS RELEASE. Mayor Calls On Local Hotels and Motels To Train On Human Trafficking
11. NEWS RELEASE. Public Invited to Clean Up Fireworks Debris Wednesday, July 5, 2017

III. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

PLANNING COMMISSION
1. Action by Planning Commission

URBAN DEVELOPMENT
2. Street & Alley Vacation 17006
PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT
2740 “A” Street, Lincoln, NE 68502, 402-441-7847

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: June 19, 2017
MEDIA CONTACT: Sheila Palmquist, Lincoln Yoga Center, 402-570-1114

PUBLIC INVITED TO CELEBRATE INTERNATIONAL YOGA DAY
WEDNESDAY AT WOODS PARK

The Parks and Recreation Department invites the public to attend a free yoga class to celebrate International Yoga Day from 7 to 8 p.m., Wednesday, June 21 at Woods Park, 33rd and “J” streets. Staff from the Lincoln Yoga Center will conduct a yoga workshop in the grassy area of the park. Attendees should bring comfortable clothes for practicing yoga and a towel or yoga mat.

“International Yoga Day is a way of honoring the practice of yoga, and it reminds us of the union we share as humans,” said Sheila Palmquist, owner of Lincoln Yoga Center. “Science tells us that being part of a healthy community is good for us. Everyone, regardless of our differences or limitations, is welcome.”

For more information, contact Sheila Palmquist at 402-570-1114. For more information on Parks and Recreation, visit parks.lincoln.ne.gov.

-30-
DIETRICH TRAIL AND BRIDGE CLOSED THROUGH JUNE 22

The Dietrich Trail and Bridge between 17th and 20th streets will be closed through Thursday, June 22 due to UNL’s work on N. 17th Street. The suggested detour is 21st Street south from Lintel Park, to “Y” Street west to rejoin the trail at Antelope Parkway.

For more information, contact Sara Hartzell, Parks and Recreation, at 402-441-8261 or shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov. More information on the City trail system is available at parks.lincoln.ne.gov.

-30-
HEALTH DEPARTMENT OFFERS EXTENDED VACCINATION HOURS

The Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department (LLCHD) is offering additional immunization opportunities this summer to serve eligible children who may be excluded from school if they do not meet vaccination requirements. Vaccinations are available at the Health Department, 3140 “N” St., on the following days:

- 8 a.m. to 6:30 p.m. Wednesdays and Thursdays in June, July and August.
- 10 a.m. to 1 p.m. Saturday, July 22.

To speak to a nurse or make an appointment, call 402-441-8065.

Nebraska law requires private and public school students, kindergarten through grade 12, to submit written verification of their immunization status by October 19, or 60 days after classes begin. Non-compliant students will be excluded from school.

To be eligible for the LLCHD vaccine, children must be under 19 years of age and uninsured, under-insured, covered by Nebraska Medicaid, or of American Indian or Alaskan Native origin. Children must be accompanied by a parent or legal guardian and have records of previous vaccines. Free or reduced cost services are available based on need.

More information is available at health.lincoln.ne.gov. Select “Vaccine Clinic” under the heading “Community Health Services.”
PORTION OF YANKEE HILL ROAD TO OPEN FRIDAY

Yankee Hill Road between 84th Street and Highway 2 will open Friday, June 23. It remains closed between 70th and 84th streets. The closure is part of the Yankee Hill Road paving project from 70th Street to Highway 2. The road closure in that area will continue through early fall 2017.

The project is scheduled for completion in the fall and includes paving Yankee Hill Road and building roundabouts at quarter-mile, half-mile and mile intersections. The Public Works and Utilities Department appreciates the public's patience during this project.

For more information on the project, visit lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: Yankee Hill) or contact Jess Sundeen, Public Works and Utilities, at 402-416-5341 or jsundeen@lincoln.ne.gov. More information on City projects is available at lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: projects).
PORTION OF JAMAICA NORTH TRAIL TEMPORARILY CLOSED DUE TO STORM DAMAGE

The Jamaica North Trail from Old Cheney Road to S. 14th Street is closed due to fallen trees caused by Friday’s storm. Users are asked to stay off the trail while the barricades are in place. Pioneers Park and Wilderness Park also suffered storm damage, including trees that have fallen on or are hanging over trails. Users are urged to be cautious in the parks and avoid damaged areas.

For more information regarding Lincoln trails, contact Sara Hartzell, 402-441-8261 or visit parks.lincoln.ne.gov.
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: June 22, 2017
MEDIA CONTACTS: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 402-441-7831
Mark Canney, Parks and Recreation, 402-441-8248

MAYOR JOINS CELEBRATION OF POLLINATOR WEEK

Mayor Chris Beutler today issued a proclamation for National Pollinator Week, encouraging residents to learn how they can make their landscapes pollinator-friendly. He was joined at the Pioneer Woman sculpture in South Antelope Park by a group of summer day campers, who planted milkweed, which is essential for monarch butterflies. National Pollinator Week, which runs through Sunday, June 25, was established by Congress ten years ago to address the decline of pollinators, including monarch butterflies and bees.

“We are hearing more and more about the importance of pollinators like birds, bees, butterflies and other insects to our environment,” Mayor Beutler said. “Our Parks and Recreation Department manages over 7,000 park areas in our City, and half of that is managed as greenway providing habitat for wildlife including pollinators.”

Also attending this morning’s event was Randall Gilbert of Lincoln, a representative of the Save the Monarchs organization. The group provided about 400 milkweed plants to the City, including those planted by the campers from Irving and Calvert Recreation Centers in Antelope Park. Some were included in the 3,000 perennial flowers and grasses planted by volunteers at Woods Park Saturday. Others were planted at Union Plaza, Lakeview Park and the Rotary Strolling Garden.

The Parks and Recreation Department and its partners -- Lincoln Partners for Public Art Development, University of Nebraska Bee Lab, and Nebraska Beekeepers Association – have been sharing information about pollinators throughout the week through social media.

The Nebraska Beekeepers Association will have a Bee Fun Day from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m. Sunday, June 25 at the UNL Agriculture Research and Development Center, 1071 County Road G in Ithaca, which is southeast of Wahoo in Saunders County. The event features presentations on beekeeping, candle making and other topics. The registration fee for non-members is $55, and more information is available at nebraskabeekeepers.org.

For more information about pollinator areas within Lincoln Park and Recreation, contact Mark Canney, Park Planner, at 402-441-8248 or mcanny@lincoln.ne.gov. More information on Lincoln Parks and Recreation is available at parks.lincoln.ne.gov.

-30-
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
555 S. 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 402-441-7511

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: June 22, 2017
MEDIA CONTACT: Jon Taylor, Citizen Information Center, 402-441-7547

“UNCLE SAM JAM 2017” FEATURES SOUL DAWG, KIDS’ GAMES AND FIREWORKS FROM J & M DISPLAYS
Event is Monday, July 3 at Oak Lake Park

Mayor Chris Beutler today invited area residents to the City’s annual Uncle Sam Jam Independence Day celebration at Oak Lake Park, Monday, July 3. Activities will begin at 3 p.m., and the fireworks display will begin at 10 p.m.

Soul Dawg, a horn-driven, funk rock band will perform from 6:15 p.m. to 9:30 p.m. The group’s dynamic sound is fueled by a seven-piece lineup of area music veterans. They play high-energy hits from bands such as Earth, Wind and Fire, Parliament, James Brown and Stevie Wonder. Visit Souldawg.com for more band information.

The fireworks are sponsored by Pepsi-Cola and presented by J & M Displays of Yarmouth, Iowa. The show will be set to music provided by NRG Media/Broadcast House and aired on its four radio stations – B107.3 FM, Froggy 98.1 FM, 105.3 Wow FM and KLIN 1400 AM. The Lincoln Journal Star also is a partner in the celebration.

The City Parks and Recreation Department will provide free family activities beginning at 3 p.m. with canoeing, disc golf, bingo, NEOS, horseshoes and much more. Concessions will be available for purchase throughout the afternoon in the main parking lot. VFW Post 3606 will conduct the flag-lowering ceremony at 8:25 p.m.

Ample free parking is available at Oak Lake Park and the surrounding area. More parking can be found at the festival space north of Pinnacle Bank Arena and in the Haymarket Park lots. The public is encouraged to arrive early to avoid traffic delays.

Parking also is available at Haymarket Garage, 9th and “Q” streets and Marketplace Garage, 10th and “Q” streets. StarTran will provide free public shuttle bus service from 5 p.m. to 11 p.m. between the main entrance at Oak Lake Park and these locations:
• Haymarket Parking Garage, 9th and “Q”
• Gold’s bus stop, 11th and “O”

-more-
Uncle Sam Jam
July 22, 2017
Page Two

- County-City building complex - northeast corner of 9th and “H” and southeast corner of 9th and “K”. Free parking is available in two lots – one bounded by 9th, 10th, “G” and “H” and one bounded by 9th, 10th, “K” and “L”.

Handi-Van service is available for those eligible, and reservations can be made by calling StarTran at 402-441-7109.

In case of inclement weather, the musical performance, food vendors, fireworks display and radio broadcasts will be rescheduled to the same location and times on Wednesday, July 5. Many of the family activities and kids’ games also would be rescheduled.

Fireworks other than those used in the official City display are prohibited in the park during the celebration. Fireworks are prohibited in all City parks at all times. City ordinance allows the sale and use of permissible fireworks in the City only from 8 a.m. to 11 p.m. July 3 and from 8 a.m. to 11:59 p.m. July 4 of each year.

Attendees are reminded that Lincoln City parks are now tobacco-free. City policy prohibits use of any form of tobacco products, including cigarettes, cigars, pipes and chew or dip, in any park areas or facilities designated as tobacco-free.

The Red Cross will have first aid available. Alcohol and personal watercraft are prohibited in the park. All pets are required to wear a leash in the park, and residents are discouraged from bringing pets to the park during the fireworks display.

Visit lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: uncle sam jam) for more information.

-30-
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
Aging Partners, 1005 “O” Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 402-441-7070

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:  June 23, 2017
MEDIA CONTACT:  David Norris, Aging Partners, 402-441-6156

SENIORS INVITED TO PARTICIPATE IN
AGING PARTNERS EVENTS JUNE 26 THROUGH JULY 2

Aging Partners invites senior citizens and the general public to attend a variety of senior-focused activities and classes in Lincoln and Lancaster County from June 26 through July 2:

Monday, June 26
•  Contemporary Yoga, Cotner Center Condominium – 9 a.m.
•  Musical performance by The Clefs, Downtown Senior Center – 10:30 a.m.
•  Tai Chi (Continuing 24 Form), Cotner Center Condominium – 10:30 a.m.
•  10-point Pitch, Northeast Senior Center – 12:30 to 3 p.m.
•  Dynamic Movement, Cotner Center Condominium – 2 p.m.

Tuesday, June 27
•  Active Living Every Day workshop, “F” Street Recreation Center – 9:30 a.m.
•  Chair Tai Chi, Aging Partners Health and Fitness Center – 9:45 a.m.
•  BINGO, Lake Street Senior Center – 10 a.m.
•  BINGO, Belmont Senior Center – 11:30 a.m.
•  Tai Chi class, Lake Street Senior Center – 12:30 p.m.
•  Tai Chi class, Gateway Vista – 1:30 p.m.

Wednesday, June 28
•  BINGO, Northeast Senior Center – 10 a.m.
•  Musical performance by The Baker Bunch, Lake Street Senior Center – 10 a.m.
•  BINGO, Downtown Senior Center – 10:30 a.m.
•  Blood pressure screenings by Haven Manor nurses, Hickman Senior Center – 11 a.m.
•  BINGO, JoAnn Maxey Center – 11:30 a.m.
•  10-Point Pitch and Bridge, Northeast Senior Center – 12:30 to 3 p.m.

Thursday, June 29
•  “Surfing the Internet” introductory class, Northeast Senior Center – 9:30 a.m.
•  Musical performance by Pete Spotted Horse and Mike McCracken, Downtown Senior Center – 10:30 a.m.
Visit from Lincoln City Libraries’ Bookmobile, Lake Street Senior Center – noon to 1 p.m.
Tai Chi class, Lake Street Senior Center – 12:30 p.m.
BINGO, Bennet Senior Center – 1 p.m.
Tai Chi class, Gateway Vista – 1:30 p.m.

Friday, June 30
Musical performance by Bill Hill and Friends, Northeast Senior Center – 10 a.m.
Chair Yoga class, East Lincoln Christian Church – 11 a.m.
Move More Lincoln Fit Mix “Feeling Fit” class, Jayne Snyder Trail Center – noon
10-point Pitch, Northeast Senior Center – 12:30 to 3 p.m.

Senior Center Meal Schedule (reservations required two working days in advance):
Belmont Senior Center (402-441-7990): Mondays, Tuesdays and Thursdays at 11:30 a.m.
Bennet Senior Center (402-416-7693): Tuesdays and Thursdays at noon
Downtown Senior Center (402-441-7154): Monday - Friday from 11:30 a.m. to 12:15 p.m.
Firth Senior Center (402-416-7693): Mondays at 11:30 a.m.
Hickman Senior Center (402-792-2006): Wednesdays at 11:30 a.m.
JoAnn Maxey Senior Center (402-441-7849): Wednesdays and Fridays at 11:30 a.m.
Lake Street Senior Center (402-441-7157): Monday - Friday at 11:30 a.m.
Northeast Senior Center (402-441-7151): Monday - Friday from 11:30 a.m. to 12:15 p.m.
Waverly Senior Center (402-416-7693): Fridays at 11:30 a.m.

Location addresses:
Aging Partners Health and Fitness Center, 233 S. 10th St.
Belmont Senior Center, 1234 Judson St.
Bennet Senior Center, 970 Monroe St.
Cotner Center Condominium, 1540 N. Cotner Blvd.
Downtown Senior Center, 1005 “O” St.
East Lincoln Christian Church, 7001 Edenton Road
“F” Street Recreation Center, 1225 “F” St.
Firth Senior Center, 311 Nemaha St.
Gateway Vista, 225 N. 56th St.
Hickman Senior Center, 300 E. 3rd St.
Home Instead Senior Care, 1400 Dahlberg Drive, Suite E
Jayne Snyder Trail Center, 21st and “Q” streets
JoAnn Maxey Senior Center, 2032 “U” St.
Lake Street Senior Center, 2400 S. 11th St.
Northeast Senior Center, 6310 Platte Ave.
Waverly Senior Center, 14410 Folkestone St.
For information on classes or to register, call 402-441-7575. Roundtrip transportation is available for senior center meals and activities in Lincoln only by calling the centers directly (phone numbers listed in meal schedule). For more information on senior center events and activities, visit lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: My Center News) or call 402-441-7158.
Mayor Beutler’s Public Schedule
Week of June 24 through 30, 2017
(Schedule subject to change)

Saturday, June 24
- Lincoln Literacy Annual Awards Luncheon, remarks – 11:30 a.m., Mayflower Hall, First Plymouth Church, 2000 “D” St.

Sunday, June 25
- Trail Trek, Mayor announced bicycle drawing winners – noon, Haymarket Park, 403 Line Drive Circle

Monday, June 26
- News conference on human trafficking - 10 a.m., Room 303, County-City Building, 555 S. 10th Street

Tuesday, June 27
- KFOR – 12:45 p.m., recorded earlier
- First Congressional District Nebraska Retail Champion award presentation, remarks – 4 p.m., details released Tuesday

Thursday, June 29
- Dedication of Jim Huntington sculptures, remarks – 5:15 p.m., Densmore Park, 6701 S. 14th St. (Rain location is Walt Branch Library.)
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
555 S. 10th Street, Lincoln, NE  68508, 402-441-7511

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: June 26, 2017
MEDIA CONTACT: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 402-441-7831

MAYOR CALLS ON LOCAL HOTELS AND MOTELS TO TRAIN ON HUMAN TRAFFICKING

Mayor Chris Beutler today encouraged local hotel and motel managers to have their staffs participate in a training program to help them recognize signs of human trafficking. The “Realize, Recognize and Respond” program, offered by the Coalition on Human Trafficking, also trains those in the lodging industry how to respond safely to suspected cases and how to inform law enforcement.

“Statistics show that 70 to 80 percent of human trafficking occurs in hotels,” said Mayor Beutler. “Without the knowledge of how to identify a potential trafficking situation, victims will continue to be transported from hotel to hotel from city to city. And yes, trafficking occurs everywhere, including Lincoln. No hotel is too big or too small to have this horrible crime occur in its establishment.” Mayor Beutler will send a letter to local hotel and motel managers asking them to participate in the free, 30- to 45-minute training program.

The Coalition is a group of concerned organizations including religious groups, agencies working with women and children, law enforcement and the health care industry. “Most people don’t think of or don’t want to think of Lincoln as a hub for this type of seedy behavior, but it’s real and it’s a growing problem,” said Sister Celeste Wobeter, a Notre Dame Sister in charge of the Coalition’s Hotel/Motel Training Committee. “We have to open our eyes to what’s around us and start recognizing the signs.”

The United Nations defines human trafficking as the recruitment, transportation, transfer, harboring or receipt of persons by improper means (such as force, abduction, fraud, or coercion) for an improper purpose, including forced labor or sexual exploitation

Sister Wobeter attended this morning’s press conference along with Senator Patty Pansing Brooks; Lincoln Police Chief Jeff Bliemeister; Lancaster County Sheriff Terry Wagner; Glen Parks from the Nebraska Human Trafficking Task Force in the Attorney General’s Office; Meghan Malik of the Women’s Fund of Omaha; and Lucas Arias of the Lincoln Lodging Association.

For more information about the training program, contact trainhotel@nottrafficking.org or call 888-373-7888.

-30-
PUBLIC ASKED TO CLEAN UP FIREWORKS DEBRIS
Volunteers needed for Oak Lake cleanup July 5

Keep Lincoln and Lancaster County Beautiful (KLLCB) encourages residents to properly dispose of fireworks debris following private and public Independence Day celebrations. Officials recommend allowing used fireworks to sit for several minutes and using water to make sure they are completely extinguished. A metal bucket or a bucket with sand or water can be used as a temporary trash can. Cooled fireworks can be placed in regular trash for disposal.

“Start with a boom, end with a broom,” said KLLCB Coordinator Willa DiCostanzo. “Preventing litter is essential to a clean, healthy and safe community, and cleaning up your litter is part of being a good neighbor.” DiCostanzo said litter that is washed down storm drains eventually flows into streams, rivers and lakes, and the potentially harmful substances in fireworks can pollute the environment.

In addition to cleaning up after private celebrations, volunteers are needed for the annual Oak Lake Cleanup from 10 a.m. to 1 p.m. Wednesday, July 5. For more information, visit lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: stream). Select the “Oak Lake Cleanup” link. In connection with the cleanup, the Bureau of Fire Prevention will also collect unwanted fireworks and ammunition in the east parking lot of the park. The City’s annual Uncle Sam Jam celebration is Monday, July 3 at Oak Lake Park with a rain date of July 5. More information is available at lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: Uncle Sam Jam).

City ordinance allows the sale and use of permissible fireworks in the City only from 8 a.m. to 11 p.m. July 3 and from 8 a.m. to 11:59 p.m. July 4 of each year.

KLLCB is a program of the Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department. The fireworks debris prevention effort is funded in part by the Nebraska Department of Environmental Quality. More information on KLLCB is available at lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: kllcb).
**ACTION BY PLANNING COMMISSION**

NOTICE: The Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission will hold a public hearing on Wednesday, June 21, 2017, at 1:00 p.m. in Hearing Room 112 on the first floor of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St., Lincoln, Nebraska. For more information, call the Planning Department, (402) 441-7491.

The Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission will meet on Wednesday, June 21, 2017, from 11:30 p.m. to 12:50 p.m. in Studio Room 113, Bill Luxford Studio, on the first floor of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St., Lincoln, Nebraska, to discuss Alcohol Policy Review/Public Input.

**PLEASE NOTE:** The Planning Commission action is final action on any item with a notation of “FINAL ACTION”. Any aggrieved person may appeal Final Action of the Planning Commission to the City Council or County Board by filing a Notice of Appeal with the City Clerk or County Clerk within 14 days following the action of the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission action on all other items is a recommendation to the City Council or County Board.

AGENDA

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 21, 2017

[Commissioners Beckius and Washington absent.]

Approval of minutes of the regular meeting held June 7, 2017. **APPROVED: 7-0.**

1. CONSENT AGENDA
   (Public Hearing and Administrative Action):

   COMPREHENSIVE PLAN CONFORMANCE AND RELATED ITEMS:

   1.1 Comprehensive Plan Conformance No. 17009, to review as to conformance with the 2040 Lincoln-Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan, a proposed amendment to the Antelope Valley Redevelopment Plan that identifies the "Telegraph District Phase 2 Redevelopment Project". The redevelopment project area includes approximately 16 acres, more or less, consisting of four areas with connecting streetscape within the project, including Telegraph Flats, Telegraph Lofts East, Telegraph Lofts West, and Telegraph Lofts South. The project is generally located between M and O Streets, 20th Street to the Antelope Valley channel, Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska.
Staff recommendation: In Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan
Staff Planner: George Wesselhoft, 402-441-6366, gwesselhoft@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: Conforms to the
Comprehensive Plan: 6-0 (Beckius and Washington absent; Scheer declared a conflict of interest). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for July 17, 2017.

1.2a Comprehensive Plan Conformance No. 17010, to review as to conformance with the 2040 Lincoln-Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan, a request to declare approximately 34.4 acres of land at Jensen Park as surplus, and for the acquisition of approximately 39.76 acres of land, on property generally located at South 84th Street and Yankee Hill Road.

Staff recommendation: In Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan
Staff Planner: Brian Will, 402-441-6362, bwill@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: Conforms to the Comprehensive Plan: 6-0 (Beckius, Scheer and Washington absent). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for July 17, 2017.

1.2b Comprehensive Plan Amendment No. 17003, to amend the Future Land Use Plan of the 2040 Lincoln-Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan by re-designating future land uses in the area of Jensen Park from Urban Density Residential and Industrial to Green Space, and from Open Space to Urban Density Residential and Commercial, on property generally located at South 84th Street and Yankee Hill Road.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Brian Will, 402-441-6362, bwill@lincoln.ne.gov

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT AND RELATED CHANGE OF ZONE:

1.3a Comprehensive Plan Amendment No. 17005, to amend the 2040 Lincoln-Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan, to change the Future Land Use Plan designation from "Open Space" and "Commercial" to "Industrial" and "Agricultural Stream Corridor", on property generally located at North 70th Street and Arbor Road.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Andrew Thierolf, 402-441-6371, athierolf@lincoln.ne.gov
This application was removed from the Consent Agenda and had separate public hearing. Planning Commission recommendation: Approval: 6-1, Hove dissenting (Beckius and Washington absent). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for July 17, 2017.
1.3b Change of Zone No. 17016, from H-2 (Highway Business District) to I-1 (Industrial District), on property generally located at 7620 North 70th Street.

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Andrew Thierolf, 402-441-6371, athierolf@lincoln.ne.gov
This application was removed from the Consent Agenda and had separate public hearing. Planning Commission recommendation: Conditional Approval as set forth in the staff report dated June 12, 2017, and the amended associated zoning agreement: 6-1, Hove dissenting (Beckius and Washington absent). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for July 17, 2017.

CHANGES OF ZONE AND RELATED ITEM:

1.4 Change of Zone No. 17011, from AG (Agricultural District) to R-3 (Residential District), for approximately 25.53 acres, more or less, on property generally located at North 49th Street and Alvo Road.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Andrew Thierolf, 402-441-6371, athierolf@lincoln.ne.gov
This application was removed from the Consent Agenda and had separate public hearing. Planning Commission recommendation: Approval: 7-0 (Beckius and Washington absent). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for July 17, 2017.

1.5a Change of Zone No. 17014, from AG (Agricultural District) to AGR (Agricultural Residential District), on property generally located at SW 25th Street and West Denton Road.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Tom Cajka, 402-441-5662, tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov
This application was removed from the Consent Agenda and had separate public hearing. Planning Commission recommendation: Approval: 7-0 (Beckius and Washington absent). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for July 17, 2017.

1.5b Special Permit No. 17021, for an AGR (Agricultural Residential District) Community Unit Plan, to include 9 acreage lots on approximately 25.12 acres, more or less, on property generally located at SW 25th Street and West Denton Road. **FINAL ACTION**

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Tom Cajka, 402-441-5662, tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov
SPECIAL PERMITS:

1.6 County Special Permit No. 17016, for the addition of 9 single-family lots, each to be approximately 3 acres, more or less, on property generally located SE of the intersection of North 176th Street and Havelock Avenue.

**FINAL ACTION**
Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Tom Cajka, 402-441-5662, tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov

1.7 County Special Permit No. 17018, for the construction of a commercial feedlot on property generally located a 1/2 mile east of 176th Street and Firth Road.

**FINAL ACTION**
Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Tom Cajka, 402-441-5662, tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov
This application was removed from the Consent Agenda and had separate public hearing. Planning Commission ‘final action’: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the staff report dated June 9, 2017: 7-0 (Beckius, Scheer and Washington absent). Resolution No. PC-01560.

1.8 Special Permit No. 17019, for a special permit for expansion of a nonconforming use, with waivers to the front and side yard setbacks, on property generally located at 2554 Woodsdale Boulevard.

**FINAL ACTION**
Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Rachel Jones, 402-441-7603, rjones@lincoln.ne.gov

1.9 County Special Permit No. 17020, for an AG (Agricultural District) Community Unit Plan to cluster 6 residential units on 96 acres, more or less, on property generally located at South 96th Street and Martell Road.

**FINAL ACTION**
Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Tom Cajka, 402-441-5662, tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov
This application was removed from the Consent Agenda and had separate public hearing. Planning Commission ‘final action’: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the revised staff report dated June 9, 2017: 7-0 (Beckius and Washington absent). Resolution No. PC-01562.
MISCELLANEOUS:

1.10 Street and Alley Vacation No. 17005, to vacate a portion of North 43rd Street right-of-way, a portion of Walker Avenue right-of-way, and a portion of the east-west alley located in Block 43, Pitcher and Baldwins Second Addition to University Place, generally located at 2320 N. 43rd Street.

Staff recommendation: In Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan
Staff Planner: George Wesselhoft, 402-441-6366, gwesselhoft@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: Conforms to the Comprehensive Plan: 6-0 (Beckius, Scheer and Washington absent).

Public hearing before the City Council will be scheduled when the provisions of Chapter 14.20 of the Lincoln Municipal Code have been satisfied.

2. REQUESTS FOR DEFERRAL: None.

3. ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA (See Item Nos. 1.3a and b, 1.4, 1.5a and b, 1.7, and 1.9)

4. PUBLIC HEARING AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION:

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS AND RELATED ITEMS:

4.1 Comprehensive Plan Amendment No. 17004, to amend the 2040 Lincoln-Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan, by changing the Future Land Use Map, Priority Growth Area designations, and Future Service Limit on land generally located between S 70th and S 84th Streets, and Saltillo and Rokeby Roads.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Andrew Thierolf 402-441-6371, athierolf@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: Approval: 7-0 (Beckius and Washington absent). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for July 17, 2017.

4.2a Comprehensive Plan Amendment No. 17006, to amend the 2040 Lincoln-Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan by revising the boundaries of the Future Land Use Plan Residential, Green Space, and Environmental Resources designation areas, expanding the Future Service Limit, and changing the Future Growth Tier designation from Tier II and Tier I Priority B to Tier I Priority A, on property generally located southwest and southeast of South 27th Street and Rokeby Road.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Rachel Jones, 402-441-7603, rjones@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL: 6-0 (Beckius and Washington absent; Scheer declared a conflict of interest). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for July 17, 2017.
4.2b Annexation No. 17005, to annex approximately 218.67 acres, more or less, on property generally located southwest and southeast of South 27th Street and Rokeby Road.

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Rachel Jones, 402-441-7603, rjones@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL as set forth in the staff report dated June 13, 2017: 6-0 (Beckius and Washington absent; Scheer declared a conflict of interest). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for July 17, 2017.

4.2c Change of Zone No. 17012, from AG Agriculture to R-3 Residential, on property generally located southwest of South 27th Street and Rokeby Road.

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Rachel Jones, 402-441-7603, rjones@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL as set forth in the staff report dated June 13, 2017: 6-0 (Beckius and Washington absent; Scheer declared a conflict of interest). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for July 17, 2017.

4.2d Change of Zone No. 17013, from AG (Agricultural District) to R-3 (Residential District) PUD for a Planned Unit Development for up to 450 dwelling units, and up to 50,000 square feet of commercial floor area, on property generally located at the southeast corner of South 27th Street and Rokeby Road.

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Rachel Jones, 402-441-7603, rjones@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL as set forth in the revised staff report dated June 13, 2017: 6-0 (Beckius and Washington absent; Scheer declared a conflict of interest). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for July 17, 2017.

4.2e Special Permit No. 17017, to allow for the development of a community unit plan with up to 79 single-family dwelling units, with a waiver to allow double frontage lots, on property generally located southwest of South 27th Street and Rokeby Road. **FINAL ACTION**

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Rachel Jones, 402-441-7603, rjones@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission 'final action': CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the revised staff report dated June 13, 2017: 6-0 (Beckius and Washington absent; Scheer declared a conflict of interest). Resolution No. PC-01563.
USE PERMIT AND RELATED CHANGE OF ZONE:

4.3a Use Permit No. 17005, to allow for office and residential uses and related improvements with waivers from setback and frontage requirements, on property generally located at 6969 South Street and 2215 South 70th Street.

**Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval**

Staff Planner: George Wesselhoft, 402-441-6366, gwesselhoft@lincoln.ne.gov

Planning Commission recommendation: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL as set forth in the staff report dated June 8, 2017: 6-0 (Beckius and Washington absent; Scheer declared a conflict of interest). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for July 17, 2017.

4.3b Change of Zone No. 17008, from R-1 (Residential District) to O-3 (Office Park District), on property generally located at 6969 South Street and 2215 South 70th Street.

**Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval**

Staff Planner: Rachel Jones, 402-441-7603, rjones@lincoln.ne.gov

Planning Commission recommendation: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL as set forth in the staff report dated May 16, 2017: 6-0 (Beckius and Washington absent; Scheer declared a conflict of interest). Public hearing before the City Council is tentatively scheduled for July 17, 2017.

MISCELLANEOUS:

4.4 Street and Alley Vacation No. 17006, to vacate a portion of 17th Street right-of-way, generally located at 17th Street, between Vine Street and approximately 300 feet north of R Street.

**Staff recommendation: In Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan**

Staff Planner: George Wesselhoft, 402-441-6366, gwesselhoft@lincoln.ne.gov

Planning Commission recommendation: Conforms to the Comprehensive Plan: 7-0 (Beckius and Washington absent). Public hearing before the City Council will be scheduled when the provisions of Chapter 14.20 of the Lincoln Municipal Code have been satisfied.
5. CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION:

SPECIAL PERMIT:

5.1 Special Permit No. 17012, to allow for installation of a 100-kilowatt solar energy conversion system, with a waiver to reduce the yard along Interstate 80 from 25 feet to 5 feet, on property generally located at West Lakeshore Drive. **FINAL ACTION**

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Andrew Thierolf 402-441-6371, athierolf@lincoln.ne.gov

AT THIS TIME, ANYONE WISHING TO SPEAK ON AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA, MAY DO SO

*****************
Adjournment 6:16 p.m.
A request has been made by the University of Nebraska to vacate 17th Street from approximately 300 feet North of "R" Street to just south of Vine Street. The intent is for the area to become a private roadway that will serve as local access to the UNL Campus. The area to be vacated is 75 feet in width at the south end and widens out to 197 feet at Vine Street because of the turn/merge lane onto Vine Street. There are multiple roadway improvements planned in relation to the vacation of this area and are noted in the Planning Staff report. The Fire Department will still have driving ability and accessibility to the area for their vehicles and apparatus.

The area was viewed by the writer and consists of a paved concrete road, bikepath, parking areas for University buildings some of which will be demolished and minimal grassed areas and landscaping trees. From the staff report, it appears easements for multiple utilities are located in the vacated area and all will be retained if the area is to be vacated. A final plat will also have to be completed prior to the transfer of title to the University and closure of the street.

Land in this area in and of itself might be of some value but with the imposition of the multiple easements for private and public utilities, and the need for accessibility for emergency purposes it doesn't leave much area left, if any, to be used for the construction of any new buildings. Since it is entirely surrounded by UNL property, it's real value is for assemblage with their adjacent properties. Previous estimates by a former employee used $1.00 a square foot, and with the imposition of the easements seems reasonable. This number was also used for estimation purposes during discussions with the University regarding the vacation process and associated changes to the varying streets within and near 17th Street. With that, the area to be vacated is calculated as follows:

$$80,352.15 \text{ sf x } \$1.00 = \$80,352$$

Therefore, if the area is to be vacated, it is recommended that the area be sold to the applicant for $80,352.

Respectfully submitted,

Michelle R. Backemeyer
Real Estate & Relocation Assistance Agent