I. MINUTES
1. Minutes of Directors’ Meeting of July 18, 2016.

II. ADJUSTMENTS TO AGENDA

III. CITY CLERK

IV. MAYOR’ CORRESPONDENCE
1. NEWS RELEASE. Second Open House set for Old Cheney Road Project.
2. NEWS RELEASE. Officials urge caution in hot weather.
3. NEWS ADVISORY. Mayor Beutler will announce a major new development in the West Haymarket at a news conference Thursday, July 21, 10:00 a.m., at 555 S. 10th Street.
4. Rick Hoppe, Chief of Staff, memo on Omaha City Council staff and salary.
   a) City of Omaha, City Council 2017 appropriated budget summary.
5. Pinnacle Bank Arena’s city suite quarterly use and fund balance.
6. NEWS RELEASE. West Haymarket proposal includes grocery store.
7. Short memo from Rick Hoppe, Chief of Staff, on Legislature staff.
   a) Administrative Assistant job description.
   b) Legislative Aide job description.

V. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS

FINANCE/BUDGET
1. Memo on sales tax reports for July reflecting May activity:
   a) Actual Compared to Projected Sales Tax Collections;
   b) Gross Sales Tax Collections (with refunds added back in) 2011-2012 through 2015-2016;
   c) Sales Tax Refunds 2011-2012 through 2015-2016; and
2. Replies to questions on the Aging Partners budget presentation.

HEALTH DEPARTMENT
1. NEWS RELEASE. Health Department encourages immunizations.

LINCOLN LIBRARIES
1. Memo from Pat Leach, Library Director, providing information requested at budget hearing.
   a) Library average use by various factors; and
   b) Library overdrive information.

PLANNING COMMISSION
1. Action by the Planning Commission on Wednesday, July 20, 2016.
PLANNING DEPARTMENT
3. Administrative approvals by the Planning Director from July 12, 2016 through July 18, 2016.

WEST HAYMARKET JOINT PUBLIC AGENCY
   a) Attachments for the meeting available online at: http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/finance/account/jpa-mtgs.htm

VI. BOARDS/COMMITTEES/COMMISSION REPORTS
1. Public Building Commission (PBC) (07.19.16) - Camp, Raybould

VII. MISCELLANEOUS

VIII. COUNCIL MEMBERS

JON CAMP
1. Don Crouch commenting on proposed budget.
2. InterLine correspondence from Jim Nelson against cardboard recycling.
3. Memo from Councilman Camp on snowplow and salt-brine proof storm sewer inlet.
   a) Photo of sewer inlet.
4. Short memo from Councilman Camp on another great inlet example.
   a) Photo of inlet.

IX. CITIZEN CORRESPONDENCE
1. Jim Frohman stating concerns with the budget on adding firefighters-paramedics.
2. Tom Green writing in support of Recycle Lincoln! with explanation of why he believes everyone should participate.
3. Ben and Amy Herr writing in favor of the re-paving district of Saunders 12th - 14th.
   a) Letters of support of re-paving from property owners on Saunders Avenue.

X. MEETINGS/INVITATIONS
See invitation list.

XI. ADJOURNMENT
PUBLIC WORKS AND UTILITIES DEPARTMENT  
Engineering Services Division, 949 West Bond Street, Lincoln, NE 68521, 402-441-7711

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: July 20, 2016  
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Erika Nunes, Engineering Services, 402-326-1037  
Stephanie Rittershaus, Alfred Benesch & Company, 402-479-2200

SECOND OPEN HOUSE SET FOR OLD CHENEY ROAD PROJECT

The public is invited to an open house Thursday, August 4 on improvements to Old Cheney Road from 40th Street to Highway 2. The meeting is from 4:30 to 6:30 p.m. in the Pound Middle School Gymnasium, 4740 S. 45th Street. The public may park along 45th Street or in the south lot and use the 45th Street entrance.

A formal presentation will begin at 5 p.m. As part of the National Environmental Policy Act’s environmental process, the City received comments and questions about how work at the 56th Street and Old Cheney Road intersection should be completed. As a result, the City considered alternative methods of construction and new options to minimize impacts during the project. The brief presentation will provide an overview of this analysis and the preferred alternative.

The public will have the opportunity to review design details and comment on the project, which is still being designed. City representatives and project consultants will be available to discuss the public’s ideas and answer questions.

The project would include repairing or replacing deteriorated concrete panels, joints and curbs; milling the concrete surface and covering it with asphalt; and sealing joints and cracks. Other work would include replacing damaged storm drainage inlet tops, utility adjustments and restoration of traffic signal elements impacted by the work.

All work is expected to take place within existing right of way and easements. Work would be completed using lane closures, with one lane of traffic open in each direction on Old Cheney. Exceptions would be brief closures at the 56th Street intersection and turning movement restrictions at the 48th Street intersection. The Old Cheney trail may be temporarily affected. Temporary detours would be used to maintain vehicular and pedestrian traffic. Construction is expected to take about six months and could begin as early as spring 2017.

Additional information is available at lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: old cheney). To submit a comment, select “comment card” in the Public Involvement section near the bottom of the page. Comments are accepted through August 19.
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ACCOMMODATION NOTICE
The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public’s access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 or 555 S. 10th, Suite 304, Lincoln, NE 68508 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.
OFFICIALS URGE CAUTION IN HOT WEATHER

The National Weather Service has issued an excessive heat warning for Lincoln until 8 p.m. Friday, July 22. An excessive heat warning means that a prolonged period of dangerously hot temperatures (heat index of 105 degrees or above) will create life-threatening conditions. The heat and high humidity create a dangerous situation that can cause heat illnesses. The heat index is a more accurate measure of how hot it really feels when the humidity is added to the actual air temperature. Information is available on local weather, the heat index and safety precautions at the NWS Web site at weather.gov.

The Lincoln Parks and Recreation Department will extend hours at the Belmont Community Center, 1234 Judson Street, and the “F” Street Community Center, 1225 “F” Street. Both centers will be open until 8 p.m. Most Lincoln City Library branches are open until 8 p.m. Those without air conditioning also can cool off during regular hours at senior centers and other recreation centers as well as other public locations such as theaters and shopping malls. Parks and Recreation also offers family swim nights at neighborhood pools from 6 to 8 p.m. on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday for just $8 per family. Information on regular and extended hours at City facilities is available at lincoln.ne.gov.

Aging Partners will distribute fans on a first-come-first-served basis to adults 60 and older while supplies last. No financial screening is needed. For more information, call the Handy Man program at 402-441-7030. The program also accepts fan donations at 233 S. 10th St.

Health officials say children are more at risk from high temperatures because they adjust more slowly to the heat, have thinner skin, produce more heat with activity, sweat less and are less likely to rest or get a drink when they are active. Others at risk include the elderly, those with chronic diseases, those who are overweight and those using certain medications or alcohol.

Both air temperature and humidity affect the body’s ability to cool itself during hot weather. Heat stress occurs when sweating isn’t enough to cool the body, causing a person’s body temperature to rise rapidly. Heat stress symptoms include clammy, sweaty skin; light-headedness; weakness; and nausea.
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Heat-related illnesses include sunburn, heat exhaustion and heat stroke, and the most severe form requires immediate medical attention. More health information can be found at the Web site of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention at cdc.gov.

Hot weather precautions include the following:
- Drink plenty of non-alcoholic, non-caffeinated fluids, especially during physical activity.
- Avoid heavy meals and hot foods, which add heat to your body.
- Monitor infants for fluid intake, and dress them in cool, loose-fitting clothing.
- Check on relatives, neighbors and friends who may be at risk.
- Never leave children or pets in parked cars. Even with the windows open, temperatures can reach 130 degrees in only a few minutes. Place your cell phone, purse or left shoe in the back seat as a reminder that you have a child in the car.
- Make sure pets and livestock that live outdoors have plenty of fresh, cool water and shade. Pets should be brought indoors if possible.

Those who do need to be outside are advised to wear loose-fitting, light-colored clothing, sunglasses, sunscreen (SPF of 30 or more) and a hat. Plan activities to avoid being outside between 10 a.m. and 4 p.m. Rest frequently in shaded areas, and stay hydrated. Stop activity and get into a cool area if you become lightheaded, confused, weak or faint. Extreme heat can be a concern to healthy people as well, including children participating in outdoor activities such as summer camps, athletic events and practices.

More information on protecting pets, including the video “Too Hot for Spot,” is available by visiting lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: Animal Control). Animal Control can be reached at 402-441-7900.
Mayor Chris Beutler will announce a major new development in the West Haymarket area at a news conference at 10 a.m. Thursday, July 21 in room 303, County-City Building, 555 S. 10th Street.
Council

I volunteered to find information on Omaha’s City Council staff and salary. Attached are the budget pages from Omaha’s 2017 budget book, which should give you what you need.

Rick Hoppe
Chief of Staff
Mayor Chris Beutler
rhoppe@lincoln.ne.gov
Off: 402-441-7511
Cell: 402-430-2505
# City of Omaha
## 2017 City Council Department Budget
### Appropriated Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By Organization</th>
<th>Positions</th>
<th>Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2016 Appropriated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Direct Cost</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>463,294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Administrative Cost</td>
<td></td>
<td>627,179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Legislative Support</td>
<td>164,720</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable T.V. Admin Costs</td>
<td>13,959</td>
<td>14,338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,269,152</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By Expenditures Category</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee Compensation</td>
<td>1,232,792</td>
<td>1,187,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Personal Services</td>
<td>36,360</td>
<td>31,055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,269,152</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,218,507</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By Source of Funds</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>1,269,152</td>
<td>1,218,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,269,152</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,218,507</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Expenditure Summary by Organization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>City Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Division</td>
<td>City Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Comparative Budget Appropriations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization Description and Major Object Summary</th>
<th>2015 Actual</th>
<th>2016 Appropriated</th>
<th>2017 Recommended</th>
<th>2017 Appropriated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Council Direct Cost</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The City Council was established by the Home Rule Charter of the City of Omaha in 1956 as the legislative branch of City government. The Council has the vested power to pass, amend or repeal any and all ordinances and resolutions necessary or the power to execute and carry into effect the provisions of the Charter. In addition to exercising its general legislative duties, it is the responsibility of the Council to provide for public hearings, make or confirm appointments, adopt the annual budget, undertake necessary investigations, provide for an independent audit and take such other actions as it deems necessary and consistent with the Charter.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Compensation</td>
<td>624,477</td>
<td>454,194</td>
<td>457,991</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Personal Services</td>
<td>1,273</td>
<td>9,100</td>
<td>16,300</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organization Total</strong></td>
<td>625,750</td>
<td>463,294</td>
<td>474,291</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Council Administrative Cost

| 102012 |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| The Council Administrative Cost organization informs and assists the City Council on all aspects of City business including budget and financial issues, research, weekly agenda review and scheduling activities. The employees also assist in resolving citizen complaints, provide general support for Council activities, and serve as a liaison with the Office of the Mayor and City departments. This office is staffed by the Chief of Staff and a support staff of City Council Staff Assistants and clerical personnel. |
| Employee Compensation                             | 394,464     | 615,674          | 715,423          | -                |
| Non-Personal Services                              | 8,851       | 11,505           | 14,455           | -                |
| **Organization Total**                             | 403,315     | 627,179          | 729,878          | -                |

## City Legislative Support

| 102013 |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| The City Legislative Support organization supports the City Council and the Mayor on City, State, and National Legislative matters. The City Lobbyist is the official City of Omaha representative at State Legislative sessions and committee meetings. |
| Employee Compensation                             | 143,432     | 149,265          | -                | -                |
| Non-Personal Services                              | 16,289      | 15,455           | -                | -                |
| **Organization Total**                             | 159,721     | 164,720          | -                | -                |

## Cable T.V. Admin Costs

| 102014 |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| The City Council monitors the compliance of Cox Communications and CenturyLink of Omaha, with the respective cable television franchises granted by the City. |
| Employee Compensation                             | -           | 13,659           | 14,038           | -                |
| Non-Personal Services                              | -           | 300              | 300              | -                |
| **Organization Total**                             | -           | 13,959           | 14,338           | -                |

## Department Total

| 102000 |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1,188,786                                       | 1,269,152   | 1,218,507        | -                |
### Division Summary of Personal Services

Department: City Council  
Division: City Council  
Department No: 102000

#### Comparative Budget Appropriations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class Title</th>
<th>Class Code</th>
<th>2015 Actual</th>
<th>2016 Auth.</th>
<th>2017 Recommended</th>
<th>2017 Appropriated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Typist II</td>
<td>3010</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>41,850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Council Chief of Staff</td>
<td>4002</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>115,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Council Member</td>
<td>9502</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>224,268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Council President</td>
<td>9501</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>44,854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Lobbyist</td>
<td>4003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Staff Assistant</td>
<td>4006</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>210,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary to the City Council</td>
<td>4001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>125,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longevity</td>
<td>4001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>4001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3,192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-Time and Seasonal</td>
<td>4001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4,486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speciality Pay</td>
<td>4001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Department Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
<td><strong>14</strong></td>
<td><strong>773,923</strong></td>
<td><strong>-</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Explanatory Comments:

The City Lobbyist position was moved to the Law Department in the 2017 budget.
## Division Summary of Major Object Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Object Expenditures</th>
<th>2015 Actual</th>
<th>2016 Appropriated</th>
<th>2017 Recommended</th>
<th>2017 Appropriated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employee Earnings</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classified Regular</td>
<td>767,977</td>
<td>788,708</td>
<td>762,411</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part-Time and Seasonal</td>
<td>2,259</td>
<td>4,316</td>
<td>4,486</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overtime</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>2,979</td>
<td>3,192</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longevity</td>
<td>1,941</td>
<td>4,374</td>
<td>3,834</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Employee Earnings</strong></td>
<td>772,264</td>
<td>800,377</td>
<td>773,923</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employee Benefits</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FICA</td>
<td>56,250</td>
<td>61,228</td>
<td>59,205</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pension</td>
<td>146,182</td>
<td>149,500</td>
<td>144,501</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>210,195</td>
<td>242,004</td>
<td>230,384</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursements</td>
<td>(22,518)</td>
<td>(20,317)</td>
<td>(20,561)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Employee Benefits</strong></td>
<td>390,109</td>
<td>432,415</td>
<td>413,529</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Employee Compensation</strong></td>
<td>1,162,373</td>
<td>1,232,792</td>
<td>1,187,452</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Personal Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased Services</td>
<td>20,832</td>
<td>27,410</td>
<td>21,055</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>2,303</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>5,900</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment</td>
<td>3,178</td>
<td>2,750</td>
<td>4,100</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Non-Personal Services</strong></td>
<td>26,413</td>
<td>36,360</td>
<td>31,055</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capital</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Capital</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Department Total</strong></td>
<td>1,188,786</td>
<td>1,269,152</td>
<td>1,218,507</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source of Funds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General (Ref. B-1)</td>
<td>1,188,786</td>
<td>1,269,152</td>
<td>1,218,507</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,188,786</td>
<td>1,269,152</td>
<td>1,218,507</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MEMO

TO: Mayor Beutler, Interim Finance Director Steve Hubka and Council Members
FROM: Molly Burton, Mayoral Aide
RE: Pinnacle Bank Arena’s city suite quarterly use and fund balance
DATE: July 18, 2016

Please see attached chart concerning the city suite’s use and fund balance for the fiscal quarter ending May 31, 2016.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>EVENT DATE</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>EVENT</th>
<th>Expense</th>
<th>Misc Inc</th>
<th>Suite Rental</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03/01/16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beginning Balance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/26/16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Suite Catering</td>
<td>Carrie Underwood (368.16)</td>
<td>(368.16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/31/16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ending Balance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,631.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/01/16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beginning Balance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,631.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/30/16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ending Balance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,631.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/01/16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Beginning Balance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,631.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/10/16</td>
<td></td>
<td>City of Lincoln</td>
<td>Carrie Underwood - Suite Tickets</td>
<td>104.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>104.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/31/16</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ending Balance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,735.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ending Balance @ 5/31/16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14,735.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WEST HAYMARKET PROPOSAL INCLUDES GROCERY STORE

Mayor Chris Beutler announced today that the City has selected the Lumberworks Lofts, LLC proposal to build three buildings on the west and north sides of the Lumberworks Garage in the West Haymarket. The estimated cost of the project is $11.1 million. The development on Canopy Street between “N” and “O” streets is expected include 48 housing units, 8,000 square feet of office space and 15,000 square feet of retail space. Whitehead Oil is proposing to open a U-Stop Market, a larger version of its convenience stores, in part of the retail space.

Lumberworks Lofts, LLC consists of Speedway Properties and Nelnet, Inc., both of Lincoln. The team is currently building other projects in and around downtown Lincoln, including the Telegraph District. The two companies are also working with additional partners on the new Hudl headquarters in the West Haymarket.

“More and more Lincoln residents are choosing to live in downtown and the West Haymarket,” Beutler said. “Many people enjoy living where they can walk or bike to work. The new Hudl headquarters will add to that demand. This project fills two important needs – it adds more housing in a high-demand area, and downtown residents will finally have a grocery store.”

“We at Speedway Properties have been committed to saving and redeveloping downtown Lincoln buildings for over 40 years,” said Clay Smith, General Partner of Speedway Properties. “Our latest project, Lumberworks Lofts, partners with Nelnet to expand residential and retail options in the Haymarket. We are excited to bring loft-style apartments and a long-awaited grocery store to downtown Lincoln.”

A redevelopment agreement outlining the responsibilities of both the developer and the City will be negotiated and submitted to the City Council for approval.
The other part of my mission was to determine what is paid at the Legislature for staff. Please see below and attached.

R.

From: Sally Grandgenett [mailto:sgrandgenett@leg.ne.gov]
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2016 11:05 AM
To: Rick D. Hoppe
Subject: Requested Information

Rick,

Attached are job descriptions for an Administrative Assistant and Legislative Aide. The salary range for new hires is the hiring rate up to 12% above the hiring rate depending upon education and experience. This is determined by resume review.

**Administrative Assistant** -
Hiring rate:
$13,710 / hour
$28,517 / annual

**Legislative Aide** -
Hiring rate:
$18.134 / hour
$37,719 / annual

Let me know if you have questions.

*Sally Grandgenett*
*HR Coordinator*
*Clerk of the Legislature's Office*
*sgrandgenett@leg.ne.gov*
*(402) 471-0766*
Administrative Assistant (Pay Grade C)

**Description:** Under the general supervision of a senator or a division director, performs work involving secretarial services, administrative services, public relations, office management and other work as required.

**Examples of Work:** (A position may not be assigned all of the duties listed, nor do the listed examples include all of the duties that may be assigned.)

- Performs various public relations functions. These may include: receiving telephone and personal callers, ascertaining the nature of the request, answering inquiries or referring callers to appropriate person; and disseminating information (oral and written) to the press, lobbyists, other staff, constituents and others.

- Reads and routes incoming and outgoing mail, reviews mail with supervisor and keeps an index file on correspondence.

- Takes, transcribes and types letters, memos, reports and legislation related materials.

- Maintains confidential correspondence, subject matter and legislative bill files.

- Performs a variety of basic constituent services. These may include: answering constituent inquiries by phone or mail; handling constituent complaints; and hosting constituent groups when visiting the Capitol.

- Maintains supervisor's appointment calendar. Makes appointments and travel arrangements.

  Maintains black books during session.

  Maintains bill books during session.

  Screens legislation and public hearings, as assigned. This may include: coordinating hearings on the senator's bills with the senator's calendar; notifying proponents of hearing dates; and notifying proponents of floor action.

  Maintains office supplies and equipment and inventory for the office.

  Maintains clipping file.

  Maintains current mailing list of constituents.

  Assembles and sends mass mailings.

  Performs other duties as assigned.

**Full Performance Knowledge, Abilities and Skills:** (These may be acquired on the job and are needed to perform the work assigned.)

Knowledge of: the legislative process; the structure and operation of the Unicameral and its divisions; the structure of state government; the concerns and interests of the senator and the senator's district; travel, correspondence and filing procedures; computer knowledge; modern office practices; and telephone etiquette.
√ Essential Job Functions

Ability to: communicate effectively; type, take shorthand or take and transcribe dictation; compose letters; work independently with little supervision; work under pressure; maintain confidentiality; and interact well with a diverse group of people.

Job Preparation Guidelines: (Entry knowledge, abilities, and/or skills may be acquired through, BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO, the following coursework/training and/or experience.)

Any combination of training and/or experience that will enable the incumbent to possess the required knowledge, skills and abilities. A general qualification guideline for this position is graduation from high school and/or business school (or equivalent education) and one-year experience in varied responsible office positions (preferably within state government or the Legislature).
Legislative Aide (Pay Grade E)

Description: Under the general supervision of a senator, performs work involving research, writing, public relations, constituent services, office management and other related work as required.

Examples of Work: (A position may not be assigned all of the duties listed, nor do the listed examples include all of the duties that may be assigned.)

✓ Performs and summarizes quantitative and qualitative research (for proposed or pending legislation generally). This may include: statistical analysis of available data; literature searches; legal research; comparative research; and working with state agencies and interested groups.

✓ Drafts simple legislation and amendments with the Revisor of Statutes' Office, as requested.

✓ Prepares senator's legislation for hearing. This may include: writing statement of intent; organizing supporters to testify; and negotiation and preparing any necessary amendments.

✓ Performs various public relations functions. These may include: preparing and disseminating information materials to the press, lobbyists, other staff, constituents and others; preparing testimony for the Senator; preparing newsletters, newspaper columns and other "media releases"; and preparing (and sometimes delivering) speeches for the senator (this may include presenting a bill at public hearing.)

✓ Performs a variety of basic constituent services. These may include answering constituent inquiries by phone and mail; handling constituent complaints; and hosting constituents when visiting the Capitol.

Reviews, analyzes and summarizes assigned legislation and monitors legislative public hearings and floor debate.

Maintains district and other newspaper clipping files.

May serve as lead worker with other staff in senator's office or office manager.

Performs other duties as assigned.

Full Performance Knowledge, Abilities and Skills: (These may be acquired on the job and are needed to perform the work assigned.)

Knowledge of: research and investigative procedures and techniques; the legislative process; legislative rules; conventions of bill drafting; structure and operation of state government; the structure and operation of the Unicameral and its divisions; office management techniques; and the concerns and interests of the Senator and the Senator's District.

Ability to: communicate effectively both orally and in writing in several different styles; simplify complicated concepts; interact well with a diverse group of people; organize and plan work; work independently with little supervision; work under pressure; define and analyze problems; and maintain confidentiality.

Job Preparation Guidelines: (Entry knowledge, abilities and/or skills may be acquired through, BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO, the following coursework/training and/or experience.)

Any combination of training and/or experience that will enable the incumbent to possess the required knowledge, skills and abilities. A general qualification guideline for this position is a Bachelor's degree (or equivalent).
CITY OF LINCOLN
COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS
Thursday, July 28, 2016, 4:00 p.m.
City County Building, 555 South 10th Street, 1st Floor, Council Chambers

AGENDA

I. Roll Call
II. Approval of Minutes of June 30, 2016, Commission Meeting
III. Approval of Agenda for July 28, 2016, Commission Meeting
IV. Case Dispositions
   A. Reasonable Cause / No Reasonable Cause
      1. LCHR NO.: 16-0309-003-H
      2. LCHR NO.: 16-0310-009-E-R
      3. LCHR NO.: 16-0329-011-E-R
      4. LCHR NO.: 16-0407-015-E-R
V. Administrative Closures:
   1. LCHR NO.: 16-0418-016-E
VI. Old Business
   A. Outreach
   B. Travel
   C. Pride Re-cap
VII. New Business
   A. HUD Outreach Funds
   B. Meeting between Taylor-Riley and Tom Warren, ULN E.D.
   C. Meeting between Taylor-Riley and Stan Odenthal, NEOC E.D.
VIII. Public Comment**
IX. Adjournment

**Public comments are limited to 5 minutes per person. Members of the public may address any item of interest to the LCHR during this open session with the exception of LCHR cases. Also, no member of the public who wishes to address the Commission will be allowed to examine any individual Commissioner or staff member on any item/question before the Commission unless invited to do so by the Chairperson.

ACCOMMODATION NOTICE
The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public’s access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.
Here are the sales tax reports for July reflecting May activity. The amount collected for the 1/4 cent sales tax for public safety in July is $1,000,076.21.

Jan Bolin
City of Lincoln Budget Office
402-441-8306
### Actual Compared to Projected Sales Tax Collections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>2015-16 PROJECTED</th>
<th>2015-16 ACTUAL</th>
<th>VARIANCE FROM PROJECTED</th>
<th>$ CHANGE FR. 14-15</th>
<th>% CHANGE FR. 14-15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>$5,873,877</td>
<td>$5,936,184</td>
<td>$62,307</td>
<td>$239,012</td>
<td>4.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>$6,167,707</td>
<td>$5,995,177</td>
<td>($172,530)</td>
<td>$337,289</td>
<td>5.96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>$6,157,582</td>
<td>$6,182,565</td>
<td>$24,983</td>
<td>$461,091</td>
<td>8.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>$5,787,854</td>
<td>$5,833,168</td>
<td>$45,314</td>
<td>$119,300</td>
<td>2.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>$5,854,866</td>
<td>$5,553,027</td>
<td>($301,839)</td>
<td>$304,996</td>
<td>5.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>$7,371,745</td>
<td>$6,860,675</td>
<td>($511,070)</td>
<td>$439,433</td>
<td>6.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>$5,499,918</td>
<td>$5,352,537</td>
<td>($147,381)</td>
<td>$25,583</td>
<td>0.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>$5,263,528</td>
<td>$5,350,744</td>
<td>$87,216</td>
<td>$241,614</td>
<td>4.73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>$6,189,194</td>
<td>$6,389,224</td>
<td>$200,030</td>
<td>$113,589</td>
<td>1.81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>$5,964,702</td>
<td>$5,878,601</td>
<td>($86,101)</td>
<td>$176,399</td>
<td>3.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>$5,981,303</td>
<td>$5,945,175</td>
<td>($36,128)</td>
<td>$143,625</td>
<td>2.48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>$6,365,424</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$72,477,700</strong></td>
<td><strong>$65,277,077</strong></td>
<td><strong>($835,199)</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,601,931</strong></td>
<td><strong>4.15%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Actual collections for the fiscal year to date are 1.263% under projections for the year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>$4,805,254</td>
<td>$5,189,424</td>
<td>$5,431,071</td>
<td>4.66%</td>
<td>$5,741,404</td>
<td>5.71%</td>
<td>$6,041,963</td>
<td>5.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>$5,206,659</td>
<td>$5,568,892</td>
<td>$5,740,406</td>
<td>3.08%</td>
<td>$5,848,947</td>
<td>1.89%</td>
<td>$6,089,519</td>
<td>4.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>$5,219,952</td>
<td>$5,194,649</td>
<td>$5,729,609</td>
<td>10.30%</td>
<td>$5,873,441</td>
<td>2.51%</td>
<td>$6,266,119</td>
<td>6.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>$4,901,748</td>
<td>$5,250,751</td>
<td>$5,401,140</td>
<td>2.86%</td>
<td>$5,737,783</td>
<td>6.23%</td>
<td>$5,876,792</td>
<td>2.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>$5,076,013</td>
<td>$5,180,028</td>
<td>$5,562,529</td>
<td>7.38%</td>
<td>$5,525,231</td>
<td>-0.67%</td>
<td>$5,651,337</td>
<td>2.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>$6,327,532</td>
<td>$6,223,991</td>
<td>$6,570,418</td>
<td>5.57%</td>
<td>$6,802,647</td>
<td>3.53%</td>
<td>$7,137,154</td>
<td>4.92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>$4,782,783</td>
<td>$5,077,914</td>
<td>$5,304,048</td>
<td>4.45%</td>
<td>$5,396,268</td>
<td>1.74%</td>
<td>$5,392,157</td>
<td>-0.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>$4,572,281</td>
<td>$4,681,796</td>
<td>$5,214,537</td>
<td>11.38%</td>
<td>$5,188,877</td>
<td>-0.49%</td>
<td>$5,426,539</td>
<td>4.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>$5,675,978</td>
<td>$5,655,098</td>
<td>$5,642,139</td>
<td>-0.23%</td>
<td>$6,348,190</td>
<td>12.51%</td>
<td>$6,494,521</td>
<td>2.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>$5,241,574</td>
<td>$5,458,626</td>
<td>$5,635,827</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
<td>$5,728,421</td>
<td>1.64%</td>
<td>$6,030,654</td>
<td>5.28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>$5,196,447</td>
<td>$5,439,682</td>
<td>$5,654,660</td>
<td>3.95%</td>
<td>$5,841,882</td>
<td>3.31%</td>
<td>$6,000,464</td>
<td>2.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>$5,453,052</td>
<td>$5,696,527</td>
<td>$5,921,577</td>
<td>3.95%</td>
<td>$6,196,574</td>
<td>4.64%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$62,459,273</td>
<td>$64,617,378</td>
<td>$67,807,961</td>
<td>4.94%</td>
<td>$70,229,665</td>
<td>3.57%</td>
<td>$66,407,219</td>
<td>3.71%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# CITY OF LINCOLN

SALES TAX REFUNDS

2011-2012 THROUGH 2015-2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>($263,004)</td>
<td>($119,857)</td>
<td>($80,176)</td>
<td>-33.11%</td>
<td>($44,232)</td>
<td>-44.83%</td>
<td>($105,779)</td>
<td>139.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>($79,193)</td>
<td>($52,533)</td>
<td>($96,046)</td>
<td>82.83%</td>
<td>($191,059)</td>
<td>98.92%</td>
<td>($94,343)</td>
<td>-50.62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>($73,585)</td>
<td>($168,241)</td>
<td>($15,001)</td>
<td>-91.08%</td>
<td>($151,968)</td>
<td>913.05%</td>
<td>($83,553)</td>
<td>-45.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>($5,982)</td>
<td>($187,607)</td>
<td>($18,536)</td>
<td>-90.12%</td>
<td>($23,916)</td>
<td>29.02%</td>
<td>($43,624)</td>
<td>82.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>($49,785)</td>
<td>($145,767)</td>
<td>($603,295)</td>
<td>313.88%</td>
<td>($277,201)</td>
<td>-54.05%</td>
<td>($98,310)</td>
<td>-64.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>($45,283)</td>
<td>($131,438)</td>
<td>($58,173)</td>
<td>-55.74%</td>
<td>($381,405)</td>
<td>555.64%</td>
<td>($274,479)</td>
<td>-28.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>($59,857)</td>
<td>($385,142)</td>
<td>($169,963)</td>
<td>-55.87%</td>
<td>($69,314)</td>
<td>-59.22%</td>
<td>($39,620)</td>
<td>-42.84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>($44,038)</td>
<td>($68,049)</td>
<td>($81,416)</td>
<td>19.64%</td>
<td>($79,747)</td>
<td>-2.05%</td>
<td>($75,796)</td>
<td>-4.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>($126,962)</td>
<td>($42,699)</td>
<td>($43,775)</td>
<td>2.52%</td>
<td>($72,554)</td>
<td>65.74%</td>
<td>($105,297)</td>
<td>45.13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>($75,618)</td>
<td>($57,679)</td>
<td>($81,809)</td>
<td>41.83%</td>
<td>($26,219)</td>
<td>-67.95%</td>
<td>($152,053)</td>
<td>479.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>($52,331)</td>
<td>($95,902)</td>
<td>($116,801)</td>
<td>21.79%</td>
<td>($40,332)</td>
<td>-65.47%</td>
<td>($55,289)</td>
<td>37.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>($111,293)</td>
<td>($27,656)</td>
<td>($49,577)</td>
<td>79.26%</td>
<td>($10,119)</td>
<td>-79.59%</td>
<td>($312,528)</td>
<td>2988.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>($986,931)</td>
<td>($1,482,570)</td>
<td>($1,414,568)</td>
<td>-4.59%</td>
<td>($1,368,066)</td>
<td>-3.29%</td>
<td>($1,440,671)</td>
<td>5.31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Year to date vs. previous year
### CITY OF LINCOLN
### NET SALES TAX COLLECTIONS
### 2011-2012 THROUGH 2015-2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ACTUAL 2011-2012</th>
<th>ACTUAL 2012-2013</th>
<th>% CHG. FROM PR. YEAR</th>
<th>ACTUAL 2013-14</th>
<th>% CHG. FROM PR. YEAR</th>
<th>ACTUAL 2014-15</th>
<th>% CHG. FROM PR. YEAR</th>
<th>ACTUAL 2015-16</th>
<th>% CHG. FROM PR. YEAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>$4,542,250</td>
<td>$5,069,566</td>
<td>5.55%</td>
<td>$5,350,895</td>
<td>6.47%</td>
<td>$5,697,172</td>
<td>4.20%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>$5,127,466</td>
<td>$5,516,359</td>
<td>2.32%</td>
<td>$5,644,359</td>
<td>0.24%</td>
<td>$5,657,888</td>
<td>5.96%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>$5,146,367</td>
<td>$5,026,408</td>
<td>13.69%</td>
<td>$5,714,609</td>
<td>0.12%</td>
<td>$5,721,474</td>
<td>8.06%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>$4,895,766</td>
<td>$5,063,144</td>
<td>6.31%</td>
<td>$5,382,604</td>
<td>6.15%</td>
<td>$5,713,868</td>
<td>2.09%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>$5,026,227</td>
<td>$5,034,261</td>
<td>-1.49%</td>
<td>$4,959,233</td>
<td>5.82%</td>
<td>$5,248,031</td>
<td>5.81%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>$6,282,249</td>
<td>$6,092,554</td>
<td>6.89%</td>
<td>$6,512,245</td>
<td>-1.40%</td>
<td>$6,421,242</td>
<td>6.84%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>$4,722,926</td>
<td>$4,692,772</td>
<td>9.40%</td>
<td>$5,134,084</td>
<td>3.76%</td>
<td>$5,326,954</td>
<td>0.48%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>$4,528,243</td>
<td>$4,613,747</td>
<td>11.26%</td>
<td>$5,133,122</td>
<td>-0.47%</td>
<td>$5,109,130</td>
<td>4.73%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>$5,549,016</td>
<td>$5,612,398</td>
<td>-0.25%</td>
<td>$5,598,363</td>
<td>12.10%</td>
<td>$6,275,635</td>
<td>1.81%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>$5,165,956</td>
<td>$5,400,947</td>
<td>2.83%</td>
<td>$5,554,017</td>
<td>2.67%</td>
<td>$5,702,202</td>
<td>3.09%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>$5,144,116</td>
<td>$5,343,780</td>
<td>3.63%</td>
<td>$5,537,859</td>
<td>4.76%</td>
<td>$5,801,550</td>
<td>2.48%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>$5,341,759</td>
<td>$5,668,871</td>
<td>3.58%</td>
<td>$5,872,000</td>
<td>5.36%</td>
<td>$6,186,455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$61,472,341</td>
<td>$63,134,807</td>
<td>5.16%</td>
<td>$66,393,390</td>
<td>3.72%</td>
<td>$68,861,601</td>
<td>4.15%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Year to date vs. previous year*
From: Steve D. Hubka  
Sent: Wednesday, July 20, 2016 6:59 PM  
To: Council Packet  
Subject: FW: Questions from Aging Partners Budget Presentation

Steve Hubka  
Interim Finance Director  
City of Lincoln  
555 South 10th, Lincoln, NE 68508  
shubka@lincoln.ne.gov  
(402) 441-7412

From: "Randall S. Jones" <RSJones@lincoln.ne.gov>  
Subject: Questions from Aging Partners Budget Presentation

Council Members: In response to your question about Aging Partners “Portion of budget that is In-Home services”:

The City portion of In-Home Services compared to the overall City funding received by Aging Partners is 4.8% of City funding in the FY2017 Budget proposal.

Here is a description of the program as provided earlier: **The “In-Home” Services** referred to in the budget survey was for the following program: “Assistance in the form of access or care coordination in circumstances where the older person is experiencing diminished functioning capacities, personal conditions or other characteristics which require the provision of services by formal service providers or family caregivers. Activities of case management include such practices as assessing needs, developing care plans, authorizing and coordinating services among providers, and providing follow-up and reassessment, as required. It is done in a one-on-one setting.”

Elimination of this program would negatively impact our most frail citizens and in some cases cause institutionalization that would lead to increased state spending for long term care.

Randy Jones

From: Randall S. Jones  
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2016 10:05 PM  
Subject: Questions from Aging Partners Budget Presentation

Council Members:

The following information is in follow-up to questions you asked today during my budget presentation on Aging Partners:
The “In-Home” Services referred to in the budget survey was for the following program: “Assistance in the form of access or care coordination in circumstances where the older person is experiencing diminished functioning capacities, personal conditions or other characteristics which require the provision of services by formal service providers or family caregivers. Activities of case management include such practices as assessing needs, developing care plans, authorizing and coordinating services among providers, and providing follow-up and reassessment, as required. It is done in a one-on-one setting.”

This program is funded in the following way:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>$115,027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>$12,781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$1,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>$1,995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We are not in a position to shift any of these expenses from City to Federal or State sources. Elimination of funding from the City for this program who have dramatic negative impacts on seniors in our community and would cause this program to discontinue. This program rated in the top two valued in the public budget survey.

**Foster Grand Parent Program** is funded through the sources below. Elimination of City funding for this program would close this program. Federal and State funding for this program would also then not be available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>$138,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>$48,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>$57,596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>$6,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Kind</td>
<td>$10,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total budget</td>
<td>$261,660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LINCOLN-LANCASTER COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
3140 “N” St., Lincoln, NE 68510, 402-441-8000

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: July 18, 2016
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Andrea Haberman, MSN, RN, Health Department, 402-441-8054

LINCOLN-LANCASTER COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT
3140 “N” Street, Lincoln, NE 68510, 402-441-8000

HEALTH DEPARTMENT ENCOURAGES IMMUNIZATIONS
Extended vaccine clinic hours to end in September

The Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department (LLCHD) is offering additional immunization clinics this summer to serve eligible children who may be excluded from school if they do not meet vaccination requirements. The clinics are by appointment only from 8 a.m. to 6 p.m. Tuesdays and Thursdays in July and August at the Health Department, 3140 “N” St. To speak to a nurse or make an appointment, call 402-441-8065.

Nebraska law requires private and public school students from kindergarten through grade 12 to submit written verification of their immunization status by October 19, or 60 days after classes begin. Non-compliant students will be excluded from school.

To be eligible for the LLCHD vaccine, children must be under 19 years of age and uninsured, under-insured, covered by Nebraska Medicaid, or of American Indian or Alaskan Native origin. Children must be accompanied by a parent or legal guardian and have records of previous vaccines. Free or reduced cost services are available based on need.

For more information, visit health.lincoln.ne.gov. Select “Vaccine Clinic” under the heading “Community Health Services.”

-30-
City Council: I am attaching two documents that provide information requested by Council at last week's budget hearing.

Please let me know if I can provide further information. Thanks so much--Pat

Pat Leach
Library Director
Lincoln City Libraries
136 S. 14th St.
Lincoln, NE 68508
402-441-8510
fax 402-441-8586
p.leach@lincolnlibraries.org
Lincoln City Libraries
### Average Use by Various Factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Loans</th>
<th>Visits</th>
<th>Reservations</th>
<th>Reference Questions</th>
<th>Program &amp; Outreach</th>
<th>Outreach</th>
<th>FY 2014-2015 Total Cost per Average Use</th>
<th>Average Use Per Hour</th>
<th>Average Use Per SQ FT</th>
<th>Average Use Per FTE</th>
<th>Per Capita Use Service Area*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderson Branch</td>
<td>228,266</td>
<td>121,794</td>
<td>27,220</td>
<td>21,349</td>
<td>6,167</td>
<td>404,796</td>
<td>$1.49</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>56,694</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett Martin Library</td>
<td>363,107</td>
<td>222,115</td>
<td>50,622</td>
<td>87,840</td>
<td>17,019</td>
<td>744,349</td>
<td>$2.13</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>39,933</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethany Branch</td>
<td>130,293</td>
<td>63,183</td>
<td>9,160</td>
<td>12,636</td>
<td>2,792</td>
<td>218,064</td>
<td>$1.04</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>68,145</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eiseley Branch</td>
<td>422,732</td>
<td>201,916</td>
<td>47,642</td>
<td>37,873</td>
<td>25,195</td>
<td>735,358</td>
<td>$1.41</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>51,713</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gere Branch</td>
<td>911,853</td>
<td>332,887</td>
<td>37,780</td>
<td>84,941</td>
<td>20,854</td>
<td>1,386,315</td>
<td>$0.92</td>
<td>417</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>82,295</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lied Bookmobile</td>
<td>298,87</td>
<td>928,57</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>41243</td>
<td>$0.79</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27,495</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Branch</td>
<td>140,592</td>
<td>78,783</td>
<td>18,491</td>
<td>14,308</td>
<td>7,461</td>
<td>259,595</td>
<td>$1.35</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>49,447</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walt Branch</td>
<td>606,325</td>
<td>217,005</td>
<td>27,632</td>
<td>41,223</td>
<td>21,511</td>
<td>913,696</td>
<td>$1.06</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>65,404</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams Branch</td>
<td>24,876</td>
<td>19,880</td>
<td>4,687</td>
<td>4,122</td>
<td>1,297</td>
<td>54,862</td>
<td>$2.09</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>36,575</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>2,857,928</td>
<td>1,266,831</td>
<td>223,240</td>
<td>305,041</td>
<td>103,592</td>
<td>4,760,278</td>
<td>$1.32</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>57,848</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Loans include physical items only. 187,669 Ebook/audio book downloads are not included.

*Service Area represents a one mile radius for Bethany, South, and Williams Branch Libraries.
Service Area represents a two mile radius for Bennett Martin Public Library, Anderson, Eiseley, Gere, Walt Branch Libraries.

### Information Used to Calculate Average Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anderson Branch</td>
<td>3,328</td>
<td>$603,300</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>34,527</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bennett Martin Library</td>
<td>2,808</td>
<td>$1,588,600</td>
<td>64,000</td>
<td>18.64</td>
<td>59,696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethany Branch</td>
<td>2,496</td>
<td>$226,949</td>
<td>3,800</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>16,931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eiseley Branch</td>
<td>3,328</td>
<td>$1,039,659</td>
<td>31,800</td>
<td>14.22</td>
<td>34,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gere Branch</td>
<td>3,328</td>
<td>$1,278,616</td>
<td>33,000</td>
<td>16.87</td>
<td>50,627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lied Bookmobile</td>
<td>1,040</td>
<td>$115,211</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Branch</td>
<td>3,328</td>
<td>$350,278</td>
<td>4,900</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>17,487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walt Branch</td>
<td>3,328</td>
<td>$967,177</td>
<td>31,800</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>26,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams Branch</td>
<td>1,456</td>
<td>$114,578</td>
<td>2,200</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>24,440</td>
<td>$6,284,368</td>
<td>184,300</td>
<td>82.29</td>
<td>244,548</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**ACTION BY PLANNING COMMISSION**

NOTICE: The Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission will hold a public hearing on Wednesday, July 20, 2016, at 1:00 p.m. in Hearing Room 112 on the first floor of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St., Lincoln, Nebraska. For more information, call the Planning Department, (402) 441-7491.

The Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission will meet on Wednesday, July 20, 2016, from 11:30 to 12:55, to discuss the LRTP/Comprehensive Plan Update, and immediately following the regular Planning Commission meeting, to discuss Digital Planning Commission Agenda/Packets, in Studio Room 113 of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska.

**PLEASE NOTE:** The Planning Commission action is final action on any item with a notation of “FINAL ACTION”. Any aggrieved person may appeal Final Action of the Planning Commission to the City Council or County Board by filing a Notice of Appeal with the City Clerk or County Clerk within 14 days following the action of the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission action on all other items is a recommendation to the City Council or County Board.

AGENDA

WEDNESDAY, JULY 20, 2016

[Commissioners Lust and Harris absent]

Approval of minutes of the regular meeting held July 6, 2016. **APPROVED: 5-0; Corr abstained; (Lust and Harris absent)**

1. **CONSENT AGENDA**
   (Public Hearing and Administrative Action):

   **SPECIAL PERMITS:**
   1.1 Special Permit No. 11025A, to revise an area of the existing Community Unit Plan to accommodate 40 single-family attached lots, with waivers associated with right-of-way, storm water detention facilities, sanitary sewer, centerline grade, block length, lot depth, width-to-depth ratio, and setbacks on property generally located at North 40th and Turner Street. **FINAL ACTION**

   Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval per revised staff report.
   Staff Planner: Andrew Thierolf, 402-441-6371, athierolf@lincoln.ne.gov
   Planning Commission ‘final action’: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the staff report dated July 12, 2016: 6-0 (Lust and Corr absent).
   Resolution No. PC-01507.
1.2 Special Permit No. 16029, to allow a temporary rock crusher, on property generally located at 1675 Saltillo Road. **FINAL ACTION**

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Rachel Jones, 402-441-7603, rjones@lincoln.ne.gov

2. REQUESTS FOR DEFERRAL:

2.1 Special Permit No. 16025, to allow for the development of a new Community Unit Plan comprised of 7 single-family units, with waivers to setbacks, minimum area and width requirements, and the lot width-to-depth ratio, on property generally located at 828 D Street and 848 D Street. **FINAL ACTION**

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Rachel Jones, 402-441-7603, rjones@lincoln.ne.gov
The applicant’s request for a 2-week deferral was granted, with PUBLIC HEARING AND ACTION scheduled for Wednesday, August 3, 2016.

2.2a Comprehensive Plan Amendment No. 16003, to amend the 2040 Lincoln-Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan, by changing the 2040 Priority Growth Areas designation from Priority C to Priority B, Tier 1, on property generally located on the north side of Van Dorn Street, from South 87th to South 98th Streets.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Brian Will, 402-441-6362, bwill@lincoln.ne.gov
The applicant’s request for a 2-week deferral was granted, with PUBLIC HEARING AND ACTION scheduled for Wednesday, August 3, 2016.

2.2b Annexation No. 16008, to annex approximately 5.12 acres, more or less, for future development, on property generally located on the north side of Van Dorn Street, west of South 91st Street.

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Brian Will, 402-441-6362, bwill@lincoln.ne.gov
The applicant’s request for a 2-week deferral was granted, with PUBLIC HEARING AND ACTION scheduled for Wednesday, August 3, 2016.

3. ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA: None.

4. PUBLIC HEARING AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION: None.

AT THIS TIME, ANYONE WISHING TO SPEAK ON AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA, MAY DO SO

Adjournment 1:07 p.m.
PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION
NOTIFICATION

TO: Mayor Chris Beutler
Lincoln City Council

FROM: Geri Rorabaugh, Planning

DATE: July 20, 2016

RE: Notice of final action by Planning Commission: July 20, 2016

Please be advised that on July 20, 2016, the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission adopted the following resolution:

Resolution No. PC-01507, approving SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 11025A, to revise an area of the existing Community Unit Plan to accommodate 40 single-family attached lots, with waivers associated with right-of-way, storm water detention facilities, sanitary sewer, centerline grade, block length, lot depth, width-to-depth ratio, and setbacks on property legally described as Lots 1-4, Block 1; Lots 1-8, Block 2; Lots 1-6, Block 3; and Outlots A, B, and C, Beautiful Day Acres 1st Addition, and adjacent right-of-way, located in the NE 1/4 of Section 7-10-7, Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska, generally located at North 40th and Turner Streets.

Resolution No. PC-01508, approving SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 16029, to allow a temporary rock crusher, on property legally described as Lot 51, I.T., in the NW 1/4 of Section 1-8-6, Lancaster County, Nebraska, generally located at 1675 Saltillo Road.

The Planning Commission action on this application is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a notice of appeal with the City Clerk within 14 days of the action by the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission Resolution may be accessed on the internet at www.lincoln.ne.gov (Keyword = PATS). Use the “Search Selection” screen and search by application number (i.e. SP11025A, SP16029). The Resolution and Planning Department staff report are in the “Related Documents” under the application number.
Notice is hereby given that the CITY BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS will hold a public hearing on Friday, July 22, 2016 at 1:30 p.m. in the City Council Chambers on the 1st Floor of the County-City Building, 555 South 10th Street, on the following item. For more information, please contact the Planning Department at (402) 441-7491.

The CITY BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS will meet on Friday, July 22, from 12:45 p.m. to 1:25 p.m., for review of Board of Zoning Appeals procedures, in Studio Room 113 of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska.

AGENDA

July 22, 2016

1. Election of Chair

2. Election of Vice-Chair.

3. Approval of minutes of the regular meeting held May 27, 2016.

ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION

4. CITY BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS NO. 16001, requested by Gateway Vista, for a variance to the sign regulations to allow a sign which exceeds the maximum sign area allowed in the R-2 Residential zoning district, on property generally located at 225 North 56th Street.

* * * * *

The City Board of Zoning Appeals agenda may be accessed on the Internet at http://www.lincoln.ne.gov/city/plan/boards/cibza/cibza.htm

** ACCOMMODATION NOTICE **

The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public’s access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.
HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

The City of Lincoln Historic Preservation Commission will hold a public meeting on Thursday, **July 21, 2016**, at **1:30 p.m.** in Room 214, County-City Building, 555 S. 10th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska, to consider the following agenda. For more information, contact the Planning Department at (402) 441-7491.

**AGENDA**

1. Approval of HPC meeting records of June 16, 2016.
2. Opportunity for persons with limited time or an item not on the agenda to address the Commission.

**HEARING AND ACTION**

4. Certificate of Appropriateness for work at the Toolhouse, 800 Q Street, in the Haymarket Landmark District.
5. Certificate of Appropriateness for work at 1143 and 1149 S. 17th Street in the Capitol Addition Landmark District.

**DISCUSS AND ADVISE**

6. Staff Report & misc.

*The Historic Preservation Commission and Urban Design Committee’s agendas may be accessed on the Internet at [http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/plan/boards](http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/plan/boards)*

**ACCOMMODATION NOTICE**

The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public's access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.
This is a list of the administrative approvals by the Planning Director from July 12, 2016 through July 18, 2016:

**Administrative Amendment No. 16038** to Special Permit #585C, Quail Valley CUP, approved by the Planning Director on July 12, 2016, to permit a rear yard of three feet for an unenclosed deck on Lot 5, Block 2, Quail Valley, generally located at 5411 Old Lodge Court.

**Administrative Amendment No. 16042** to Special Permit 1993, Solid Rock Gymnastics, approved by the Planning Director on July 13, 2016, to allow construction of a building addition, generally located at 610 Hill Street.

**Administrative Amendment No. 16046** to Special Permit #110CO, Veterinary Facility, approved by the Planning Director on July 13, 2016, to rescind Special Permit #110CO, generally located at S. 120th Street and Yankee Hill Road.

**Administrative Amendment No. 16029** to Special Permit #1423J, HiMark CUP, approved by the Planning Director on July 14, 2016, to revise the lot layout on Himark Lane to accommodate a new golf cart path along with a revised grading and drainage plan which includes a new detention cell, generally located at Himark Lane and Pioneers Boulevard.
Annexation by Ordinance
Pemberley Place
S 75th St & Pine Lake Rd
Effective: July 26, 2016
9.26 Acres
AGENDA FOR THE WEST HAYMARKET JOINT PUBLIC AGENCY (JPA) 
TO BE HELD THURSDAY, JULY 28, 2016 AT 2:30 P.M. 

CITY-COUNTY BUILDING 
BILL LUXFORD STUDIO, 1ST FLOOR 
555 S. 10TH STREET 
LINCOLN, NE 68508 

1. Introductions and Notice of Open Meetings Law Posted by Door (Chair Beutler) 

2. Public Comment and Time Limit Notification Announcement (Chair Beutler) 

   Individuals from the audience will be given a total of 5 minutes to speak on specific items listed on today’s agenda. Those testifying should identify themselves for the official record. 

3. Approval of the minutes from the JPA meeting held May 27, 2016 (Chair Beutler) 
   ➢ (Staff recommendation: Approval of the minutes as presented) 

4. Approval of May and June 2016 Payment Registers (Steve Hubka) 
   ➢ Public Comment 
   ➢ (Staff recommendation: Approval) 

5. Review of May and June 2016 Expenditure Reports (Steve Hubka) 
   ➢ Public Comment 

6. WH 16-7 Resolution to approve Amendment No. 2 to DAS Concession Agreement to expand the coverage area of the DAS Services in the Pinnacle Bank Arena. (Tom Lorenz) 
   ➢ Public Comment 
   ➢ (Staff recommendation: Approval) 

7. WH 16-8 Resolution to amend the Operating Budget for September 1, 2015 to August 31, 2016 for fiscal year 2015/2016 to include an additional Capital Outlay-equipment expenditure of $230,000.00 for the purchase of metal detectors for use at the Pinnacle Bank Arena. (Steve Hubka) 
   ➢ Public Comment 
   ➢ (Staff recommendation: Approval) 

8. WH 16-9 Resolution to authorize the Chair of the West Haymarket Joint Public Agency to execute a contract or purchase order for the purchase of 35 walk-through metal detectors for use at the Pinnacle Bank Arena at a cost not to exceed $230,000.00. (Steve Hubka/Tom Lorenz) 
   ➢ Public Comment 
   ➢ (Staff recommendation: Approval) 

Pinnacle Bank Arena Event and West Haymarket JPA information may be found at: 
www.pinnaclebankarena.com 
www.lincoln.ne.gov
CONTINUED AGENDA FOR THE WEST HAYMARKET
JOINT PUBLIC AGENCY (JPA)
TO BE HELD THURSDAY, JULY 28, 2016 AT 2:30 P.M.

9. Set Next Meeting Date: The next meeting date will be Thursday, August 25, 2016 at 3:30 p.m. in the County-City Building, Bill Luxford Studio, First Floor.

10. Motion to Adjourn
I am totally against the mayor’s budget proposal. I actually worked for his campaign many years ago when he ran for governor and I have so regretted it. His dishonest use of surveys to support any projects he wants and his double speak is maddening. Just recently he led us to believe that in his recent ‘take charge’ survey 50-55% of those responding support his budget. Today we learn that is not true. That 50% came from a gathering of people not the survey. Then he immediately says on the radio that we surely don’t want to cut road repairs or policy/fire which he could care less about but uses to hide his projects. We’ve had enough - please say no.
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for
Jon Camp

Name:     Jim Nelson
Address:  1730 Trelawney Dr
City:     Lincoln, NE 68512
Phone:
Fax:
Email:

Comment or Question:
No No No No on the cardboard issue. Or let the Mayor commit to the city paying any thing over the .85 cents per household.

No No No No on all issues that are not logical and do not support a real need on the city budget.
This is what LINCOLN needs. Snowplow proof and salt-brine proof
Another great inlet example!
I have concerns about adding 6 firefighter-paramedics in the Mayor's proposed budget. Discussions about this addition seem to center on response times. This is very misleading to most of Lincoln. As you well know the first response to a medical emergency comes from fire engines not ambulances. Which is why almost all of Lincoln's firefighters are also paramedics. So if response times are a problem then we need more fire engines and or the fire engines need to be better located. Ambulances will not solve a response time problem.

To determine if we need more ambulances the following questions need to be answered.

1. Is there medical equipment on the ambulances that is not on fire engines?

   If yes, what is the frequency of calls where the missing equipment was needed and the ambulance had not arrived?

2. What is the frequency of calls where the transport of patients was delayed by the arrival of the ambulance?

   The key here is transport since care starts with the arrival of the fire engine.

The answers to these questions will indicate if we need more ambulances. If this information does not exist then the addition of an ambulance and the required 6 firefighter-paramedics should be delayed until the questions can be answered.

Jim Frohman
7335 Pioneers Blvd
Apt. 212
Lincoln, NE 68506
402.617.2484
jimfrohman@outlook.com
Members of the City Council,

I am writing to support Recycle Lincoln! We need to expand recycling services across the city. I use both a curbside service and Lincoln's drop off locations for materials that my curbside service does not take. It is important for all citizens of Lincoln to have access to recycling services. We should recycle in order to expand the local economy, reduce the need for landfill growth, and grow a sustainable future for our city. My kids who are six and eight years old know that recycling is important lets make it a priority for Lincoln.

Please support this important initiative.

Tom Green
710 Wedgewood Dr
Lincoln, NE 68510
Dear City Council,

In preparation for the public hearing on the proposed ordinance to be held during the City Council meeting of July 25th, please find attached informal letters in favor of the re-paving district. In addition, verbal approval in favor have been received from the following owners: Bruce Card and Aysha Zhaiya.

Representatives of the city have said they will contact the NRD and feel confident in obtaining their approval.

This brings to total front footage to 698.20 which represents 52.87% in favor of the re-paving district.

Thank you for your consideration of these facts in your decision in favor of this re-paving district.

Sincerely,

Ben C and Amy C Herr
Public Works & Utilities Department
Engineering Services
949 West Bond Street Suite 200
Lincoln NE 68521

Re: Re-Paving District for Saunders Avenue, 12th to 14th

To Mr. Roger Figard

As the owner(s) of the property listed I/We are in support of the paving project for Saunders Avenue.

1220 Saunders Avenue

Sincerely,

Larry Insleman
Public Works & Utilities Department  
Engineering Services  
949 West Bond Street Suite 200  
Lincoln NE 68521

Re: Re-Paving District for Saunders Avenue, 12th to 14th

To Mr. Roger Figard

As the owner(s) of the property listed I/We are in support of the paving project for Saunders Avenue.

1230 Saunders Avenue

Sincerely,

Ed Grubbs

402-730-2535
Public Works & Utilities Department
Engineering Services
949 West Bond Street Suite 200
Lincoln NE 68521

Re: Re-Paving District for Saunders Avenue, 12th to 14th

To Mr. Roger Figard

As the owner(s) of the property listed I/We are in support of the paving project for Saunders Avenue.

1302 Saunders Avenue

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Peter & Tara Troy
Public Works & Utilities Department  
Engineering Services  
949 West Bond Street Suite 200  
Lincoln NE 68521

Re: Re-Paving District for Saunders Avenue, 12th to 14th

To Mr. Roger Figard

As the owner(s) of the property listed I/We are in support of the paving project for Saunders Avenue.

1231 Saunders Avenue  
1303 Saunders Avenue

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Ben C. Herr  
Amy C. Herr
DIREKTORS’/ORGANIZATIONAL AGENDA
ADDENDUM
MONDAY, JULY 25, 2016

I. CITY CLERK

II. MAYOR CORRESPONDENCE
1. NEWS RELEASE. Spots remain for Nature Center’s Pre-K Program.
2. NEWS RELEASE. West Nile virus case reported in Lancaster County.
6. NEWS RELEASE. Library extends deadline to pick up prize coupons.
7. NEWS RELEASE. Library hosts Blues Performances.

III. DIRECTORS

BUILDING AND SAFETY
1. Memo from Chad Blahak, Building and Safety Director.
   a) Executive Order No. 051123, Building and Safety Fund.

FINANCE/BUDGET
1. Memo from Sherry Wolf, Finance/Budget Division, regarding questions on Keno.
   a) Keno Revenue Allocation.
2. Memo from Sherry Wolf, Finance/Budget Division, on the cost of health insurance rates.
   a) 2015-2016 Health, Dental, and Vision monthly rates.
3. Steve Hubka, Finance Director, memo regarding biennium budget.
   a) Information for Council.

FIRE & RESCUE DEPARTMENT
1. Chief Micheal Despain replying to questions regarding the Fire Department
   presented by Jim Frohman. (Listed on the Directors’ Agenda, Citizen Correspondence, No. 1)

PARKS & RECREATION
1. Lynn Johnson, Parks & Recreation, responding to request for information on proposed fee increases in
   the budget proposal.
   a) Summary of Proposed Fee Increases & New Revenue.
2. Lynn Johnson, Parks & Recreation Director, giving additional information on the proposed Office
   Assistant position.
   a) Description of Pioneers Park Nature Center Office Assistant position.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES
1. Cyndy Roth, Public Works/Utilities, memo on revenues and rate increases.
   a) Water and wastewater historical revenues and rate increases.
   a) Answers to questions on different aspects of department;
   b) Frequently asked questions; and
   c) Latest pothole report.

STRONGER SAFER NEIGHBORHOODS
1. Jon Carlson replying to recycling email giving clarifications to the four points.
   a) Recycle Lincoln? Frequently asked questions and answers.
   b) Multi-Family housing recycling questions and responses.

IV. MISCELLANEOUS

IV. COUNCIL MEMBERS

JON CAMP
1. Bruce Stahl protesting the mandatory punitive recycling of cardboard and paper products.
2. Nancy Packard writing in support of the Mayor’s budget.
3. James Stuart urging fiscal restraint with City budget. Leadership, proper fund allocation, expense control and cuts are in order.
4. InterLinc correspondence from Jeffrey Payne with comments on proposed budget.
5. Terri Watts writing against the recycling as a landlord.
6. Jack A. Dike stating current road construction is totally unacceptable.
7. John Whitmer in opposition to cutting the general fund support for building and safety.
8. Jackie Zimmerman opposing the new material bans and recycling ordinance, which should remain voluntary.
9. Kenneth Krohn disturbed by the noise at Seacrest Field.
10. Bob Olmstead in agreement with proposed cuts to budget with the exception of the Green Light Lincoln.
11. Arnold Wassenberg commenting recycling should be voluntary and not mandated.

CYNDI LAMM
1. Councilwoman Cyndi Lamm requesting answers to budget questions.
   a) Impact Fees information and reply on JAVA Fund 406.
   b) Memo from Miki Esposito, Public Works & Utilities Director, regarding specific designation of JAVA funding.
   c) Steve Hubka, Finance Director, answering questions on the Antelope Valley bond fund balance.

V. CORRESPONDENCE FROM CITIZENS
1. Joe Shaw writing in regards to the budget and taking care of neighborhoods and parks.
2. Pat Halderman in favor of the recycle ordinance for Lincoln.
3. Shari Rosso with concern for Zoo parking lot expansion.
4. Mike DeKalb strongly urging Council to approve the increase to the water and sewer fee.
5. Dale McIntosh highly concerned with spending driven by the Mayor. Say no to this budget.
7. Lilly Blase writing in support of the proposed ordinance recycling timelines.
8. S. Wayne Smith stating taxes are too high now, hold the line on property taxes.
9. Marcy Ganow not excited about being forced into the proposed recycling ordinance by the heavy-handed mayoral/council action.
10. S. Wayne Smith asking to please leave recycling a voluntary action.
11. Scott Miller stating within a year of hearing no new fire fighters have the proposed addition of 6 new firefighter positions.
12. Russell Miller giving reasons why the water and wastewater rates should be raised.
13. Lancaster County Republican Party stating they believe recycling should remain voluntary in Lincoln.
14. E. Wayne Boles writing in favor of recycling initiative.
15. Julie Diegel requesting Council to support recycling in Lincoln.
16. David Herbert concerned about pending recycling rule for landlords.
17. Jethro Hopkins asking Council to vote against the recycling ordinance.
18. Bob Von Kaenel against the idea of mandatory recycling, and incurring the cost of mandatory recycling.
19. Jim Swanson stating beneficial to educate the public about recycling and not place this burden on landlords.
20. Julian Tyler concerned about consequences to landlords when tenants do not separate cardboard being held responsible.
   a) Photo of East High recycling center.
22. John Cederberg in opposition to the proposed ordinance of mandatory recycling, listing reasons.

VI. ADJOURNMENT
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: July 21, 2016
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Jamie Kelley, Parks and Recreation, 402-441-8708

SPOTS REMAIN FOR NATURE CENTER’S PRE-K PROGRAM

A few spots remain open in the Pioneers Park Nature Center pre-kindergarten program, which begins September 9. Morning and afternoon sessions are Mondays, Wednesdays and Fridays through May. To register, or for more information, contact Sueann Ahrens at 402-441-8669.

The program offers a nature-based curriculum, which focuses on letter recognition and printing, phonics and sight words, numbers and math skills, music and movement. The program also supports a child’s transition from preschool to kindergarten.

The Pioneers Park Nature Center includes 668 acres of tallgrass prairie, woodlands, wetlands, hiking trails, non-releasable raptor exhibits, bison, elk and deer as well as indoor small animal displays and hands-on educational exhibits.

For more information visit parks.lincoln.ne.gov/naturecenter.

-30-
WEST NILE VIRUS CASE REPORTED IN LANCASTER COUNTY

The Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department (LLCHD) has received its first report in 2016 of a case of West Nile Virus (WNV) in Lancaster County. The adult male had a travel history outside of Lancaster County, and recent surveillance activities conducted by LLCHD have not yet identified WNV in mosquitoes locally. But officials urge the public to take steps to prevent mosquito bites because but conditions exist that will likely produce virus-carrying mosquitoes.

“Given the extremely hot weather over the last two months, we are concerned that West Nile Virus activity may significantly increase,” said John Chess, Water Quality Program Supervisor for LLCHD. “As the summer progresses, mosquitoes are more likely to have WNV, which makes protecting yourself from mosquitoes very important.”

Chess urged people to follow these precautions:

- Limit time outside during dawn or dusk.
- Wear lightweight long-sleeved shirts and pants.
- Use insect repellants containing DEET, Picaridin or oil of lemon eucalyptus. Always follow label directions.

LLCHD also asks the public to reduce mosquito breeding areas by taking these steps:

- Dump small wading pools daily, and maintain swimming pools properly.
- Maintain garden ponds and fountains, and always keep the water flowing.
- Clear debris, weeds and litter from drainage ways;
- Change water in your birdbaths weekly and pet bowls daily.
- Remove vegetation from sewage lagoons.
- Store tires, buckets and containers where they cannot collect water.
- Fill low spots in your yard.

Most people who become infected with WNV do not develop any symptoms. About one in five will develop a fever with other symptoms such as headache, body aches, joint pains, vomiting, diarrhea or rash. Most people with this type of WNV recover completely, but fatigue and weakness can last for weeks or months.

-more-
Less than one percent of those infected will develop a serious neurologic illness, such as encephalitis or meningitis, the inflammation of the brain or surrounding tissues. The symptoms of neurologic illness can include headache, high fever, neck stiffness, disorientation, coma, tremors, seizures or paralysis. People over age 60 are at the greatest risk for severe disease, but it can occur at any age.

Also at great risk are those with medical conditions, such as cancer, diabetes, hypertension and kidney disease and those who have received organ transplants. Recovery from severe disease may take several weeks or months, and some of the neurologic effects may be permanent. The death rate for those who develop neurologic infection due to WNV is about 10 percent.

For more information on WNV, visit health.lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: wnv).
Date: July 22, 2016
Contact: Jon Taylor, Citizen Information Center, 402-441-7547

Mayor Beutler’s Public Schedule
Week of July 23 through 29, 2016
(Schedule subject to change)

Sunday, July 24
• World Tenpin Bowling World Youth Championships, remarks – 6 p.m., Sun Valley Lanes, 321 Victory Lane

Monday, July 25
• “First at Four” show on KOLN-KGIN – 4 p.m., 40th and Vine streets

Tuesday, July 26
• KFOR – 12:30 p.m. (recorded earlier)
• Ribbon cutting and open house for Health 360, remarks – event begins at 3 p.m. with remarks at 3:30 p.m., 2301 “O” Street
• “Toruk Tasting: Avatar Ice Cream” event, welcome to Cirque du Soleil, remarks – 6 p.m., Ivanna Cone, 701 “P” Street

Thursday, July 28
• West Haymarket Joint Public Agency meeting – 2:30 p.m., Bill Luxford Studio, County-City Building, 555 S. 10th Street
FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Public Works and Utilities

DATE: 3/2/2016

NEED
This request will provide funds to upgrade the Lincoln Water System SCADA system to a MS Windows 7 platform. The current system is operating on a Windows XP platform and is currently unsupported by Windows and is in great risk of failure. An unrecoverable failure could result in the inability to pump, treat, transmit and deliver water to customers. The upgrade will require both the purchase of sole source software and installation services, servers and associated hardware, and associated services from Information Services.

This request was delayed until near the end of the fiscal year, to better identify the source of funding and identify the best server configuration. Available funds will exist in the Lincoln Water System's electrical budget for the Ashland treatment plant and these funds will be transferred accordingly as shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUTURE IMPACT:</th>
<th>✓ Ongoing</th>
<th>□ Limited</th>
<th>Projected Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUES GENERATED</th>
<th>LEGISLATIVE CHANGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>Current Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Next Fiscal Year Annualized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (full time equivalents)</td>
<td>N/a</td>
<td>N/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PERSONNEL (cost) business unit: 70400 to 70200
object code description

Cost savings are approximate, fully burden personnel costs

SUPPLIES business unit:
object code description

OTHER SERVICES & CHARGES business unit:
object code description
70200.6878 Electricity ($96,483.00)
70200.6950 Contract Services (Software) $42,988.00
70400.6891 Data Processing Service $53,495.00

EQUIPMENT business unit:
object code description

TOTAL EXPENDITURES

SOURCE OF REVENUES

DIRECTOR:

DATE: 7-20-16
WHEN TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Requesting transfer of operating appropriations.
2. Requesting increase in personnel (full time equivalents) appropriations.
3. Requesting transfer of capital improvement appropriations.
4. Requesting operational change not authorized during the budget process.
5. Requesting appropriations based on receipt of additional funds from outside sources.
6. Requesting use of Contingency funds.

HOW TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

NEED: There should be a detailed explanation of why a change to the previously approved budget is necessary. If the change will have any impact beyond the current fiscal year, it should also be noted.

FUTURE IMPACT: One of the boxes should be checked. An example of an item with ongoing impact would be a request for additional fte authorization that will also be requested in upcoming budgets. This would necessitate filling out the "Next Fiscal Year Annualized" column. An example of an item with limited impact would be asking for authorization to use salary savings for the one time purchase of equipment. If "Projected Completion Date" applies, please fill in.

REVENUES GENERATED: Please note if the request will affect current and future revenues.

LEGISLATIVE CHANGES: These boxes should be marked yes or no. Some of the actions this form is used for (transfer of capital improvement appropriations, Contingency Funds) require a City Council ordinance.

PERSONNEL (full time equivalents): Please note the number of fte's the request involves, if applicable.

PERSONNEL (cost), SUPPLIES, OTHER SERVICES AND CHARGES, EQUIPMENT: All entries in these boxes must have the business unit, object code, and object code description along with the dollar amount. Negative amounts must be indicated by brackets.

TOTAL EXPENDITURES: This box should contain the sum of the dollar amounts in the various expenditure categories.

SOURCE OF REVENUES: This box should contain the name of the fund the action is required for.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Unit</th>
<th>FY15-16 Budget</th>
<th>Balance 7/10/16</th>
<th>Expenditures 7/10/16</th>
<th>Projected Expenditures 8/31/16</th>
<th>Balance 7/10/16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70100</td>
<td>$3,219,830</td>
<td>$836,846</td>
<td>$2,382,984</td>
<td>$2,859,581</td>
<td>$360,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70200</td>
<td>$6,536,042</td>
<td>$1,512,464</td>
<td>$5,023,578</td>
<td>$6,028,294</td>
<td>$507,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70300</td>
<td>$6,014,737</td>
<td>$180,330</td>
<td>$5,834,407</td>
<td>$6,761,288</td>
<td>-$746,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70400</td>
<td>$1,549,520</td>
<td>$333,914</td>
<td>$1,215,606</td>
<td>$1,458,727</td>
<td>$90,793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total End Year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$212,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Budget Carryover, Vine Street Electrical Improvements</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-$85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCADA Fiscal Impact</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-$96,483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total End Year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$30,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Unit</td>
<td>FY15-16 Budget</td>
<td>Balance 7/10/16</td>
<td>Expenditures 7/10/16</td>
<td>Projected Expenditures 8/31/16</td>
<td>Balance 7/10/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70100</td>
<td>$3,219,830</td>
<td>$836,846</td>
<td>$2,382,984</td>
<td>$2,859,581</td>
<td>$360,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70200</td>
<td>$6,536,042</td>
<td>$1,512,464</td>
<td>$5,023,578</td>
<td>$6,028,294</td>
<td>$507,748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70300</td>
<td>$6,014,737</td>
<td>$180,330</td>
<td>$5,834,407</td>
<td>$6,761,288</td>
<td>-$746,551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70400</td>
<td>$1,549,520</td>
<td>$333,914</td>
<td>$1,215,606</td>
<td>$1,458,727</td>
<td>$90,793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total End Year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$212,239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Carryover, Vine Street Electrical Improvements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-$85,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCADA Fiscal Impact</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-$96,483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total End Year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$30,756</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT


These funds will provide for an important advancement in our winter maintenance program – fourteen (14) additional **hangers for tankers and material spreaders** which will allow the winter maintenance fleet to quickly and efficiently transition between material spreading operations, brine operations and/or hauling operations. Requisition 14920 was submitted for this requirement – these funds will be encumbered during the current fiscal year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUTURE IMPACT:</th>
<th>□ Ongoing</th>
<th>☑ Limited</th>
<th>Projected Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVENUES GENERATED</th>
<th>LEGISLATIVE CHANGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>Yes ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>Yes ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Yes ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>Current Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Next Fiscal Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (full time equivalents)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (cost) business unit: object code description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79130.5021, Regular Salaries</td>
<td>($180,000)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPPLIES business unit: object code description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER SERVICES &amp; CHARGES business unit: object code description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIPMENT business unit: object code description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79130.6076, Miscellaneous Equipment</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL EXPENDITURES

SOURCE OF REVENUES: Unexpended Snow & Ice Removal funds appropriated in budget resolution.

DIRECTOR [Signature]       DATE 7-22-16
WHEN TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Requesting transfer of operating appropriations.
2. Requesting increase in personnel (full time equivalents) appropriations.
3. Requesting transfer of capital improvement appropriations.
4. Requesting operational change not authorized during the budget process.
5. Requesting appropriations based on receipt of additional funds from outside sources.
6. Requesting use of Contingency funds.

HOW TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

NEED: There should be a detailed explanation of why a change to the previously approved budget is necessary. If the change will have any impact beyond the current fiscal year, it should also be noted.

FUTURE IMPACT: One of the boxes should be checked. An example of an item with ongoing impact would be a request for additional fte authorization that will also be requested in upcoming budgets. This would necessitate filling out the "Next Fiscal Year Annualized" column. An example of an item with limited impact would be asking for authorization to use salary savings for the one time purchase of equipment. If "Projected Completion Date" applies, please fill in.

REVENUES GENERATED: Please note if the request will affect current and future revenues.

LEGISLATIVE CHANGES: These boxes should be marked yes or no. Some of the actions this form is used for (transfer of capital improvement appropriations, Contingency Funds) require a City Council ordinance.

PERSONNEL (full time equivalents): Please note the number of fte’s the request involves, if applicable.

PERSONNEL (cost), SUPPLIES, OTHER SERVICES AND CHARGES, EQUIPMENT: All entries in these boxes must have the business unit, object code, and object code description along with the dollar amount. Negative amounts must be indicated by brackets.

TOTAL EXPENDITURES: This box should contain the sum of the dollar amounts in the various expenditure categories.

SOURCE OF REVENUES: This box should contain the name of the fund the action is required for.
LINCOLN CITY LIBRARIES
136 S. 14th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 402-441-8500

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: July 25, 2016
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Vicki Wood, Lincoln City Libraries, 402-441-8565

LIBRARY EXTENDS DEADLINE TO PICK UP PRIZE COUPONS

Lincoln City Libraries has extended to August 14 the deadline for Summer Reading Program participants to pick up their prize coupons. The Summer Reading Program rewards readers with prizes that include discounts at local businesses and free items such as entry into the Children’s Museum, pool parties and Saltdogs tickets.

Members who completed the program must visit their local library branch to pick up their coupons and ensure they are entered in the grand prize drawings. The grand prize drawings include a $529 NEST College Savings Account scholarship, a Fitbit Activity Tracker, tickets to the Lincoln Community Playhouse, and gift certificates from Cycle Works and the Bike Rack.

Visit lincolnlibraries.org for more information about prizes and drawings.

-30-
LIBRARY HOSTS BLUES PERFORMANCES

Bennett Martin Public Library continues to host “A Cast of Blues” through August 11. The exhibit celebrates Mississippi’s rich musical heritage featuring 15 resin-cast masks of blues legends created by artist Sharon McConnell-Dickerson. Fifteen color photographs of performers and juke joints by acclaimed photographer Ken Murphy also are on display.

Blues performances accompany the exhibit at Bennett Martin Public Library on the following dates:

**Wednesday, July 27, 2 p.m.**
Keeping Blues Alive featuring the Redwoods, a BluesEd Band of talented musicians age 13-17.

**Friday, August 5, 6 to 8 p.m.**
First Friday event featuring Emily Bass and the Near Miracles, 6 to 7 p.m.

Organized and presented by ExhibitsUSA, a national part of Mid-America Arts Alliance, the exhibition was curated by Chuck Haddix, music historian, author, radio personality, and director of the Marr Sound Archives at the University of Missouri-Kansas City. ExhibitsUSA sends more than 25 exhibitions on tour to more than 100 small- and mid-sized communities every year.

Based in Kansas City, Missouri, Mid-America is the oldest nonprofit regional arts organization in the United States. More information is available at [www.maaa.org](http://www.maaa.org) and [www.eusa.org](http://www.eusa.org). Visit lincolnlibraries.org for more information.

-30-
All, as requested, attached is the EO that created the guidelines for administration of the Building and Safety Fund. Please let me know if you have any additional questions.

Chad

Chad Blahak
Director
Building and Safety Department
City of Lincoln
555 South 10th Street, Suite 203
(402) 441-7049 phone
(402) 441-8214 fax
EXECUTIVE ORDER NO. 051123

BY VIRTUE OF THE AUTHORITY VESTED IN ME by the Charter of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska:

The following operating policies shall be followed regarding the use of the Building and Safety Fund for the operation of the Department of Building and Safety:

1. OVERVIEW

The Building and Safety Fund is a Special Revenue Fund which will collect the permit and fee revenue generated by the activities of the Department of Building and Safety. This fund shall be solely for the operation of the Department of Building and Safety and is not a potential source of funding for other City operations or projects.

The Building and Safety Fund will also include a General Fund subsidy (through Inter-Fund Transfers) in recognition of the fact that (1) some of the functions performed by Building and Safety provide a general benefit to the public justifying some level of continuing tax support, and (2) fees charged for some of the functions of the Department are set by the State with the rates charged being out of the control of the Department of Building and Safety. It is understood that language will be included in the budget resolution to allow all monies credited to the Building and Safety Fund or to be credited to said Fund to be appropriated in order to allow the Department to expend more than the fixed amount set in the budget if money is available in the fund. The intent of this policy is to allow the Department to set their level of operations based on the volume of business of the industries they serve, and the money generated from those activities. It will be up to the Director of Building and Safety to initiate, propose, and make necessary
adjustments in the Department’s operations to insure the ongoing solvency of the Building and Safety Fund as demonstrated by a positive fund balance at the end of each fiscal year as determined by Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) as well as a positive cash balance.

2. GENERAL FUND SUBSIDY

The amount of the General Fund subsidy shall be determined by identifying the cost of the following fire prevention and housing activities and their appropriate share of the Department’s administrative cost which are not supported by fees. The General Fund subsidy shall be:

1. One hundred percent of the cost of the Minimum Housing Complaints Inspection Section.

2. One hundred percent of the cost of the Fire and Bomb Investigations Section.

3. That percent of the Apartment Licensing Section not covered by fees. Currently 70% of the cost is supported by fees. The goal is to work toward an average of 100% cost recovery for this program from fees. The General Fund subsidy shall remain the portion of the program not covered by fees, until the goal of 100% of cost recovery is achieved.

4. That percent of Fire Prevention Inspections Section not covered by fees. Currently 65% of this program is supported by fees; however, since the fees are set by the State, the City will not be able to increase fees to keep up with increases in expenses.

The rest of the activities of the Department should be self-supporting for direct costs and their share of the administrative costs of the Department. The Building and Safety Fund shall not be responsible for central service billings. When considering new programs or
services, it will need to be determined if the program or service being considered should be fee supported or General Fund subsidized.

3. **ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS**

   The costs of the Administrative Division of the Department need to be allocated across the various portions of the Department’s operation. The initial way of allocating these costs is to spread the costs of the Administration Section across divisions based on the number of FTE’s in each division, and to spread the costs of the Business Office Section across divisions based on the actual number of hours support staff spend on activities for each division. Percentages shall be calculated for each division based on the number of FTE’s in each division or hours of support from the Business Office as a portion of the total of all divisions FTE’s or total hours of support provided by the Business Office.

4. **RATES AND COST RECOVERY**

   Costs to be recovered in fee supported areas of the Department include the direct costs of the Department including employee fringe benefits. Other costs to be considered in determining appropriate fees include depreciation (figured at a replacement cost rate) for unique equipment relied upon for doing business. One example is the new computer system being funded in the 1996-97 budget by the General Fund subsidy.

   It will be Building and Safety’s responsibility to initiate and be an advocate for rate changes necessary to sustain the fee supported portion of the Department. It is understood that it will not be possible to set fees so that exactly 100%, and no more, of costs are recovered each year. The goal will be to recover at least 100% of costs for the fee supported portion of the Department, taken as a whole. Cost recovery of more than 100% in one area does not necessarily mean a rate decrease is in order, nor does cost recovery of less than 100% in a given area of the Department dictate an immediate rate increase.
When looking at cost recovery in different areas, it is important to look at the whole picture over a period of time greater than one year.

5. **COSTS FOR NEW OFFICE BUILDING SPACE AND THE "K" ST. STORAGE BUILDING**

Additional costs for building rental should be covered by Building and Safety Fund but should be phased in over several years. These increased costs result from an increased square footage rate and additional space that the Department will have over and above current space. A General Fund subsidy shall cover the entire amount of the increase for 1997-98, two-thirds of the amount of the 1997-98 increase in 1998-99, one-third of the amount of the 1997-98 increase in 1999-00 and for the year 2000-01 the Building and Safety Fund should cover the entire amount. The amount of the increased costs will be determined at the time of the move and that amount of money will be used each year to determine what the General Fund will subsidize. The intent is to have the Building and Safety Fund assume inflationary increases in space rental as well as phase in the total additional cost of the move.

6. **COSTS FOR MOVING TO THE NEW BUILDING**

The Department should bear no more or no less of the costs associated with moving and settling into the new office building than any other department making that move.

7. **CHANGES TO STAFFING LEVELS**

It will be the Director of Building and Safety's responsibility to determine the optimum staffing level for the Department based on building activity, future outlook, financial position, and operating efficiency. New employees should be added only when that is a better alternative than contracting work out. While all money in the Building and
Safety Fund will be appropriated, the Department will process a fiscal impact statement with a justification for any positions they wish to add during a fiscal year. These fiscal impact statements will reflect all costs including benefits, vehicles, and any other equipment for the additional personnel. Positions proposed for the next fiscal year should be proposed in the Department's budget request. In the event it becomes necessary to reduce positions, it may be possible to reduce employees due to a lack of work, but leave the positions in the budget. If workload increases, that would allow the positions to be refilled quickly.

8. **CASH FLOW AND FUND BALANCES**

On September 1, 1996, the new Building and Safety Fund will begin business with a zero cash balance. However, in recognition of the fact that the Department has service obligations from permits issued prior to the creation of the Building and Safety Fund, the Fund must be supplied with operating cash. This will be accomplished by transferring the entire General Fund subsidy to the Building and Safety Fund upon the start of the fiscal year. Depending on how successful the Department is in achieving an operating surplus and thus a fund balance in the first couple of years of operation, such a transfer at the beginning of the year might be necessary for the first few years of operation. If any cash is available in the fund for investment, the Department staff should work with the City Treasurer's Office to maximize investment earnings, all of which will be credited to the Building and Safety Fund.

9. **MONITORING FINANCIAL POSITION, REVENUE ESTIMATES, AND FUND BALANCES**

The Finance Department and the Department of Building and Safety shall have periodic meetings to review the financial status of the Building and Safety Fund. Building and Safety staff will develop revenue projections for each revenue source by month. It is
important to divide projections for each revenue source into monthly amounts that reflect
the seasonality of the building industry and compare these to actual amounts received.
Only in this manner will it be possible to determine whether or not the revenue estimates
used for the budget are likely to be met and a positive fund balance at year end be
achieved. Before each year's budget request is submitted, Building and Safety staff will meet
with Finance staff to review revenue estimates for the next year and to discuss issues which
might have a bearing on those estimates.

It is important for Building and Safety to start generating a fund balance in the
early years of operation. Having this reserve will be as important to the Building and Safety
Fund as it would be to a private business. It is understood that at a very minimum, the
Fund should finish the fiscal year with a positive cash balance and a positive fund balance
as measured using Generally Accepted Account Principles (GAAP). The fund balance
should gradually increase so that eventually balances equivalent to a minimum of two or
three months of operating costs will be on hand at all times.

10. NO FEE PERMITS

The City's policy has been to issue "no fee" permits for building projects
supported by the City General Fund, occasionally for charities, and for various other
reasons. In the future, "no fee" permits can no longer be issued. This is because other users
of the Building and Safety Department's services would eventually have to pick up the cost
of the Department continuing to issue "no fee" permits. The Building and Safety
Department needs to be reimbursed for every permit issued.

Dated this 9 day of August, 1996.

[Signature]

Mike Johanns, Mayor
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Approved as to Form & Legality:

[Signature]
Assistant City Attorney

Approved:

[Signature]
Director of Building and Safety

[Signature]
Finance Director

Staff Review Completed:

[Signature]
Administrative Assistant
Mr. Frohman,

Since you copied me on your email to the City Council, and the questions were most germane to the fire department, I felt it was appropriate to respond personally. As you may or may not know, I’m the newly appointed fire chief, being sworn just this week, but I believe I have enough information to address your two questions and maybe offer additional information as well. This is certainly not a comprehensive explanation to your questions as we use a document titled Standards of Cover to outline the highly complex issues of fire department deployment. This document is available on our website if you were interested in reading more.

Question 1. Is there medical equipment on the ambulances that is not on fire engines?

Yes, there is a long list of specialty equipment carried on ambulances that are not carried on fire engines. A very good example is the LUCAS II, a remarkable device that automates chest compressions for patients that are in cardiac arrest. This device helps free up personnel for other treatment needs and help us from calling in additional personnel necessary to sustain the pace and quality of chest compressions needed for patient survival. Other examples of specialty equipment include stair chairs, power cots, and power lift systems, as well as certain medications necessary for airway management. However, the most important difference is ambulances are the only vehicles equipped to transport patients and this type of care is needed in more than 75% of medical calls for service.

Question 2. What is the frequency of calls where the transport of patients was delayed by the arrival of the ambulance? The key here is transport since care starts with the arrival of the fire engine.

While you are correct that a fire engine is typically the first arriving response unit, some care and all patient transport is contingent on the arrival of the ambulance. Therefore, the oversimplified answer is 100% of all medical calls have a delay in transport waiting for an ambulance to arrive. However, in an attempt to provide more clarity to the issue it might be helpful to explain in more detail.

You are correct in that treatment is initiated upon arrival of the first arriving unit and, more often than not, that unit will be a fire engine. However, missing from fire engines, in some instances, is a paramedic, trained and credentialed to provide advanced life support (ALS). We staff as many engines as possible with a firefighter paramedic, but there are usually a few engines every day that do not have an ALS provider since only 22% of our firefighters are licensed as paramedics. Even when the engine is staffed with paramedic, the arrival of the ambulance always brings another paramedic, and thus the higher level of treatment necessary before transport.

Lincoln Municipal Ordinance 7.08.050 establishes a response time for ambulances to life-threatening medical emergencies of eight minutes or less, 90% of the time. We are not meeting this target, and have not done so since 2012. So far this year, we are at 83% compliance. The deterioration in ambulance response time is attributable not only to geographic growth of the city, but to a substantial increase in workload. Simply put, it is more and more likely that many or all of the ambulances will be out of service on other calls. A day hardly goes by in Lincoln where we are without ambulance coverage for longer and longer periods of time.
Response time, however, is not the only reason we are seeking to staff another medic unit. Last year, LF&R's medic units logged over 20,000 responses. As a comparison, in 2009 we logged just over 15,000 responses. This is a 25% increase in service demand with no additional units added to the system. Between the care given at the scene, the transport, the clean-up and restocking, and state mandated report submittals, the workload on these frontline units is beyond the critical level in terms of service to the community. A seventh ambulance, as proposed, will provide some much-needed relief and move patient care back towards acceptable levels.

Thank you for your thoughtful questions, and I hope that this explains a bit more of our rationale for identifying this as a public safety need for Lincoln.

If you have additional questions please feel free to contact me.

Best regards,

Michael Despain
Fire Chief
Lincoln Fire & Rescue Department
1801 Q street
Lincoln, NE 68508
(402) 441-8350 office
(402) 480-5225 cell
Mdespain@lincoln.ne.gov
The attached documents are being sent in response to Council Members questions regarding Keno at last week’s budget hearings.

Documents are attached which show the formula for distribution of Keno revenues and the history/balances of unallocated Keno Revenues for Parks and Libraries.

Sherry Wolf Drbal
Finance Dept./Budget Div
402-441-8305

On 7/21/16, 4:54 PM, "finance@lincoln.ne.gov" <finance@lincoln.ne.gov> wrote:

>
## Keno Revenue Allocation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gross Keno Proceeds Projection</strong></td>
<td>29,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.0% Prize Fund</td>
<td>21,170,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.0% Operator Share</td>
<td>3,770,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0% State Tax</td>
<td>580,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3% Lancaster County</td>
<td>957,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0% City General Fund</td>
<td>290,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.7% City Share for Projects</td>
<td>2,233,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>100.0% Total</strong></td>
<td>29,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65.0% Parks</td>
<td>1,451,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.0% Library</td>
<td>669,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0% Human Services</td>
<td>111,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>100.0% Total City Share for Projects</strong></td>
<td>2,233,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sherry Wolf Drbal  
402-441-8305  
7/19/16
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Parks &amp; Rec.</th>
<th>Libraries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less 2003-04 CIP in excess of projection</td>
<td>-228,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less amount requested for 2004-05 Operating Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td>-200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus 2003-04 revenue in excess of projections</td>
<td>233,925</td>
<td>112,631</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less 2004-05 CIP in excess of projection</td>
<td>-203,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus 2004-05 revenue in excess of projections</td>
<td>217,575</td>
<td>104,758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less fund raising contract for 2005-06</td>
<td>-63,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus 2005-06 revenue in excess of projections</td>
<td>253,148</td>
<td>121,886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less 2005-06 CIP in excess of projection</td>
<td>-4,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less 2006-07 CIP in excess of projection</td>
<td>-27,800</td>
<td>-35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less 2006-07 fund raiser expenditures/reapprop.</td>
<td>-66,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less 2006-07 CIP in excess of projection</td>
<td>-43,865</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus 2006-07 revenue in excess of projections</td>
<td>104,101</td>
<td>50,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less 2007-08 CIP in excess of projection</td>
<td></td>
<td>-563,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus 2007-08 projections in excess of CIP</td>
<td>227,978</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less 2007-08 Fund Raising/Grant Writer Expenditures</td>
<td>-29,100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-09 Budget Resolution transferred to Gere Library Parking Lot Project</td>
<td></td>
<td>-40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks share of Mayor's 09-10 budget in excess of $22,000,000 gross receipts Parks share of $1,092,132</td>
<td>-57,315</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus 2008-09 revenue in excess of projections</td>
<td>119,464</td>
<td>57,519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Parks 08-09 Approp. In Excess of 65% of $22,000,000 projection</td>
<td></td>
<td>-23,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less balances used in lieu of Bond Anticipation Notes for Antelope Valley (409389)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-293,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-11 Parks Oper. &amp; CIP appropriations in excess of their share of $22,000,000 ($1,092,132)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-152,268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>2010-11 Library CIP</td>
<td>2011-12 budget request in excess of Parks share of $22,000,000 ($1,092,132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus 2009-10 revenue in excess of projections</td>
<td>77,375</td>
<td>37,255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus 2010-11 revenue in excess of projections</td>
<td></td>
<td>-296,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13 CIP OF Anderson HVAC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus 2011-12 revenue in excess of projections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civic Plaza 2012-13 budget revision Res A-87452</td>
<td></td>
<td>-13,666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lapse Bookmobile 4141115 appropriations in 12-13 year end CIP ordinance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14 Budget Resolution Increase KENO funding in CIP Projects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approp. Funds to replace Bennett Martin Heaters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus 2012-13 revenue in excess of projections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-13 budget request under Parks share of $22,000,000 ($1,092,132)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-14 budget request under Parks share of $22,000,000 ($1,092,132)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-15 CIP Request over Parks Projected Share</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-16 CIP Request under Parks Projected Share</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus 2013-14 revenue in excess of projections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus 2014-15 revenue in excess of projections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus Estimated 2015-16 revenue in excess of projections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less 2016-17 CIP in excess of projections</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less 2015-16 Woods Tennis CIP Amendment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Est. Available Balance August 31, 2016                                   | 273,411             | 1,015,592                                                               |                                                                     |                                                                     |                                               |                                               |                                            |                                                                            |                                                                                |                                               |                                               |

Sherry Wolf Drbal
402-441-8305
7/21/16
The attached document is being sent in response to a Council Member’s question about the cost of health insurance rates at last week’s budget hearings.

Sherry Wolf Drbal  
Finance Dept./Budget Div  
402-441-8305

On 7/21/16, 4:55 PM, "finance@lincoln.ne.gov" <finance@lincoln.ne.gov> wrote:

>
CITY OF LINCOLN
2015-2016 HEALTH, DENTAL, AND VISION MONTHLY RATES
EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 1, 2015
EMPLOYEES REPRESENTED BY ATU

BLUE CROSS/BLUE SHIELD OF NEBRASKA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2/4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Rate</td>
<td>$709.46</td>
<td>$1,574.98</td>
<td>$2,085.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Share</td>
<td>$674.00</td>
<td>$1,259.98</td>
<td>$1,668.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share*</td>
<td>$35.46</td>
<td>$315.00</td>
<td>$417.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMERITAS DENTAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2/4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Rate</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td>$71.24</td>
<td>$115.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Share</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>$35.62</td>
<td>$57.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share*</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>$35.62</td>
<td>$57.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EYEMED VISION CARE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2-PARTY</th>
<th>4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share</td>
<td>$9.16</td>
<td>$17.40</td>
<td>$18.32</td>
<td>$27.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are four enrollment options available for health, dental, and vision coverage. They are:

Single. Provides coverage for employee only.

Two-Party. Provides coverage for employee and spouse. This option does not provide coverage for children.

Four-Party. Provides coverage for employee and any number of eligible dependent children. This option does not provide coverage for a spouse.

Family. Provides coverage for employee, spouse, and any number of eligible dependent children.

*Must complete 60 days of employment before employee is eligible for City contribution.
CITY OF LINCOLN  
2015-2016 HEALTH, DENTAL, AND VISION MONTHLY RATES  
EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 1, 2015  
EMPLOYEES REPRESENTED BY X

BLUE CROSS/BLUE SHIELD OF NEBRASKA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2/4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Rate</td>
<td>$ 665.90</td>
<td>$1,478.28</td>
<td>$1,957.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Share</td>
<td>$ 619.30</td>
<td>$1,330.46</td>
<td>$1,761.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share*</td>
<td>$ 46.60</td>
<td>$ 147.82</td>
<td>$ 195.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMERITAS DENTAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2/4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Rate</td>
<td>$ 36.00</td>
<td>$ 71.24</td>
<td>$ 115.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Share</td>
<td>$ 18.00</td>
<td>$ 35.62</td>
<td>$ 57.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share*</td>
<td>$ 18.00</td>
<td>$ 35.62</td>
<td>$ 57.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EYEMED VISION CARE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2-PARTY</th>
<th>4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share</td>
<td>$ 9.16</td>
<td>$ 17.40</td>
<td>$ 18.32</td>
<td>$ 27.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are four enrollment options available for health, dental, and vision coverage. They are:

Single. Provides coverage for employee only.

Two-Party. Provides coverage for employee and spouse. This option does not provide coverage for children.

Four-Party. Provides coverage for employee and any number of eligible dependent children. This option does not provide coverage for a spouse.

Family. Provides coverage for employee, spouse, and any number of eligible dependent children.

*Must complete 60 days of employment before employee is eligible for City contribution.
CITY OF LINCOLN
2015-2016 HEALTH, DENTAL, AND VISION MONTHLY RATES
EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 1, 2015
EMPLOYEES REPRESENTED BY FIRE

BLUE CROSS/BLUE SHIELD OF NEBRASKA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2/4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Rate</td>
<td>$ 727.06</td>
<td>$1,614.04</td>
<td>$2,137.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Share</td>
<td>$ 727.06</td>
<td>$1,517.20</td>
<td>$2,009.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share*</td>
<td>$ 0.00</td>
<td>$ 96.84</td>
<td>$ 128.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMERITAS DENTAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2/4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Rate</td>
<td>$ 36.00</td>
<td>$ 71.24</td>
<td>$115.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Share</td>
<td>$ 36.00</td>
<td>$ 66.97</td>
<td>$108.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share*</td>
<td>$ 0.00</td>
<td>$ 4.27</td>
<td>$ 6.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EYEMED VISION CARE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2-PARTY</th>
<th>4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share</td>
<td>$ 9.16</td>
<td>$ 17.40</td>
<td>$ 18.32</td>
<td>$ 27.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are four enrollment options available for health, dental, and vision coverage. They are:

**Single.** Provides coverage for employee only.

**Two-Party.** Provides coverage for employee and spouse. This option does not provide coverage for children.

**Four-Party.** Provides coverage for employee and any number of eligible dependent children. This option does not provide coverage for a spouse.

**Family.** Provides coverage for employee, spouse, and any number of eligible dependent children.

*Must complete 60 days of employment before employee is eligible for City contribution.
CITY OF LINCOLN
2015-2016 HEALTH, DENTAL, AND VISION MONTHLY RATES
EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 1, 2015
EMPLOYEES REPRESENTED BY LCEA & E

BLUE CROSS/BLUE SHIELD OF NEBRASKA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2/4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Rate</td>
<td>$ 665.90</td>
<td>$1,478.28</td>
<td>$1,957.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Share</td>
<td>$ 632.62</td>
<td>$1,241.76</td>
<td>$1,644.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share*</td>
<td>$ 33.28</td>
<td>$ 236.52</td>
<td>$ 313.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMERITAS DENTAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2/4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Rate</td>
<td>$ 36.00</td>
<td>$ 71.24</td>
<td>$115.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Share</td>
<td>$ 35.28</td>
<td>$ 44.88</td>
<td>$ 73.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share*</td>
<td>$ .72</td>
<td>$ 26.36</td>
<td>$ 42.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EYEMED VISION CARE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2-PARTY</th>
<th>4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share</td>
<td>$ 9.16</td>
<td>$ 17.40</td>
<td>$ 18.32</td>
<td>$ 27.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are four enrollment options available for health, dental, and vision coverage. They are:

_Single_. Provides coverage for employee only.

_Two-Party_. Provides coverage for employee and spouse. This option does not provide coverage for children.

_Four-Party_. Provides coverage for employee and any number of eligible dependent children. This option does not provide coverage for a spouse.

_Family_. Provides coverage for employee, spouse, and any number of eligible dependent children.

*Must complete 60 days of employment before employee is eligible for City contribution.
CITY OF LINCOLN
2015-2016 HEALTH, DENTAL, AND VISION MONTHLY RATES
EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 1, 2015
EMPLOYEES REPRESENTED BY M & DSS

BLUE CROSS/BLUE SHIELD OF NEBRASKA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2/4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Rate</td>
<td>$ 665.90</td>
<td>$1,478.28</td>
<td>$1,957.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Share</td>
<td>$ 605.98</td>
<td>$1,315.68</td>
<td>$1,742.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share*</td>
<td>$ 59.92</td>
<td>$ 162.60</td>
<td>$ 215.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMERITAS DENTAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2/4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Rate</td>
<td>$ 36.00</td>
<td>$ 71.24</td>
<td>$115.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Share</td>
<td>$ 35.28</td>
<td>$ 48.09</td>
<td>$ 78.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share</td>
<td>$.72</td>
<td>$ 23.15</td>
<td>$ 37.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EYEMED VISION CARE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2-PARTY</th>
<th>4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share</td>
<td>$ 9.16</td>
<td>$17.40</td>
<td>$18.32</td>
<td>$ 27.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are four enrollment options available for health, dental, and vision coverage. They are:

Single. Provides coverage for employee only.

Two-Party. Provides coverage for employee and spouse. This option does not provide coverage for children.

Four-Party. Provides coverage for employee and any number of eligible dependent children. This option does not provide coverage for a spouse.

Family. Provides coverage for employee, spouse, and any number of eligible dependent children.
### CITY OF LINCOLN

2015-2016 HEALTH, DENTAL, AND VISION MONTHLY RATES
EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 1, 2015
EMPLOYEES REPRESENTED BY POLICE

#### BLUE CROSS/BLUE SHIELD OF NEBRASKA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Type</th>
<th>Single</th>
<th>2/4-Party</th>
<th>Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Rate</td>
<td>$ 709.46</td>
<td>$1,574.98</td>
<td>$2,085.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Share</td>
<td>$ 695.26</td>
<td>$1,259.98</td>
<td>$1,668.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share*</td>
<td>$ 14.18</td>
<td>$ 315.00</td>
<td>$ 417.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### AMERITAS DENTAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Type</th>
<th>Single</th>
<th>2/4-Party</th>
<th>Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Rate</td>
<td>$ 36.00</td>
<td>$ 71.24</td>
<td>$115.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Share</td>
<td>$ 36.00</td>
<td>$ 47.02</td>
<td>$ 76.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share*</td>
<td>$ 0.00</td>
<td>$ 24.22</td>
<td>$ 39.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### EYEMED VISION CARE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan Type</th>
<th>Single</th>
<th>2-Party</th>
<th>4-Party</th>
<th>Family</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share</td>
<td>$ 9.16</td>
<td>$ 17.40</td>
<td>$ 18.32</td>
<td>$ 27.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are four enrollment options available for health, dental, and vision coverage. They are:

*Single*. Provides coverage for employee only.

*Two-Party*. Provides coverage for employee and spouse. This option does not provide coverage for children.

*Four-Party*. Provides coverage for employee and any number of eligible dependent children. This option does not provide coverage for a spouse.

*Family*. Provides coverage for employee, spouse, and any number of eligible dependent children.

*Must complete 60 days of employment before employee is eligible for City contribution.*
CITY OF LINCOLN
2015-2016 HEALTH, DENTAL, AND VISION MONTHLY RATES
EFFECTIVE NOVEMBER 1, 2015
EMPLOYEES REPRESENTED BY PAGE

BLUE CROSS/BLUE SHIELD OF NEBRASKA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2/4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Rate</td>
<td>$676.04</td>
<td>$1,500.76</td>
<td>$1,987.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Share</td>
<td>$628.72</td>
<td>$1,350.68</td>
<td>$1,788.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share*</td>
<td>$47.32</td>
<td>$150.08</td>
<td>$198.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMERITAS DENTAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2/4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Rate</td>
<td>$36.00</td>
<td>$71.24</td>
<td>$115.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Share</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>$35.62</td>
<td>$57.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share*</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>$35.62</td>
<td>$57.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EYEMED VISION CARE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SINGLE</th>
<th>2-PARTY</th>
<th>4-PARTY</th>
<th>FAMILY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee Share</td>
<td>$9.16</td>
<td>$17.40</td>
<td>$18.32</td>
<td>$27.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are four enrollment options available for health, dental, and vision coverage. They are:

Single. Provides coverage for employee only.

Two-Party. Provides coverage for employee and spouse. This option does not provide coverage for children.

Four-Party. Provides coverage for employee and any number of eligible dependent children. This option does not provide coverage for a spouse.

Family. Provides coverage for employee, spouse, and any number of eligible dependent children.

*Must complete 60 days of employment before employee is eligible for City contribution.
From: Steve D. Hubka  
Sent: Sunday, July 24, 2016 12:35 PM  
To: Leirion Gaylor Baird; Trenton J. Fellers; Jon Camp; Roy A. Christensen; Cyndi Lamm; Jane Raybould; Carl B. Eskridge  
Cc: Miki M. Esposito; Thomas S. Shafer; Cynthia J. Roth; Rick D. Hoppe; Jan Bolin; Sherry Wolf  
Subject: Monday's budget session

Council members,

After the discussion on Saturday I wanted to pass a couple of things on that have an impact on the kinds of things that were discussed yesterday for the meeting on Monday.

1) Since one of the main things being considered is the tax rate, it’s necessary to think about both years of the biennium. Since the tax base is projected to grow in the budget by 1.8% in the second year as well as the first year the target number for dollars to reduce is slightly different in the second year than the first. The attached spreadsheet shows the calculations. The first year amount on the sheet is $568 more than the $1,981,354 amount we’ve been using due to rounding of the fifth decimal place on a tax base in the billions. When we prepare the final resolution with the final valuations we balance everything out by adjusting with balances a few hundred dollars one way or the other for rounding. **The “targets” on the attached sheet do not have to be reached to the dollar.** Also, please remember that we’re still working with estimates of the tax base.

2) Secondly, when making motions to cut expenditures for the purpose of reducing the needed property tax revenue or for some other purpose, it would be appropriate to make it clear the intention on both the expenditure and revenue side of the budget. If motions are made to increase expenditures for something, the funding source needs to be identified.

3) If revenue changes to the budget are made that could impact the CIP, the motion should include adjustments that would be made to the CIP that reflect the reduction of revenue available for the CIP. Examples of these that were discussed Saturday would be a reduction of the Water and Wastewater rate increase and eliminating the remaining General Fund support for snow removal.

If you have questions you can call my cell at 402 540-1100 today.

Steve

Steve Hubka  
Interim Finance Director  
City of Lincoln  
555 South 10th, Lincoln, NE 68508  
shubka@lincoln.ne.gov  
(402) 441-7412
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Dollars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015-2016 Tax Rate</td>
<td>0.31958</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax rate for 2016 Voter approved bonds</td>
<td>0.00236</td>
<td>399,473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016 -2017 Rate with bonds</td>
<td>0.32194</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total in Mayor's Budget</td>
<td>0.33366</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-17 Difference from rate with bonds (4) - (3)</td>
<td>0.01172</td>
<td>1,981,922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-18 Difference from Mayor's Budget</td>
<td>0.01172</td>
<td>2,017,596</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2016 - 2017 Est. base
$18,789,550,490

2017 - 2018 Est. base
$19,127,762,399
Pioneers Park Nature Center  
Office Assistant

1. Coordinate general office functions
   1a. Must possess computer skills and understand Microsoft Word, Excel and Proctor.
   1b. Answer phones and answer questions or direct questions to appropriate people.
   1c. Assists staff with training and operating the cash register for proper receipting and registering participants in Proctor.
   1d. Assist Coordinator in coding Nature Center bills and keeping records of expenses.
   1e. Maintains the email and print newsletter list for program promotion.
   1f. Follow all Department and City policies and procedures

2. Coordinate Program registration
   2a. Maintain up to date records of registrants for programs and rentals and other nature center activities.
   2b. Prepares attendance and weekly sign in sheets for teacher/naturalists, and updates forms needed for Wilderness Nature Camp and pre-school programs.
   2c. Ensure receipts are written for all programs and rentals. Document participants who haven’t paid so funds can be collected prior to program.
   2d. Send out invoices for payment if necessary to ensure receipt of payment for programs and rentals.

3. Develop and maintain accurate records and track information required for all programs including the licensed Pre-school and Pre-Kindergarten program.
   3a. Maintain attendance records to be used in the annual reports including school and organization tours, general attendance, class attendance and Wilderness Nature Camp and Pre-school and Pre-K program.
   3b. Keep monetary records. Collect, record, and prepare monetary deposits from programs, special events, gift shop, and donation box.
   3c. Keep a list of attendance and revenue collected for all programs and special events for annual report.
   3d. Coordinate camp registration with the Nature Camp Coordinator. Keep monetary records for camp.
   3e. Keep pre-school and pre-kindergarten records current and in compliance with licensing guidelines.
   3f. Keep records of donations

4. Maintain office and gift shop supplies and inventory.
   4a. Inventory and purchase office supplies
   4b. Oversee marketing, seasonal merchandising and inventory of gift shop.
4c. Researches new items for gift shop and makes recommendations for purchase.
4d. Order supplies for pre-school, pre-kindergarten and Wilderness Nature Camp.

5. Non-Essential Duties

5a. Assist with programs and special events
5b. Fill out and submit accident forms, if necessary
Good morning. During the Parks and Recreation presentation regarding the proposed FY 2016-18 budget, it was requested that we provide information about proposed fee increases included in the budget proposal. Please find attached a report regarding program, admission and facility use fees. The report identifies the fee type, the current fee, the proposed increase and resulting fee, and projected revenue. In setting fees we consider cost recovery goal, market comparability and/or cost of staff time depending on the type of fee. Please let me know if you have questions or would like additional information. Thanks, Lynn

Lynn Johnson
Parks and Recreation Director
Lincoln Parks & Recreation
(402)441-8265
ljohnson@lincoln.ne.gov
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CLC School Age Programs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Before School Rec</td>
<td>$94.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$99.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,683.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After School Rec</td>
<td>$101.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$106.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$12,038.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools Out Week</td>
<td>$120.00</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$123.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$265.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Day Camp</td>
<td>$119.50</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$123.50</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,136.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Human Services &amp; Rec Programs - 5 Centers</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irving After School Drop-In</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$0.50</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$544.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irving After School Monthly</td>
<td>$32.00</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,036.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After School Rec</td>
<td>$101.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$106.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$855.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer Day Camp</td>
<td>$119.50</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$123.50</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,432.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitness Daily</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$0.50</td>
<td>$2.50</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$375.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitness Monthly</td>
<td>$25.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,400.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Steady Boxing (New)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$80.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,400.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Park Increased Gym Usage</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$625.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Community Pools</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Coupons - Highlands/Uni</td>
<td>$89.00</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$90.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$135.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Coupons - Star City Shores</td>
<td>$94.00</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$95.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$69.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Coupons - Highlands/Uni</td>
<td>$38.00</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$39.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$99.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Coupons - Star City Shores</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$41.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$89.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Passes - Highlands/Uni</td>
<td>$213.00</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$216.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$750.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Passes - Star City Shores</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$228.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$720.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool Rentals - Highlands</td>
<td>$170.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$175.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$90.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool Rentals - Uni</td>
<td>$195.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$125.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool Rentals - Woods</td>
<td>$225.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$230.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$95.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pool Rentals - Star City Shores</td>
<td>$515.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$520.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swim &amp; Dive Teams</td>
<td>$12.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$37.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$955.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Youth Team Sports</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Camps (New)</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$5,600.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Basketball Camp</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Basketball League</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,400.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flag Football - Fall</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$4,500.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flag Football - Spring</td>
<td>$65.00</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$70.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,800.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adult Team Sports</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Adult Kickball - Indoor (New)</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$255.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$265.00</td>
<td>$4,080.00</td>
<td>$260.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Softball Fun Tournament (New)</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$110.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,760.00</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Description</td>
<td>Fee 1</td>
<td>Fee 2</td>
<td>Fee 3</td>
<td>Fee 4</td>
<td>Fee 5</td>
<td>Fee 6</td>
<td>Total 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basketball Gym Reservations (New)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>35 Additional Camp Registrations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pioneers Park Nature Center:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-K MWF/AM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-K MWF/PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool T/Th AM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preschool T/Th PM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misc. Program Fees</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>Varies</td>
<td>$1-$2 additional</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>35 Additional School Tours</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Horseshoes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volleyball - Fall/Winter</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sports Fields Operations &amp; Maintenance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concessions - Optimists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legion Field</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banner Sales (New)</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rentals - Online</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rentals - Premium Fields</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Use - Select Baseball</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field Use - Select Rentals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adaptive Recreation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Club Fees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceramics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legos (New)</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$56.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Painting (New)</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$24.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adult Day Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basketball Gym Reservations (New)</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neighborhood Pools</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Coupons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Coupons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Swims</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parks Uses &amp; Events</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Events (hourly rate)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Use Permit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit to Conduct Business</td>
<td>$ 10.00</td>
<td>$ 10.00</td>
<td>$ 20.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 400.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enclosed Shelters (4 hour minimum)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope</td>
<td>$ 100.00</td>
<td>$ 10.00</td>
<td>$ 110.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 1,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auld Pavilion</td>
<td>$ 200.00</td>
<td>$ 60.00</td>
<td>$ 260.00</td>
<td>$ 40.00</td>
<td>$ 300.00</td>
<td>$ 3,000.00</td>
<td>$ 2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethany</td>
<td>$ 100.00</td>
<td>$ 10.00</td>
<td>$ 110.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 1,560.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Dorn</td>
<td>$ 80.00</td>
<td>$ 10.00</td>
<td>$ 90.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 880.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Snyder Trail Center</td>
<td>$ 200.00</td>
<td>$ 60.00</td>
<td>$ 260.00</td>
<td>$ 40.00</td>
<td>$ 300.00</td>
<td>$ 1,800.00</td>
<td>$ 1,200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Open Shelters</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Havelock (New)</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$ 50.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 1,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Master Tables</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Pan (New)</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>$ 40.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 400.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016-17 Proposed New Revenue</th>
<th>2017-18 Proposed New Revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ 128,103.00</td>
<td>$ 14,020.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Good morning. The purpose of this message is to follow up on the discussion from Saturday morning about the proposed Office Assistant position (0.75 FTE) at the Pioneers Park Nature Center. As we discussed, this is existing work that is being done by part-time unclassified staff. We are proposing to convert this to a part-time classified staff position for greater continuity and to comply with guidance from the Human Resources Department about regular continuing work.

The office assistant supports the revenue producing programs and activities at the Nature Center including the preschool program, classes, facility rentals, the gift shop and donations. A copy of the job responsibilities for the position is attached.

If the classified position is not funded, we will need to have 0.75 FTE of Recreation Aide II funded at $12.00 per hour in FY 2016-17 and $12.25 per hour in FY 2017-18.

The cost of the Office Assistant position including benefits is $41,026 in FY 2016-17 and $42,779 in FY 2017-18. The cost of 0.75 FTE Recreation Aide II would be about $20,700 in FY 2016-17 and about $20,300 in FY 2016-17.

Please let me know if you have questions or would like additional information. Thanks, Lynn

Lynn Johnson
Parks and Recreation Director
Lincoln Parks & Recreation
(402)441-8265
ljohnson@lincoln.ne.gov
NEBRASKA CAPITOL ENVIRONS COMMISSION

The Nebraska Capitol Environs Commission will hold a public meeting on Thursday, July 28, 2016. The meeting will convene at 8:00 a.m. in Room 214, second floor, County/City Building, 555 S. 10th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska, to consider the following agenda.

For more information, please contact the Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Department at 402-441-7491.

AGENDA
July 28, 2016

1. Approval of meeting record of regular meeting of June 23, 2016.

Hearing and Action

2. A Certificate of Appropriateness for ground (monument) signs at the Nebraska State Office Building, 301 Centennial Mall South, in the Capitol Environs District.

3. A Certificate of Appropriateness for work at the former YWCA building, 1432 N Street, in the Capitol Environs District.

Discussion

4. Staff report and misc.

Accommodation Notice
The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public’s access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.
Attached is the historical information requested for Water and Wastewater revenues and rate increases.

Cyndy Roth
City of Lincoln, Public Works/Utilities
Business Manager
Phone 402-441-7539
Fax 402-441-7590
CRoth@lincoln.ne.gov
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WASTE &amp; WASTEWATER ENTERPRISE FUNDS</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Total Usage Charges</th>
<th>Total Service Charges</th>
<th>Other Revenue</th>
<th>Nonoperating Revenue</th>
<th>Impact/Target Fees</th>
<th>TOTAL REVENUES</th>
<th>Annual Rainfall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WATER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 1999-00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2000-01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2001-02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2002-03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2003-04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2004-05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2005-06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2006-07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2007-08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2008-09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2009-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2010-11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2011-12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2012-13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2013-14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2014-15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL REVENUES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| WASTEWATER                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 1996-97                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 1997-98                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 1998-99                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 1999-00                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 2000-01                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 2001-02                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 2002-03                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 2003-04                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 2004-05                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 2005-06                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 2006-07                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 2007-08                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 2008-09                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 2009-10                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 2010-11                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 2011-12                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 2012-13                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 2013-14                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| FY 2014-15                          |      |                    |                      |              |                     |                  |               |                |
| TOTAL REVENUES                      | 492,653,609 | 65,357,434 | 18,294,225 | 42,775,222 | 18,993,066 | 638,073,556 |

*When rates are increased, the effective date is November 1.*
Good Evening City Councilmembers,

Please find attached our responses to your outstanding questions from the recent PWU Budget Presentation. Our Budget Team will be available tomorrow for any immediate questions you may have. Just a note that I’ve placed our latest pothole report separately as a response to one of the transportation questions regarding productivity of the spray-patcher technology.

Thank you!
Miki

Miki Esposito, Director
Public Works & Utilities
O: 402.441.6173
C: 402.525.0065
PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES

Responses to City Council Questions (Budget Presentation – July 13, 2016)

Technology

1. Break out the Broadband Internal Borrowing (debt).

   Please see attachments:
   - FAQs – Lincoln Fiber to Home Project
   - Fiber to the Home – low Adoption Rate

2. How many FTEs have we reduced due to technology?

   **Historic:** When SCADA was implemented in the 1990’s Water and Wastewater had a substantial reductions in FTEs. For example, Wastewater’s total employees dropped from 114 to 89 in 1992.

   **2012-2013:** we eliminated two technology services positions due to the availability of GIS technology (classified as an Associate Engineering Specialist and Associate Engineer). We also eliminated a vacant Senior Engineering Specialist and Engineering Specialist.

   (Note: We also reduced one M4 position, one M3 position, and one Superintendent of Water Pollution Control Facilities by way of reduction in force.)

   **2013-2014:** No changes due to technology.

   (Note: We also reduced one M2 position, a PW Maintenance Coordinator, and a Superintendent position by way of reduction in force.)

   **2014-2015:** Centralization of support services (Technology Services Section of PWU Administration created). As a result of bringing together the GIS and PC support staff from all Divisions we did not need to fill one vacant PC position. That position was given back to Wastewater so that Wastewater would not need to request an additional FTE to support operations. Two other vacant technology positions were downgraded to the lowest level technician.

   (Note: We also reduced one M3 position by way of reduction in force.)

   **2016-2017:** Due in part to centralization of technology and location support services, two M2 class Utilities manager positions will not be filled. The Sanitary Engineer from Wastewater is being reallocated/downgraded to Records Manager for administration of the OnBase Document Management System Department-wide. The Water Utility manager was reallocated/downgraded to an engineering specialist.

   **FINAL NOTE:** with implementation of new process improvement technologies to harvest time, as requested in the 2016-2018 budget (OnBase Document Management and Beehive for Asset Management), we can mitigate growth of FTE’s that is expected to occur with City growth. While we don’t anticipate additional cuts in the future, we do expect to responsibly manage the growth of employees as service, project and product demands increase over time.

Transit

1. Provide the ridership numbers for 15/16.

   1,428,000
2. Would StarTran consider increasing the bus pass rate?

Yes. We are open to increasing rates, but are sensitive to both the process for approving rates through the StarTran Advisory Board and the City Council as well as how rates impact our low-income patrons and ridership overall.

3. If we consider increasing rates, what would an incremental increase look like?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revised Fare</th>
<th>Estimated Revenue</th>
<th>Change in Ridership</th>
<th>Estimated Change in Revenue</th>
<th>Change in Ridership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$18 (+$1)</td>
<td>$11,280</td>
<td>(3,000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$19 (+$2)</td>
<td>$22,320</td>
<td>(7,000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20 (+$3)</td>
<td>$32,400</td>
<td>(17,000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$22 (+$5)</td>
<td>$52,200</td>
<td>(27,000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$25 (+$8)</td>
<td>$81,600</td>
<td>(34,000)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Utilities

1. How are the costs divided for the Biogas to Vehicle Fuel Project.

The Biogas project implemented through the Wastewater Division occurs in stages over the first four years of the CIP. This implementation schedule allows for cleaning and compression of the gas that grows with the CNG bus fleet. Additional gas generated at the plant will be used in our existing Co-generation facilities for electrical production for as long as they can be maintained during the 4 year period.

- $500k in year one
- $2.5 M in year two
- $2.5 M in year three
- $2.0 M in year four

Startran is building a fueling station in year one ($1.5M) and year two ($0.2 M).

2. How many tons/revenues are reduced by RecycleLincoln!

Base year is 2015: 317,606 tons

Reduction in waste due to RecycleLincoln! but increase in growth by 1.5% annually.

Recycling of cardboard, newsprint, and paper over the next 4 years = 57,535 tons.

RecycleLincoln! Impact estimated to recover 70% of total cardboard/newsprint and was input into our rate model as follows:
• Reduction of 5880 tons in year 1 (partial year due to April 2017 start date) = reduction in revenues of $169,050.
• Growth year one of 1.5% = 311726*.015 = 4676 tons growth in revenues of $136,967
• Net dollar reduction in year one = $32,082. Total tonnage to landfill at the end of FY 2016-17 is 316,490 tons.
• Reduction of 12,117 tons total = a reduction in revenues of $396,832.
• Growth year two of 1.5% = 299021*.015=4485 tons = $155,413.
• Net dollar reduction in year two = $241,419. Total tonnage to landfill at the end of FY 2017-18 is 308,990 tons.
• All dollars calculated using today’s rate of $28.75 / ton.

Also included are the costs for services (Drop off sites) and our priority incentive programs as part of our education campaign $259,115 in year one and $337,600 in year two. This is offset by two grants, one for $114,000 (bins at drop off sites) and one for $225,000 (education) or a net of $257,715 over two years.

3. Provide a breakdown of the $0.85/ton.

For the two year period of the budget:

$32,082
$241,419
$257,715

$531,216 / (316490 tons+308,990 tons) = $531,216/625481 tons = $0.85 / ton

In addition, if you look over the life of the Landfill at the rate increases necessary to maintain our operations (including O&M, capital projects, debt service and closure), our model indicates an average difference of $0.85/ton between landfill operations with Recycle Lincoln! and operations without.

4. What is the life expectancy of jet trucks? How many miles are on them? What is their annual cost of maintenance? How does that change as they age? See graph below.

NOTE: All replacements requested are the oldest models and have been recommended by Fleet Services.
4 jet flushing crews (2 employees/crew) – 5 trucks (one can be in maintenance at any given time)

2 video crews (2 employees/crew) – 2 trucks

3 employee construction crew (construction and manhole repair consume their time.)

5. Are their duties different in different seasons?
   Add snow removal in the winter. All other duties remain the same.

6. Provide the LES revenues from the Landfill Gas System and costs to operate the system?
   The Landfill Gas System is required by and regulated under the Clean Air Act. Fortunately, the revenues shown below mitigate this unfunded mandate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Net Expenses</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$966,633</td>
<td>$1,198,897</td>
<td>$(232,264)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   *Revenue includes: sale of the methane gas, revenue from carbon credits (fully exhausted) and any reimbursement for operation and maintenance of the treatment system.

   **LES sales of electricity and costs not included.

7. How many miles of main are we replacing versus how many miles do we have?
   1200 miles and growing – adding 15 miles per year.
   175 years to replace.
   Replacement rate on a 100-year life pipe is 150 years.
8. What about water quality – arsenic, lead etc.? Do we have anything in the budget to address this?

Yes. We have an initial study to determine if and what type of arsenic treatment should be employed at the LWS treatment plant or in the wellfield. This study will also look at how and why arsenic is in our water and if there are simple ways to affect the levels.

We do not have anything currently in this budget to address lead. We do expect something in the next few years federally regulating how municipalities deal with lead service pipes.

9. Currently we replace 5.5 miles per year with 1 crew; adding another crew should double the number of miles, correct?

The request represents an addition of 2 FTEs to a construction crew of 17 (~11%) to support a commensurate main replacement increase of 28%. All of these water employees provide contractor support as a secondary job function. They also perform the following essential duties:

- Fix Main breaks
- Flushing and disinfection for ALL projects (not just main replacements)
- Start-ups / shut-down of mains
- Valve exercising
- Hydrant flushing

These are completed in the above priority order. As a result, the regular maintenance of our infrastructure has declined. What was once an annual program now takes 5 years to complete. Also note, these employees are certified water operators that are fully trained and knowledgeable about the Lincoln Water System.

10. What does the current crew do now versus two crews in the future?

**Utility Equipment Operator I (2 positions)**

**Water Master Plan Section 9.6 Sustainable Level of Investment**

Based on the results presented in Section 5.3 of the Water Master Plan, an annual replacement of 7 miles is recommended for LWS. To support this level of replacement, $6.3 million (in 2014 dollars) is required for a sustainable level of investment for the water main replacement program. This estimated cost is based on the assumption that the replacement projects will cost approximately the same (on a linear foot basis) as the replacement projects that occurred in 2013 (plus inflation).

In general, there is a balance between the size of the water main replacement program and the number of field crews needed to repair main breaks. A larger water main replacement program could require more engineering resources to design or manage the design of replacement projects and more operations staff during the construction phase of the project.

All Construction Section employees in Water provide contractor support as a secondary job function. Water has a two-man crew assigned to provide contractor support city-wide. They also perform the majority of the flushing and disinfection process for all the water main projects. At the same time, other crews are utilized to perform water main shut downs and supplemental contractor support, as needed (e.g. problem resolution on projects, tie-in/connection to existing system after disinfection).
Contractor support is the top priority. Everything must be dropped when a request for service (shut down water main) is made by a contractor. Because our resources are so limited, other regular maintenance activities of the system have been deferred. Additional resources are necessary to maintain our system adequately, especially in light of expected increases in water main replacement projects as well as increasing development projects where new water mains are being built to support new growth of the City.

It takes resources (FTE’s) to maintain this large of a distribution system. Maintenance can be (and has been) deferred for short periods of time, but it will ultimately have to catch up or it will cost more in valves not functioning during a main break response — which can result in a longer shut down and longer customer outages. Hydrants may not be maintained and may not function properly during and emergency.

The Construction section has 17 FTEs who maintain 1200 miles of pipe, 26,000 valves, 11,339 hydrants, and approximately 150 main breaks per year (on average).

This equates to:
- Over 70 miles of water main per Construction Section FTE
- Over 1500 valves per Construction Section FTE
- 667 hydrants per Construction Section FTE
- Approximately 30 main breaks per Construction Crew

Please see attached: Distribution Construction Section Crew Assignments 2016

Utility Engineering Specialist (1 position)

**Duties include:** Conduct Private Construction Agreement reviews to facilitate new growth development projects and working with developer/customers to coordinate emplacement of utilities. Provide Contractor/Customer support in field adjustments for projects and materials review. Provide plan review and project coordination with other CIP-related projects (roadway, storm sewer, sanitary sewer). Conduct project inspections to ensure protection of the City’s design standards, best practices, consistent project design, service line replacement, customer coordination with water main projects, proper emplacement and compaction requirements and endurance of the water infrastructure. Conduct watermain design and materials reviews (proper pipe materials, valves size/type); construction techniques (boring, open cut, CIPP/slip-lining/swaglining, pipe bursting). Get most cost effective design and installation so that the infrastructure (pipe, valves, hydrants) is installed with the longest potential life with least amount of maintenance and replacement cost in the future.
11. What is included in your LWS budget that addresses our future capacity?

Our future capacity is addressed in this budget with the completion of well 14-2 in year one of the budget for $10 M. Additionally starting in year three, we begin to look into siting a potential well field near the Missouri River and/or working with MUD to regionalize. Finally in year four we initiate a reserve fund, specifically for saving for the Missouri River treatment plant and transmission mains.

12. What do water rates pay for?

All operating costs, maintenance, debt service and capital projects. Everything dealing with the Water System.

13. Have you considered regionalization?

Yes. We have discussed this potential with MUD (Omaha). They have capacity to provide Lincoln with water during peak seasonal demands and could very well provide an interim step before we take the larger step of building additional treatment. We will be moving forward with a feasibility study sometime in the near future as well as assessing water chemistry/compatibility.
Transportation

1. Why did you add an in-house crew to make sidewalk repairs instead of using contractors?

This requires some history because of our experience seeking contractors to perform *priority* sidewalk repairs. Priority repairs are defined as an ADA complaint, a curb ramp repair, high-trafficked areas or a sidewalk with 2” or greater separation (trip hazard).

In 2014, PWU tested the theory that we could contract for priority sidewalk services cheaper than adding a 4-FTE sidewalk crew for an approximate cost of $400,000 – 500,000 annual (including vehicle, materials and supplies). We offered a unit price contract bid on the work with these conditions: 25 to 30 locations guaranteed annually; 100 to 150 sq. ft. per location; fixed within 1 calendar week of notice (for the purpose of safety, accessibility and risk and liability avoidance).

We received 2 bids. One was extremely high. One bidder was reasonable.

On Friday, Sept 12, 2014 we had an emergency fix required at Sun Valley and Line Drive due to the presence of a severe trip hazard. We needed to get the work completed quickly for pedestrian traffic associated with the University football game and tailgating in the area. We contacted the reasonable bidder who reported they would not be able to get the work done before the scheduled football game Saturday, September 19, 2014 (within 1 calendar week of the request). As a consequence, we had to pull a street crew off their normal maintenance duties and divert them to completing this emergency sidewalk repair. Our street crew completed the job on Tuesday, September 16, 2014.

**Why is time such an important factor for priority repairs?**

When dealing with sidewalk repairs, it is important to be cognizant of the federal standards enforced through the Federal Highway Administration and the Department of Justice. When an ADA request for repair is made (e.g. curb ramp installation and/or repair of any sidewalk with a ¼ inch separation), it is FHWA and DOJ’s interpretation of the American’s with Disabilities Act (and any rules and regulations) that matter; not only because of their ability to enforce ADA violations, but also their ability to withhold transportation funding should violations occur. Time is of the essence when ADA/priority requests are made and the City cannot afford to wait to complete these repairs. Outside of the ADA, the City has an obligation under the Political Subdivision Tort Claims Act to make priority repairs within a reasonable timeframe after receiving notice of a defect.

**Practicality (Planning and Scheduling) of the work for a contractor is also a factor.**

The 2014 Priority Repair Contracts had some 500 plus locations each. In order for contractor to be cost efficient (give the City a low bid in order to win the work), they need to proceed in a somewhat orderly fashion across the city. They don’t just go bouncing from place to place. This results in some locations getting fixed in fairly short order because they are the first place the contractor shows up, while others lag far into the year or carry over to next year. This approach also requires locations to be identified in advance for bidding purposes, therefore a 2014 report by the public for repair may not be bid until fall of 2015, for a 2016 contracted scheduled fix, but if it’s at the tail end of the construction season and weather prevents finishing, then it could be 2017 when it actually gets completed.

**Customer Care and Service.**

Citizens have come to expect a high level of municipal service, particularly where safety is a factor. For example, when a pothole repair request is received, Street Maintenance aims to fix it within three to five business days on arterial roadways, which are given the highest priority. Other lower priority repairs are placed on list and either repaired by street maintenance forces throughout the year or contracted out through rehabilitation projects (performed by private contractors). Carrying this same line of thought for sidewalks, we have learned from experience that our citizens desire a quick fix to their sidewalks,
particularly in cases of large separations of 2” or greater, those carrying large numbers of pedestrian traffic, and those involving ADA accessibility (curb-ramps).

2. County has big issue with bridges. Do we?
   We do not.
   Lancaster County has 300 Bridges; the City has 138 bridges.
   The City’s and County’s average sufficiency ratings are fairly equal (City 81.8, County 80.0).
   Any city bridges with a sufficiency rating under 50 (which is the trigger for replacement and eligibility for bridge funding) are restricted to and acceptable for pedestrian and bicycle use.
   However, Lancaster County has 13 vehicular bridges with a sufficiency rating below 50.

3. Please provide Build Nebraska Act, LB 610, and Wheel Tax summary.
   See attached: Transportation Revenues (past 6 years; projected 6 years)

4. How much do we spend a year on crack sealing?
   Crack sealing expenses are approximately $400,000 annually, which seals about 2% of the road network.

5. What kind of efficiencies are you seeing with the new pothole spray-patchers?
   See attached: Pothole report (week of 7-4-16). This graphic demonstrates the difference in productivity and cost-efficiency (doing more with less) using spray-patcher technology. In 2015, repairs completed (black line) are in-line with the man-hours devoted to fixing potholes. In 2016 (after deploying the spray-patchers), you can see a significant increase in repairs completed relative to the man-hours invested. We also went a step further to devote a night-crew to pothole spray-patching on arterials, while traffic volumes are low. We dedicate crews during the day to complete residential repairs.

6. Can we change the flashing yellow arrow to a flashing green?
   We cannot. The current Uniform Vehicle Code and MUTCD Standards prohibits this practice. (See Section 4D.04 - Meaning of Vehicular Signal Indications “A flashing green signal indication has no meaning and shall not be used.”) Therefore, it is not utilized as a best practice in the United States.
FREQUENTLY ASKED Questions—Lincoln Fiber to Home Project

What is the cost of this project to the City of Lincoln?
This project ultimately will generate between $1.4 to $2.5 million in new revenue for the city government. The city’s initial investment is $500,000 per year over the next 4 years to provide the necessary construction inspection and conduit maintenance for our system. This initial investment will be fully recovered by the city in year six of the Allo Communications franchise agreement.

Will the Allo Communications franchise agreement force the city to raise taxes or request a bond to cover the initial costs of the city’s inspection and maintenance of this new project?
NO! The city has the funds necessary in a risk management fund and will do an interdepartmental transfer to a new Broadband Infrastructure Enterprise Fund. Revenue from Allo Communications will flow back to the risk management with their payment of the franchise fee until the fund is compensated in full. Continuing revenue from the franchise fee will go to the Broadband Infrastructure Enterprise Fund.

How did the city gain such favorable terms of service for both the city government, residents and business as compared to the other cable, internet and phone franchises in Lincoln?
This may sound over simplified, but Mayor Chris Beutler’s administration simply asked and Allo Communications agreed to provide one of the most comprehensive and consumer friendly internet service offerings in the United States. Free market competition works in Lincoln and Mayor Beutler is happy that his administration was able to create a win-win-win for the city government, businesses and residents.

How does this new franchise help our community prosper?
Allo Communication’s investment in Lincoln will be over $100 million over the next few years for this system. That equals jobs and it will spur additional investment in new businesses in Lincoln.

- Studies show that communities with gigabit internet service are experiencing 1.1 percent growth in their per capita gross domestic product. This could mean $110 million in new economic activity for the private sector in Lincoln.
- Studies conducted by the University of Colorado and Carnegie Mellon University show that high speed internet will also increase home values.
- This system will also create greater educational opportunities for students that will be able to access additional learning materials that are simply unavailable through slower networks.

How did the City of Lincoln come into this breakthrough public–private partnership?
The City of Lincoln is always fully engaged with our citizens on every aspect that effects our quality of life as a community. In 2012 the City in partnership with the Chamber of Commerce and other local business leaders launched a project called the Lincoln Technology Improvement System. This initiative brought the first business class broadband provider into the system in 2013. By 2015 the project brought five more business
class broadband providers onboard. The tipping point for this latest addition to our system was prompted by a community vision study completed by Angelou Economics in June 2015. This study recommended the expansion of Lincoln’s broadband infrastructure to every home and business to make our community globally competitive. Allo Communications and Nelnet stepped up to fill this gap in our infrastructure.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assumptions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monthly Subscriber Fee:</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Subscriber Fee Escalor:</td>
<td>1.0057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual CPI (to Year 10)</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual CPI (Year 11 - 25)</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discount Rate</td>
<td>3.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit in Kind</td>
<td>$1,500,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiber to the Home - Low Adoption Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Subscribers</td>
<td>Y0</td>
<td>Y1</td>
<td>Y2</td>
<td>Y3</td>
<td>Y4</td>
<td>Y5</td>
<td>Y6</td>
<td>Y7</td>
<td>Y8</td>
<td>Y9</td>
<td>Y10</td>
<td>Y11</td>
<td>Y12</td>
<td>Y13</td>
<td>Y14</td>
<td>Y15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscribers Fee</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revenue Dollars</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>27,000.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>90,608.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>364,840.16</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>1,519,018.42</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>1,720,345.33</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>1,924,301.82</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>2,130,914.11</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>2,535,452.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permit Revenue</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>5,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Revenue</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>32,000.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>100,608.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>379,840.16</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>1,524,018.42</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>1,725,345.33</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>1,929,301.82</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>2,139,914.11</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>2,540,452.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit in Kind (1)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-1,463,000.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-1,352,397.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-987,556.84</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Gross Revenue</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>(987,556.84)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>1,524,018.42</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>1,725,345.33</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>1,929,301.82</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>2,139,914.11</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>2,540,452.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operating Expense</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capital Purchases</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration and Overhead</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Operating Expense</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual Cash Flow</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ (586,357.43)</td>
<td>$ (657,572.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financing</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interest Paid on Internal Borrowing</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Cash Flow</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ (596,357.43)</td>
<td>$ (702,572.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cumulative Cash Flow</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$ (596,357.43)</td>
<td>$ (1,298,299.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Present Value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2016-2040</td>
<td>$13,393,730.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transportation Revenues (includes interest earned)</th>
<th>FY 09/10</th>
<th>FY 10/11</th>
<th>FY 11/12</th>
<th>FY 12/13</th>
<th>FY 13/14</th>
<th>FY 15 / 16</th>
<th>FY 16/17</th>
<th>FY 17/18</th>
<th>FY 18/19</th>
<th>FY 19/20</th>
<th>FY 20/21</th>
<th>FY 21/22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular Federal Funds (STP)</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Funds</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
<td>$5,300.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(HAF) Highway Allocation Funds (Not Including LB 84/LB 610)</td>
<td>$15,793.0</td>
<td>$17,479.1</td>
<td>$19,203.1</td>
<td>$20,114.9</td>
<td>$21,482.3</td>
<td>$22,207.0</td>
<td>$22,379.1</td>
<td>$21,177.2</td>
<td>$21,177.2</td>
<td>$21,177.2</td>
<td>$21,177.2</td>
<td>$21,177.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB 84</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>$657.6</td>
<td>$685.7</td>
<td>$678.1</td>
<td>$880.0</td>
<td>$880.0</td>
<td>$880.0</td>
<td>$880.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB 610</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>$317.8</td>
<td>$1,296.0</td>
<td>$2,252.8</td>
<td>$3,219.6</td>
<td>$3,867.4</td>
<td>$3,867.4</td>
<td>$3,867.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Funds (ie BR buy out, State Maintenance Funds, misc. contributions)</td>
<td>$246.6</td>
<td>$246.6</td>
<td>$246.6</td>
<td>$246.6</td>
<td>$248.4</td>
<td>$253.3</td>
<td>$261.0</td>
<td>$300.0</td>
<td>$300.0</td>
<td>$300.0</td>
<td>$300.0</td>
<td>$300.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IF) Impact Fees (Total Collection)</td>
<td>$1,592.7</td>
<td>$2,380.8</td>
<td>$2,689.5</td>
<td>$3,852.3</td>
<td>$4,312.5</td>
<td>$4,399.0</td>
<td>$4,469.3</td>
<td>$4,807.9</td>
<td>$4,807.9</td>
<td>$4,807.9</td>
<td>$4,807.9</td>
<td>$4,807.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(WC) Wheel Tax - New Const.</td>
<td>$5,316.7</td>
<td>$5,795.4</td>
<td>$5,809.1</td>
<td>$5,900.4</td>
<td>$6,035.9</td>
<td>$6,191.7</td>
<td>$6,209.3</td>
<td>$6,390.9</td>
<td>$6,492.9</td>
<td>$6,596.6</td>
<td>$6,701.9</td>
<td>$6,808.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(RR) Wheel Tax - Residential</td>
<td>$2,799.0</td>
<td>$2,932.7</td>
<td>$3,941.4</td>
<td>$6,272.3</td>
<td>$7,114.0</td>
<td>$6,267.8</td>
<td>$5,452.2</td>
<td>$5,747.4</td>
<td>$6,104.0</td>
<td>$6,451.2</td>
<td>$6,834.1</td>
<td>$2,867.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(WR) Wheel Tax - Residual</td>
<td>$3,998.0</td>
<td>$4,018.5</td>
<td>$4,021.7</td>
<td>$4,018.5</td>
<td>$4,021.7</td>
<td>$4,018.5</td>
<td>$4,021.7</td>
<td>$4,018.5</td>
<td>$4,021.7</td>
<td>$4,018.5</td>
<td>$4,021.7</td>
<td>$4,018.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(SR) Wheel Tax - Snow Removal Fund</td>
<td>$1,094.0</td>
<td>$1,117.5</td>
<td>$1,484.5</td>
<td>$5,999.3</td>
<td>$2,502.9</td>
<td>$2,618.3</td>
<td>$2,625.8</td>
<td>$2,702.5</td>
<td>$2,745.7</td>
<td>$2,834.1</td>
<td>$2,867.3</td>
<td>$2,867.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total General Revenues</td>
<td>$2,799.0</td>
<td>$3,038.6</td>
<td>$4,741.7</td>
<td>$4,760.9</td>
<td>$5,003.2</td>
<td>$5,350.0</td>
<td>$5,439.0</td>
<td>$4,360.3</td>
<td>$4,438.3</td>
<td>$4,669.6</td>
<td>$4,859.9</td>
<td>$4,929.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Revenues</td>
<td>$37,263.3</td>
<td>$40,488.0</td>
<td>$45,876.6</td>
<td>$49,829.1</td>
<td>$53,353.6</td>
<td>$55,607.8</td>
<td>$56,414.5</td>
<td>$56,587.8</td>
<td>$57,290.9</td>
<td>$58,787.1</td>
<td>$59,928.1</td>
<td>$60,305.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Years FY 09/10 thru 14/15 are actual collections
FY 15/16 thru FY 21/22 are estimates / projections
All Figures are in 1,000’s of Dollars
Pothole Effort (past 12 months)

Week of 7/4/2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Man-hours</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold mix (lbs)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hot mix (lbs)</td>
<td>50,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emulsion (gallons)</td>
<td>748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous repair requests in process</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current repair requests</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair requests completed</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repair requests in process</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total repairs made</td>
<td>783</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sara Slama, PWU, 441-7709
July 11, 2016
Council Members,

I have been working with Donna Garden and her recycling team and we have included some clarifications to the 4 points in the email you received below:

1. Everyone will indeed be affected by the change, but the small investment of effort by the community pays off by extending the life of the landfill and putting resources back into the local economy.

2. No one will be digging through people’s trash looking for anything. The process will be just like leaves and grass. If you set out a large amount of cardboard, the hauler may not pick it up. They would leave you a reminder that cardboard needs to either be taken to one of the 28 convenient free drop-off sites OR you could simply sign up for curb-side service for a small charge. It’s simple and it works…in the last 23 years, the City has never written a ticket for leaves and grass violation.

3. The ordinance would require haulers to offer curbside service to their customers, BUT the haulers always have the option of subcontracting out curbside recycling service to a number of existing recycling haulers - who would be eager to have the business. Subcontracting is actually a very common circumstance now. No hauler would be forced to buy any additional equipment. It was and remains the business owners decision.

4. There are no taxes involved in this proposal. There will be a small increase in the landfill fee already calculated at 85 cents per person per year. Haulers will pass that along and garbage bills could increase slightly. The tradeoff is extending the length of the public landfill (that we all pay for) and re-investing the recyclable materials into the local economy.

Finally, we have worked with industry experts over the last two years on various recycling models. There will not be a significant increase in recycling if the city only relies on greater public education. Lisa Skumatz, an industry expert on increasing recycling calculates that education alone only increases recycling by 1 to 3 percentage points. A policy change like RecycleLincoln along with education is necessary to create significant change. The RecycleLincoln plan would significantly increase recycling in Lincoln and is specifically tailored to the strengths of our local haulers and community.

I have included two additional pieces of information: 1) a Frequently Asked Questions sheet, and 2) an information sheet about Multi-Housing recycling experiences in nearby communities.

We look forward to answering any other questions you may have.
Thanks,

Jon

Jon Carlson
(402) 441-7224

---

From: LCGOP NE [lcgop@lcgop.com]
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2016 10:13 AM
To: Cyndi Lamm; Jon Camp; Jane Raybould; Carl B. Eskridge; Leirion Gaylor Baird; Roy A. Christensen; Trenton J. Fellers
Subject: Keep Recycling in Lincoln Voluntary!

Dear Lincoln City Council Members
This is in regards to Mayor Beutler's new recycling ordinance. The Lancaster County Republican Party Executive Committee believes recycling should remain voluntary in Lincoln. We urge you to oppose the new material bans and recycling ordinance. We think this ordinance will burden Lincoln families and businesses.

Here are a few things to consider:
1. If this ordinance passes, all paper products will be banned from our landfills over the next three years. Everyone will be effected by this. Landlords will be required to offer recycling to their tenants. Homeowners will be required to either pay extra for the paper recycling or be forced to make special trips to the free drop off locations with all of their paper products to avoid a higher garbage collection bill. This will be an extra burden on Lincoln residents and businesses.

2. Forcing all Lincoln Residents to recycle could turn our garbage collectors into the garbage police. Putting paper or cardboard in our trash once this ordinance is passed could be reported and become a misdemeanor violation. In Lincoln, a misdemeanor is punishable by up to a $500 fine and 6 months in jail.

3. The potential cost for new equipment and trucks because of this ordinance could impact smaller garbage collectors in a negative way, and make them less able to compete with larger garbage collectors.

4. The complete financial impact of the ordinance to taxpayers is not known.
We urge you all to oppose this ordinance.

Thank You,

Lancaster County Republican Party
http://lcgop@lcgop.com/

Lancaster County Republican Party · 1610 N St, Lincoln, NE 68508, United States
You can also keep up with Lancaster County Republican Party on Twitter or Facebook.
Recycle Lincoln! Frequently Asked Questions
07-19-16

Who is affected?
Residents, businesses and institutions that generate corrugated cardboard, newspaper or other recyclable paper would not be able to dispose of those products in the City of Lincoln Bluff Road Landfill starting with corrugated cardboard in April 2017.

What recyclable materials would be prohibited from disposal in the Bluff Road Landfill?
Clean corrugated cardboard would be prohibited starting April of 2017, newspapers in April 2018, and other recyclable paper (office paper, junk mail, magazines, paperboard packaging, etc) in April 2019.

Why focus on these particular recyclable materials?
28% of the Municipal Solid Waste that arrives at the landfill is recyclable cardboard and other paper products. They are the largest category of recyclable materials deposited in the landfill. Cardboard and paper are easily identified by consumers. They have strong and stable markets with recycling processors and high recycling value. Based on the average monthly prices recycling mills paid in 2015 for recyclable paper products, an estimated $2.7 million could have been put back into the local economy if all of the recyclable paper products were recycled instead of landfilled. Recycling extends the life of the landfill, boosts the local economy and conserves resources for the future.

Are there other materials that cannot be disposed in the landfilled?
Yes. Since the landfill opened in 1988, there are a number of materials that have been diverted away from Lincoln’s landfill to better processing sites. These include: waste oil; lead acid batteries; tires; appliances (refrigerators, air conditioners, washers and dryers); and grass and leaves (seasonally). These steps have helped extend the life of the current landfill. Corrugated cardboard would be the next step.

What is corrugated cardboard?
Corrugated cardboard is defined as three or more layers of paper material, at least one of which is rippled or corrugated.

Is all corrugated cardboard recyclable?
Cardboard must be CLEAN and DRY to be recyclable. Corrugated cardboard contaminated with oil, paint, blood or other organic material is NOT recyclable and can be put in the trash. For example, pizza boxes are usually corrugated cardboard and the CLEAN parts are recyclable. If there is food residue on the bottom, you may tear it off and place that part in the trash. Similarly, mailing & packing boxes are commonly made of corrugated cardboard and are recyclable. However, cardboard with wood or Styrofoam
Will I get a ticket if I accidentally put clean cardboard in my trash?
No. The process will be just like leaves and grass. No one will be digging through your trash looking for anything. If you set out a large amount of cardboard, the hauler may not pick it up. They would leave you a reminder that cardboard needs to either be taken to one of the 28 convenient free drop-off sites OR you could simply sign up for curb-side service for a small charge. It’s simple and it works…in the last 23 years, the City has never written a ticket for leaves and grass violation. The focus will be on education so residents and businesses understand where, what, and how to recycle.

How can I recycle these materials?
You can take recyclable cardboard (and many other recyclables) to the 28 free City recycling drop-off sites. Curb-side service is also available through a large number of private waste haulers and recycling haulers in the community. Historically, curb-side recycling service ranges from $7 to $12 per month.

Will I get a discount if I choose both trash and recycling service from a single company?
Under the proposed ordinance, all waste haulers will offer both services. A customer could also choose separate waste and recycling haulers. The specific rates are decided between the consumer and the hauling company. Consumers should contact individual companies to discuss rates, frequency of pickup and other details that meet their needs.

Can the free recycling drop-off sites handle increased volumes of recyclables?
Yes. As part of RecycleLincoln!, the City received a grant to purchase additional large roll-off containers to collect more corrugated cardboard at the free drop-off sites. We have also included additional funds in the proposed City budget to increase pickup of the materials from the drop-off sites.

If I take a friend or neighbor’s recyclables to a drop-off site will I need a recycling collector’s license? No. Only those individuals or businesses that receive payment for hauling recyclables would be required to obtain a recycling collector’s license.

Does a business hauling their recyclables to a private processor or a recycling drop-off site need a recycling collector license? No. However, the business must follow the requirements to properly store and transport their recyclables.

Will waste haulers be required to obtain both a waste and recycling licenses? No, waste haulers are already licensed and not required to obtain a separate recycling collector’s license.
Will a contractor or home builder need a license to haul recyclables from job sites? No. However, like any business they do need to properly store and transport their recyclables.

What do renters do with their recyclable materials? According to City codes the property owner/landlord must already provide waste collection services to their tenants. There is an expectation that property owners also provide recycling collection services. Tenants should contact their landlord for additional information and guidance on how to properly dispose of recyclables.

Will a landlord, property owner or business be penalized if someone accidentally or illegally dumps recyclable cardboard in their trash containers? No. Just like with homeowners, if trash containers have a large amount of recyclable cardboard, haulers may not pick it up and contact the owner. Haulers have always worked with their business and landlord customers to ensure materials are properly separated. The City will also be a partner with materials to help educate tenants and other consumers.

What happens if a hauler takes recyclable cardboard to the landfill? The process will be exactly like how leaves and grass are handled now. If the waste hauler takes recyclable cardboard to the landfill, staff at the landfill may ask them to separate the cardboard and take it to the processing site instead. If the waste hauler refuses, City staff will separate the material and assess a fee to the waste hauler to help cover the cost of that extra work.

Are both Lincoln City and Lancaster County residents required to separate recyclable paper items from their waste? All customers served by a hauler that transports materials to the Bluff Road landfill are required to separate recyclable cardboard from that waste.

What other communities have prohibited cardboard and recyclable paper from landfill disposal? There are a number of communities that have banned the disposal of cardboard or recyclable paper. In neighboring states Linn County, Iowa (Cedar Rapids), Fort Collins, CO and Sioux Falls SD have prohibited landfill disposal of cardboard or other recyclable paper. There are a number of entire states that have also prohibited landfill disposal of cardboard or other recyclable paper including California, Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, Wisconsin, and Vermont.

What else can residents and businesses do to manage their recyclables? There are ideas and options to reduce, reuse, and recycle at: www.lincoln.ne.gov type “recycle” into the keyword search box
Multi-Family Housing Recycling Questions and Property Owner Responses from Communities That Have Requirements for Recycling Services

Cedar Rapids Iowa and Sioux Falls South Dakota both have recycling requirements that are actually much stricter than the ideas being proposed for Lincoln. Last month (June 2016), we contacted their Property Manager Associations (much like REOMA here in Lincoln) to see what their experience was like with recycling. We spoke with Nick Blau, President and Merle Wollman, Associate member from the South Dakota Multi-housing Association (SDMHA) in Sioux Falls, SD. We also spoke with Laura O’Leary, President of the Linn County Landlord Association in Cedar Rapids, IA.

1) Were there any problems in providing enough space to have both waste and recycling centralized containers on the property for your tenants?
   - The recycling containers provided were smaller and at most properties we were able to utilize existing dumpster areas. On new projects we now plan accordingly and build surrounds large enough for garbage dumpsters as well as recycling dumpsters. (Nick Blau, President of the South Dakota Housing Association, Sioux Falls, SD).
   - At the time of the cardboard disposal ban I managed an apartment complex with 230 units. We had a contract for waste collection with a local hauler. There were 10 centralized 2-cubic yard dumpsters for waste. The Hauler simply turned the waste dumpster sideways and added a second white dumpster for recycling right next to each waste dumpster. The containers were back to back so the existing space was utilized. The waste hauler also provided signage at each centralized area. (Laura O’Leary, President Linn County Landlord Association, Cedar Rapids, IA).
   - Contacted refuse haulers that provided the recycling containers. At one property we put down a larger concrete pad for the waste and recycling containers, but the area probably needed to be repaired anyway. He said you do the best you can and what is reasonable with what you have available and live with it. (Merle Wollman Associate Member of the South Dakota Multi-Housing Association and owner of 7 rental properties. Sioux Falls, SD).

2) What was the waste management cost increase in providing recycling services to your properties?
   - The service provider provided all of the recycling containers for each property. The increase in cost was minimal because in some cases we may have reduced some of the pick up’s at the properties because the trash was now divided. (Nick Blau, President of the South Dakota Housing Association, Sioux Falls, SD).
   - I do not think that the waste hauler significantly increased their cost for waste collection because of recycling. When the ban started 16 years ago, we paid about $900 per month for waste service and 16 years later we pay $1,200 per month. (Laura O’Leary, President Linn County Landlord Association, Cedar Rapids, IA).

3) Were you able to experience any reductions in your waste collection costs to offset a portion of your increased costs related to recycling?
   - No. (Nick Blau, President of the South Dakota Housing Association, Sioux Falls, SD).
   - The 17-plex I own has 3- 95 gallon carts for waste collected once a week and 2- 95 gallon carts for recycling collected once per week; before recycling I had 5-95 gallon containers being serviced one time a week. The 3/5 garbage and 2/5 recycling containers (almost half the volume in recycling is the highest percentage I obtain in an apartment complex with educational
4) Have you had problems with tenants or others placing waste in the recycling containers making the recyclables non-recyclable or dumping recyclables in the waste containers causing the hauler to reject the load?

- This can happen on the properties that have larger dumpsters for recycling, similar to the garbage dumpsters, but to my knowledge it has not been a large scale issue. The bigger challenge has probably been getting people to separate their recyclable items, and not throw everything in the garbage dumpsters. It’s possible on occasion that maintenance techs have had to pull waste out of the recycling dumpsters or recyclables out of waste containers, but I am not aware of it being a major issue. Education is really the key. Our waste collection vendor provided us with flyers that we give out to residents. We gave every unit one when the transition happened, place one in every move in folder, and post them in any interior garbage areas to constantly remind residents. Enough time has passed now that most people understand the process. Plus the City requires that we notify residents yearly of the recycling requirements. (Nick Blau, President of the South Dakota Housing Association, Sioux Falls, SD).
- Have a good relationship with the waste hauler. If tenants put a bunch of cardboard in the trash they would either pick it out and put it in the recycling container or take the risk of seeing if the landfill would ding them for cardboard in the trash. If tenants would put a bunch of trash in the recycling container they would either pick out the trash or pick it up with their trash truck and take it to the landfill and see if the landfill would ding them for having cardboard in their trash. (Laura O’Leary, President Linn County Landlord Association, Cedar Rapids, IA).
- Sioux Falls has a $100 fine if property owners mix recyclables in the waste. No fines have been issued since the recycling requirements went into effect. He thought that the only time the City might exercise the fine would be if a property advertised in the newspaper or website that they don’t recycle, then the City might issue a ticket. He said that the city uses a light hand regarding enforcement. (Merle Wollman Associate Member of the South Dakota Multi-Housing Association and owner of 7 rental properties. Sioux Falls, SD).

5) What problems, if any, have you had in educating the tenants to separate recyclables from their household trash?

- None that I am aware of. Like I mentioned, our vendor did a lot of work to really help us. The single stream recycling initiative was a city wide occurrence in Sioux Falls, so everyone really knew it was happening. (Nick Blau, President of the South Dakota Housing Association, Sioux Falls, SD).
- The City provided templates to educate tenants on separation of cardboard. (Laura O’Leary, President Linn County Landlord Association, Cedar Rapids, IA).
- It is important to educate tenants on recycling requirements, to not be demeaning or tell them they are doing things wrong, but be respectful and explain how to properly recycle. For example, that the recyclables should be placed in the recycling containers loose and not in plastic bags. (Merle Wollman Associate Member of the South Dakota Multi-Housing Association and owner of 7 rental properties. Sioux Falls, SD).
INFORMATION ABOUT THE TWO PROPERTY MANAGER ASSOCIATIONS:

(From their website) The South Dakota Multi-housing Association (SDMHA) is the trade association for South Dakota's apartment and rental housing industry. Membership to the SDMHA includes a wide variety of benefits and access to exclusive resources only available to members. Our members are developers, owners, and managers of apartments or other rental housing. Our Associate members are vendors of products and services to the rental housing industry. The mission of South Dakota Multi-Housing Association (SDMHA) is to encourage professionalism while unifying the rental industry owners, managers, business associates, and community through education and teamwork to better serve the public needs.

(From their website) The Linn County Landlord Association (Cedar Rapids, IA) is a group of apartment owners and managers organized to:

1. Improve the management skills and abilities of its members so each can become a better and more efficient landlord.
2. Inform members of local and state legislation affecting rental properties and take action to cause that legislation to be approved or disapproved depending on its impact on rental properties.
3. Exchange information so that each of us can:
   a. Reduce or maintain expenses
   b. Reduce our vacancy rates
   c. Reduce our tenant turnover rates
   d. Improve our tenant relations
   e. Reduce our legal problems and exposures
Councilman Camp:

I write to protest the mandatory punitive recycling of cardboard and paper products by the city. And, yes, I understand this is politically incorrect.

The basis for this essentially lies in the difference between resources and garbage.

We don’t have mandatory recycling of aluminum. We don’t need it. Aluminum cans have enough value that folks will pour through the trash to recover them to resell.

Glass is not recycled because manufacturers know it is takes less energy to use sand from a pit than try to purify collected trash. It is more cost effective to not recycle glass. Incidentally, glass never breaks down in a landfill, unlike degradable paper products.

What about the value of cardboard and other paper products? These products are subject to considerable fluctuation in value. Several years ago cardboard’s value was sufficient to induce large retailers and others to voluntarily bundle the material because there was profit in selling it. Then the value of cardboard plummeted such that it was necessary to pay recyclers to haul it away. Given the variation in cardboard prices over time it seems short sighted to suggest the material has consistent long term value.

Then there is the big question of what happens to the collected cardboard, particularly during periods that it has negative value? Is it incinerated? Is it shipped to China for their recycling into those low quality cardboard boxes? Is it hauled long distances to landfills elsewhere? Is it shipped across the country for the remanufacture of paper products ("paper consists of 10% post consumer content")? Each of these has potential down sides for differing constituencies. The act of shipping itself, incineration and using someone elses’ landfill might displease the environmentalist. The cost of shipping likely will far exceed any value cardboard might hold and make more expensive the removal of an item with an already negative value. Does the city know the ultimate disposition of the collected paper products and find it acceptable? Does the city know the costs
(including to the environment) of all this shipping and is it willing to bear it, compared to the cost of keeping the trash in a Lincoln landfill?

We must address the cost of separately collecting cardboard. Apparently it is a cost since the city wants to increase the landfill fees due to reduced volume in trash collections (less costs more!). The city expects a separate collection day which means tiny amounts of residential materials individually will be picked up by diesel trucks spewing their nasty climate changing fumes and driven by individuals actually expecting to be paid, the cost for which to be borne by residential property owners, plus a profit to the hauler.

Separate facilities will have to be constructed and operated to manage the material, process it for presumably bundling and dry storage until removed (didn't the city spend something like $8,000,000 for just that? Is this proposal actually an act to justify that expenditure?). Does the city even know the processing costs?

And there is the cost to set up "free" recycling sites, which are not really free since taxpayers have paid for the $114,000 state grant and will pay for the need to service those sites.

So if the trash has no value, what is the motivation to force people to "recycle?" It appears as a morality play with a cast of characters that include those who will profit from it and conservation evangelists. Unfortunately, I have to ask "follow the money. Who profits from this?"

Of course, some will respond that we are saving the earth and that always is a sufficient answer.

I read an article some time ago where a hopefully far-away public official intoned "Oh, you have to understand, sir. Recycling is always cheaper, no matter how much it costs."

Homeowners will have to assume this same collection responsibility but on a small scale, under threat of law and punitive actions. Property owners are to "recycle" products in ways that actually use more resources than if we just threw them away.

So then there is the matter of the landfill itself. Clearly the city intends to operate a landfill. It has the equipment and personnel to manage it with the present input of garbage. Without paper products will there be cost savings due to idled equipment and fewer employees? Somehow I suspect it is very very doubtful that would occur.

How much is landfill space worth? Have we elevated this real estate to Manhattan skyscraper values? Is there a scarcity of land suitable for another landfill in Lancaster?
County? Does the city know if it costs more to establish a new landfill twenty years from now than to perpetually engage a "recycling and enforcement" effort? Has the city studied this comparison?

Some would even say landfills are good because they produce methane gas which is used to provide electricity. Some in Lincoln would say that. Has the city undertaken an unbiased cost/benefit analysis regarding the existence of landfills?

The Mayor’s proposal seems inadequately researched. Is it a feel good solution to a morality issue rather than one built on economic value and common sense? If trash has value that resource will be sought after. If it simply is trash, like paper products, haul it to the landfill and make methane.

Voluntary recycling? Great. Large businesses, by far the major source of waste cardboard, long ago began that. There is a venue that exists for residences as well. Private firms already offer residences the service to pick up newspapers, etc. for a fee. Does the city intend to drive these entities out of business? If, as alleged, there are so many property owners eager to keep all paper products out of landfills, should this be done on a voluntary basis using these private companies instead of a city mandate?

Mandatory punitive recycling? To me, albeit politically incorrect to say it, the Mayor’s proposal is a gigantic nuisance and cost to the rank and file citizen. Really, sorting out our cereal boxes, junk mail, and the occasional Amazon box, AND ALL THE BAGGAGE THAT COMES WITH IT, seems ill considered.

Please raise the issues. If the responses are not well considered and valid, if rather they are filled with bureaucratic rationalization and feel-goodness, please oppose mandatory punitive paper product recycling.

Thank you.

Bruce G. Stahl
Lincoln NE
Dear Councilman Camp:

"I think it will be interesting to see which of my colleagues is willing to increase property taxes on their friends and neighbors."

I was dismayed to read the above comment by Mr. Fellers. Not raising taxes is always appealing, and especially nowadays when it is an emotional point in every campaign.

But that statement just riles folks up rather than getting them to think. Some day Mr. Fellers may live in a city that just tried to get by, and he may be surprised to see what the needs are, and how expensive they have become. If you’ll think of your own home, most of us invest a little more (rather than a little less) to maintain its liveability and its value.

We all spend a lot of money on our own households nowadays, and the upkeep of so many personal items is substantial. But we live together in increasingly urban and congested areas, and we need to keep up that larger household.

Particularly I believe we need to spend on infrastructure. Maintaining and adding to street and sidewalk infrastructure is hugely important. We need to invest in police and fire infrastructure. I ride the bus and would make good use of an expanded Star Tran system.

We have no choice but to keep up with (I’d like “keep ahead of”) the ash tree problem. During recent hot and humid days, it is remarkable how much cooler it is under a tree!

We need to pay attention to all of it. We need excellent and well-kept libraries and parks. I can remember when our youngest son, back from college, said he’d never live in his home town because there wasn’t much of a bike trail system. Lincoln has a great bike trail system, and it will help to keep young citizens and families here. Those young folks will support us into the future.

We don’t want to live in or shop in or vacation in cities that are deteriorating. We want a well-kept city, that thrives into the future. Councilman Camp, please support our capital city, our home. Please support the mayor’s budget.

Sincerely yours,

Nancy Packard

3037 Sewell St, Lincoln NE
Hello Jon,

The mayor should have received and read the document I sent to you and/or the Lincoln City Council by now. The governor of NE even urges fiscal restraint. Did our mayor see that document? The mayor needs to become a leader and come up with a new and different budget proposal. Any proposal increasing taxes in any way or form is unacceptable. The only acceptable budget proposal now is a proposal that will result in a property tax reduction for our customers... in fact, a decrease in an amount proportional to the amount he wanted to increase it. He requires strict fiscal restraint and guidance from City Council members at a minimum. Has Governor Ricketts contacted him? We need everyone to implement leadership. Tough decisions have been put off too long.

A proposal that is different. You may recall I mentioned: We need to be different. We have to be different and different now. Different doesn’t have to have a negative implication but rather a positive connotation. It simply means Smarter/Better w/Tax Payer Money SBWTPM; spend with scrutiny. It is dumbfounding to even think that another property tax increase would even be considered on any table for discussion by the mayor or any entity. Remember...we’re still waiting for tax relief.

Leadership, proper fund allocation, expense control and cuts are in order...and no more proposals or bonds allowing any increase in property taxation for any entity on any ballet until we have accountability with measurable results that can truly be felt in our pocket book. We’re looking and expecting results from our leaders - not excuses anymore. We have elected officials and they’re not officiating.

If the mayor thinks were lean with staffing for police and fire... that’s good. We need to be lean and mean – SBWTPM. The entire retention program in all entities needs reviewed and reformed before any new hire comes aboard anywhere. Yes – salaries and wages, all benefits from vacation, sick days or personal days, health, pension plans to early retirement, etc. The mayor should be implementing a hiring and wage freeze and where’s a meeting with city officials, police and fire union leaders to discuss these tough issues? Please get your act together and start using common sense.

We have a serious and outlandish tax painful burden and blunder in NE and it’s not getting fixed – it’s being avoided. It is the responsibility of all of our state to local government officials and administrators to all work together as one to determine proper fund allocation and regulate and control the expenses associated with all the entities that demand funding from our hard working tax payers. You’re our elected or hired leaders and together you’re all letting it happen – you’re failing us. The tax blunder is only going to get worse due to continued negligence and lack of leadership. If our expenses and spending were in line, funding from growth of the city should support city operations.

SCC wants a huge sum of $M now. No way. We’ve got customers that cannot afford tax increases. Leadership, proper fund allocation, expense control and cuts are in order first.

James Stuart
Mr. Camp,

I would agree with the proposed cuts to the mayors budget plan with one exception. Please keep the Green Light Lincoln fully funded. It is very frustrating to travel within the city.

Thank you,

Bob Olmsted
rolmsted@neb.rr.com
Dear Jon Camp:
Recycling should be voluntary and not mandated by an overbearing government bureaucracy. At great expense and trouble for the taxpaying public.

H. Arnold Wassenberg, Owner
Wassco, LLC.
120 College Park Cr., Office
Lincoln, NE 68505
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for
Jon Camp

Name: Jeffrey E. Payne
Address: 5320 Danbury Rd.
City: Lincoln
Phone: 4024234991
Fax: 4024234991
Email: paynejeff50@gmx.com

Comment or Question:
We've added 4000 plus residents to our city. These folks must all live in rental properties, ride bicycles and buy items online, bringing in NO NEW revenue! This must be the case as the mayor is increasing every tax, fee and rate that he possibly can. Sales tax has been increased, Stormwater bond passed, increasing our taxes and now the mayor wants to increase our property taxes! He also wants another water rate increase, this time 5%. He also is wanting an increase in the natural gas rate portion that the city grabs! Finally, mayor wants to add another fee to our garbage bill in order to pay for the landfill that we can use less and less. We don't have revenue streams in this town, we have revenue rivers! Nebraska dropped from 7 to 11 on list of best states to live because of the increase in the cost of living here. We needed a fresh set of eyes on the city budget and city management, but we didn't get that when the same person/administration got elected for a third term. Too many areas become entrenched with that long of a time period. And now we ALL have to PAY for it! Here's a serious thought, have a huge fundraiser for Parks and Rec in our Pinnacle Palace a couple of times a year! I hope our council members will take the many citizens in this town on fixed incomes and in poverty into consideration when voting on all of these proposals. It would be greatly appreciated!
Jon
Based on the email I received from LIBA I am quite concerned as a landlord about this issue. Our commercial tenants make arrangements for their own garbage removal. We do not like the thought that we will be responsible for tenants garbage and then take it one step further their ability to figure out what is recyclable and what isn’t. We would be against an idea of this sort. We are bug recyclers but we know what is and is not recyclable.

Terri L. Watts
Sent from my iPad
This construction season is unreasonable and illogical and overall a pain in the posterior. There are seven roads south to north essentially - 13, 27, 40, 48, 56, 70 and 84. Of those 40, 48, 56 and 70 are closed at some point. Add in highway 2 and Old Cheney. This is absolutely inane and extremely frustrating. Finish one God damn project before doing another! I will never support another bond issue for anything if this is how you are going to do business. Totally unacceptable.

Jack A Dike
3811 Lynchburg Ct
Lincoln, NE 68516
Hi Jon,
I am writing you regarding this weekends talks over the Mayors proposed budget. While it is a given that I am in favor of reducing taxes and spending, cutting the general fund support for building and safety is not a good solution. I know first hand that the electrical inspection department is stretched thin and reducing their funds will be a disservice to contractors and customers in Lincoln. Permit fees are already quite high and inspectors struggle to cover their territories.
Safe and functional homes and businesses should be our top priority, please consider other ideas to cut spending.
Thank you for all that you do!
John Whitmer
7620 Jason Dr.
Lincoln, NE 68516

Sent from my iPhone
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for  
Jon Camp  

Name: Jackie Zimmerman  
Address: 610 Driftwood Drive  
City: Lincoln  
Phone: 4024193959  
Fax: 4024193959  
Email: jackiezimmerman1@yahoo.com  

Comment or Question:  
We believe recycling should remain voluntary in Lincoln. We urge you to oppose the new material bans and recycling ordinance.  

Thank you,  

Jackie & Randy Zimmerman
Mary M. Meyer

From: Kenneth Krohn <kkrohn@neb.rr.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 23, 2016 7:38 PM
To: Jon Camp
Subject: Noise at Seacrest Field

We have been hearing loud bass beat a lot of the day today. This sound nearly makes it impossible to listen to TV in our house with all the windows closed and AC on. We have also been trying to sleep and that is impossible. I tried ear plugs and still hear it inside my house.

Our house is about a block from Seacrest so I am sure a lot of others in the area are experiencing the same thing. Does the City have a noise ordinance? I am sure the noise is not within that law if it exists. I will contact the Police to see if such a law exists.
Mary M. Meyer

Subject: couple of questions
Attachments: Impact Fees.xlsx

From: Cynthia J. Roth
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2016 11:21 AM
To: Steve D. Hubka; Cyndi Lamm; Council Packet
Cc: Miki M. Esposito; Donna K. Garden; Thomas S. Shafer
Subject: RE: couple of questions

Question #1 $2M in JAVA Fund 406 is intended to be transferred/used for Green Light Lincoln (*this is included in the pending PWU transfer ordinance).

* A transfer ordinance is used to close out completed construction projects and to transfer unspent appropriations and cash (if any) from various projects to projects where additional funding is needed within the Department.

Questions #3 and #4 Impact Fee information is attached.

If you need further information, please let me know.

Cyndy Roth
City of Lincoln, Public Works/Utilities
Business Manager
Phone 402-441-7539
Fax 402-441-7590
CRoth@lincoln.ne.gov

From: Steve D. Hubka
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2016 8:11 AM
To: Cynthia J. Roth <CRoth@lincoln.ne.gov>
Subject: FW: couple of questions

Steve Hubka
Interim Finance Director
City of Lincoln
555 South 10th, Lincoln, NE 68508
shubka@lincoln.ne.gov
(402) 441-7412

From: Cyndi Lamm <CLamm@lincoln.ne.gov>
Date: Wed, 20 Jul 2016 15:00:44 -0500
To: "Steve D. Hubka" <shubka@lincoln.ne.gov>
Subject: couple of questions
Steve,
I have a couple of questions I am hoping you will answer.

#1 Forgive me, but I didn't make a note of your answer to my question about the destination of the JAVA fund balance (406) now that JAVA is being dissolved. Could you tell me again please?
#2 Same question about the Fund Balance for (305) Antelope Valley/CIG Tax. Is this affected by JAVA dissolution? If not, what is the purpose of this fund?
#3 What is our budgeted revenue from Impact Fees in each area of collection (Water, Parks, Roads)?
#4 Can you please give me a breakdown of Impact Fee Fund Balances by District and by area?
#5 What is the current location of the contingency funds we transferred for the 56 & Morton project in the event the Stormwater Bond did not pass?

Thanks for your help
Cyndi Lamm
### Impact Fees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cash Balances as of 6/30/16 **</th>
<th>Impact Fees Included in Proposed CIP/Budget FY 2016/17 &amp; 2017/18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water System 2,882,972</td>
<td><strong>Total 2 Year Budget</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Distribution District 1 5,573</td>
<td>Water FY 2016/17 1,850,000 FY 2017/18 350,000 Total 2,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Distribution District 2 221,394</td>
<td>Wastewater FY 2016/17 - FY 2017/18 350,000 Total 350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Distribution District 3 3,754</td>
<td>Streets FY 2016/17 4,907,900 FY 2017/18 4,272,800 Total 9,180,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Distribution District 4 159,029</td>
<td>Parks &amp; Recreation FY 2016/17 855,000 FY 2017/18 445,000 Total 1,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Distribution District 5 12,168</td>
<td>Total Budget by FY FY 2016/17 7,612,900 FY 2017/18 5,417,800 Total 13,030,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Distribution District 6 38,357</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Distribution District 7 7,833</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater System 643,084</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets District 1 87,011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets District 2 202,111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets District 3 920,057</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets District 4 1,479,847</td>
<td><strong>Please note that the available cash balances where/are used in prior and current CIP Project/Budget requests.</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets District 5 168,209</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets District 6 3,548,080</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streets District 7 560,675</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks District 1 18,411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks District 2 158,215</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks District 3 9,947</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks District 4 236,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks District 5 107,569</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks District 6 667,104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parks District 7 110,813</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total 12,249,113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Impact Fees are charges levied against new construction, changes in land use, or an increase in water meter size to help generate revenue to build new infrastructure needed because of development.**

The City has been divided into seven districts or benefit areas, and each has a separate account. Fees collected in a district or benefit area may only be used for new construction in that area.

For additional information regarding Impact Fees and their guidelines, please use the following link:

http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/pworks/business/impact/faq.htm
Please also note that this $2M in JAVA funding is specifically designated for transportation-related projects.

Miki Esposito, Director
Public Works & Utilities
O: 402.441.6173
C: 402.525.0065
Cyndi,

The Antelope Valley bond fund balance is to pay off $11M bonds the City issued in 2002 on behalf of the State to replace UNL facilities impacted by the Antelope Valley project. The dissolution of JAVA has no impact on this arrangement. For many years the state sent $1M/yr. of cigarette tax money to the City to pay the debt service on those bonds. The last payment is due 9/15/16. Steve

Steve Hubka
Interim Finance Director
City of Lincoln
555 South 10th, Lincoln, NE 68508
shubka@lincoln.ne.gov
(402) 441-7412
In the name of liberty, please leave recycling a voluntary action.
Thanks
S. Wayne Smith
6345 S 35th Ct
Lincoln, NE  68516
Dear Lincoln City Council members;

I want to thank each of you for serving on the council and for taking on the important task of reviewing the city’s budget. I read today’s LJS and many budget opportunities were presented in order to hold down the proposed increase and this is very encouraging. One area that I feel is not getting enough attention is the proposed addition of 6 new firefighter positions in the mayor’s budget. It was only a year or so ago when we voted for a sales tax hike to raise money for four fire stations. Prior to that sales tax vote, Director Casady visited our business group (Association of Business Leaders and Entrepreneurs), and provided us with a great presentation on the need for the fire stations. But he also indicated that they were working on a staffing plan which would curtail the need to hire additional fire fighters. This was also reported in the Lincoln Journal Star (Voters to decide on sales tax hike to build new fire stations – Mar 30, 2015). As business leaders, we were impressed that the city was looking at reasonable ways to increase efficiencies through smarter deployment of underutilized staff rather than adding heads that were not warranted. Now in 2016, I see that one of the biggest budget increases has to do with the additional fire fighter positions. Why within the span of a year did this change? Is this being pushed by the Union or do the facts now somehow warrant this increase? As you know, with additional LFD heads comes the need for benefits, including the mounting pension funding. It is my hope that more can be done to look at ways to better manage the personnel in the LFD. Thank you for your time.

-Scott Miller

Co-Founder, ABLE (Association of Business Leaders and Entrepreneurs)
Honorable Councilmembers and Mayor,

As a member of the Lincoln Policy Network, I’d like to ask that you take the following actions in regards to protecting, enhancing and prioritizing the needs of our neighborhoods. All of these suggestions support priority issues in the Neighborhood Plan for Action. I specifically want to call attention to number four.

It is essential to maintain funds for our parks and trails. **Our quality of life depends on robust support for our parks. Lincoln is frequently mentioned as one of the best places to live in America, but it will lose that status if we ignore our parks.**

1) **Allocate $50,000 for mini grants/projects for Lincoln’s 45+ neighborhoods.** Grants could be submitted for tree planting, park/public space improvements, sidewalk repair and exterior paint projects. Supports Neighborhood Promotion and Preservation The goal is to increase neighborhood investments made by residents, thus increasing property values. Residents provide a major portion of the property tax base. Neighborhood grants show support from city government and allow residents to make public improvements, while encouraging neighborhood leadership and pride. (This is new, may not happen, but we want to ‘plant the seed’).

2) **Maintain current funding ($30,000) for the Problem Resolution Team** so they can address problem properties. Supports Public Safety and Quality of Life Problem properties have a significant negative impact on neighborhood quality of life and property values. Such properties give surrounding owners reasons to move and discourages them from investing in their property. Problem properties must be addressed to stop negative impact on the stability of the surrounding neighborhood.

3) **Establish a $30,000 fund to address properties on the Neglected Building Registry** – recognizing that some buildings are approaching two years on the registry and may need to be demolished. Supports Public Safety and Quality of Life The Neglected Property Registry more than 20 properties on the list, some of which have been on the list since November 2014, but some have been redtagged for 20+ years. There is an estimated 46 properties on the red tag list, only 14 of which are also on the Neglected Building Ordinance. These problems need to be resolved by demolishing or rehabbing the properties immediately to ensure safe environments.

4) **Maintain (at minimum) current funding for Quality of Life assets in our community such as: accessibility and maintenance of parks and trails, libraries, sidewalks and infrastructure.** Supports Neighborhood Promotion and Preservation Quality of life assets are often cut in tight budget years. Many years of cutbacks for Lincoln Parks have resulted in buildings and infrastructure deteriorating and needing replacement rather than simply maintained. Maintaining our assets not only increases residents’ quality of life but also provides an economic advantage for the city—such as the Lincoln Marathon.

Additional request, not part of the budget—**Establish a citizens/city task force to study how to keep Lincoln safe and look for more efficiencies in all departments.** Supports Public Safety and Quality of Life This task force would review ordinances and laws that currently exist, looking at ways to reduce expenses, share costs, and be more efficient. For example, enforcing laws and ordinances may lead to more efficiencies in the workforce without needing to increase staff.

*Supports priority issues in Neighborhood Plan for Action.*

Thank you for serving the citizens of Lincoln.

Joe Shaw
714-858-0599
From: Russell Miller <neb31340@twc.com>
Sent: Sunday, July 24, 2016 5:20 PM
To: Mary M. Meyer
Subject: from russell miller about city budget

From : Russell Miller                              24 July 2016
341 S. 52
Lincoln, NE 68510

To : Lincoln City Council

It is extremely alarming to read the 24 July 2016 Lincoln Journal Star article titled “ City Council lays out ideas for budget changes that would eliminate tax hike “ which stated Councilman Camp “ indicated he will support a 3 percent, but not a 5 percent increase, to the water and wastewater rates. That means the city will not be able to increase the miles of water main replaced each year, staff said. “

A review of why water main replacement in established neighborhoods must be increased and not decreased :

A. Lincoln Water System (LWS) Water Master Plan of 2014 states : On page 25 chapter 6 is table 3-3 which lists Lincoln’s water mains, the number of installed miles, the years they were installed plus the type of material the mains were made out of.

One of the material types is called “unprotected ductile and thin walled cast iron.” The second paragraph on that page states “The deterioration trend for the Unprotected Ductile Iron and Thin Walled Cast Iron is very steep and the overall performance is poor relative to the pipe age. A substantial peak is evident at 55-59 years of age.” In other words the pipes tend to fall apart at 60 years of age.

B. The table shows that there are 300 miles of this pipe and it was installed from 1948 to 1972.

C. It is estimated that over 20,000 to 25,000 homes are being served with this pipe. If 7 miles are replaced each year it will take 42 years to complete the project.

D. The LWS 2014 Master Plan determined that a 5% rate increase is needed every year through FY 2023 to meet the Lincoln’s projected water needs such as main replacement and a new water source for growth. The Master Plan is projecting a 3% inflation rate of construction material (not CIP).

E. The established neighborhoods have experienced a 53% water rate increase since 2003 (88 cents/unit to $1.344 in 2015) plus a monthly charge increase of zero (2003) to $4.95 (2015). Most of those increases were used to fund the Ashland trunk lines and wells so new developments would have water. LWS utility revenues are paying over 70% of the cost to provide water to new homes or developments.

Now is the time to show the Council’s concern for the 20,000 plus established homes and provide them with reliable and adequate water. Adequate because the replacement mains are 6 inch diameter and the old mains are 4 inch.

Devise a plan to insure that at least 7 miles of water mains are replaced every year. The easiest way to do that is to keep the water/wastewater increase at 5%.

Thank you,
Russell Miller 402-499-2611
From: LCGOP NE <lcgop@lcgop.com>
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2016 10:14 AM
To: Cyndi Lamm; Jon Camp; Jane Raybould; Carl B. Eskridge; Leirion Gaylor Baird; Roy A. Christensen; Trenton J. Fellers
Subject: Keep Recycling in Lincoln Voluntary!

Dear Lincoln City Council Members

This is in regards to Mayor Beutler's new recycling ordinance. The Lancaster County Republican Party Executive Committee believes recycling should remain voluntary in Lincoln. We urge you to oppose the new material bans and recycling ordinance. We think this ordinance will burden Lincoln families and businesses.

Here are a few things to consider:

1. If this ordinance passes, all paper products will be banned from our landfills over the next three years. Everyone will be effected by this. Landlords will be required to offer recycling to their tenants. Homeowners will be required to either pay extra for the paper recycling or be forced to make special trips to the free drop off locations with all of their paper products to avoid a higher garbage collection bill. This will be an extra burden on Lincoln residents and businesses.

2. Forcing all Lincoln Residents to recycle could turn our garbage collectors into the garbage police. Putting paper or cardboard in our trash once this ordinance is passed could be reported and become a misdemeanor violation. In Lincoln, a misdemeanor is punishable by up to a $500 fine and 6 months in jail.

3. The potential cost for new equipment and trucks because of this ordinance could impact smaller garbage collectors in a negative way, and make them less able to compete with larger garbage collectors.

4. The complete financial impact of the ordinance to taxpayers is not known.

We urge you all to oppose this ordinance.

Thank You,

Lancaster County Republican Party
http://lcgop@lcgop.com/

Lancaster County Republican Party · 1610 N St, Lincoln, NE 68508, United States
You can also keep up with Lancaster County Republican Party on Twitter or Facebook.
Esteemed Leaders:

Thank you for your service.

As a recycler, both at home and at work, it already seemed like a great idea to enable city-wide recycling. The landfill and the planet will both benefit. If Lincoln sets an example, perhaps citizens near oceans will also reduce ocean pollution.

E. Wayne Boles
128 N. 13th St., Condo 506
Lincoln, NE 68508
(402) 450-4523
Wboles001@neb.rr.com
Hello,

Recycling is so important on many different levels for the City of Lincoln. It's good for the environment. It reduces greenhouse gas emissions that contribute to climate change. Don't let us down on climate change.

It's good for business. Progressive businesses, including behemoths such as Google, Amazon, Apple and many others will only locate where recycling, clean energy and other environmental systems support their company values on the environment. Lincoln is becoming known for being a progressive city. Don't let us down on the economy by excluding business opportunities that bring jobs to our city.

It cuts down on future expenses. If we recycle fiber (paper and cardboard, etc.) it will reduce materials going to our landfill by about 40%. This will extend the life of our current landfill and save taxpayers tons of money. This is a matter of due diligence.

It's good for future generations. The very least we can do is take this small step to change course in the way we manage the externalities of our consumption. There is a lot of excess packaging, paper use and waste associated with commerce because we live in a culture that ignores the real damage of our love affair with consumer goods. It's not our fault that we became this way, but it is our fault if we do nothing to mitigate it. Think about it this way: paper and related products are made from trees. Nature is fragile and we are causing great damage that will harm future generations. If we do nothing, our children and our children's children will suffer from our negligence.

Please step up to the plate, quietly listen to your heart and live up to your moral obligations as city leaders. We are counting on you to make the right decision on matters that affect human health and well-being. In the end, that's all that really matters.

Sincerely,
Julie Diegel

--
Julie Diegel
Administrative Assistant
Sen. Ken Haar, District 21
402-471-2673
jdiegel@leg.ne.gov
I am very concerned about the pending recycling rule for landlords. We do have recycling, but my dumpster gets used by people just dropping things off from time to time. I don’t mind usually, but now it could end up costing me extra if I am fined. I also have rental properties and now I will have to raise the rent in order to cover the extra cost. I believe this may put an extra burden on many people. Please consider these items when evaluating the overall effect of this move. I don’t want this rule to take effect.
Good Morning,

I am just writing you to ask that you vote against the recycling ordinance the mayor has proposed. It is a gross invasion of our freedoms to be forced to do it or have it be a criminal offense. On top of that enough is not known about the impact on taxpayers for this to even be a valid consideration. It is just one more piece of Government over reach and expense into the citizens lives.

Jethro Hopkins
Just want to voice my opinion against the idea of mandatory recycling, or more specifically, mandating citizens incur the cost of mandatory recycling.

I'm also firmly against the increase in property taxes and the numerous fee increases being proposed. I feel certain that there are areas of the budget that could be trimmed without touching the items listed on the mayor's recent survey. Those survey items seemed to be selected specifically get a response against cutting dollars, but there are certainly many more items on the budget that could, and should, be scrutinized.

Thank you,

Bob Von Kaenel  
QSR Supervisor  
Whitehead Oil Co.  
o) 402-435-3509  
c) 402-202-0200
It would be beneficial to all to educate the public about recycling and not place this burden on the landlords of Lincoln.
Best regards, Jim Swanson

Jim Swanson, CBR, GRI
Associate Broker
(402) 560-9317
www.JimSwanson.homerealestate.com
HOME Real Estate
7211 South 27th
Lincoln, NE 68512

If you consider this message a solicitation and prefer not to receive future messages from this sender, click 'reply' and add the text 'remove' to the subject line.

If you consider this message a solicitation and prefer not to receive future messages from this sender, click 'reply' and add the text 'remove' to the subject line. Reminder: email is not secure or confidential. HomeServices of Nebraska will never request that you send funds or nonpublic personal information, such as credit card or debit card numbers or bank account and/or routing numbers, by email. If you receive an email message concerning any transaction involving HomeServices of Nebraska, and the email requests that you send funds or provide nonpublic personal information, do not respond to the email and immediately contact HomeServices of Nebraska. To notify HomeServices of Nebraska of suspected email fraud, contact: helpdesk@homeservices-ne.com / 402-434-3700.
As a property manager I am concerned about the consequences to landlords when tenants do not bother to separate cardboard, we do not have onsite management as the units are smaller (11 plex for example) and so we would not be able to monitor tenants mixing cardboard with regular trash but apparently we will be held responsible, this does not seem reasonable or fair and I would ask you reconsider this aspect of the ordinance.

I support recycling and do not mind paying for it, but am a little concerned as to whether the recyclers in Lincoln are prepared for the additional materials. Just over a year ago I was at a large recycling facility out by the airport, the building was full of bales to be sorted, the owner told me if nothing else came in he would have 3 years worth of work with what was already in the facility. I would hope that the research was done to ensure the recyclers can handle the extra work.

Thank you.

Julian Tyler
Property Manager
402-432-7030
I respectfully request that you vote no on the Mayor's cardboard/paper ban. It is ridiculous that it is punishable with 6 mos in jail and $500 fine. As someone who holds a professional license, I would have to notify my broker/dealer of this event. What about others that are looking for jobs that require a professional license. Could it mean the difference between them getting a job or not.

Please vote NO. Recycling should be voluntary and if the city would just take care of the sites that are currently provided we would be fine.

Wanda Caffrey
Polaris Financial Services
402-730-3070
866-405-9825  fax
6940 "O" Street, Suite 105; Lincoln NE 68510
P.O. Box 5865; Lincoln NE 68505
From: Pat Halderman <cyclecrow@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, July 22, 2016 10:58 AM
To: Council Packet
Subject: Recycle Ordinance

Yes, please pass the Recycle Ordinance for Lincoln. I recycle everything and it is crazy to see the amount of cardboard in the apartments' trash bins.

Pat Halderman
City Council Members

I am sorry to have a conflict that will prevent me from attending the public hearing on the recycling ordinance this evening. If I were there, I would have testified in opposition to the proposed ordinance for the reasons discussed below.

Recycling Receptacles - First, however, I have a technical question regarding the recycling receptacles that are required by the ordinance. Will the recycling collectors be required to replace virtually all of the recycling bins presently in use in residential Lincoln? If so, this would be a substantial cost that I have not seen in any discussion of the proposal.

The standard residential recycling bin in now about 23 inches long, 15 inches wide, and 13 inches deep inside measurements. This is not large enough to hold the vast majority of corrugated cardboard recycled at our house, and I would guess at most houses. We put clean paper and recyclable plastic containers in the bin, but virtually all corrugated cardboard is flattened and laid on the driveway, under the recycling bin so that it does not blow away.

If all of these bins must be replaced, this raise two issues; (i) the cost to the recycling collectors who are required to provide them, and (ii) the amount of space that bins large enough to hold most corrugated cardboard will require in residents’ garages.

There is no definition of “recycling receptacle,” or similar term, in Section 8.32.010 of the proposed ordinance. Proposed Section 8.32.225 addresses “Receptacles to be Provided for Recyclables.” That section seems to be addressing commercial recycling bins being provided to businesses and to multi-family residential complexes, but there is no scope provision in Section 8.32.225, and there is no exception for single family residential properties. One sentence in Section 8.32-225 reads in relevant part, “Separate receptacles for holding recyclables shall be provided to customers … .” Residents of single family residences are clearly “customers.”

Section 8.32.225 reads in relevant part:

“8.32.225 Receptacles to be Provided for Recyclables; Specifications. All receptacles used to hold recyclables shall be durable, have a tight-fitting lid, be water-tight and fly-tight, and be kept in serviceable conditions at all times. ... Separate receptacles for holding recyclables shall be provided to customers and maintained by the recycling collector or any licensed waste hauler providing recycling collection services. ... A sufficient number of receptacles shall be provided to store recyclables prior to removal from the premises. Such receptacles shall be kept on the premises in a place accessible and convenient for the collection service and kept in a sanitary and serviceable condition. All receptacles used for recyclables shall be kept covered or closed to prevent the entrance of insects and rodents. ...” [italics added]

A combination of three sentences suggests that residential recycling receptacles must be large enough to hold most, if not all, of the corrugated cardboard that households encounter.
• The sentence “A sufficient number of receptacles shall be provided to store recyclables prior to removal from the premises,” clearly implies that the receptacles provided to residential customers must be large enough to hold whatever corrugated cardboard that the customer is likely to have to recycle.

• The sentences that, All receptacles ... shall have a tight-fitting lid ...,” and that “All receptacles used for recyclables shall be kept covered or closed ...,” clearly implies that the receptacles must be large enough to be able to close the lid with the corrugated cardboard inside.

Even the larger trash bins that the waste haulers provide now to residential customers, for an annual fee by the way, are not large enough to accommodate most corrugated cardboard unless the customer cuts it up into pieces small enough to stand flat in the bin.

The recycling bins that we have used for years have served very well. We have successfully recycled all of the corrugated cardboard at our house for years. We have not had any of it blowing around the neighborhood. If there is a threat of rain, we either delay putting it out until the next weekly pickup, or if it is not raining in the morning, we put it out then before the hauler arrives.

There is no need to incur the cost, or to require residents to provide the extra space, for much larger bins in residential neighborhoods.

_Opposition to the Proposed Ordinance_ - As stated above, if I had been able to attend the hearing, I would have testified in opposition to the proposed ordinance.

Do not misunderstand; my wife and I support recycling. Before curbside recycling became available, we took our recycling to the collection station in the Seacrest Field parking lot east of 70th & A streets. Then we voluntarily paid for curbside recycling, and finally in recent years our homeowners association has contracted for curbside recycling paid through our monthly association dues. I support the resource conservation and the environmental benefits of recycling.

I oppose this ordinance in its present form for three reasons.
I object to criminalizing failure to recycle. Failure to recycle corrugated cardboard, and then newsprint, and finally all clean paper would be a criminal misdemeanor carrying a penalty of up to six months in jail. This is exactly the opposite from both Nebraska and national efforts to reduce the rate of incarceration.

Unfortunately, jail time is likely to fall on our most economically vulnerable residents because they will not likely have the ready funds to pay the $100 to $500 fine, and will end up serving the “fine” in the county jail.

In addition, I suspect that because the criminal misdemeanor charge involves potential jail time, it may be necessary to provide counsel to anyone who receives a citation and cannot afford an attorney. I have listened to criminal defense attorneys discuss the need to streamline petty violations by eliminating the potential for jail time in order to allow the public defender’s office, and others accepting court defense appointments, to concentrate on felonies.

When we had mandatory watering restrictions, we discovered that misdemeanor criminal charges were interfering with educational applications, raised some professional licensing issues, and with job applicants. We ended up with a Mayoral pardoning program to reverse the unintended consequences of the misdemeanor convictions. I also expect that in addition to those issues, a criminal charge, even a misdemeanor, could possibly be a parole violation. We don’t need to take a chance of repeating that process again.

We are assured that no citations have been issued for violation of the grass clippings restrictions. However, we also recall that the police issued some 400 criminal citations in just the few months that we had mandatory watering restrictions. Grass clippings tend to affect only single family homeowners, while this program will affect every single resident of the city. Single family residents are much more likely to be aware of the grass restrictions and to have the resources to follow them. In addition, the trash haulers are far better able to enforce the grass restrictions by simply not picking up the bags but not reporting the homeowner for a criminal charge. That will be much harder with this recycling program.

I believe that the cost will be greater than we perceive now. If we must replace all of the residential recycling bins as discussed above, that will be a substantial front-end cost that has not been discussed. I expect that the recycling collectors will need to recover that cost in a one-time assessment next March when it comes time to pay for the bins and implement Phase I of the ordinance.

No government function comes “free,” and if we are to maintain our city government’s financial strength at reasonable tax rates, we must resist the temptation to expand into areas that might be “nice” and concentrate on what is critically necessary. I am not convinced that this issue is either critical or necessary. We have much more urgent needs in Lincoln than to incur a new cost for mandatory recycling.

This is not an urgent function of Government - Increased recycling might be a nice statistic that will make some people feel better that we have a “green city,” but whether we recycle one percentage or another of recyclable waste is neither a public safety nor a public health issue requiring government action enforced by the criminal code

I have lived in Lincoln during the entire evolution of the recycling movement. My observation is that on the whole Lincoln residents voluntarily recycle because we believe that it is a good thing to do. I have no objection to city leaders using their positions as a platform to promote recycling, or for the City government to appropriate a reasonable sum in its budget to encourage recycling. If some group stands out for not recycling, I have no objection to the City leaders working with that group to develop an avenue for recycling to become viable for them.

However, this is simply not an urgent function of City Government and should be left to private initiative.
John Cederberg

********************************

John E. Cederberg
7425 Red Oak Road
Lincoln, Nebraska 68516
E-mail – jcederberg@windstream.net
Good afternoon -

I will be unable to attend the public hearing tonight, but I very much want to indicate my support for the RecycleLincoln! initiative. I encourage you to vote in support of the ordinance.

I am available via email for additional comments if necessary.

Please help promote recycling and divert materials from the landfill!

Thank you,
Jessica Reay

5230 N 13th Street
Lincoln NE 68521
402.440.4818
jessica.reay@hotmail.com
Mr. Camp:

I have lived in Lincoln for 10 years and am an avid cyclist and Lincoln trail user.

I wanted to express my concern about the plans for the Children's Zoo parking lot expansion, specifically how the vehicles will cross the Rock Island trail.

This is an unacceptable plan and highly dangerous to cyclists, runners, and all who use that trail. I cannot tell you how many times I have connected by eyesight with a driver of a car, thinking we both see each other, I begin to proceed, only to almost be run down by the vehicle simply not "seeing" me. Many times around Holmes Lake and also by Southwest High School.

The connector should not be run through one of the most heavily used trails in Lincoln. Drivers simply do not see runners, cyclists, pedestrians, etc. This is a horrible situation waiting to happen.

I urge you to look into a more safer route for all involved. There are many more solutions. Take time to make a wise decision and keep our Rock Island Trail users safe.

Sincerely,

Shari Rosso
6631 S. 59th St.
Lincoln NE 68516
Dear Council members;

I have had the pleasure of serving on the Lincoln Water Facilities Master Plan Committee in 2013-14, participating in the study done by HDR. In that study it was recommended that the Water System increase their replacement of aging infrastructure at a rate of 7 miles a year to maintain the backlog of ageing water mains scheduled for replacement. That is replacement of what we have in the ground. Not new expansion.

I would suggest that taking care of what we have is a prime duty/responsibility of government and that water is essential to the public health, safety and the economy of the community.

I would strongly urge the Council to approve the increase to the water and sewer fee. If we can't afford this now, when will we ever be able to? Differing increases is what got us into this issue in the first place and will just cost more in the future.

Respectfully;

Mike DeKalb
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for
General Council

Name: Dale McIntosh
Address: 1610 Manatt St
City: Lincoln, NE 68521

Phone:
Fax:
Email: dmcintosh@neb.rr.com

Comment or Question:
Council Members,

I am highly concerned with the spending being driven by the Mayor and several ideas being floated around. I can see I am not the only one as the paper has been full of frustrated tax payers this week. Of note are the letters to the editor in the Sunday 24 July edition. A well done letter published twice by the Journal sums up a lot of the feelings and questions. Please take note of Sunday's edition and the letter by Jeffrey E. Paynes.

The fees, taxes, bonds, etc are killing us. The Mayor wants forced recycling but only on items that the city can make money on, but because now this item isn't going to the land fill we are going to increase land fill fees? What kind of logic is this? Either you're recycling more and thus lowering the costs of the land fill or your status quo but don't pull this BS of double charging the public by increasing fees and selling more recyclables!

How about we take the $972,000.00 on arena art and use it to lower tax payer costs. Maybe the Mayor could pay for his own art whims instead of tax payers.

Now to give everyone credit SCC wants a small fortune to build new campuses. How bout they just use what they have and pay their own expansions.

You have the fella who costs us fuel higher than anywhere else within 100 miles dipping into TIF money to build more BS in the Hay Market. Maybe we should tear that mess down in Havelock instead of pouring our soul into the Hay Market.

Our money is being spent foolishly and it's hurting those of us on small incomes trying to get by. We need you to put a stop to this and rein in that Mayor. Please say no to this budget. A few dollars is what I hear. Start adding that up with the city and county, LPS, SCC and every other entity that can go after a buck and it's a lot.

Sincerely,
D McIntosh
7-24-2016

TO: Lincoln City Council

RE: Recycle Lincoln initiative- Support.

The Witherbee Neighborhood Association supports the Recycle Lincoln proposal before you. We encourage you to adopt the proposed ordinance and make additions & adjustments to the City Budget as required to fully implement the plan.

We would support a change from criminal misdemeanor to civil penalty for violations, similar to the change made with mandatory watering restriction violations in recent years past.

It is our hope that Lincoln can be a shining example of environmental responsibility in the state and in the Midwest.

Sincerely,

Richard Bagby, President
Witherbee Neighborhood Association.
Dear City Council Members,
I write in support of the proposed ordinance recycling timelines to divert and recycle cardboard by April 1, 2017, newsprint by April 1, 2018 and paper by April 1, 2019. This action is needed to improve our community’s recycling rate.

The week following every Christmas I am amazed at the overflowing trash receptacles set out Lincoln residents. These receptacles are overflowing because of cardboard packaging and paper wrapping. While post Christmas is the most evident, this is ongoing concern throughout the year. Having toured the Bluff Road landfill, I observed much could be diverted by recycling and composting.

This proposed ordinance will not impact me as I have taken advantage of the City drop off recycling sites for years. But I am just one household trying to make a difference. We an enhanced community wide push backed by the commercial refuse industry.

Please adopt the Recycle Lincoln ordinance proposal.

Lilly Blase
Lincoln, NE
Please hold the line on property taxes. They are too high now.

An idea for freeing up money for the police is to eliminate the Sustainability Dept.

Thanks
S. Wayne Smith
6345 S 35th Ct.
Lincoln, NE 68516
Attn: Council Members

This e-mail is to express my dismay as a homeowner of the City's proposed recycling ordinance.

It is not forward thinking in my opinion. The private haulers do not have the infrastructure in place to support this ordinance at this time. Neither will it sufficiently shorten the life of the landfill to warrant such a disruption of business and personal residences.

I believe it will place a burden on rental owners for enforcement of the ordinance rather than on a public entity to enforce this ordinance.

Office paper and cardboard, while easy to recycle voluntarily are not easy to recycle wholly and completely. One slip could mean a misdemeanor infraction. What about paper goods that have been contaminated with food waste or chemicals? Is that still able to be recycled or would it be more appropriate for contaminated items to end up in the waste stream?

As a homeowner, I am not excited about being forced into this step by a heavy-handed mayoral/council action. I am not supportive of the extra expense that will result to our household. There is not tax savings for this either. Very little to be gained. The case for it is weak and insufficient.

Please table or kill this proposed ordinance.

Respectfully,

Marcy Ganow
2125 Sewell St
Lincoln, NE 68502
402-730-8831