IN LIEU OF
DIRECTORS’ MEETING
JANUARY 26, 2015

I. CITY CLERK

II. MAYOR

III. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

CABLE TELEVISION ADVISORY BOARD/TELECOMMUNICATIONS
2. Telecommunications/Cable Television Advisory Board Meeting Agenda for January 22, 2015.

COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS

FINANCE/BUDGET
   a) Actual Compared to Projected Sales Tax Collections;
   b) Gross Sales Tax Collections (With Refunds Added Back In) 2010-2011 through 2014-2015;
   c) Sales Tax Refunds 2010-2011 through 2014-2015; and

PLANNING COMMISSION

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1. Nebraska Capitol Environs Commission meeting agenda for January 22, 2015.
2. Annexation by Ordinance, So. 70th and Rokeby Road map. Effective January 20, 2015, 26.43 acres.
3. Administrative Amendment No. 14080 Approved January 13, 2015 by the Acting Planning Director.

PUBLIC WORKS/WATER
1. Lincoln Water & Wastewater Systems Fiscal Year 2013-14 Audits.

IV. COUNCIL MEMBERS

V. CORRESPONDENCE FROM CITIZENS
1. Michael J. Szatko requesting information on the Old Cheney construction from 70th Street to 82nd Street. (Sent to Miki Esposito, Public Works and Utilities Director)
   a) Correspondence from Councilman Camp to Mr. Szatko.
2. Mark Kurtenbach bringing attention to manhole causing driveway damage.
   a) Email to Mr. Kurtenbach from Councilman Camp.
Mayor Beutler’s Public Schedule
Week of January 17 through 23, 2015
Schedule subject to change

Monday, January 19
CITY OFFICES CLOSED - MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR. DAY

Tuesday, January 20
• KLIN - 8:10 a.m.

Friday, January 23
• 41st annual Albert W. Bauer Awards Banquet - 6 p.m., Firefighter Hall, 241 Victory Lane
AGENDA

I. Roll Call

II. Approval of Minutes of December 11, 2014 Commission Meeting

III. Approval of Agenda for January 29, 2015 Commission Meeting

IV. Case Dispositions
   A. Reasonable Cause / No Reasonable Cause
      1. LCHR NO.: 14-0801-017-E-R
      2. LCHR NO.: 14-0902-020-E
   B. Pre-Determination Settlement
      1. LCHR NO.: 14-1023-025-H
      2. LCHR NO.: 14-1119-026-H

V. Administrative Closures
   A. Withdrawal
      1. LCHR NO.: 14-1119-027-H

VI. Old Business
   A. New investigator update

VII. New Business
   A. Outreach Activities

VIII. Public Comment**

IX. Adjournment

** Public comments are limited to 5 minutes per person. Members of the public may address any item of interest to the LCHR during this open session with the exception of LCHR cases. Also, no member of the public who wishes to address the Commission will be allowed to examine any individual Commissioner or staff member on any item/question before the Commission unless invited to do so by the Chairperson.

ACCOMMODATION NOTICE
The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public’s access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.
City of Lincoln  
Telecommunications/Cable Television Advisory Board  
Meeting Minutes – October 23, 2014  

Members Present: Paul Barnett, Andy Beecham, Edward Hoffman, Jim Johnson, Laurie Thomas Lee, John Neal, Arthur Zygielbaum  

Members Absent (excused): Matt Hansen, Linda Jewson, Steve Eggland  

City Staff: Steve Huggenberger, Diane Gonzolas, Jamie Wenz, David Young  

Representatives of Time Warner Cable: Jarad Falk, Tyler Hedrick, Bill Austin (attorney appearing on behalf of TWC)  

Representatives of Windstream: Brad Hedrick, Ed Krachmer, Brian Brooks, and others  

Others Present: Ginny Wright, Dick Piersol (Lincoln Journal-Star), Mega Sugianto (Channels 10/11)  

Call to Order  
Meeting was called to order at 4:00 pm by chair Ed Hoffman. Hoffman made note of the copy of the Open Meetings Act at the back of the room. Board members and guests introduced themselves.  

Minutes  
Minutes from the July 24 meeting were presented. Laurie Thomas Lee moved approval, Art Zygielbaum seconded; motion passed 7-0. Minutes from the August 4 special meeting were presented. Art Zygielbaum moved approval, John Neal seconded; motion passed 7-0.  

Old Business  

Update on cable franchise transfer request from Comcast  
Steve Huggenberger reported that we have received some of the items that Comcast was required to send us pursuant to city council action, but not all the items yet.  

New Business  

Windstream application for cable television franchise  
Information on the Windstream application was distributed by Brian Brooks, Windstream’s Director of Business Development.  

The product is called Kinetic.  

Brooks said that Windstream has been around for a long time, and have provided many services to the City of Lincoln. They would like to provide service to Lincoln; they think Lincoln is the right market for them at this time.  

Brooks said that they will be partnering with MediaRim, provided by AT&T. It’s in Omaha. 100% digital, updated UI, all the functionality that you’d expect. It has been deployed to over 6 million subscribers nationwide. The product delivers more seamless integration from the hardware and software down to the user’s home. It’s flexible enough for them to develop with it. When customers sign up for dedicated service, they will be on a separate service from the current Windstream service. He said that the quality of service will not be jeopardized to either video users or phone/broadband users. Two separate physical networks, running on the same copper. The services are whole home DVR, wireless set top boxes - first will be wired, others wireless.'  

Zygielbaum asked how many TVs can users watch at once. Brooks said up to four.  

Hoffman asked Brooks to confirm that for DVR one doesn’t have to have a separate box. Brooks said that’s correct; no separate charge for up to four TVs except for the extra box rental fees.  

Hoffman asked how much their charge for extra TVs compare with Time Warner Cable. Brooks said that he thinks it’s much less.
Laurie Thomas Lee asked how the capacity compares. Brooks said he is not sure what TWC is deploying today so was unable to answer the question.

Brooks said the service is multi-video; one can view up to 6 channels on an inset screen so you can flip back & forth.

The lowest tier will include PEG channels plus local channels. The next level up, called Tier One, will include PEG channels, local channels, Big Ten Network, and others. The next level, Tier Two, will include more options. Brooks said that the great thing about the system is that they can plug in the channels wherever they want; for instance they could place SCityTV on Channel 5 if the City wants that. Initially they will require a set top box; they hope to move off of that requirement eventually.

Brooks said that the cost of set top boxes is less than $10 per box (including DVR); each additional room will have a separate set top box, not more than $10. They will be very competitive; they know that they have to be in the game on day one. They want to be very transparent; they plan to make sure that users know what they're getting. Brooks thinks that customers will be very satisfied with what they want.

Zygielbaum asked Brooks to confirm that the service is based in Kentucky, and national shows come in there; Brooks said yes. Zygielbaum: said that's a concern to him. He has both TWC and Windstream broadband and he detects downtime more often on Windstream than on TWC. He asked if in the case of downtime there is a way that they can provide a single channel locally so that local users could keep up with news. Brooks said absolutely. All the local channels will be broadcast locally.

Zygielbaum said that they're obviously going to need a lot of equipment that Windstream doesn't currently have; he asked if they are going to have the staff to do that. Brad Hedrick said that Windstream is committed to adding whatever staff they need. Also there is redundancy built in; a north route and a south route.

Zygielbaum asked if people are going to lose all channels in the case of an outage. Brooks said no; typically you might lose a few channels but there is staffing to keep the system pretty stable. If they have a hiccup they will get on top of it and get it done.

Zygielbaum had a question about the coverage map that was distributed; he assumes that's limited by the loop distance, correct? Brooks said yes; He believes there will be new technology that will allow it to be expanded.

Laurie Thomas Lee said that the proposal indicates that they would be able to reach 80% after year 15. Is that year 15 of the franchise or year 2015? Brooks said it is year 15 of the franchise. He would like to think that it would be 100%, but they cannot guarantee that.

Hoffman asked what Windstream would tell customers who asks why they should I go with this service rather than a competitor. Brooks said that if a customer looks at all they get, whole home DVR, wireless set top boxes, all that stuff, they will want this service. Can use the wireless to watch TV outside or take it around to multiple rooms if they choose to. AT&T and some of these other places have seen a drop in deck rolls.

Zygielbaum asked if that changes the way that the broadband wi-fi works. Brooks said no; that's on a separate network.

Hoffman asked that the Huskers will be available on Tier One, correct? Brooks said Yes.

Neal asked with regard to reporting, what would the customer service reports look like? Ed Krachmer and Brian Brooks said that they have a system now of KPIs (Key Performance Indicators) which they use to see trends of problems. Hoffman commented that it sounds like you’re saying that you could tell us about trends, correct? Brooks said yes, absolutely.

Hoffman asked if there will be additional jobs in Lincoln. Brad Hedrick said that there will be some; the big factor will be how many customers the service gets. They will need people to do installation, etc, depending on the usage of the system. Brooks added that there will also have to be more people in the office on M Street to work with customers.

Hoffman asked if there is a commitment to some extent to have local jobs in Lincoln; Brad Hedrick said yes.

Zygielbaum asked if Windstream would be able to report on how many people are watching our PEG channels. Brooks said that there are certain tools that you would have to have to tell the usage. Some tools could get reports out saying that in aggregate people are watching certain channels. That would be available, but they have not decided whether to purchase them.
Laurie Thomas Lee said that she has a concern about the limited coverage; people might be concerned that they're not in the covered area. Krachmer said that there will be some areas that aren't on the coverage map where people still might be able to get the service. They think their coverage is pretty good compared to the typical provider. Laurie Thomas Lee said that she knows that the Cable Act requires franchises to allow reasonable times to get coverage. She understands that they're not redlining, but she needs to know what to say to people who ask. Brooks said that if they could supply to everyone, he would. They don't have the budget for now. If they see a lot of usage, then we'll probably see an expansion. It is a technology problem. Laurie asked how Windstream will communicate to people that they might not be in the network. Brooks said that there will be a tool provided on their website. Krachmer added that he thinks everyone in town will get a benefit from having a new entry in the market, even though Windstream's service won't reach the whole city.

Beecham said that the coverage map title is "Coverage One Year After Launch"; does that imply that the day one coverage will be different? Krachmer said that on Day One there will be a fair amount of coverage but there will be some blips. Brooks said that once the service launches, there will be about six months of internal testing before they start bringing it to customers. They will ramp up the service when they start going live.

Hoffman asked why these particular areas are covered; Brooks said that customers in those areas have loop service.

Hoffman asked what is a Video Aggregation Point? Hedrick said it's a piece of electronic equipment.

Hoffman commented that the map shows that (1) Windstream has determined to go for coverage of the whole city, and (2) There are existing hubs. Right? Brooks said yes. Krachmer said that the same hubs are used to provide voice and data.

Barnett asked since these hubs are in these locations currently, does this limit current service? Brooks said no.

Barnett asked if they were at 40% on day one, and were going for 80% after fifteen years, is it realistic to think that the initial 40% would be enough to drive the expansion. Brooks said that expansion could be driven by two things; one is how many new subscribers they think they can get, two is improvements in technology. Krachmer said that 40% is enough of a start to get the kind of base that they need. If they were only going for 5% then it wouldn't work.

Hoffman asked what kind of customer satisfaction have people with this equipment shown in the past. Brooks said that since the early days, it has expanded from 100,000 to 6 million with much satisfaction. Brooks feels really solid about the platform. He thinks it has the backing of good partners.

Laurie Thomas Lee asked if they are trying to get as many content providers as possible. Brooks said yes; we're well down the path on content acquisition.

Hoffman asked if there will be Channels On Demand. Brooks said yes; movies as well as TV.

Laurie Thomas Lee asked what would happen to Windstream’s current relationship with Dish. Brooks said that the relationship remains the same; it will be a fallback offer if people do not qualify for Kinetic. Laurie asked if subscribers to Kinetic would be allowed to switch to Dish if they want; Brooks said yes.

John Neal said that one of the downsides of AT&T Universe seems to be a change in pricing after 6 months. Brooks said that initial pricing will last longer than six months. They are still working that out, but 6 month pricing doesn't benefit anyone.

Laurie asked if there will be bundling. Brooks said yes, there will be bundling; probably if you just take TV there will be a certain price, discounts available if you group together services.

Brooks said that Windstream wants to make sure that this service places Lincoln first. There are a lot of folks at Windstream who have put a lot of work into this.

Hoffman said that this technology has been around for a long time, but this is the first time that Windstream has done this, correct? Brooks said yes.

Hoffman: asked if Windstream would commit to having a representative available to attend meetings of the Telecommunications/Cable Television Advisory Board meetings. Brooks and Hedrick said yes, absolutely.
Following the presentation by Windstream, Huggenberger addressed the board regarding provisions of this agreement which are different from the Time Warner Cable franchise. He had sent Board members a list of items that are different from the TWC franchise. He wanted to summarize the major differences.

Section 7.3: The TWC agreement has a very limited section on reporting, but the City is interested in going back to some form of the annual report that’s in the ordinance. Huggenberger is sure that there will be some tailoring of the annual report from the ordinance. Some form of a financial statement, may or may not be audited.

General description about complaints. The City may specify the form of all the requirements.

Krachmer commented that Windstream is open to those things.

Zygielbaum asked if they would be OK with an annual performance evaluation. Krachmer said that Windstream hopes the City won’t feel the need to do that, but they will be open to it. Huggenberger said that performance evaluation is not necessarily a complaint performance, it's more of a status performance.

PEG Section, section 9. A great deal of the PEG requirements is identical. One thing that is different is in section 9.8, there was much discussion of a transition to an all digital system. Windstream will start out with an all digital system on Day One. Huggenberger said that Windstream has committed to providing everything that TWC has provided. The City will need to discuss this with both parties to see how the PEG programming will be shared. The majority of those discussions so far have been internal to City staff, but the City will need to have the discussions with both companies. Huggenberger’s thinking is that the feed for the PEG channels would be the same, but broadcast on the two different services.

Triggering mechanisms are different. TWC started with 70,000 to 80,000 subscribers, Windstream starts with 0 subscribers. PEG obligation begins six months after Windstream has 20,000 subscribers.

One of the things that was in the TWC franchise was a commitment that TWC would help with City’s fiber. Windstream would have a requirement of $40,000 / year for the first 10 years, and then if Windstream has over 10,000 subscribers it would jump to $50,000 per year.

Windstream may be utilizing the City’s conduit system to do whatever they will be doing. They will be signing a lease for the conduit system.

Huggenberer asked if the Board had any questions.

Zygielbaum asked if there is an abandonment clause. Huggenberger said that in the TWC franchise, there is a clause that says that if TWC abandons the service, certain things will happen. With the Windstream system, they are using their system for other things including broadband. That’s wonderful to hear, however we will include an abandonment clause.

Hoffman said that they will need to look at the details. It is pretty hard to imagine how the system would be out for 48 hours without some kind of force majeure event. Zygielbaum said that to his mind it’s bringing a set of reliability standards. He feels that the Windstream system would be as reliable as the TWC service.

Huggenberger said that there are a handful of issues that they are still working out, but he is confident that they can work out the details. Zygielbaum said that if there are things that are negotiated with Windstream, is there a chance that TWC would want to reopen their agreement? Huggenberger said that we are always willing to reopen negotiations with TWC. Huggenberger said that if we negotiate a franchise with a second provider, there is a requirement to make sure that the two agreements are equitable.

Hoffman asked Huggenberger if he has had discussions with TWC regarding whether these conditions are equitable. Huggenberger said not at the present time.

Krachmer said that they would maintain their pricing agreements as a telecom service. Brad Hedrick said that there is about a 50-50 split between LES and Windstream on ownership of poles.

Hoffman asked if representatives from TWC wanted to make any comments. Falk said that he has not had a chance to review this yet. He wants to make sure that Windstream is not given any greater benefit or lesser burden than TWC. Bill Austin commented that there are a number of provisions that we have questions about: the $1.75 million grant; the Service Area Issue - whether 15 years to get 80% penetration is reasonable; contributions to service area. Hoffman asked if Huggenberger had any reactions to the
items mentioned by Austin. Huggenberger said that he believes we have a defensible argument. Krachmer said that the $1.75 million was paid up front; TWC was not required to pay the 41 cents per customer initially.

Huggenberger said that whenever the board acts, we have an intention of taking it to the City Council.

Hoffman asked if David Young from Public Works had any questions. Young said that he has been involved with discussions since the beginning, all of his questions have been answered by Windstream. Hoffman asked if this service would be utilizing the 4" conduit where it’s been laid; Young said yes.

Zygielbaum had a process question: what do we need to do to approve this? Huggenberger thinks it would be appropriate to vote on the agreement as presented, based on the understanding that we could be happy with it with the understanding that the City will attempt to work out the details. Hoffman said he thinks that there are not a great deal of issues that we need to work out. He would be comfortable with voting today. Zygielbaum moved that we recommend that the City accept the proposed franchise agreement subject to completion of the franchise negotiation. Johnson seconded.

John Neal said that the only thing that he has seen which might cause an issue is the discussion with TWC.

Laurie Thomas Lee said that she is concerned about the buildout.

Huggenberger said that one thing we intend to look at annually is the buildout, and if we feel that they aren't serving what they should, then we will have that discussion with Windstream. Hoffman said that there is a proposal for competition in this City, and that's one of the main themes that we hear about when we have public hearings. He feels that this proposal addresses it; he thinks we have a very short window.

Motion passed 7-0.

**TWC report**

Jarad Falk had a few comments:

(1) TWC is initiating a power save feature on some DVR boxes; the boxes will go into power save mode if left on for 4 hours. This will not affect recording. Neal asked if the box will automatically come back up? Falk said yes, press a key and it will come back up.

Falk: said that TWC-TV expanded that down to their basic level in early October.

Falk was asked about the decision to replace WOWT with KSNB on channel 6. Falk said that it has to do with DMAs. Gray wanted to make sure that KSNB was on the lower tier.

**City Report:**

**Government & Education Info.**

Improved Fiber connection with NET. The student news show is playing once a week. Scheduled so that it does not conflict with the news shows on local affiliates.

Fairly busy quarter on Government Access. About 160 hours per week. Live cablecasting: City Council, County Commission,

Gonzolas said that there is going to be an interview with two authors of the book about Caril Fugate on the John Ames reading series. There will be a viewer discretion warning.

Gonzolas said that the first three-year grant for Channel 10 expired in July, however we have enough left over to run it for another year; we will apply again in January.

Huggenberger sent a copy of the letter from Austin regarding the TWC performance evaluation. Anticipates that we will come forward with a report. Austin was asked for comment; he had none.

**Public Comments**
Ginny Wright said that she has had an issue with current cable provider. Sept Bill was $81.87; Oct was 100+. As the chair mentioned earlier in the meeting, $20 may not be a big deal for some people but it is for others. Looking at the customer satisfaction for JD Edwards, TWC and ComCast have the lowest customer satisfaction in the country. She gets frequent stoppage of her service. She is not complaining about the frontline employees. She has learned to go into the office to get anything done. Wants to know why her bill jumped so much. One reason is that her Social Security income has never jumped $21 in a month. She is concerned that the proposed merger between ComCast and TWC would make ComCast the largest provider in the nation. The goal of business is to make money. Some companies have shown that doing things right does contribute to their profit. TWC increases her costs because it can; it’s all technically legal. She said that the two most disliked companies have proposed to merge. The majority of Americans have a choice between only two providers. She said that squeezing the customer cannot work. TWC has shifted from good hard working employees to less able employees. When she first moved into her place she had a great service person, but he is no longer with the company. With the free rein of TWC, she can only expect to pay more. She says things out loud that other people only think, but she is fed up. Can this board make a difference for the public good? Apparently it’s now her job to ferret out other providers such as Dish, Hulu, etc; she isn’t comfortable with that kind of stuff. Wants TV with preferably a la carte; wants to look at trendlines (she wants to see soccer on TV); wants dependable delivery of these services by employees with good benefits.

Zygielbaum asked Wright how long has she been a TWC customer. She said a long time. One thing that she has discovered is that when she changed addresses to public housing she kept getting bills. When one changes an address it doesn’t change the service to going to the new address.

Adjournment
Meeting was adjourned at 6:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Jim Johnson, secretary
Meeting Notice
City of Lincoln, Nebraska
Telecommunications/Cable Television Advisory Board
4 p.m. Thursday, January 22, 2015
Bill Luxford Studio, first floor, County-City Building, 555 S. 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508

Agenda:

Call to Order

1. Introduction of board members and guests

2. Approval of minutes from the October 23, 2014 regular meeting

3. Election of Officers

4. Old Business
   • Update on Windstream’s cable franchise agreement
   • Update on cable franchise transfer request from Comcast
   • Review of Time Warner Memorandum of Understanding related to performance review

5. New Business
   • Time Warner Cable rate increases
   • Lincoln Electric System “dark” fiber
6. Time Warner Cable Report

7. Windstream Report

8. City Report (PEG status)

9. Public Comments

Adjournment

___________________________________________________________________________

Board members: If you cannot attend this meeting, please contact Diane Gonzolas at 402-441-7831 or dgonzolas@lincoln.ne.gov.

______________________________________________________________________________

Regular meeting dates are the fourth Thursdays of January, April, July and October. The next meeting is scheduled for April 23, 2015.

**ACCOMMODATION NOTICE**

The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public’s access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.

Diane Gonzolas
Manager, Citizen Information Center
Office of the Mayor
555 S. 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508
402-441-7831
cell 402-525-1520
dgonzolas@lincoln.ne.gov
Actual collections for the fiscal year to date are 1.81% under projections for the year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>3.65%</td>
<td>$9,366.85</td>
<td>5.82%</td>
<td>$9,288.79</td>
<td>6.15%</td>
<td>$9,151.24</td>
<td>6.22%</td>
<td>$9,085.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>3.59%</td>
<td>$9,323.17</td>
<td>5.76%</td>
<td>$9,207.31</td>
<td>6.07%</td>
<td>$9,137.52</td>
<td>5.98%</td>
<td>$9,025.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>$9,184.81</td>
<td>6.13%</td>
<td>$9,021.48</td>
<td>5.93%</td>
<td>$8,965.77</td>
<td>6.07%</td>
<td>$8,902.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>3.31%</td>
<td>$9,222.67</td>
<td>6.14%</td>
<td>$9,064.72</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>$9,004.92</td>
<td>6.15%</td>
<td>$8,945.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>3.66%</td>
<td>$9,306.89</td>
<td>6.07%</td>
<td>$9,150.80</td>
<td>6.26%</td>
<td>$9,089.08</td>
<td>6.47%</td>
<td>$9,029.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>$9,369.05</td>
<td>5.82%</td>
<td>$9,288.79</td>
<td>6.15%</td>
<td>$9,151.24</td>
<td>6.22%</td>
<td>$9,085.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>3.67%</td>
<td>$9,370.72</td>
<td>5.84%</td>
<td>$9,291.77</td>
<td>6.16%</td>
<td>$9,153.77</td>
<td>6.25%</td>
<td>$9,093.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>3.74%</td>
<td>$9,413.74</td>
<td>5.91%</td>
<td>$9,331.89</td>
<td>6.25%</td>
<td>$9,184.81</td>
<td>6.33%</td>
<td>$9,125.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>$9,490.12</td>
<td>6.02%</td>
<td>$9,347.25</td>
<td>6.29%</td>
<td>$9,202.42</td>
<td>6.47%</td>
<td>$9,143.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>3.82%</td>
<td>$9,420.93</td>
<td>6.03%</td>
<td>$9,337.25</td>
<td>6.29%</td>
<td>$9,202.42</td>
<td>6.47%</td>
<td>$9,143.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>3.84%</td>
<td>$9,420.93</td>
<td>6.04%</td>
<td>$9,337.25</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
<td>$9,207.31</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>$9,160.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>3.86%</td>
<td>$9,420.93</td>
<td>6.06%</td>
<td>$9,347.25</td>
<td>6.32%</td>
<td>$9,212.42</td>
<td>6.53%</td>
<td>$9,165.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projected sales tax collections actual compared to

VARIANCE

Projected sales tax collections actual compared to
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$38,726,806</td>
<td>$4,464</td>
<td>$5,570,961</td>
<td>$4,946</td>
<td>$5,635,827</td>
<td>$5,896,527</td>
<td>$5,933,052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5,935</td>
<td>$96,460</td>
<td>$4,943</td>
<td>$5,265</td>
<td>$5,241</td>
<td>$5,183</td>
<td>$4,985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,221</td>
<td>$9,319</td>
<td>$3,179</td>
<td>$3,573</td>
<td>$3,192</td>
<td>$3,148</td>
<td>$3,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$11,383</td>
<td>$5,252</td>
<td>$7,832</td>
<td>$5,420</td>
<td>$5,787</td>
<td>$5,609</td>
<td>$5,721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$6,599</td>
<td>$4,260</td>
<td>$3,108</td>
<td>$2,717</td>
<td>$2,467</td>
<td>$2,088</td>
<td>$1,894</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2010-2011 THROUGH 2014-2015
WITH REFUNDS ADDED BACK IN
GROSS SALES TAX COLLECTIONS
CITY OF LINCOLN
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>79.26%</td>
<td>75.15%</td>
<td>73.69%</td>
<td>71.26%</td>
<td>69.30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.79%</td>
<td>23.72%</td>
<td>23.72%</td>
<td>23.72%</td>
<td>23.72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.13%</td>
<td>3.37%</td>
<td>4.13%</td>
<td>3.37%</td>
<td>3.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.64%</td>
<td>5.42%</td>
<td>5.42%</td>
<td>5.42%</td>
<td>5.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-55.87%</td>
<td>-43.14%</td>
<td>-43.14%</td>
<td>-43.14%</td>
<td>-43.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ (318,404)</td>
<td>$ (389,915)</td>
<td>$ (358,173)</td>
<td>$ (190,269)</td>
<td>$ (190,269)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ (318,404)</td>
<td>$ (389,915)</td>
<td>$ (358,173)</td>
<td>$ (190,269)</td>
<td>$ (190,269)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ (318,404)</td>
<td>$ (389,915)</td>
<td>$ (358,173)</td>
<td>$ (190,269)</td>
<td>$ (190,269)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ (318,404)</td>
<td>$ (389,915)</td>
<td>$ (358,173)</td>
<td>$ (190,269)</td>
<td>$ (190,269)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ (318,404)</td>
<td>$ (389,915)</td>
<td>$ (358,173)</td>
<td>$ (190,269)</td>
<td>$ (190,269)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2010-2011 THROUGH 2014-2015
SALES TAX REVENUES
CITY OF LINCOLN
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Previous Year</th>
<th>3.65%</th>
<th>5.16%</th>
<th>6.5%</th>
<th>7.1%</th>
<th>2.7%</th>
<th>10.7%</th>
<th>21.0%</th>
<th>31.3%</th>
<th>89%</th>
<th>92%</th>
<th>93%</th>
<th>94%</th>
<th>95%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td></td>
<td>$47,742</td>
<td>$47,742</td>
<td>$47,742</td>
<td>$47,742</td>
<td>$47,742</td>
<td>$47,742</td>
<td>$47,742</td>
<td>$47,742</td>
<td>$47,742</td>
<td>$47,742</td>
<td>$47,742</td>
<td>$47,742</td>
<td>$47,742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$45,282</td>
<td>$45,282</td>
<td>$45,282</td>
<td>$45,282</td>
<td>$45,282</td>
<td>$45,282</td>
<td>$45,282</td>
<td>$45,282</td>
<td>$45,282</td>
<td>$45,282</td>
<td>$45,282</td>
<td>$45,282</td>
<td>$45,282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td></td>
<td>$39,528</td>
<td>$39,528</td>
<td>$39,528</td>
<td>$39,528</td>
<td>$39,528</td>
<td>$39,528</td>
<td>$39,528</td>
<td>$39,528</td>
<td>$39,528</td>
<td>$39,528</td>
<td>$39,528</td>
<td>$39,528</td>
<td>$39,528</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td></td>
<td>$36,222</td>
<td>$36,222</td>
<td>$36,222</td>
<td>$36,222</td>
<td>$36,222</td>
<td>$36,222</td>
<td>$36,222</td>
<td>$36,222</td>
<td>$36,222</td>
<td>$36,222</td>
<td>$36,222</td>
<td>$36,222</td>
<td>$36,222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td></td>
<td>$32,972</td>
<td>$32,972</td>
<td>$32,972</td>
<td>$32,972</td>
<td>$32,972</td>
<td>$32,972</td>
<td>$32,972</td>
<td>$32,972</td>
<td>$32,972</td>
<td>$32,972</td>
<td>$32,972</td>
<td>$32,972</td>
<td>$32,972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td></td>
<td>$26,416</td>
<td>$26,416</td>
<td>$26,416</td>
<td>$26,416</td>
<td>$26,416</td>
<td>$26,416</td>
<td>$26,416</td>
<td>$26,416</td>
<td>$26,416</td>
<td>$26,416</td>
<td>$26,416</td>
<td>$26,416</td>
<td>$26,416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEAR</td>
<td></td>
<td>$21,012</td>
<td>$21,012</td>
<td>$21,012</td>
<td>$21,012</td>
<td>$21,012</td>
<td>$21,012</td>
<td>$21,012</td>
<td>$21,012</td>
<td>$21,012</td>
<td>$21,012</td>
<td>$21,012</td>
<td>$21,012</td>
<td>$21,012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2010-2011 THROUGH 2014-2015
NET SALES TAX COLLECTIONS
CITY OF LINCOLN
** ACTION BY PLANNING COMMISSION **

NOTICE: The Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission will hold a public hearing on Wednesday, January 21, 2015, at 1:00 p.m., in Hearing Room 112 on the first floor of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St., Lincoln, Nebraska. For more information, call the Planning Department, (402) 441-7491.

**PLEASE NOTE: The Planning Commission action is final action on any item with a notation of “FINAL ACTION”. Any aggrieved person may appeal Final Action of the Planning Commission to the City Council or County Board by filing a Notice of Appeal with the City Clerk or County Clerk within 14 days following the action of the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission action on all other items is a recommendation to the City Council or County Board.

AGENDA

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 21, 2015

[Commissioner Sunderman absent]

Approval of minutes of the regular meeting held January 7, 2015. **APPROVED: 8-0 (Sunderman absent)**

1. CONSENT AGENDA
   (Public Hearing and Administrative Action):

   COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:

   1.1 Comprehensive Plan Conformance No. 14027, to review as to conformance with the 2040 Lincoln-Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan, the proposal to declare property as surplus, generally located at North 12th Street and Charleston Street.

   Staff recommendation: Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan
   Staff Planner: Paul Barnes, 402-441-6372, pbarnes@lincoln.ne.gov
   Planning Commission recommendation: A FINDING OF CONFORMANCE WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN: 8-0 (Sunderman absent).

   Public Hearing before City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, February 9, 2015, 3:00 p.m.
PERMITS:

1.2 Special Permit No. 08002A for authority to expand the area for the sale of alcoholic beverages for consumption off the premises, on property generally located at 2500 N.W. 12th Street. **FINAL ACTION**

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Brian Will, 402-441-6362, bwill@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission ‘final action’: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the staff report dated January 7, 2015: 8-0 (Sunderman absent).
Resolution No. PC-01431

2. REQUESTS FOR DEFERRAL: None.

3. ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA: None

4. PUBLIC HEARING AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION

CHANGE OF ZONE

4.1 Change of Zone No. 14035, from R-2 Residential District to R-3 Residential District, on property generally located at the northwest corner of South 40th Street and Pioneers Boulevard.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Christy Eichorn, 402-441-7603, ceichorn@lincoln.ne.gov
Had public hearing.
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL: 8-0 (Sunderman absent).
Public Hearing before City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, February 9, 2015, 3:00 p.m.

*********

AT THIS TIME, ANYONE WISHING TO SPEAK ON AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA, MAY DO SO

*********

Adjournment

PENDING LIST: None
Planning Dept. staff contacts:

Stephen Henrichsen, Development Review Manager  402-441-6374  ........................ shenrichsen@lincoln.ne.gov
David Cary, Acting Director and Long Range Manager  402-441-6364  ........ dcary@lincoln.ne.gov
Paul Barnes, Planner  402-441-6372  ........................ pbarnes@lincoln.ne.gov
Michael Brienzo, Transportation Planner  402-441-6369  ........................ mbrienzo@lincoln.ne.gov
Tom Cajka, Planner  402-441-5662  ........................ tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov
Christy Eichorn, Planner  402-441-7603  ........................ ceichorn@lincoln.ne.gov
Brandon Garrett, Planner  402-441-6373  ........................ bgarrett@lincoln.ne.gov
Stacey Groshong Hageman, Planner  402-441-6361  ................ slhageman@lincoln.ne.gov
Sara Hartzell, Planner  402-441-6371  ........................ shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov
Brian Will, Planner  402-441-6362  ........................ bwill@lincoln.ne.gov
Kellee Van Bruggen, Transportation Planner  402-441-6363  ................ kvanbruggen@lincoln.ne.gov
Ed Zimmer, Historic Preservation Planner  402-441-6360  ........................ ezimmer@lincoln.ne.gov

* * * * *

The Planning Commission meeting
which is broadcast live at 1:00 p.m. every other Wednesday
will be rebroadcast on Sundays at 1:00 p.m. on 5 City TV, Cable Channel 5.

* * * * *

The Planning Commission agenda may be accessed on the Internet at
http://www.lincoln.ne.gov/city/plan/pcagenda/index.htm

ACCOMMODATION NOTICE

The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the
Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public’s access to and participating in public meetings
is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to
attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of
Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before
the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.
TO: Mayor Chris Beutler
   Lincoln City Council

FROM: Jean Preister, Planning

DATE: January 21, 2015

RE: Notice of final action by Planning Commission: January 21, 2015

Please be advised that on January 21, 2015, the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission adopted the following resolution:

Resolution No. PC-01431, approving Special Permit No. 08002A, with conditions, requested by Casey’s Retail Company, for authority to expand the area authorized for the sale of alcoholic beverages for consumption off the premises to include an addition of approximately 600 sq. ft. on the premises generally located southwest of the intersection of N.W. 12th Street and Cornhusker Highway.

The Planning Commission action is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a notice of appeal with the City Clerk within 14 days of the action by the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission Resolution may be accessed on the internet at www.lincoln.ne.gov (Keyword = PATS). Use the “Search Selection” screen and search by application number (i.e. SP08002A). The Resolution and Planning Department staff report are in the “Related Documents” under the application number.
NEBRASKA CAPITOL ENVIRONS COMMISSION

The Nebraska Capitol Environs Commission will hold a public meeting on Thursday, January 22, 2015. The meeting will convene at 8:00 a.m. in Room 214, second floor, County/City Building, 555 S. 10th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska, to consider the following agenda.

For more information, please contact the Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Department at 402-441-7491.

AGENDA
January 22, 2015

1. Approval of meeting record of November 18, 2014.

Discussion

2. A Certificate of Appropriateness for work at the President and the Ambassador Apartments, 1330 and 1340 Lincoln Mall.

3. A Certificate of Appropriateness for a marker or monument on Goodhue Boulevard in the vicinity of A Street, honoring Bertram G. Goodhue.

Miscellaneous

4. Misc. and staff report.

Accommodation Notice

The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public’s access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.
Annexation by Ordinance
S 70th & Rokeby Rd
Effective: January 20, 2015
26.43 Acres

[Map showing the area of annexation, ownership parcels, and city limits before annexation]
This is a list of the administrative approvals by the Acting Planning Director from January 13, 2015 through January 19, 2015:

**Administrative Amendment No. 14080** to Final Plat No. 07028, The Bridges, approved by the Acting Planning Director on January 13, 2015, requested by Civil Design Group, for a waiver to extend the time for two years to install sidewalks, pedestrian way sidewalk, street trees, private ornamental lights, private roadway paving (S.W. 31st Street), and private storm sewer, on property generally located at SW 31st Street and W. Denton Road.
To: City Council Members

From: Fran Mejer
Public Works & Utilities Business Manager

Subject: Lincoln Water & Wastewater Systems Fiscal Year 2013-14 Audits

Date: January 21, 2015

cc: Mayor Chris Beutler, Miki Esposito, Donna Garden, Steve Hubka, Mary Meyer, Teresa Meier

BKD, LLP has completed their audit of the Lincoln Water and Wastewater Systems for Fiscal Year 2013-14. Copies of the reports are available at both the City Clerk’s office and City Council secretary’s office for your review. Should you personally wish to have a copy of the financial reports, I have additional copies in my office.

Attached is the Post Audit Communication and Management letter.

Should you have any questions regarding the audit, please do not hesitate to contact me at 402-441-7537.
The Honorable Mayor and Members of the Audit Committee
Lincoln Water and Wastewater Systems
Lincoln, Nebraska

As part of our audits of the financial statements of Lincoln Water and Wastewater Systems (collectively referred to as the System) as of and for the year ended August 31, 2014, we wish to communicate the following to you.

AUDIT SCOPE AND RESULTS

**Auditor’s Responsibility Under Auditing Standards Generally Accepted in the United States of America and the Standards Applicable to Financial Audits Contained in Government Auditing Standards Issued by the Comptroller General of the United States**

An audit performed in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States is designed to obtain reasonable, rather than absolute, assurance about the financial statements. In performing auditing procedures, we establish scopes of audit tests in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole. Our engagement does not include a detailed audit of every transaction. Our engagement letter more specifically describes our responsibilities.

These standards require communication of significant matters related to the financial statement audit that are relevant to the responsibilities of those charged with governance in overseeing the financial reporting process. Such matters are communicated in the remainder of this letter or have previously been communicated during other phases of the audit. The standards do not require the auditor to design procedures for the purpose of identifying other matters to be communicated with those charged with governance.

An audit of the financial statements does not relieve management or those charged with governance of their responsibilities. Our engagement letter more specifically describes your responsibilities.

**Qualitative Aspects of Significant Accounting Policies and Practices**

**Significant Accounting Policies**

The System’s significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 of each of the audited financial statements.
Alternative Accounting Treatments

We had discussions with management regarding alternative accounting treatments within accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for policies and practices for material items, including recognition, measurement and disclosure considerations related to the accounting for specific transactions as well as general accounting policies, as follows:

- Proper accounting treatment in accordance with the regulated operations provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 62

Management Judgments and Accounting Estimates

Accounting estimates are an integral part of financial statement preparation by management, based on its judgments. The following areas involve significant areas of such estimates for which we are prepared to discuss management’s estimation process and our procedures for testing the reasonableness of those estimates:

- Calculation of unbilled revenues
- Utility plant lives and depreciation methods

Financial Statement Disclosures

The following area involves a particularly sensitive financial statement disclosure for which we are prepared to discuss the issues involved and related judgments made in formulating this disclosure:

- Related party transactions

Audit Adjustments

During the course of any audit, an auditor may propose adjustments to financial statement amounts. Management evaluates our proposals and records those adjustments which, in its judgment, are required to prevent the financial statements from being materially misstated. No audit adjustments were identified as a result of our engagement.

Auditor’s Judgments About the Quality of the System’s Accounting Principles

No matters are reportable

Disagreements with Management

No matters are reportable

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

No matters are reportable
Other Material Written Communications

Listed below are other material written communications between management and us related to the audits:

- Management representation letters (attached)

OTHER MATTER

We offer this comment and suggestion with respect to a matter which came to our attention during the course of the audits of the financial statements. Our audit procedures are designed primarily to enable us to form an opinion on the financial statements and, therefore, may not bring to light all weaknesses in policies and procedures that may exist. However, this matter is offered as a constructive suggestion for the consideration of management as part of the ongoing process of modifying and improving financial and administrative practices and procedures. We can discuss this matter further at your convenience and may provide implementation assistance for changes or improvements.

Accounting Standards Currently Being Proposed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB)

Accounting and Financial Reporting for Fair Value Measurement and Application

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is deliberating the issuance of a new standard that would require governments to re-evaluate which assets and liabilities should be measured and reported at fair value within the financial statements and to include additional fair value disclosures in the notes to the financial statements. The additional disclosures would be similar to those currently required of companies in the non-governmental sector, including the levels of inputs a government uses to measure fair value and the judgments made in arriving at those inputs. GASB issued an exposure draft in May 2014 and comments were due in August 2014. A final standard is expected to be issued as soon as Spring 2015.

*****

This letter is intended solely for the information and use of the Mayor, Audit Committee, and management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

BKD, LLP

December 8, 2014
Mary M. Meyer

From: WebForm <none@lincoln.ne.gov>
Sent: Thursday, January 15, 2015 6:59 PM
To: Council Packet
Subject: InterLinc: Council Feedback

InterLinc: City Council Feedback for
   General Council

Name: Michael J Szatko
Address: 7625 S 37th Street
City: Lincoln, NE 68516

Phone: 402-429-4740
Fax:
Email: zatgolf@yahoo.com

Comment or Question:
I am asking for information in regards to Old Cheney construction from 70th street to 82nd. While I don't travel in this area a considerable amount, I do utilize that road (or used to) during the summer frequently. My concern is first the timeline of this project and how we the public have been lied to in an attempt to appease us. First we were told the road would open at Thanksgiving, then December 18, then December 26th, then January 18th and now January 30th is the latest date. I work with someone who does live just south of this project and he told me a city councilman told a group at a public meeting that the city "is obligated to take the lowest bid." While that may have been a maxim before this project, may I suggest that be looked at and may more prudent judgement prevail in the future. Also, I would like to know what other city roads projects the current contractor has with the city, if this company will be awarded future bids and how the city council has voted for these projects and bid letting(s). Finally, why does it take Lincoln so long to get a road project done? Omaha built the West Dodge expressway (I know it was a State project) at a distance of just short of 3 miles, elevated with bridges and many footing for said bridges in a span of 3 years. Lincoln cannot get .82 miles of a road paved (closed to traffic during the entire duration) in a year. May I propose a more proactive approach to traffic management in the future - you know build the roads BEFORE all the development is in place. I would like a response to this inquiry and especially in regards to the current contractor and the relation they have with the city for future projects.
Subject: FW: InterLinc: Council Feedback

-----Original Message-----
From: Jon Camp [mailto:joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 20, 2015 10:31 AM
To: Miki Esposito
Cc: Miki Esposito; Mary M. Meyer
Subject: RE: InterLinc: Council Feedback

Mr. Szatko:

Thanks for your email and questions on the Old Cheney paving project. Very valid questions and comments.

Your message was forwarded to Miki Esposito, the Director of the Public Works Department and she will be responding on specific details.

Once Miki has responded, we can better address the details, how it was conceived, contracted and performed.

Best regards,

Jon

JON A. CAMP
Haymarket Square/CH, Ltd.
200 Haymarket Square
808 P Street
P.O. Box 82307
Lincoln, NE 68501-2307

Office: 402.474.1838/402.474.1812
Fax: 402.474.1838
Cell: 402.560.1001

Email: joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com
Website: www.lincolnhaymarket.com
From: [mark.kurtenbach@licor.com]
Sent: Monday, January 19, 2015 8:38 AM
To: Miki Esposito
Cc: Jon Camp
Subject: Manhole Causing Driveway Damage

I am writing to bring to your attention a problem I have having with significant cracking in the concrete on my driveway which, I believe, is caused by the presence of a manhole in my driveway.

My house is located at 7811 Casey Lane in the Barrington Park First Addition, Block 3 Lot 7 (Property ID 16-10-408-007-000). The house was built in 1997 (I am the original owner). The concrete on the driveway is in excellent condition, with the exception of the area around the manhole. Over the years I have been diligent about not having any heavy equipment on my driveway and have no other reason to suspect that the cracking around the base of the manhole has been caused by shifts and/or expansion in the ground due to weather extremes. The cracking became visibly present approximately 5 or so years ago and has gotten progressively worse. The cracks around the manhole has resulted in chunks of the concrete separating from the slab, creating more problems as ice accumulates in the void areas in the cracks.

The concrete needs to be replaced. Since the manhole is the property of the city and is the cause of the cracking problem on my driveway, I am asking for a replacement of the concrete in the affected areas. Please review this request and contact me at your convenience.
Thank you for your time and consideration. I look forward to your response.

Respectfully,

Mark Kurtenbach
Senior Director of Manufacturing
LI-COR Biosciences
402.467.0927
Mark:

Thanks for your email. I am sure Miki Esposito will be in touch soon to discuss a remedy.

Jon

JON A. CAMP
Haymarket Square/CH, Ltd.
200 Haymarket Square
808 P Street
P.O. Box 82307
Lincoln, NE 68501-2307

Office: 402.474.1838/402.474.1812
Fax: 402.474.1838
Cell: 402.560.1001
Email: joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com
Website: www.lincolnhaymarket.com

Check our reception and event venues at:

http://www.facebook.com/pages/Apothecary‐Lofts‐Ridnour‐Rooms/173175799380032

From: Jon Camp [mailto:jcamp@lincoln.ne.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, January 20, 2015 8:42 AM
To: Jon Camp
Subject: FW: Manhole Causing Driveway Damage

From: Mark Kurtenbach [mailto:mark.kurtenbach@licor.com]
Sent: Monday, January 19, 2015 8:38 AM
To: Miki Esposito
Cc: Jon Camp
Subject: Manhole Causing Driveway Damage

I am writing to bring to your attention a problem I have having with significant cracking in the concrete on my driveway which, I believe, is caused by the presence of a manhole in my driveway.

My house is located at 7811 Casey Lane in the Barrington Park First Addition, Block 3 Lot 7 (Property ID 16‐10‐408‐007‐000). The house was built in 1997 (I am the original owner). The concrete on the driveway is in excellent condition, with
the exception of the area around the manhole. Over the years I have been diligent about not having any heavy equipment on my driveway and have no other reason to suspect that the cracking around the base of the manhole has been caused by shifts and/or expansion in the ground due to weather extremes. The cracking became visibly present approximately 5 or so years ago and has gotten progressively worse. The cracks around the manhole has resulted in chunks of the concrete separating from the slab, creating more problems as ice accumulates in the void areas in the cracks.

The concrete needs to be replaced. Since the manhole is the property of the city and is the cause of the cracking problem on my driveway, I am asking for a replacement of the concrete in the affected areas. Please review this request and contact me at your convenience.

(e-mail: mark.kurtenbach@licor.com ... cell: 402.853.2281)

Thank you for your time and consideration. I look forward to your response.

Respectfully,

[Signature]