

**CITY COUNCIL ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING
SEPTEMBER 22, 2014
555 S. 10TH STREET, BILL LUXFORD STUDIO
IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING DIRECTORS' MEETING**

I. MINUTES

1. Directors' Meeting minutes of September 15, 2014.
2. Organizational Meeting minutes of September 15, 2014.
3. Pre-Council: Public Works - Access Management minutes of September 8, 2014.

II. BOARDS/COMMITTEES/COMMISSIONS/CONFERENCE REPORTS

III. REQUESTS FROM MAYOR

IV. MISCELLANEOUS

V. CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

VI. MEETINGS/INVITATIONS

See invitation list.

VII. ADJOURNMENT

**MINUTES
CITY COUNCIL ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING
SEPTEMBER 22, 2014**

Present: Doug Emery, Chair; Trent Fellers, Vice Chair; Leirion Gaylor Baird; Roy Christensen; Jon Camp; Carl Eskridge; and Jonathan Cook

Others: Rick Hoppe, Chief of Staff; and Mary Meyer

Chair Emery opened the meeting at 2:36 p.m. and announced the location of the Open Meetings Act.

I. MINUTES

1. Directors' Meeting minutes of September 15, 2014.
 2. Organizational Meeting minutes of September 15, 2014.
 3. Pre-Council: Public Works - Access Management minutes of September 8, 2014.
- With no corrections the above minutes placed on file in the City Council office.

II. BOARDS/COMMITTEES/COMMISSIONS/CONFERENCE REPORTS

None

III. REQUESTS FROM MAYOR

Rick Hoppe, Chief of Staff

Hoppe stated he or Director Casady would be contacting Council Members within the next few days to follow up on the Safety issue. Will discuss names for the Public Finance Committee and a time line. Working out the details but want a conversation with Council Members.

Camp stated we're jumping to finance, can we digest the needs and solutions? Hoppe replied that's the reason he's calling. If that's your position, great. Is why we're making calls before.

Hoppe added the second item was to follow up with an issue listed on the agenda. It's time for resolutions for South Street. First, have emailed all stating will advertised for 30 days. We had a public meeting with the neighborhood. Then received a letter from Polk representing the neighborhood saying they would be most comfortable with the Rick Krueger proposal, which suggests storage. Also is the bid offering the most financial City benefit. The Administration is comfortable with the proposal. We're seeking a little informal guidance as to what Council would like to see next, or if you have a different direction you would like to see.

Camp stated a week ago there was a meeting. The representatives attended and addressed the people attending. The neighbors seem to like the storage option. They did pick the first, and second choices, although a distance second. The storage seems to make sense. Would go along at this point, after hearing everything, and see if we can't work with the Housing Authority to find another location.

Gaylor Baird asked with such a different purpose in mind is there thought this needs to go back to the Planning Commission? Hoppe replied yes, would need to go to the Planning Commission and restart the process.

Emery asked if the next part of the process would be the Mayor selecting? To move forward? Hoppe replied, we need to go back to the Planning Commission. Emery stated he appreciates the fact you came to Council. Hoppe added, as indicated to Council the Administration is comfortable with the Krueger proposal. Emery stated if the Krueger proposal is the Mayor's selection would be good with him but is something which we should go through the process.

Hoppe stated that would be put forward. Nice to work together on this. Emery thought we tried last time but the issue was the 30 day notice, hopefully we'll find the right solution. He added the neighbors are certainly entitled to submit their thoughts.

Cook stated the 30 days have passed, was advertised, took bids, and will be selected with the Planning Commission process starting fairly soon. Then the Planning Commission will make a recommendation. We'll see their recommendation, but certainly the fact the neighbors are on board will make a difference. Staff will provide a recommendation to the Planning Commission and see what they say.

Hoppe agreed adding, your point is there's still a process. Cook reiterated, still a process. Hoppe added we should do and not presuppose we have the answer. But at this point do suggest we're comfortable with the approach and want to see if Council is comfortable enough to move forward with the Planning Commission.

Camp asked if we should take off the pending list? Fellers stated we're going back through a different process and we should take off. Camp asked if we should take off today, or? Gaylor Baird added maybe to wait and possibly have the other in front of us. Discussion.

Cook stated it doesn't hurt anything to sit there. Fellers stated, we're not going through that process. Cook thought if we want to send a message, there's a change of zone that's certain. If voting no on a change of zone cannot come back and change the zone again for a year. Essentially there are limitations. But also if the Housing Authority wanted to go back through, they'd have to go through the process. If we withdraw as opposed to voting no, it probably doesn't kick in any legal probations. But they would have to file to come back. If we withdrew and it went away, or if we withdraw something which has gone through the Planning Commission, and approved there, can it be reintroduced on the agenda if we just withdraw without taking some other action? Kirkpatrick stated without looking in detail would think you wouldn't go back to Planning. In fact if you withdrew don't believe it was killed as far as their action.

Fellers stated, withdraw all 3 of the items and have it go through the Planning Commission and a clean process. Cook commented yes, however if you want to withdraw and send a stronger message, specifically we're not doing, or if you want to vote no to send a particularly strong message. Think it's more about perception.

Fellers commented he doesn't think it's about perception, but about process. Going through a clean process. This is something on the agenda which theoretically could be voted on at anytime. It's more about process. Emery added, does seem less dangerous than voting something down and not knowing if the group which came through is asking for a similar zone and it changed, which locks us out for a year. Cook stated it doesn't affect the process for the Planning Commission, it could sit on pending and we could approve the storage project, and it could still sit there. Discussion.

Kirkpatrick commented if Council wants to do next week he would take a look at it.

Emery added, we have pared the pending list down considerably from where we were before. The reason the list was in that position is because we never dealt with anything on the list. Let's take it off, get it out of there, and keep paring down the list.

Fellers stated then we should have a conversation of moving the other items on the pending list. Discussion.

Cook said it is the Housing Authority's application, and should properly inform them this would be an action we would take. Fellers agreed.

IV. MISCELLANEOUS

None

V. CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

No comments

VI. MEETINGS/INVITATIONS

See invitation list.

VII. ADJOURNMENT

Chair Emery adjourned the meeting at 2:47 p.m.