I. CITY CLERK

II. MAYOR
1. NEWS RELEASE. Public invited to Open House at SCC’s Education Square. American Job Center’s services and programs to be featured.
2. NEWS ADVISORY. Mayor Beutler will hold a news conference, Thursday, June 19, at 555 S. 10th Street, Room 303, to announce the introduction of an ordinance to address vacant, neglected residential buildings.
3. NEWS RELEASE. Open House planned on Wedgewood Lake. Maintenance Project and Access Route.
4. NEWS RELEASE. New ordinance addresses problem properties.
5. NEWS RELEASE. Library releases list of “Top 100 Novels for Youth”.

III. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS

CITIZEN INFORMATION CENTER
1. Telecommunications / Cable Television Advisory Board meeting notice. Tuesday, June 17, 4:00 p.m., at 555 S. 10th Street, Room 303.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1. Administrative Amendment No. 14034, Use Permit No. 101A, approved by the Planning Director on June 16, 2014.
2. Memorandum. Letter from Russell Miller on Comprehensive Plan Amendment No. 14003 or Water Department 2013 Master Plan, which was inadvertently omitted from the Factsheet for Bill No. 14R-167.

IV. COUNCIL MEMBERS

JON CAMP
2. Question to Steve Hubka, Finance Director, on the Parking Enterprise Fund and Parking Meters.
   a) Reply from Finance Director Hubka regarding the Parking Enterprise Fund and Parking Meters.
3. Questions regarding Lobo’s City Mex, Inc. Parking Lease/Agreement to David Landis, Urban Development Director.

V. CORRESPONDENCE FROM CITIZENS
1. Doris Stoner correspondence stating her concerns with the proposal for Lincoln Housing Authority apartment in the Trendwood area.
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: June 18, 2014
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Jan Norlander-Jensen, Urban Development, 402-441-7117

PUBLIC INVITED TO OPEN HOUSE AT SCC’S EDUCATION SQUARE
American Job Center’s services and programs to be featured.

The City of Lincoln and the Greater Lincoln Workforce Investment Board invite the public to a community open house from 2 to 4 p.m. Tuesday, June 24 at Southeast Community College’s Education Square, 1111 “O” Street, second floor. The open house will feature the American Job Center, formerly called the One Stop Career Center. The Center is operated by the City’s Urban Development Department and moved to Education Square last fall.

Southeast Community College’s adult basic education and GED programs are located at Education Square. The Lincoln office of the Nebraska Department of Labor (NDOL) also relocated there earlier this spring. Both agencies will also be part of the open house.

Training agencies at the Center provide on-site and networked career development information, education and training programs, and job placement assistance. The Center also offers access to NDOL’s job listings and labor market information as well as assistance in filing for unemployment insurance benefits.

More information on the American Job Center is available at urban.lincoln.ne.gov (click on Workforce).

- 30 -

ACCOMMODATION NOTICE

The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public’s access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.
Mayor Chris Beutler will announce the introduction of an ordinance to address vacant, neglected residential buildings at a news conference at 10 a.m. Thursday, June 19 in room 303, third floor of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th Street.
OPEN HOUSE PLANNED ON WEDGEWOOD LAKE MAINTENANCE PROJECT AND ACCESS ROUTE

The public is invited to an open house Tuesday, June 24 about a maintenance project for Wedgewood Lake, a private lake located a few blocks south of “O” Street at about 75th Street. The meeting is from 5 to 6:30 p.m. in media room 302 at East High School, 1000 S. 70th Street. Participants are asked to use the south front doors by the flagpole. The open house is sponsored by the Wedgewood Manor Lake Association in cooperation with City of Lincoln and the Lincoln Public Schools (LPS).

The Nebraska Department of Natural Resources inspects the Wedgewood Lake dam annually, and the agency has recommended repairs to the dam and its outlet structure. Draining the lake will allow the necessary repairs and permit excavation of accumulated sediment.

The project team evaluated four alternatives for a primary access route that would best minimize trucks and other heavy equipment on residential streets. The selected route would begin on the south side of the lake over a small section of Englewood Drive. It would then continue along the walkway on the west side of Dead Man’s Run in Seacrest Park. The walkway would be closed during the project. The route would then run through the east side of the Seacrest Field west parking lot and end at a new signalized intersection at Regency Drive and “A” Street.

The new intersection is a project of the City and LPS to improve access to Seacrest Field and enhance safety. The project includes removal of the existing pedestrian signal, the consolidation of several existing drives and the addition of a separate left-turn lane into the Seacrest parking lot.

The Association will be responsible for all maintenance activities and associated costs. Those include the replacement of the walkway, the installation of a new permanent driveway at Regency Drive and “A” Street and the restoration of any damaged landscaping in the park and Seacrest Field.

To minimize the disruption to residents, the project will take place during LPS’s reconstruction of the Seacrest Field complex, which includes paving the west parking lot. The LPS project is one of the improvements approved by voters this spring. Both projects are scheduled to begin after the last Seacrest Field football game this fall.
June 18, 2014  
Page Two  

The Association will be finished with the use of the access route by May 31, 2015, and the Seacrest Field reconstruction is scheduled to be completed before school begins August 12, 2015.

The open house will not include a formal presentation. Representatives from the Association, The Flatwater Group, LPS and the City Parks and Recreation and Public Works and Utilities Departments will be available to discuss the project and answer questions.

For more information, contact:
- Bruce Hocking, President of Wedgewood Manor Lake Association, 402-441-0140, brucehocking@hockingreid.com
- Lynn Johnson, Director, City Parks and Recreation Department, 402-441-8265, ljohnson@lincoln.ne.gov
- Randy Hoskins, City Public Works and Utilities Department, 402-441-7711, rhoskins@lincoln.ne.gov
- Scott Wieskamp, LPS Director of Facilities and Maintenance, 402-436-1072, ext. 82010, swieska@lps.org
- Andrew Appleget, The Flatwater Group, 402-435-5441, ajappleget@flatwatergroup.com
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ACCOMMODATION NOTICE

The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public’s access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 402-441-7511

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: June 19, 2014
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 402-441-7831
Jon Carlson, Stronger, Safer Neighborhoods, 402-441-7224

NEW ORDINANCE ADDRESSES PROBLEM PROPERTIES

Mayor Chris Beutler today said passage of a new ordinance to address vacant, neglected residential buildings will continue the City’s work to improve all neighborhoods. The proposed ordinance adds a registration requirement, fees and penalties to the process for dealing with problem properties.

This new ordinance will have its first reading at the City Council meeting Monday, July 14, and the public hearing is scheduled for Monday, July 21. The Mayor said it builds on successful ordinances previously adopted to address problem properties. Since 2009, the City has increased the fines for offenses, adopted the International Property Maintenance Code and created a process of performance-based inspections.

“Working with neighborhood associations, homeowners, Realtors and landlords, we have created new tools that protect property values and maintain our high quality of life,” Beutler said. “The new processes have led to a vast majority of problem properties being repaired and maintained. But we have identified a need for stronger enforcement language to deal with a small pool of properties that continues to have a substantial negative impact on surrounding residents. These properties fail multiple inspections, have substantial deterioration, are repeatedly unsecured, are boarded up for over 90 days or are uninhabitable for more than two years.”

Jon Carlson, manager of the City’s Stronger, Safer Neighborhoods Association, said the number of properties in that category fluctuates from 50 to 100, and many have been sent to the Problem Resolution Team (PRT) multiple times. The PRT is a group of City and County agencies that deal with properties that have violations across multiple departments.

“As Co-Chair of the PRT, I’ve seen that the best solution for vacant neglected properties is often demolition or a change of ownership,” said City Council member Doug Emery. “This new ordinance can help get these properties into the hands of new owners who will improve and maintain them or create a lot for a new home.”

“A single vacant neglected property can really bring down an entire block by decreasing safety and property values,” said Shawn Ryba with NeighborWorks Lincoln. “It’s not just the next door neighbors who suffer. Realtors working to sell a home or landlords trying to rent an apartment also find their businesses severely impacted.”

- more -
Ryba said addressing problem properties has been a top priority of the Lincoln Policy Network (LPN), a group of neighborhood residents, business and residential property owners, Realtors and non-profits. The LPN was formed to identify community issues, formulate solutions and implement plans to change City, County or State policies through new legislation or the modification of existing laws or ordinances.

The proposed ordinance includes these provisions:
- The City Building and Safety Department can declare a vacant residential building as neglected when it crosses a series of violation thresholds, and the owner fails to respond.
- Once the property is declared neglected, the owner must register the building and pay a $500 registration fee for each 90-day period the property remains neglected. Registration requires the listing of a registered agent and a timeline for improving, selling or demolishing the building.
- Owners who fail to register face a $500 civil penalty. The penalty is imposed each 90-day period that the property remains unregistered or the fees unpaid.
- If an owner fails to register, the City can register the building and place a lien on the property for the cost of registration fees and civil penalties.
- If the liens build up and are unpaid without improvements to the property, the City could foreclose against the property and sell the property to a new owner who could demolish or repair the building.

“We will continue to work toward finding solutions that put the financial burden on those creating the problem,” Beutler concluded. “Our message is clear: repair or remove these neglected buildings. If you cannot, then sell them so the private market can get them into the hands of owners who will.”

A copy of the proposed ordinance is available at lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: safer).
LIBRARY RELEASES LIST OF “TOP 100 NOVELS FOR YOUTH”

Lincoln City Libraries (LCL) has released its list of the “Top 100 Novels for Youth.” The list at lincolnlibraries.org is based largely on submissions from hundreds of teachers and librarians from across the country that were published in School Library Journal in 2012. Local library staff also provided input and helped compile the list.

The list is available at any LCL location, and extra copies of the books on the list have been purchased for check out. The novels are appropriate for readers ages nine through 12, and LCL staff encourages parents and guardians to read the books aloud to children in this age range or younger.

“We hope families and individual readers will create their own reading challenges from our list,” said Vicki Wood, LCL Youth Services Supervisor. “They may choose to read all the books published in a certain decade. They may decide to read all the books with animals as the main characters, or explore the work of a certain author. The challenges have been known to help keep young people on track to attain their reading goals.”

Readers are invited to share their reading challenge stories in August on LCL’s Facebook page at facebook.com/Lincoln.libraries.
CITY OF LINCOLN
COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS
Thursday, June 26, 2014, 4:00 p.m.
City Council Chambers, 555 South 10th Street
AGENDA

I. Roll Call
II. Approval of Minutes of May 29, 2014 Commission Meeting
III. Approval of Agenda for June 26, 2014 Commission Meeting
IV. Case Dispositions
   A. Reasonable Cause / No Reasonable Cause
      1. LCHR NO.: 13-1206-037-E-R
      2. LCHR NO.: 14-0331-005-E-R
      3. LCHR NO.: 14-0331-006-E-R
   B. Pre-Determination Settlement Agreements
      1. LCHR NO.: 14-0424-005-H
      2. LCHR NO.: 14-0508-008-H
      3. LCHR NO.: 14-0508-009-H
      4. LCHR NO.: 14-0522-011-H
V. Administrative Closures
   A. Failure to Locate Respondent
      1. LCHR NO.: 14-0225-004-E
VI. Old Business
   A. Case Update
VII. New Business
   A. NAACP Regional Meeting & Training
   B. Outreach Activities
VIII. Public Comment**
IX. Adjournment

**Public comments are limited to 5 minutes per person. Members of the public may address any item of interest to the LCHR during this open session with the exception of LCHR cases. Also, no member of the public who wishes to address the Commission will be allowed to examine any individual Commissioner or staff member on any item/question before the Commission unless invited to do so by the Chairperson.

ACCOMMODATION NOTICE
The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public’s access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.
Meeting Notice
City of Lincoln, Nebraska
Telecommunications/Cable Television Advisory Board
4 p.m. Tuesday, June 17, 2014
Room 303, County-City Building, 555 S. 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508

A special meeting of the board will be held to discuss the public hearing on the Cable Provider Performance Evaluation.

The public hearing is tentatively scheduled for 5 p.m. Tuesday, June 24 in the City Council Chambers. It is part of the process for considering a transfer of the City cable television franchise agreement from Time Warner Cable Inc. to Comcast Corporation.

Board members: If you cannot attend this meeting, please contact Diane Gonzolas at 402-441-7831 or dgonzolas@lincoln.ne.gov.

Regular meeting dates are the fourth Thursdays of January, April, July and October. The next regular meeting is scheduled for July 24, 2014.

ACCOMMODATION NOTICE

The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public’s access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.

Diane Gonzolas
Manager, Citizen Information Center
Office of the Mayor
555 S. 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508
402-441-7831
402-525-1520
dgonzolas@lincoln.ne.gov
Memorandum

Date:  June 17, 2014
To:  City Clerk
From:  Teresa McKinstry, Planning Dept.
Re:  Administrative Approvals
cc:  Jean Preister

This is a list of the administrative approvals by the Planning Director from June 10, 2014, through June 16, 2014:

Administrative Amendment No. 14034 to Use Permit No. 101A, requested by Professional Park North Partners, LLC, approved by the Planning Director on June 16, 2014, to enlarge the floor area from 15,550 to 17,000 square feet on Lot 4; reduce the setback on the north boundary to zero; and adjust the Land Use Table, on property generally located at N. 26th St. And Ticonderoga Dr.
MEMORANDUM

TO: City Council

FROM: Jean Preister, Planning

SUBJECT: Comprehensive Plan Amendment No. 14003 (Bill #14R-167)
Lincoln Water System Facilities Master Plan

DATE: June 18, 2014

cc: Mayor Chris Beutler/Trish Owen
    Marvin Krout, Planning
    Miki Esposito, Director of Public Works & Utilities
    Steve Owen, Public Works & Utilities, Lincoln Water System
    Nick McElvain, Public Works & Utilities, Lincoln Water System
    City Clerk

Attached please find letter from Russell Miller dated June 10, 2014, which was inadvertently
omitted from the Factsheet for Bill #14R-167, Comprehensive Plan Amendment No. 14003. Mr.
Miller’s testimony is also in the minutes of the Planning Commission public hearing held on
June 11, 2014, which are attached to the Factsheet.

I apologize for this oversight.

q:\fs\ccl2014\CPA14003 Miller letter
From: Russell Miller
341 S. 52
Lincoln, NE 68510

To: Lincoln-Lancaster County Planning Commission

Subject: Comprehensive Plan Amendment no. 14003 or Water Dept. 2013 Master Plan

Hello,

I would like to bring to your attention 1 item in this Master Plan that I think should have much greater emphasis than what it is receiving.

On page 25 chapter 6 is table 3-3 which lists Lincoln’s water mains, the number of installed miles, the years they were installed plus the type of material the mains were made out of.

One of the material types is called “unprotected ductile and thin walled cast iron”. The second paragraph on that page states “The deterioration trend for the “Unprotected Ductile Iron and Thin Walled Cast Iron” is very steep and the overall performance is poor relative to the pipe age. A substantial peak is evident at 55-59 years of age,”. In other words the pipes tend to fall apart at 60 years of age.

The table shows that there are 300 miles of this pipe and it was installed from 1948 to 1972. This means Lincoln has 300 miles of pipe whose life expectancy is attained between 2008 to 2030. I hope my concern becomes more understandable when you consider that we should replace 15 miles a year (300 miles divided by 20 years) of this type of pipe. Earlier today there was anguish over replacing 8 miles which I was asking for but it should be double that distance.

There are approximately 20 thousand homes being served by this type of pipe or approximately about 20% of Lincoln’s housing units. I am sure that is why essentially all of last year’s water main replacement was in areas of this type of pipe. As an example this explains why Lincoln Water is replacing pipe in University Place and 40th and Normal streets and not in Everett Neighborhood with their 100 year pipes.

Because of the magnitude and seriousness of this situation I urge this Commission to direct the City Council to make sure adequate Water Dept. revenues are always available to replace 8 to 10 miles of mains each year and increase it to 15 miles in the next budget cycle.

Thank you,

Russell Miller
States, Cities Pushed on Pension Costs

BY MICHAEL CAPUTO

States and cities could be forced to report at least half a trillion dollars of additional costs on their books under proposed rules that would shine a harsher light on the growing expense of retired workers’ health insurance and other benefits.

The proposals, unveiled Monday by an accounting-standards group, would require state and local governments to add retiree-benefit promises to their balance sheets, making governments’ overall financial position appear worse. In addition, many governments would have to change the way they calculate their benefit obligations in a way that could make their shortfalls appear bigger than they do now.

The move by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board is intended to give taxpayers, policy makers and investors more information about the toll that retirees’ promised benefits will take on states’ and cities’ finances. The proposals wouldn’t require governments to raise more money to pay for retiree benefits, and they wouldn’t force governments to change the level of benefits they provide.

“It will provide a better picture of the cost and liabilities for these benefit promises,” said GASB Chairman David Vaudt.

The proposals come as governments grapple with rising costs for current and retired workers. Some states have been racked by legislative battles over how to trim costs. Several municipalities, including Detroit and Stockton, Calif., have filed for bankruptcy protection in recent years amid retiree-benefit burdens, among other issues.

Some municipal-bond investors applauded the GASB proposal. The rule would show “how good a shape or how bad a shape the issuer is in,” said Marilyn Cohen, president of Envision Capital Management, a Los Angeles fixed-income money manager.

According to a Standard & Poor’s report last fall, California and New York are among the states with the highest level of unfunded retiree-benefit obligations. California, for instance, in March said it had $64.6 billion in unfunded health benefits for state retirees. A spokesman for the New York state comptroller’s office said, “We are reviewing the proposals.” A spokesman for the California state controller’s office had no immediate comment.

The GASB proposals, which the board approved last month, are subject to public comment and possible reconsideration before the board adopts them. The board is accepting public comments through Aug. 29 and plans to hold public hearings on the proposals in September in New York, Illinois and California.

The proposals follow similar changes GASB made in 2012 to state and local governments’ disclosure of pension obligations, underfunding.

Still, with the new retiree-benefit proposal, some investors believe the added visibility for benefit-plan underfunding could add to pressure on governments to address the problem. “This is a major step toward getting these funded,” said Matt Fabian, a managing director for Municipal Market Advisors, a Concord, Mass., research firm. “This is a problem that is as big as pension funding. Investors are clamoring for this.”

Moody’s Investors Service estimates states’ total unfunded retiree benefit liabilities at $530 billion, which would be added to governments’ balance sheets under the GASB proposals. Currently, the liabilities are reported only in the footnotes to government financial statements. The figure doesn’t include local governments’ benefit obligations, for which it is difficult to get an accurate total.

Another important change would revamp the way the obligations are valued. Most governments haven’t yet committed money to pay for their retiree benefits and work on a “pay as you go” basis. But to the extent that governments haven’t funded their benefits, they would have to measure the current value of those benefits using a lower interest-rate assumption. That has the effect of increasing the obligations’ current value and widening the plans’ funding shortfalls.

Government accounting-standards board proposes rules that could bring more than $500 billion onto states’ and cities’ books.
Steve:

A question arose last week that stumped several of us. My understanding had been that the parking meter revenue flowed into the Parking Enterprise Fund—would you confirm or clarify this?

Historically I was under the impression that parking meter revenues assisted in the funding of new garages and payment of the bonds of existing garages.

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Jon

JON A. CAMP
Haymarket Square/CH, Ltd.
200 Haymarket Square
808 P Street
P.O. Box 82307
Lincoln, NE 68501-2307

Office: 402.474.1838/402.474.1812
Fax: 402.474.1838
Cell: 402.560.1001

Email: joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com
Website: www.lincolnhaymarket.com

Check our reception and event venues at:

http://www.facebook.com/pages/Apothecary-Lofts-Ridnour-Rooms/173175799380032
Mary M. Meyer

Subject: FW: Parking Enterprise Fund and Parking Meters

Jon,

Parking meter money is deposited in the Parking Enterprise Fund. It, along with garage revenue and undesignated Street Construction revenue back the bonds and is used in calculating the debt coverage ratio. The Street Construction money is never actually deposited in the Parking Enterprise Fund. Every August, after debt payments are made, parking meter revenues are transferred to the General Fund in the amount adopted in that year’s General Fund budget. So the parking meter revenues are backing for the bonds but that meter revenue has not been actually needed to make payments. To the extent that parking meter revenues have exceeded the amounts adopted in the General Fund budget, those revenues remained in the Parking Enterprise Fund and have been available to help build up cash that can be applied toward building parking garages.

The flow of funds described above started in about 1999. Prior to that the parking meter revenue was a General Fund revenue, even though it was designated as part of the backing on the Parking bonds. In order to perfect the security on the Parking bonds, it was necessary to have the money first be deposited in the Parking Enterprise Fund until the annual debt payments had been covered. In your early days on the Council, you probably voted on a bond ordinance that changed the practice we had previously been following.

Steve Hubka
Interim Finance Director
City of Lincoln
555 South 10th, Lincoln, NE 68508

From: Jon Camp <joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com>
Date: Tue, 17 Jun 2014 19:00:19 +0000
To: "Steve D. Hubka" <shubka@lincoln.ne.gov>
Cc: "'Mary M. Meyer (mmmeyer@lincoln.ne.gov)'' <mmmeyer@lincoln.ne.gov>
Subject: Parking Enterprise Fund and Parking Meters

Steve:

A question arose last week that stumped several of us. My understanding had been that the parking meter revenue flowed into the Parking Enterprise Fund—would you confirm or clarify this?

Historically I was under the impression that parking meter revenues assisted in the funding of new garages and payment of the bonds of existing garages.

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Jon

JON A. CAMP
Haymarket Square/CH, Ltd.
200 Haymarket Square
808 P Street
P.O. Box 82307
Lincoln, NE  68501-2307

Office:  402.474.1838/402.474.1812
Fax:  402.474.1838
Cell:  402.560.1001
David:

I have reviewed the first reading for this proposed lease agreement and have several questions.

1. Costs incurred by the City total how much?
   a. I read that the City purchased the property for $64,500
   b. Further the City incurred demolition costs—how much?
   c. Any other expenses to date?

2. The Proposed Agreement is for 2 years with approximately $10,260 per year income plus ongoing maintenance.
   a. Depending on the costs to the City to pave, light and landscape the area, this appears to be a poor investment and rate of return.
   b. Because many properties, such as the one yesterday that sold for $60,000 despite the City’s cost of $168,000, it appears this situation is headed for a similar fate.

3. TIF is the financing vehicle—why not stop spending the remaining TIF and let it flow to the taxing entities via real estate taxes? Recent reports are deficits ahead for the City, County and Schools.

Thank you in advance for further details.

Jon

**JON A. CAMP**  
Haymarket Square/CH, Ltd.  
200 Haymarket Square  
808 P Street  
P.O. Box 82307  
Lincoln, NE  68501-2307

Office:  402.474.1838/402.474.1812  
Fax:  402.474.1838  
Cell:  402.560.1001  

Email:  joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com  
Website:  www.lincolnhaymarket.com

Check our reception and event venues at:  
Dear Editor,

I am writing this in opposition to the Lincoln Housing Authority's proposal to build federal low-income apartments in the Srendwood Community in Lincoln. I am a Srendwood townhouse owner, taxpayer, resident, and voter in Lincoln. In fact, I own one of the two townhouses where all the one entrance and eft traffic would travel by my house on the dead-end street.

It is not possible for me or for many other residents in this community to attend City Hall meetings. I have attended several meetings with organization of opposition to this proposal. One of those meetings was attended by two City Council members. I am one of the many signers of the petition in opposition to this proposal.

I have contacted all City Council Members and tried to contact the Mayor, but upon calling his office I was emphatically told that the Mayor has nothing to do with this as it is Federal!
I would like to ask all Council Members to personally inspect this piece of land to see how ridiculous this proposed project would be. Many of the issues have been addressed, and the Planning Commission voted 7 to 1 against it. It is a bad location; too small, higher elevation than other properties, contains a large electrical tower, high power lines, water plant with second one to be added, buried cables, Fire Station, one only entrance and exit, traffic concerns, school accessibility, open containment pools, no proposed landscaping, drainage problems, too close to current homes, probable trespassing in current homeowners properties and etc.

This apartment complex is not needed in this area. There are many current affordable apartments within 2 miles of this property. There are at least 6 or more. One is a few feet from this location and another south across the street from it. Also a new apartment facility is currently under construction 2 miles on 84th St.

There will also be at this proposed area, concerns...
about lack of street or sidewalk access for children attending schools, safety of residents in the area, lack of access for emergency vehicles and snow removal.

It is my opinion that it would be far more profitable for the City of Lincoln to accept the individual cash bid at a lesser amount for townhouses on that property and to receive the payments immediately and continually for probably more taxes than the “no tax” for Federal Low Income Housing. It would be far more fitting and blending for the Trendwood Community and more profitable for the City. The individual who made the bid for townhouses would keep the maintenance to the highest level and the landscaping would beautify the Trendwood Community and the City of Lincoln.

I am one of the many petition signers against Lincoln Housing Authority’s proposal. I ask you to please vote NO on this proposal. Would you want it to be by your home?!

Thank you very much,

Doris Jean Stone
I. CITY CLERK

II. MAYOR & DIRECTORS’ CORRESPONDENCE

MAYOR
1. NEWS RELEASE. Water quality report available online.
2. NEWS RELEASE. “Uncle Sam Jam 2014” features Wheezetones, Kids’ Games and Zambelli Fireworks.
3. NEWS ADVISORY. Mayor Beutler’s public schedule for the week of June 21st through June 27, 2014.

III. DIRECTORS

FINANCE/BUDGET
1. June sales tax reports reflecting April activity:
   a) Actual Compared to Projected Sales Tax Collections;
   b) Gross Sales Tax Collections (With refunds Added Back In) 2009-2010 through 2013-2014;
   c) Sales Tax Refunds 2009-2010 through 2013-2014; and

FINANCE/TREASURER

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

IV. COUNCIL MEMBERS

JON CAMP
1. Virginia K. Wright in support of the proposed Lincoln Housing Authority project in southeast Lincoln.
2. Trina Derickson giving her input regarding the housing complex proposed for 84th and South Streets.
3. Brian Reid in full support of the Breslow Ice Center.

V. CORRESPONDENCE FROM CITIZENS
1. LES Administrative Board meeting agenda for June 27, 2014. Full agenda and support materials can be found at www.les.com.
2. InterLinc correspondence from Charles Sadler suggesting a discounted wheel tax for seniors. Possibly a petition is in order to lower for seniors.
3. Lisa Simon writing on the parking situation in downtown Lincoln.
4. Richard Metteer writing in opposition to the 84th Street project. Concerned about safety issues with one exit from the property.
5. Richard Metteer opposed to the LHA project due to safety, drainage, one exit. Vote against.
6. George Clasey, Arrow Ridge Town House Association President writing in opposition to Special Permit #14009, Lincoln Housing Authority project.
7. Merlyn Schuster urging Council to vote no on Special Permit # 14009.
8. Richard Metteer asking Council to vote no on Ordinances #23 - #26, LHA project.
9. Richard Metteer stating Council should do the right thing and vote no on Ordinances #23 - #26.
10. Kevin Stoner writing in opposition to the Lincoln Housing Authority plan for an apartment complex in the Trendwood neighborhood on 84th Street.
11. Correspondence from Barb Biffle stating opposing reasons to not pass ordinances 23 through 26, Lincoln Housing Authority, on today’s agenda.
   a) Barb Biffle submitting value comparisons for development at 84th and South Streets.
12. Nancy Whitman stating reasons why she is adamantly opposed to passing ordinances 23 through 26.
13. Harold and Lois Slaight giving reasons why Council should vote no on the proposed project at 84th and South Streets.
14. Jim Fedde stating his reasons for opposition to the zoning change for proposed development of LHA housing project at 84th and South Streets.
15. Dick and Marge Stansbury stating far too many negative reasons for the proposal of 84th and South Streets to pass.
16. T. Gary and Kayla Stearley giving reasons to be opposed to the Lincoln Housing Authority proposal for South Street and 84th Street. Terrible idea considering the traffic.
17. Jerry and Kelley Hovelsurd writing in opposition to the Lincoln Housing Authority’s proposal to build apartments in the Trendwood community at 84th Ridge Road.
18. Judy Coralyn Harder giving reasons, including drop in property valuation, why she is against the proposed Trendwood development.
WATER QUALITY REPORT AVAILABLE ONLINE

The 2013 Water Quality Report for the City of Lincoln is now available online at lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: water quality report). The annual report is required by the Safe Drinking Water Act and includes important information about the source and quality of Lincoln’s drinking water. No drinking water violations occurred during 2013.

In previous years, about 123,000 reports were printed and mailed to customers of the Lincoln Water System (LWS), but the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency now allows electronic distribution. LWS will print only 500 copies for those who request a paper version for an estimated savings of about $35,000 in printing and postage costs. Those who have questions or who want a paper copy may call LWS at 402-441-7571 or stop by the LWS office, 2021 N. 27th St.

Non-English speakers who need help reading the report will find assistance at these locations:
• Asian Community and Cultural Center, 2615 “O” St., Suite A
• El Centro de las Americas, 210 West “O” St.
• MENA Hope/Good Neighbor Community Center (Arabic and Middle Eastern social programs), 2617 “Y” St.

The purpose of the report is to provide information about drinking water and increase awareness of the need to protect our drinking water sources. The report provides an overview of water quality data collected from January 1 through December 31, 2013, including details about the sources of water, what the water contains and how it compares to state and federal standards.
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OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 402-441-7511

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:  June 20, 2014
FOR MORE INFORMATION:  David Norris, Citizen Information Center, 402-441-7547
Jerry Shorney, Parks and Recreation, 402-441-8259

“UNCLE SAM JAM 2014” FEATURES WHEEZETONES, KIDS’ GAMES
AND ZAMBELLI FIREWORKS
   Event is July 3 at Oak Lake Park

Mayor Chris Beutler today invited area residents to the City’s annual Uncle Sam Jam Independence Day celebration at Oak Lake Park Thursday, July 3.  Activities will begin at 1 p.m., and the fireworks show will begin at 10 p.m.

The Wheezetones, a popular local classic rock and roll band, will perform from 6:15 to 9:30 p.m. The fireworks are sponsored by Pepsi-Cola and presented by Zambelli Fireworks. The show will be set to music provided by NRG Media/Broadcast House and broadcast on its five radio stations – B107.3 FM, Froggy 98.1 FM, 105.3 Wow FM and KLIN 1400 AM/94.5 FM. The Lincoln Journal Star also is a partner in the celebration.

The City Parks and Recreation Department will provide free family activities beginning at 1 p.m. The activities include canoeing, disc golf, volleyball, bocce ball, horseshoes, carnival games, Bingo, box hockey and the “NEOS” interactive game. Youth stage performances are from 1 to 3 p.m. with a finale provided by the Parks and Recreation Summer Day Camp. Food and concessions will be available beginning at 1 p.m. in the main parking lot. VFW Post 3606 will conduct a flag-lowering ceremony at 8:25 p.m.

Ample free parking is available at Oak Lake Park and the surrounding area. No Saltdogs baseball games are scheduled, so parking also is available in the Haymarket Park lots, which can be accessed from 10th and Charleston. More parking can be found at the festival space lot north of Pinnacle Bank Arena. The public is encouraged to arrive early to avoid traffic delays.

A $3 event parking fee will be offered beginning at 1 p.m. at Haymarket Garage, 9th and “Q” streets. StarTran will provide free public shuttle bus service from 5 to 11 p.m. between the main entrance at Oak Lake Park and these locations:
- Haymarket Parking Garage, 9th and “Q”
- Gold’s bus stop, 11th and “O”
- County-City building complex - northeast corner of 9th and “H” and southeast corner of 9th and “K” (Free parking is available in two lots – one bounded by 9th, 10th, “G” and “H” and one bounded by 9th, 10th, “K” and “L”.)

Handi-Van service is available for those eligible, and reservations can be made by calling StarTran at 402-441-7109.

-more-
In case of inclement weather, the musical performances, fireworks display and radio broadcasts will be rescheduled to Saturday, July 5, and food vendors will be available. Many of the family activities and kids’ games also would be rescheduled.

Fireworks other than those used in the Zambelli show are prohibited in the park during the celebration. Fireworks are prohibited in all City parks at all times. City ordinance allows the sale and use of permissible fireworks in the City only from 8 a.m. to 11 p.m. July 3 and from 8 a.m. to 11:59 p.m. July 4 of each year.

Attendees are reminded that Lincoln City parks are now tobacco-free. City policy prohibits use of any form of tobacco products including cigarettes, cigars, pipes and chew or dip in any park areas or facilities designated as tobacco-free.

The Red Cross will have first aid available. Alcohol and personal water craft are prohibited in the park. All pets are required to wear a leash in the park, and residents are discouraged from bringing pets to the park during the fireworks display.

More information is available on the City website at lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: uncle sam jam).
Mayor Beutler’s Public Schedule  
Week of June 21 through 27, 2014

Schedule subject to change

Saturday, June 21
• Lincoln Literacy annual awards luncheon, remarks - 11:30 a.m., Grace Lutheran Church, 22nd and Washington streets

Sunday, June 22
• Trail Trek prize drawing - 11 a.m., Haymarket Park, 403 Line Drive Circle

Tuesday, June 24
• Corrections Joint Public Agency - 9 a.m., Luxford Studio, County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St.
• KFOR (previously recorded) - 12:30 p.m.

Wednesday, June 25
• Sesostris Shrine 125-year anniversary celebration, remarks - 5:30 p.m., Sesostris Shrine, 1050 Saltillo Road, Roca, NE

Thursday, June 26
• Ribbon-cutting for Neogen Corporation/GeneSeek, remarks - 10 a.m., Neogen/GeneSeek, 4131 N. 48th St.
• Lighthouse news conference on 25th anniversary, remarks - 1 p.m., Lighthouse, 2601 “N” St.

Friday, June 27
• Open house for University of Nebraska Medical Center Chancellor Jeffrey Gold, M.D. - 5:30 p.m., Country Club of Lincoln, 3200 S. 24th St.
## Actual Compared to Projected Sales Tax Collections

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013-14 PROJECTED</th>
<th>2013-14 ACTUAL</th>
<th>VARIANCE FROM PROJECTED</th>
<th>$ CHANGE FR. 12-13</th>
<th>% CHANGE FR. 12-13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>$5,429,066</td>
<td>$5,350,895</td>
<td>($78,171)</td>
<td>$281,329</td>
<td>5.55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>$5,641,450</td>
<td>$5,644,359</td>
<td>$2,909</td>
<td>$128,000</td>
<td>2.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>$5,641,450</td>
<td>$5,714,609</td>
<td>$73,159</td>
<td>$688,201</td>
<td>13.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>$5,296,326</td>
<td>$5,382,604</td>
<td>$86,278</td>
<td>$319,460</td>
<td>6.31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>$5,369,333</td>
<td>$4,959,233</td>
<td>($410,100)</td>
<td>($75,028)</td>
<td>-1.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>$6,802,926</td>
<td>$6,512,245</td>
<td>($290,681)</td>
<td>$419,691</td>
<td>6.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>$5,010,935</td>
<td>$5,134,084</td>
<td>$123,149</td>
<td>$441,312</td>
<td>9.40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>$4,818,462</td>
<td>$5,133,122</td>
<td>$314,660</td>
<td>$519,375</td>
<td>11.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>$5,634,813</td>
<td>$5,598,363</td>
<td>($36,450)</td>
<td>($14,035)</td>
<td>-0.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>$5,429,066</td>
<td>$5,554,017</td>
<td>$124,951</td>
<td>$153,070</td>
<td>2.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>$5,475,525</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>$5,820,649</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$66,370,001</strong></td>
<td><strong>$54,983,531</strong></td>
<td>($90,296)</td>
<td><strong>$2,861,375</strong></td>
<td><strong>5.49%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Actual collections for the fiscal year to date are 0.164% under projections for the year.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST</td>
<td>$5,898</td>
<td>$5,969</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3.46%</td>
<td>$5,643</td>
<td>$5,976</td>
<td>$5,453</td>
<td>$5,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JULY</td>
<td>$4,582</td>
<td>$5,898</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3.46%</td>
<td>$5,643</td>
<td>$5,976</td>
<td>$5,453</td>
<td>$5,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE</td>
<td>$5,339</td>
<td>$5,969</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3.46%</td>
<td>$5,643</td>
<td>$5,976</td>
<td>$5,453</td>
<td>$5,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAY</td>
<td>$5,186</td>
<td>$5,969</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3.46%</td>
<td>$5,643</td>
<td>$5,976</td>
<td>$5,453</td>
<td>$5,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APRIL</td>
<td>$5,349</td>
<td>$5,969</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3.46%</td>
<td>$5,643</td>
<td>$5,976</td>
<td>$5,453</td>
<td>$5,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARCH</td>
<td>$4,226</td>
<td>$5,969</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3.46%</td>
<td>$5,643</td>
<td>$5,976</td>
<td>$5,453</td>
<td>$5,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY</td>
<td>$5,418</td>
<td>$5,969</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3.46%</td>
<td>$5,643</td>
<td>$5,976</td>
<td>$5,453</td>
<td>$5,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JANUARY</td>
<td>$4,922</td>
<td>$5,969</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3.46%</td>
<td>$5,643</td>
<td>$5,976</td>
<td>$5,453</td>
<td>$5,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DECEMBER</td>
<td>$5,305</td>
<td>$5,969</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3.46%</td>
<td>$5,643</td>
<td>$5,976</td>
<td>$5,453</td>
<td>$5,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER</td>
<td>$4,922</td>
<td>$5,969</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3.46%</td>
<td>$5,643</td>
<td>$5,976</td>
<td>$5,453</td>
<td>$5,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCTOBER</td>
<td>$4,873</td>
<td>$5,969</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3.46%</td>
<td>$5,643</td>
<td>$5,976</td>
<td>$5,453</td>
<td>$5,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER</td>
<td>$4,734</td>
<td>$5,969</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3.46%</td>
<td>$5,643</td>
<td>$5,976</td>
<td>$5,453</td>
<td>$5,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEAR 2013-14</td>
<td>$5,418</td>
<td>$5,969</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>3.46%</td>
<td>$5,643</td>
<td>$5,976</td>
<td>$5,453</td>
<td>$5,312</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2009-2010 THROUGH 2013-2014
WITH REFUNDS ADDED BACK IN
GROSS SALES TAX COLLECTIONS
CITY OF LINCOLN
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Previous Year</th>
<th>Previous Year</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Previous Year</th>
<th>Previous Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6.18%</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$238,636</td>
<td>$227,656</td>
<td></td>
<td>$111,124</td>
<td>$111,993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.17%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$89,902</td>
<td>$93,679</td>
<td></td>
<td>$67,318</td>
<td>$73,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.83%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$43,775</td>
<td>$39,416</td>
<td></td>
<td>$26,962</td>
<td>$18,421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.52%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.6%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$38,949</td>
<td>$50,063</td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,063</td>
<td>$14,233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.64%</td>
<td>8.7%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$21,181</td>
<td>$23,013</td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,144</td>
<td>$4,873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,699,963</td>
<td>$1,899,797</td>
<td></td>
<td>$270,579</td>
<td>$274,435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>86.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>88.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$896,295</td>
<td>$3,050,077</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,382,342</td>
<td>$1,222,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>61.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,835,360</td>
<td>$1,285,296</td>
<td></td>
<td>$32,982</td>
<td>$32,888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,006,384</td>
<td>$1,285,311</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,818,387</td>
<td>$6,932,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>65.9%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$892,946</td>
<td>$535,313</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,979,913</td>
<td>$8,217,389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$879,176</td>
<td>$1,168,696</td>
<td></td>
<td>$260,904</td>
<td>$283,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>9.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2009-2010 THROUGH 2013-2014
SALES TAX REVENUES
CITY OF LINCOLN
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>%</th>
<th>Previous Year</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.49%</td>
<td>$5,498.83</td>
<td>2.70%</td>
<td>$5,497.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.06%</td>
<td>$5,417.99</td>
<td>6.86%</td>
<td>$5,418.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.35%</td>
<td>$5,357.90</td>
<td>$5,364.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.56%</td>
<td>$4,955.87</td>
<td>$4,968.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.60%</td>
<td>$4,617.98</td>
<td>$4,612.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.14%</td>
<td>$4,418.89</td>
<td>$4,411.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.08%</td>
<td>$4,163.77</td>
<td>$4,158.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.57%</td>
<td>$4,099.47</td>
<td>$4,094.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.40%</td>
<td>$3,914.84</td>
<td>$3,902.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.28%</td>
<td>$3,892.41</td>
<td>$3,885.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.35%</td>
<td>$3,842.91</td>
<td>$3,836.44</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.51%</td>
<td>$3,814.09</td>
<td>$3,808.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.98%</td>
<td>$3,742.45</td>
<td>$3,737.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.11%</td>
<td>$3,716.41</td>
<td>$3,709.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.33%</td>
<td>$3,714.60</td>
<td>$3,707.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.32%</td>
<td>$4,648.39</td>
<td>$4,642.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.53%</td>
<td>$5,520.99</td>
<td>$5,516.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**YEAR**

**FROM PR.**

**ACTUAL**

**NET SALES TAX COLLECTIONS**

**CITY OF LINCOLN**

**2009-2010 THROUGH 2013-2014**
OFFICE OF TREASURER, CITY OF LINCOLN, NEBRASKA

June 20, 2014

TO: MAYOR CHRIS BEUTLER & CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

FROM: FINANCE DEPARTMENT / CITY TREASURER

SUBJECT: MONTHLY CITY CASH REPORT

The records of this office show me to be charged with City cash as follows at the close of business May 31, 2014:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance Forward</td>
<td>$322,897,329.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus Total Debits May 1-31, 2014</td>
<td>$36,289,645.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Total Credits May 1-31, 2014</td>
<td>$(43,609,463.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash Balance on May 31, 2014</strong></td>
<td><strong>$315,577,511.17</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I desire to report that such City cash was held by me as follows which I will deem satisfactory unless advised and further directed in the matter by you:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank Name</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U. S. Bank Nebraska, N.A.</td>
<td>$165,087.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank</td>
<td>$(103,545.21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank Credit Card Account</td>
<td>$(237,285.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornhusker Bank</td>
<td>$221,839.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Nebraska Bank</td>
<td>$1,986.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinnacle Bank</td>
<td>$124,413.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Bank &amp; Trust Company</td>
<td>$47,067.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Gate Bank</td>
<td>$50,824.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle Funds - Short-Term Pool</td>
<td>$80,743,145.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle Funds - Medium-Term Pool</td>
<td>$233,570,429.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash, Checks and Warrants</td>
<td>$993,548.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cash on Hand May 31, 2014</strong></td>
<td><strong>$315,577,511.17</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The negative bank balances shown above do not represent the City as overdrawn in these bank accounts. In order to maximize interest earned on all City funds, deposits have been invested prior to the Departments’ notification to the City Treasurer’s office of these deposits; therefore, these deposits are not recorded in the City Treasurer’s bank account balances at month end.

I also hold as City Treasurer, securities in the amount of $25,041,980.81 representing authorized investments of the City’s funds.

---

ATTEST:

Teresa Meier, City Clerk

Melinda J. Jones, City Treasurer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CUSIP</th>
<th>MATURITY DATE</th>
<th>ORIGINAL FACE</th>
<th>CURRENT PAR</th>
<th>MARKET PRICE</th>
<th>MARKET VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FHLMC REMIC 2775 CG 15DD</td>
<td>31394WJC3</td>
<td>04/15/2019</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHLM STEP-UP</td>
<td>313379VC0</td>
<td>06/27/2019</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNMA POOL #254548 5.5%</td>
<td>31371KWH0</td>
<td>12/01/2032</td>
<td>$641,255.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHLM STEP-UP .8</td>
<td>313382EA7</td>
<td>03/20/2018</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORNHUSKER BANK TOTAL PLEDGED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,641,255.72</td>
<td>$2,641,255.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNMAU</td>
<td>3136FTS83</td>
<td>02/28/2017</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNMA GTD PASS THRU POOL #AU5145</td>
<td>3138X4WF3</td>
<td>08/01/2028</td>
<td>$515,000.00</td>
<td>$515,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNMA GTD PASS THRU POOL #AU5145</td>
<td>3138xX4WF3</td>
<td>08/01/2028</td>
<td>$640,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNION BANK AND TRUST TOTAL PLEDGED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,655,000.00</td>
<td>$1,655,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHLB LOC #515712</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,500,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USBANK TOTAL PLEDGED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,500,000.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,500,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NEBRASKA CAPITOL ENVIRONS COMMISSION

The Nebraska Capitol Environs Commission will hold a public meeting on Thursday, June 26, 2014. The meeting will convene at 8:00 a.m. in Room 214, second floor, County/City Building, 555 S. 10th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska, to consider the following agenda.

For more information, please contact the Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Department at 402-441-7491.

AGENDA
June 26, 2014

1. Approval of meeting records of March 27 and April 24, 2014; receive notes from meeting of May 22, 2014.

Hearing and Action

2. Certificate of Appropriateness for work at 430 South 16th Street, First Christian Church.

3. Certificate of Appropriateness for inscribed plaques in the Spirit of Nebraska Pathway of Nebraska's Centennial Mall, in the Capitol Environs District.

4. Certificate of Appropriateness for work at the Museum of Nebraska History, 131 Centennial Mall North.

Discussion and Action

5. Recommendation on P Street East Redevelopment Project.

6. Miscellaneous: staff report, etc.

Accommodation Notice

The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public's access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.
Dear Council Members,

I just read with great interest the article about low-income housing in southeast Lincoln. As one of the colorfully described ugly sisters’ occupants, I am writing to strongly support the proposed project that includes low-income, subsidized units and fair market value units.

I live at Summer Hill complex, in a low-income unit and have a small voucher. Lincoln Housing Authority is an excellent property organization that serves a multitude of people fairly and honestly. The details for financial qualification are clearly spelled out and cause for eviction are sensible for protecting quality of life & sense of responsibility of all renters. While on the waiting list, I looked at other rental apartments that took vouchers. None had the maintenance & design quality of what I’d seen of LHA properties.

The maintenance is excellent, dwellings are attractive, inviting – very livable and enjoyable – with qualities that serve a sense of well-being, such as spacious dimensions (without being difficult to maintain), natural light, appliances, basic accessibility dimensions & lever handles on all faucets & doors, roll-over thresholds on first floor units, curb cuts at all intersections; landscaping. There are fully accessible units and ample parking. Garages are available for an extra fee.

The waiting list is long. Lincoln is in great need of quality, affordable housing and the Lincoln Housing Authority has a long track record of exceptional design, management and non-discrimination. I am unaware of problems with LHA occupants and other residents in neighborhoods. I am aware of an incident where the occupant was summarily evicted. As a former, long-time neighborhood advocate (Eastridge) I can say LHA is trustworthy, the need for the 84th Street project is real, and the perception of low-income residents is possibly based of fears, stereotyping, bias or discrimination.
I would be glad to host an open house for the Council and interested parties.

Sincerely,

Virginia K. Wright
Dear Councilman Camp;

I have some input regarding the complaints of the neighborhood regarding adding housing to the empty space by 84th and South Streets:

1. I work in that area and most days I take South Street to go home. It takes me by a convenience store. It's actually faster than taking Van Dorn to Normal. I have been doing this for a long time. I have to tell you, it's never taken me more than 30-40 seconds to wait to turn and that's during a peak time on 84th St. I drive from 14th and D and through all of that, if I'm EVER to be late to work or late getting somewhere from work it will be because of the intersection that takes me into and out of my work driveway.

2. Adding a light in that area would be a royal pain in the rear. You have a light by the church south of Allstate. You have a light at the Allstate intersection, you have a light at the Van Dorn and 84th intersection and then you have a light by the firehouse right before South. That's four lights in maybe a mile on a very busy arterial.

3. I lived at Chateau for a year. This was one of the housing complexes mentioned in last week's meeting. Yes, it had one driveway that you could use to get into or out of the complex. We lived on the side by 56th. NEVER had an issue going either way out of that driveway. We would have to go around to the Vine Street entrance to pay our rent. Same situation and never had an issue getting in or out of the driveway.

4. Parking congestion- The only thing I have to say is that compared to my neighborhood, parking congestion there doesn't exist. Driving in my neighborhood is far more dangerous with cars parked on either side of the street and narrow side streets. Sometimes it's hard to move over for someone there but we manage. I feel lucky that I have off-street parking or finding a spot in that area would be a quest. These people need to move there for a week if they want to see parking congestion.

5. The talk I was hearing around me was a bit different than the talk I was hearing at the podium. I heard a lot about lower property values and crime. Considering I make far less money and have a problem making ends meet because of medical bills...that offended me a lot. Because I make less money I'm a "problem". Because someone who holds a 40-hour a week job, volunteers close to 300 hours a year, cares about her community and her government is a "problem" just because she doesn't make as much money. I was very close to being out of order last week.

That's my input. I don't know if it's helpful at this stage but I thought I would add it.

Sincerely;
Trina Derickson
402 730 2988

--
Dear Mr. Camp,

I appreciated your thoughtful questions last week on the specifics of the Breslow Ice Center (and the operation of the facility). It's my hope that you have all the information you need to cast your vote today, but if there are lingering questions about how the community sees this project or the management of the rink, please drop me a line.

And I'd be remiss in not making this explicit: I am fully in support of this project, including the purchase agreement and the operations agreement, and I'd like to ask for a vote in support of 14-68 and 14R-163.

Thanks again for your time,
Brian Reid
cell/text: 402-875-0525
AGENDA
LES ADMINISTRATIVE BOARD
Friday, June 27, 2014 – 9:30 A.M.
LES Board Room
1040 “O” Street

9:30 A.M.
1. Call to Order

2. Approval of Minutes of the May 16, 2014 Regular Meeting of the LES Administrative Board

3. Comments from Customers

4. Introduction and Recognition of Staff
   A. 30 Years – Nelson Stephens, Denise Hennessy - Customer Services
   B. 40 Years – Jeremy Sandoz, Gregory Swanson, Larry Kathol – Energy Delivery,
      Carol Elrod – Customer Services

5. Committee Reports
   A. Finance & Audit Committee
   B. Personnel & Organization Committee
      1. *Amendment to LES Deferred Compensation Plan, LES Resolution 2014-7
      2. *Amendment to Employees’ 401(k) Retirement Plan, LES Resolution 2014-8
   C. Operations & Power Supply Committee
   D. District Energy Corporation (DEC) International District Energy Association Conference Report

6. Administrator & CEO Reports
   A. Six-Month Claims Report
   B. Regulatory Update
   C. “Plugged-In” Employee Development Group Update

7. Chief Operating Officer’s Reports
   A. District Energy Corporation (DEC) Projects Update
   B. Presentation of “Considerations on Local Economic Development” Report
   C. Community Solar RFP Update

8. Other Business
   A. Monthly Financial and Power Supply Reports
   B. Miscellaneous Information

9. Adjournment

* Denotes Action Items

Next Regular LES Administrative Board meeting Friday, July 18, 2014.
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for General Council

Name: Charles Sadler
Address: 3910 La Salle St.
City: Lincoln, NE 68516
Phone: 402-261-9960
Fax: 
Email: crsadler04@yahoo.com

Comment or Question:
Wheel Tax: Would the council consider a discounted wheel tax for seniors? Most people over 65 don’t put many miles on the city streets and it only seems fair that they should not have to pay the full tax.
Nebraska is not a senior friendly state as it is, and would think the city could be. I would think half would be fair for seniors. I moved to Lincoln in March 2013 so don't know how the wheel tax was instated. Was it voted in or just done by the council?
Maybe a petition is in order, I am retired so have plenty of time........Thank You....C.R. Sadler
Dear City Council Member(s),

I would like to address some issues I feel strongly about concerning the parking situation in downtown Lincoln. I understand there are certain expenses necessary to keep the city functioning and up to date. However, there are a few items I think should be reconsidered in the future concerning parking downtown.

I think the parking meters should go back to being free of charge on Saturdays. Being a college town with a popular football team, the new Pinnacle Bank Arena, and locals who like to spend their weekends taking part in various downtown activities has made downtown Lincoln a popular place to visit. All of these attractions add up to great amounts of tax dollars from local businesses. I believe that bringing back free meter Saturday’s would encourage more people to spend their weekends downtown, which would in turn create more revenue for local businesses, therefore more taxable income. I believe in the past free meter Saturday’s set Lincoln apart from other popular cities and I think returning to that practice would benefit the city’s popularity in the future.

Another parking situation I think should be changed has to do with employees of businesses in the downtown area. I do not think those employees should have to pay for parking. I know there are certain businesses that reimburse for parking, but not enough offer that compensation. I think there should be a law set in place which requires downtown employers to pay for their employees to park on the street during meter hours or in parking garages.

I also think it is ridiculous there are meters on almost every block of downtown. I think there should be a few blocks on the edges of downtown where no one should have to pay. I think that would put our city above other cities. I think this would entice more locals to come down earlier to events, and would also encourage them to spend more in the businesses downtown, which would contribute to more revenue through the city.

Please take into consideration my concerns about the parking in downtown Lincoln. I believe that making these changes would greatly benefit the city of Lincoln and also the state of Nebraska and make Lincoln and even more popular place to visit.

Sincerely,

Lisa Simon
I am very much opposed to the 84th Street project. I ask the Council to abide by the Lincoln Planning Commission vote of 7 to 1 against the Lincoln Housing Authority proposal. Their discussions made it very clear that they understood the safety issues of one exit from the property. These concerns have also been expressed by attorneys and very concerned residents of Karl Ridge Road, Alicia Lane, Arrow Ridge, DeVoe, and Lilbridge Streets.

Thank you for your no vote.

Richard I Metteer
8214 Karl Ridge Road
Lincoln, Nebraska 68506
I am opposed to the construction proposed by the Lincoln Housing Authority due to reasons presented to you before as safety, drainage, one exit, etc. Many people in the area have signed a petition against this proposal. Several people from the area as well as an attorney spoke to you about this proposition. It simply does not fit into this area! It is my hope that you will consider this proposal very carefully before casting your no vote.

Thank you.

Jeannette Metteer
I am the president of the Arrow Ridge Town House Association. Our residences are located on Arrow Ridge Road and Arrow Ridge Pl. we are just west of Alicia Dr. and Karl Ridge Road.

The majority of residents here are over 80 years of age. They have voiced a concern about the Lincoln Housing Authority's plan to develop a 72 multi-family dwelling units on property located between South St. and Karl Ridge Rd along south 84th St.

It is felt the planed housing of that size will bring increased traffic noise and higher volume of traffic through area.

I am urging the council to vote no on Special Permit NO. 14009.

George Clasey
President
Arrow Ridge Town House Association

gc4259@windstream.net
I am a resident of and board member of the Arrow Ridge Town House Association. The majority of residents of the Arrow Ridge Town Home Association have voiced a concern about the Lincoln Housing Authority's Plan to develop & build a 72 multi-family dwelling units on properly location between South St and Karl Ridge Rd along South 84th St.

Our residences are located on Arrow Ridge Road and Arrow Ridge Pl which are just west of Alicia Dr & Karl Ridge Rd.

The proposed development clearly would increase the traffic flow onto Karl Ridge Rd since that is the only entrance and exit into the area.

I am urging the council to vote no on Special Permit No. 14009.

Merlyn Schuster  
Resident & Board Member At Large  
Arrow Ridge Town House Association  
mschuster@neb.rr.com
Please Vote NO on ordinances 23 – 26 Monday.
Do the RIGHT thing and vote no on ordinances 23-26.
I am emailing you to voice my opposition to the Lincoln Housing Authority's plan for an apartment complex in the Trendwood neighborhood on 84th Street. There is strong opposition to this plan and it has been voted against by the City County Planning Commission. I would like to remind the City Council that you represent the tax paying and voting citizens of Lincoln. With the tremendous amount of opposition to this plan I encourage you to vote "NO" tomorrow on the requests of the Lincoln Housing Authority.
For consideration by the Lincoln City Council – June 23, 2014

Submitted by: Barb Biffle
Items in Text Boxes below each Agenda numbered item are my comments
email: whoozon1st.band@gmail.com

ORDINANCES for 3rd Reading on June 23, 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ordinance</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23) 14-66</td>
<td>Comp. Plan Conformance 13020 – Declaring approximately 9.37 acres of property generally located at S. 84th St. and South St. as surplus property. (Related Items: 14-66, 14-65, 14-67, 14R-161)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I have NO personal objection to the land being declared Surplus Property.

However, perhaps it might be retained by the City for future use as a satellite maintenance site as the City grows eastward. It could house vehicles, sand, salt, etc. Part of it could also be used as a community garden.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ordinance</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24) 14-65</td>
<td>Approving a Real Estate Sales Agreement for the sale of approximately 9.37 acres of surplus property generally located at 2201 S. 84th St. to the Lincoln Housing Authority. (Related Items: 14-66, 14-65, 14-67, 14R-161)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Per Administrative Regulations (copies submitted to the Council by me on 6-15-14), signed by the Mayor on 4-1-14, regarding surplus and subsequent sale of property owned by the City of Lincoln, I do NOT believe the land can be marketed as “For Sale”, nor sold until it has been declared “surplus”, and signs posted and advertised for 30 days AFTER it is declared “surplus”.

Also, in a handwritten note from Mr. Dave Landis to me, delivered on 6-19-14:

“Dear Ms. Biffle, You were right! The reg does say the signs go up after the surplus declaration. While they have previously been up when we were drumming up interest, the regulation says the signs go up after the declaration. I have told Nancy Hicks the same thing to confirm the accuracy of your representation. Thank you for teaching me something I should have already known.
Sincerely, Dave Landis”

I ask that this item be removed from the Agenda and/or Denied by the Council. The City cannot sell the land yet.

Continued on Page 2
25) 14-67  Change of Zone 14012 - Application of the Lincoln Housing Authority for a change of zone from R-1 Residential District and P Public Use District to R-3 Residential District and from R-1 Residential District to P Public Use District on property generally located at S. 84th St. and South St.  *(Related Items: 14-66, 14-65, 14-67, 14R-161)*

Since the Property must be advertised for 30 days AFTER it is declared “Surplus”, there would be **no purpose in acting on the Change of Zone at this time.** I ask that it be denied.

Potential Purchasers should be able to submit bids on the land as it is already zoned.

Additional offers may also come from those who would seek a Change of Zone, but it is NOT necessary to accomplish that change until you have the land advertised for 30 Days.

Since the land was previously appraised at R-3 which was not the accurate zoning, I further believe that the land should be **RE-APPRAISED at the current R-1/P to provide accurate information to potential buyers.**

26) 14R-161  Special Permit 14009 - Application of Lincoln Housing Authority to develop the Lincoln Housing Authority 84th St. Site Community Unit Plan for 72 dwelling units, with a requested waiver to allow more than 40 dwelling units on a dead end street, on property generally located at S. 84th St. and South St.  *(Related Items: 14-66, 14-65, 14-67, 14R-161) (Action Date 6/23/14)*

Since the Property must be advertised for 30 days AFTER it is declared “Surplus”, there would be **no purpose in acting on this Waiver at this time.** I ask that it be denied.

During the 30 Day “For Sale” process, other interested purchasers may come forward with plans that would not require a Waiver.

Please see the attached page of potential revenue on this property.
Potential Revenue to City of Lincoln from building on the 84th and South Street Property currently owned by City of Lincoln.

Submitted by Barb Biffle 6-23-14
For future consideration for any potential sales
email: whoozon1st.band@gmail.com

If developed as single family units or condos.

Initial Sales Price = Approximately $250,000 to City
Future Impact Fees from Developer = ?

Current property taxes range from $2400 to $3400/yr on the condo/duplexes already on the north side of Karl Ridge Road.

Assume a median of $3000 per year x 40 additional units (20 condo duplexes) = $120,000 /yr prop tax to City for the life of the property.

If developed as affordable housing by LHA

Initial sales Price = Approximately $690,000 to City
Future Impact Fees from Developer = ?

Current LHA structure at Prairie Crossing of 80 units pays approximately $81,000 per year in property taxes.

The # of units would be less at 84th & South. So assume $75,000 in taxes each year.

ALSO, as stated by LHA at the public hearing on Monday, June 16, 2014, the property would only be on the tax rolls for 15 years.

- Over a 15 year period the approximate total revenue from each development could be (sales price + property taxes:

  Condos: $2,050,000 LHA: $1,815,000

- At 20 years out: Condos: $2,650,000 LHA $1,815,000

I contend that selling the land to a potential buyer for development as R-1 would generate more long-term income for the City and also fit with the current neighbor design and use.
As a resident who will be directly affected by the proposed development of LHA housing project at the location of 84th Street and Karl Ridge Rd, I am adamantly opposed to this project, which was denied by a vote of 7 to 1 by the Planning Commission.

This project is certainly not needed in the area, as there are apartment buildings directly south of it, south of Van Dorn, and further south on 84th Street. With just one outlet directly across from the outlet of Somerset Apartments, the traffic congestion it will cause is a recipe for disaster, to say nothing of children walking to and from schools in the area. In addition, it will cause many problems with emergency vehicle access and snow removal.

I purchased my townhouse when I retired seventeen years ago, with the intention of living there the rest of my life or until circumstances force me into a nursing home. Many of my neighbors are in the same circumstances.

There are many other reasons this project should not go forward, and these have been stated by others. Unfortunately prior commitments prevent me from attending the meeting this afternoon.

Realizing that the City Council is more concerned with politics than is the Planning Commission, I still urge you to put politics aside, accept the Planning Commission’s recommendation, and deny this change of zoning.
To the Members of the City Council:

My wife and I are owners of the property located at 8224 Karl Ridge Road, purchased in 1997. We oppose the proposal to change the zoning of this property at 84th and Karl Ridge Road from R1 to R3. This area has only one access road in to the property and is directly across from access to the Somerset Apartments. Traffic in to and out of these driveways would be a problem. Karl Ridge Road is not built to carry a large amount of traffic in either direction. Access onto 84th St. is dangerous for left turning traffic and the large number of cars onto 84th makes even right turns dangerous.

Additional traffic on Karl Ridge Road and Alicia Lane to South 82nd St. and then onto Van Dorn would be difficult as traffic from Homestead Apartments enters Van Dorn here and would create traffic problems on this corner.

The water runoff of the parking lots on this property into onsite water detention cells would be hazardous to children and would cause problems to people living on Devoe Street on the lower edge of the property.

Please consider these and other issues as you make your decision. We ask the City Council to please vote no on this proposal.

Thank you.

Harold and Lois Slaight
8224 Karl Ridge Road
Lincoln, NE 68506
402-483-5754
Dear Council Members,

I am writing in opposition to the zoning change from R1 to R3 on the surplus property in the area of 84th street and Karl Ridge Rd.

The issue is safety for the current Trendwood residents and especially for the future residents on this surplus property.

- The property is closed off from fire and emergency vehicles except for one entrance, View Point Drive.
- The property is surrounded by high voltage power lines, underground high pressure gas lines, high fences, and 84th street.
- There are no pedestrian walkways other than to View Point Drive and 84th street.
- Children will have to walk high traffic areas to walk to and from school.
- Karl Ridge Drive and adjacent connecting residential streets are frequently reduced to single lane traffic due to on street parking.
- Karl Ridge Drive and adjacent connecting streets drift shut and become impassable during most snow storms.

All of these factors make this development high risk for emergency services and daily travel. A high density residential development at this property is the wrong move.

This property should remain R1 and there should be no waiver to increase the density on this dead end street.

Vote against those proposals.

Sincerely,

Jim Fedde
2420 Devoe Dr.
Lincoln, NE 68506

402/483-5780
We moved into this area thinking it was a quiet neighborhood on a residential street. If this passes, our street will become an arterial street. There are far too many negative reasons for this to pass. The Planning Commission voted 7 to 1 not to proceed with this project. They did their homework that should be needed to make a right decision to turn this down. There are too many people in the neighborhood who would be affected in a bad way.
Dick and Marge Stansbury
2621 Alicia Lane
Subject: Lincoln Housing Authority Proposal

Please let me start by saying that I was unable to make the meeting held on 06/16/2014 but I REALLY want to make my thoughts heard as far as the thoughts for the SOUTH STREET exits that were discussed on 06/16/2014.

I do understand the need for Housing and Urban Development as well as the Lincoln Housing Authority to create need based housing and after listening to the meeting a couple of times this past week I want to first say that it seems like this development might not be perfect for the area of 84th and Karl Ridge/View Point Drive. With all the exceptions that are needing to be made and all the neighborhood objection it seems as though this project is trying to be run through and I am unsure why at this point?

I digress back to my main point. I would like to voice my objection to the TERRIBLE idea to putting ANY sort of exit going towards SOUTH STREET from this property. It was asked by lawyer Mark Hunzeker if anyone looked at a street going towards SOUTH STREET which would put my property with three (3) streets on either side of me. You may try and call it a driveway but we all know it is a street. I was not really terribly opposed to this project until the last meeting when this was brought up. My wife and I feel that this development needs to conform to existing laws and to not disrupt the current neighborhood which is already in place. This is a little different project because of the existing neighborhood is already in place and the thought of shoehorning this project in this space is now seeming like a terrible idea.

I do understand that many on the city council will call me a NIMBY (Not In My BACK Yard) syndrome sort of person and I would advise against any sort of name calling or pre-judging anyone in this neighborhood. I have tried to call all of you but have only got to talk to 2 of you (City Council members) this is also including the mayor of Lincoln which has not had the time to talk to me about this issue either. I would expect that all of you would make yourself more accessible as issues like this take time to iron out. In my last town I could just go a few doors down and talk to city leaders or at least make phone calls that would be returned. I would like to thanks Jon Camp and Doug Emery for taking the time to hear me out and at least talk to me about my concerns.

I will continue to be opposed to ANY sort of exit going towards SOUTH STREET for there are safety factors and security factors with the Water Department's pump house and critical assets sitting right there. When the water department put up the security fence I was concerned but Dave Thurber got input and the fencing turned out as nice as could be expected but there should be no reason to change anything that was put in place a year ago. Securing critical assets in any city should be first and foremost and I would really encourage you all to think about city security first here. Water and Electricity both are on this property and maybe we should just let them have the room for now?

I urge you to not approve this project and if you would approve the project you should make any project fit within existing laws and regulations. (40 units on a dead end street) WITH NO ACCESS TO SOUTH STREET.

Thanks for your time please feel free to contact me.

T. Gary and Kayla Stearley
8201 South Street
Lincoln, NE 68506
308-379-7769
We are writing this in opposition to the Lincoln Housing Authority's proposal to build federal low-income housing apartments in the Trendwood community in Lincoln. We are home owners, taxpayers, residents and voters in Lincoln.

We would like to ask all the City Council members to personally inspect this piece of land to see how ridiculous this proposed project would be. Many of the issues have been addressed, and the Planning Commission voted 7 to 1 against it! It is a bad location, too small with a higher elevation than other properties, and contains a large electrical tower and high power lines as well as a water plant with a second plant to be added. In addition, it has buried cables, a fire station, and only one entrance and exit. There are concerns about traffic, school accessibility, open containment pools, no proposed landscaping, and drainage problems as well as being too close to current homes, probably trespassing on current homeowners' properties. At the proposed area, there are also safety concerns about lack of street or sidewalk access for children attending schools and residents in the area as well as lack of access for emergency vehicles and snow removal.

This apartment building is not needed in this area. There are currently at least six or more affordable apartment properties within 2 miles of this proposed project; one is just a few feet from the area and another is south across the street.

We ask the City Council to please vote no on this proposal.

Sincerely,

Jerry & Kelley Hovelsrud
Dear Councilmen,
I have lived at 2220 Devoe Drive for 35 years. I have invested over $160,000 in improvements of this property because I have this field behind me and it is like country. This has increased the value of my property and if that field is no longer available my property will go down $30,000 or more. My home borders this field. We have put in a huge glass wall in the kitchen and a sunroom overlooking our back yard. When I bought our home I called about the field and was told by the city that nothing would be built on it because of the underground equipment.
This is a single family dwelling area( R-1) and how can someone just change it to a large rental area( R-3) in the middle of our neighborhood. There are so many other land sites to build on. This property is my life and all I own.
There are many issues I am sure you know about, such as the congestion, lighting, traffic, water runoff, only one exit, noise and so many other reasons not to develop here.
I have grandchildren and have a huge sandbox and a fort shading it as well as a trampoline. With all the children proposed to be living in the area behind me, I know we would have major concerns with jumping my low fence and being in my yard. My fort would become a teen hangout as it is a great hiding place. My backyard will no longer be safe for our property such as toys, bikes, and landmowers. They will not be in my backyard any more out of sight but, exposed to 72 or 80 families. This thought is just overwhelming to me. No more backyard privacy of any kind. Everything will be exposed. Think about your privacy in your backyard no longer there for you and your family. We love being outside in our backyard with total privacy. Please do not allow building R-3 structures behind our world.
Judy Coralyn Harder

Present: Doug Emery, Chair; Trent Fellers, Vice-Chair; Jon Camp; Roy Christensen; Carl Eskridge; and Leirion Gaylor Baird

Absent: Jonathan Cook

Others: Teresa Meier, City Clerk; Rick Hoppe, Chief of Staff; Dave Landis, Urban Development Director; and Mary Meyer, City Council Secretary

Chair Emery opened the meeting at 2:01 p.m. and announced the location of the Open Meetings Act.

I. CITY CLERK

Meier, in review of the formal agenda, stated Item #2 has a request from Public Works to have on a 5:30 p.m. meeting agenda. We will change to July 28th at 5:30 p.m., instead of July 21st at 3:00 p.m.

Under Liquor Resolutions Meier indicated she would call Items #6 and #7 together, #8 and #9 together. Under Ordinances, 2nd Reading, would call Items #15 and #16 together, and #17 and #18 together.

On Ordinances, 3rd Reading, received a request to delay action on Item #23 through #26 to July 14, 2014.

On Item #21 do have a request to place this item on pending, no date certain. Hoppe added, this is the Master Facilities Agreement with LPS.

II. MAYOR

1. NEWS RELEASE. Public invited to Open House at SCC’s Education Square. American Job Center’s services and programs to be featured.
2. NEWS ADVISORY. Mayor Beutler will hold a news conference, Thursday, June 19, at 555 S. 10th Street, Room 303, to announce the introduction of an ordinance to address vacant, neglected residential buildings.
3. NEWS RELEASE. Open House planned on Wedgewood Lake. Maintenance Project and Access Route.
4. NEWS RELEASE. New ordinance addresses problem properties.
5. NEWS RELEASE. Library releases list of “Top 100 Novels for Youth”.

III. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS


CITIZEN INFORMATION CENTER

1. Telecommunications / Cable Television Advisory Board meeting notice. Tuesday, June 17, 4:00 p.m., at 555 S. 10th Street, Room 303.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

1. Administrative Amendment No. 14034, Use Permit No. 101A, approved by the Planning Director on June 16, 2014.
2. Memorandum. Letter from Russell Miller on Comprehensive Plan Amendment No. 14003 or
Water Department 2013 Master Plan, which was inadvertently omitted from the Factsheet for Bill No. 14R-167.

URBAN DEVELOPMENT - Dave Landis
Landis stated this south project is somewhat controversial. In the event Council could delay a vote today it would be helpful to allow us to search for alternatives, items to consider, other than what is presented now. Would like to have this considered as an option.

Hoppe added would be looking at July 14th. Camp asked if referring to 84th and South with Hoppe replying yes. If there’s a request can delay. Camp asked, would we do an amendment today to reduce the price, $250,000? Hoppe thought we’d rather have some extended conversation before we accept any. We do not think there’s consensus at this point, and we need to continue working. Camp thought to possibly do on the dias, bringing up items. Trying to make this work for a particular entity, but don’t want the entity to be bemirched by this. Hoppe stated he didn’t quite follow, besmirched? Camp commented would make it work for the Housing Authority and this wasn’t done for private builders. Think it could create a challenge with this delay. Hoppe stated he didn’t quite follow how that creates a challenge. Time to work something out? Camp replied, it’s wrong. Hoppe asked if it’s wrong to delay and try to work something out? Camp replied, trying to work out with the larger number of units, and have exceptions. Hoppe stated he didn’t know that.

Christensen thought Camp was saying these kinds of efforts have not been evident in the past for private developers. Hoppe responded he thinks they have. Emery added that Council took time, a number of weeks, trying to figure out what to do on Pine Lake Road. The options are out there with a request. We’ll vote and proceed. Camp could present an alternative which we would also vote on.

Christensen asked for the agenda numbers. Meier responded Items 23, 24, 25 and 26.

IV. COUNCIL MEMBERS

JON CAMP
2. Question to Steve Hubka, Finance Director, on the Parking Enterprise Fund and Parking Meters.
   a) Reply from Finance Director Hubka regarding the Parking Enterprise Fund and Parking Meters.
3. Questions regarding Lobo’s City Mex, Inc. Parking Lease/Agreement to David Landis, Urban Development Director.

V. CORRESPONDENCE FROM CITIZENS
1. Doris Stoner correspondence stating her concerns with the proposal for Lincoln Housing Authority apartment in the Trendwood area.

VI. ADJOURNMENT
Chair Emery adjourned the meeting at 2:08 p.m.