DIRECTORS’ MEETING
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2014
555 S. 10TH STREET
BILL LUXFORD STUDIO
2:00 P.M.

I. CITY CLERK

II. MAYOR
1. NEWS RELEASE. Storytimes to focus on dental care.
2. NEWS ADVISORY. Mayor Beutler and Public Safety Officials will release the 2013 crime statistics for Lincoln at a news conference, Thursday, February 6th, 10:00 a.m., 555 S. 10th Street, 3rd floor.
3. NEWS RELEASE. Crime rate falls again in 2013.

III. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

CITIZEN INFORMATION CENTER
1. City of Lincoln Snow Update, Monday, February 3, 2014. Parking Ban beginning at 8:00 a.m. Tuesday.
2. City of Lincoln Street Condition Update, Tuesday, February 4, 2014, 12:00 Noon.
3. City of Lincoln Snow Removal/Parking Ban Update, Tuesday, February 4, 2014, 3:15 p.m.
   Lincoln engaged in full plowing operation.
4. City of Lincoln Snow Removal/Parking Ban Update, Tuesday, February 4, 2014, 4:50 p.m.
   Lincoln continues full plowing operation.
5. City of Lincoln Snow Removal/Parking Ban Update, Wednesday, February 5, 2014, 8:30 a.m.
   Plowing, material spreading continues. Snow emergency remains in effect.

LINCOLN CITY LIBRARIES
1. Correspondence from Barbara Hansen, Lincoln City Libraries, submitting the resumes of the individuals interested in being appointed to the Library Board. (The 25 resumes are on file and available in the City Council office)
2. Letter from Pat Leach, Library Director, listing the Library Board recommendations.

PLANNING COMMISSION

IV. COUNCIL MEMBERS

JON CAMP
1. Beck Huebner email regarding Broadmoor Development at 70th and Ashbrook, specifically traffic concerns.
2. Chad Wemhoff letter urging Council to reject the proposed Broadmoor development, listing reasons.
   a) Correspondence from Chad Wemhoff after receiving correspondence on traffic from Miki Esposito, Public Works & Utilities Director.
3. Nancy Bradley email on the Broadmoor Ashbrook project. Listing reasons, primarily being traffic and speed.
4. Jennifer Rawlinson email thanking Council for asking questions and listening to the constituent testimony regarding the proposed apartment complex development near the Pine Lake Association and Edenton Woods neighborhoods. Willing to answer any questions.
5. Email from Bob Moodie commenting on Director Esposito’s traffic report.
6. Jim Randall email on the Breslow Ice Center. The Breslow Center should pay its own way. No taxpayer money.

V. CORRESPONDENCE FROM CITIZENS
1. Correspondence from Theresa Atwood expressing concerns over proposed Broadmoor Ashbrook Apartments.
2. Email from Danielle Nikkila regarding curb and tire damage. (Sent to Public Works and City Attorney’s offices)
   a) Letter to Danielle Nikkila advising her on how to file a claim from Kent Imig, Risk Management Division of Department of Human Resources.
3. InterLinc correspondence from Shot and Candiss Kleen. Consider recommending single family, duplex, or condominium development on the Livingston property, not the proposed Broadmoor development.
4. Jonathan and Nicole Hoesch email in opposition to the Special Permit to build apartment adjacent to Pine Lake.
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: February 4, 2014
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Vicki Wood, Lincoln City Libraries, 402-441-8565

STORYTIMES TO FOCUS ON DENTAL CARE

February is National Children’s Dental Health Month, and Lincoln City Libraries is putting the spotlight on teeth with storytimes focusing on dental care.

The storytimes will feature staff from Ameritas Group Division and the Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department’s Dental Health and Nutrition Services. They will present information on dental care during the following preschool storytimes:

- **Monday, February 10**, 4 to 4:45 p.m., at Williams Branch, 5000 Mike Scholl Street
- **Tuesday, February 11**, 10:30 to 11 a.m. at Anderson Branch, 3635 Touzalin Ave., and Gere Branch, 2400 S. 56th Street
- **Wednesday, February 12**, 10:30 to 11 a.m. at Eiseley Branch, 1530 Superior Street, and 2 to 2:30 p.m. at South Branch, 2675 South Street
- **Thursday, February 13**, 10:30 to 11 a.m. at Bethany Branch, 1810 N. Cotner Blvd.
- **Tuesday, February 18**, 10:30 to 11 a.m. at Walt Branch, 6701 S. 14th Street, and 7 to 7:30 p.m. at Eiseley Branch, 1530 Superior Street (This session includes a presentation from Domesti-Pups on doggie dental care and a visit from a therapy dog.)
- **Wednesday, February 19**, 10:30 to 11 a.m. at Anderson Branch, 3635 Touzalin Ave.

For more information about Lincoln City Libraries, visit lincolnlibraries.org or call 402-441-8500.
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DATE: February 5, 2014
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 402-441-7831

Mayor Chris Beutler and public safety officials will release the 2013 crime statistics for Lincoln at a news conference at **10 a.m. Thursday, February 6 in room 303, third floor of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th Street.**
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: February 6, 2014
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 402-441-7831
Tom Casady, Public Safety Director, 402-430-5044

CRIME RATE FALLS AGAIN IN 2013

Mayor Chris Beutler and Public Safety Director Tom Casady today announced that the City’s crime rate is now lower than it was in 1970. The crime statistics for calendar year 2013 show that the City’s overall reported crime fell by 7.8 percent compared to 2012. The total number of crimes fell by 868 from 11,110 to 10,242. The crime rate (the number of crimes divided by the population) has declined by 49.8 percent since the peak year in 1991.

“Since 1991, we’ve seen a decrease of 4,470 crimes, despite the fact that our population has increased by over 75,000 people,” Mayor Beutler said. “A major contributor is our Police Department’s focus on problem-oriented policing, working with community stakeholders on underlying problems that contribute to crime. Rapid access to information resources, crime analysis and information technology have also played an important role.”

The Mayor said the community has also played a role in keeping the City safe. He cited the Stronger Safer Neighborhood Initiative, Neighborhood Watch and Crimestoppers as well as the City’s strong library and park system and services offered through Community Learning Centers, mentoring programs and nonprofits.

The Lincoln Police Department (LPD) compiles statistics as part of the FBI’s national Uniform Crime Reporting program. In the seven areas tracked by the FBI, also called Part 1 offenses, Lincoln had decreases in five – larceny-theft fell by 625, burglary by 190, rape by 32, aggravated assaults by 29 and auto theft by 3. The number of murders increased from three to five, and the number of robberies increased from 198 to 208.

Since 1991, violent crime is down by 34.8 percent, and property crime is down by 55.7 percent. Public Safety Director Tom Casady said the long-term downturn in crime mirrors a national trend, but the ability of Lincoln police officers to identify problem locations and apply solutions is exceptional. He said robbery is the one crime that has consistently increased in Lincoln over the past 20 years, and it will continue to be a major focus of LPD during 2014.

More information on Lincoln’s crime rate and LPD is available at police.lincoln.ne.gov.
CITY OF LINCOLN
PARKING BAN UPDATE

For more information:
Public Works Snow Center -- 402-441-7644
Citizen Information Center -- Diane Gonzolas 402-441-7831, cell 402-525-1520

Date: Monday, February 3, 2014
Time: 3:30 p.m.

Snow is expected to begin falling in Lincoln late tonight, and the City has issued a snow emergency beginning at 8 a.m Tuesday morning. A snow emergency means parking is banned on emergency snow routes, bus routes and other major arterial streets. A map showing these routes is available on the City website at lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: snow). Remember, crews can do a better and faster job of clearing streets if they are not lined with parked vehicles, so please plan ahead for alternate parking.

Again, a snow emergency parking ban takes effect at 8 a.m. Tuesday morning. For more information, visit lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: snow) or call the Public Works Snow Center at 402-441-7644.

- 30-

Diane Gonzolas
Manager, Citizen Information Center
Office of the Mayor
555 S. 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508
402-441-7831
cell 402-525-1520
dgonzolas@lincoln.ne.gov
A snow emergency parking ban remains in effect for Lincoln. The revised release follows:

CITY OF LINCOLN
STREET CONDITION UPDATE

For more information:
Public Works Snow Center -- 441-7644
Citizen Information Center -- 441-7547

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2014
Time: noon

City crews began spreading salt on major arterials and snow emergency routes at 9 a.m. this morning. Public Works officials will continue monitoring the storm and evaluate what resources will be needed later today as the situation plays out.

A snow emergency parking ban went into effect at 8 a.m. this morning. A snow emergency means parking is banned on emergency snow routes, bus routes and other major arterial streets. A map showing these routes is available on the City website at lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: snow).

Lincoln Police Department has reported six accidents as of 11 a.m. today. Streets are getting slick and caution is urged while driving. StarTran reports that buses are running on time.

Please stay informed on the status of snow operations in Lincoln. Additional information is available on the City website at lincoln.ne.gov and in the blue pages of your phone directory. If you have questions, you may call the Public Works Snow Center at 402-441-7644.
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David Norris
Citizen Information Center
Mayor Beutler's Office
555 S. 10th St. - Suite 301
Lincoln, NE 68508
402-441-7547
CITY OF LINCOLN
SNOW REMOVAL/PARKING BAN UPDATE

For more information:
Public Works Snow Center -- 441-7644
Citizen Information Center -- 441-7547

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2014
Time: 3:15 p.m.

LINCOLN ENGAGED IN FULL PLOWING OPERATION
Snow Emergency Remains in Effect

Public Works street crews are engaged in a full-plowing operation with about 70 plows working to clear the city’s major arterials, snow emergency routes, bus routes and school routes.

A snow emergency parking ban went into effect at 8 a.m. this morning. A snow emergency means parking is banned on emergency snow routes, bus routes and other major arterial streets. A map showing these routes is available on the City website at lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: snow).

Lincoln Police Department has reported 23 accidents as of 3 p.m. today. Streets are slick and caution is urged while driving. StarTran reports that buses are running on time.

Please stay informed on the status of snow operations in Lincoln. Additional information is available on the City website at lincoln.ne.gov and in the blue pages of your phone directory. If you have questions, you may call the Public Works Snow Center at 402-441-7644.
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CITY OF LINCOLN
SNOW REMOVAL/PARKING BAN UPDATE

For more information:
Public Works Snow Center -- 402-441-7644
Citizen Information Center -- 402-441-7831

Date: Tuesday, February 4, 2014
Time: 4:50 p.m.

LINCOLN CONTINUES FULL PLOWING OPERATION
Residential plowing to begin; no residential parking ban at this time

Public Works street crews will work their way into the city’s residential areas when major arterial work concludes later today. Material spreaders and plows will continue work on the major roads where needed. There will not be a residential parking ban at this time, but residents are asked to voluntarily get their cars off the street if possible.

The snow emergency for Lincoln remains in effect. A snow emergency means parking is banned on emergency snow routes, bus routes and other major arterial streets. A map showing these routes is available on the City website at lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: snow).

Public Works street crews are engaged in a full-plowing operation with about 70 plows working to clear the city’s major arterials, snow emergency routes, bus routes and school routes.

Lincoln Police Department has reported 28 accidents as of 4 p.m. today. Streets are slick and caution is urged while driving. StarTran reports that buses are running on time.

Please stay informed on the status of snow operations in Lincoln. Additional information is available on the City website at lincoln.ne.gov and in the blue pages of your phone directory. If you have questions, you may call the Public Works Snow Center at 402-441-7644.
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CITY OF LINCOLN
SNOW REMOVAL/PARKING BAN UPDATE

For more information:
Public Works Snow Center -- 402-441-7644
Citizen Information Center -- 402-441-7547

Date: Wednesday, February 5, 2014
Time: 8:30 a.m.

PLOWING, MATERIAL SPREADING CONTINUES
Snow Emergency Remains in Effect

Public Works street crews began plowing in residential areas at about 5 a.m. today. Material spreading efforts continue on major arterials, snow emergency routes and bus routes. Crews will continue working in residential areas throughout the day while also addressing trouble spots on the main roads where drifting has occurred.

A snow emergency parking ban remains in effect. A snow emergency means parking is banned on emergency snow routes, bus routes and other major arterial streets. A map showing these routes is available on the City website at lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: snow).

Lincoln Police Department has reported five accidents as of 8 a.m. today. StarTran reports that buses are running on time.

Please stay informed on the status of snow operations in Lincoln. Additional information is available on the City website at lincoln.ne.gov and in the blue pages of your phone directory. If you have questions, you may call the Public Works Snow Center at 402-441-7644.
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SNOW REMOVAL DISTRICT PARKING BAN IN EFFECT TONIGHT

Snow Emergency parking ban to be lifted at 4 p.m. today

Mayor Chris Beutler has announced that the snow emergency parking ban for Lincoln will be lifted at 4 p.m. today. Where allowed, parking will again be available on major streets and bus routes after this time.

A snow removal district parking ban will be in effect from 12:01 to 7 a.m. Thursday, through Monday in the City’s eight snow removal districts. In these areas, snow is plowed into windrows, loaded into trucks and hauled out of the area between midnight and 7 a.m. To assist with snow removal, parking is prohibited on both sides of the street in the districts, which include streets in Downtown/Haymarket, University Place; Havelock; Bethany, College View; 11th and “G”; 17th and Washington; and 25th and Sumner. Maps can be found at lincoln.ne.gov.

To assist downtown residents during snow removal district parking bans, City Parking Services will offer free overnight parking at Center Park Garage, 1100 “N” St. The service is being offered in an effort to reduce citations and towed vehicles in the downtown area during parking bans. The service will be offered from 10 p.m. to 7 a.m. when the City is under a snow removal district parking ban. Customers will be charged for any time beyond 10 p.m. to 7 a.m. at the posted garage rate. Vehicles that remain in the facility past 10 a.m. will be charged for the entire time the vehicle was in the facility.

City street crews have plowed about one-third of the City’s residential streets. Material spreaders will continue working on trouble spots on the main roads as crews work toward putting the finishing touches on the cleanup effort.

Please stay informed on the status of snow operations in Lincoln. Additional information is available on the City website at lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: snow). If you have questions, you may call the Public Works Snow Center at 402-441-7644.
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Attached is the Library Board's recommendation and the resumes of all 25 individuals interested in being appointed to the library board to fill the vacancy left by the early departure of Maja Viklands Harris.

Barbara Hansen
Administrative Aide
Lincoln City Libraries
402-441-8512
February 5, 2014

Carl Eskridge, Chair
Lincoln City Council
555 So. 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508

Dear Mr. Eskridge:

Attached are applications received by the Library Board of Trustees from 25 persons interested in being appointed to the Library Board to fill the vacancy left by the early departure of Maja Viklands Harris. This partial term runs through August 31, 2015.

This group of candidates responded to notices posted at all library locations as well as the Library website. Candidates who submitted interest in prior appointments were notified of the vacancy as well as individuals who expressed interest through the Mayor’s Board Bank. Release of the information was also coordinated through the Citizen Information Center.

The Library Board Committee on Administration reviewed the qualifications of the candidates based on current and future needs of the Board of Trustees, along with applicants' interest in the public library and the community as a whole. The Committee on Administration presented its report and recommendation to the Library Board at the Board’s January 28, 2014, meeting.

After thorough review, the Library Board recommends Lowell S. Berg for appointment to the Library Board. Mr. Berg’s connections in the community and experience as a library architect are strengths that would be beneficial to the Board at this time. The Board also recommends Jeffry Paterson and Tracy Sanford.

As soon as the City Council completes its appointment process, the new Board member will be contacted and the orientation process begun. Thank you for your consideration. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Pat Leach
Library Director
NOTICE: The Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission will hold a public hearing on Wednesday, February 5, 2014, at 1:00 p.m., in Hearing Room 112 on the first floor of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St., Lincoln, Nebraska, on the following items. For more information, call the Planning Department, (402) 441-7491.

The Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission will meet on Wednesday, February 5, 2014, immediately following their regular meeting, in Room 113 of the County-City building, 555 S. 10th St., Lincoln, Nebraska, for a brief discussion on the “reFORM proposals”, followed by Planning staff briefings on “Zoning Regulations on Alcohol Sales” and “Lincoln Theater Policy”.

**PLEASE NOTE:** The Planning Commission action is final action on any item with a notation of “FINAL ACTION”. Any aggrieved person may appeal Final Action of the Planning Commission to the City Council or County Board by filing a Notice of Appeal with the City Clerk or County Clerk within 14 days following the action of the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission action on all other items is a recommendation to the City Council or County Board.

**ACTION BY PLANNING COMMISSION**

February 5, 2014

AGENDA

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 2014

[All members present]

Approval of minutes of the regular meeting held January 22, 2014. **APPROVED, 8-0 (Hove abstained)**

1. REQUESTS FOR DEFERRAL: (See item 3.2 below)
2. **PUBLIC HEARING AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION:**

**COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:**

- **2.1 Comprehensive Plan Conformance No. 14001**, to review the proposed declaration of surplus property as to conformance with the 2040 Lincoln-Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan, generally located at Sun Valley Boulevard and Line Drive.

  *Staff recommendation: Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan*
  *Staff Planner: Brandon Garrett, 402-441-6373, bgarrett@lincoln.ne.gov*
  *Had public hearing.*
  *Planning Commission recommendation: A FINDING OF CONFORMANCE WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, 9-0.*
  *Public Hearing before City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, March 3, 2014, 3:00 p.m.*

3. **CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION:**

**PERMITS:**

- **3.1 Use Permit No. 149A**, an amendment to reconfigure the building and parking layout south of Jamie Lane, west of South 27th Street, including a request to reduce the side and rear yard setbacks to allow a 400 sq. ft. increase of commercial floor area, on property generally located at South 27th Street and Jamie Lane.

  *Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval*
  *Staff Planner: Christy Eichorn, 402-441-7603, ceichorn@lincoln.ne.gov*
  *Had public hearing.*
  *Planning Commission recommendation: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as revised by staff memo dated February 5, 2014, 9-0.*
  *Public Hearing before City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, March 3, 2014, 3:00 p.m.*

  *(See 1-22-14 agenda for staff report on the following item.)*

- **3.2 Special Permit No. 985A**, an amendment to the Kess Kove Community Unit Plan, to add one lot for one additional residential dwelling unit, with a waiver to grading and paving in South 60th Street, on property generally located at South 60th Street and Kess Drive.

  ***FINAL ACTION***
  *Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval*
  *Staff Planner: Brian Will, 402-441-6362, bwill@lincoln.ne.gov*
  *Applicant’s request for additional two-week deferral granted, with CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING AND ACTION scheduled for Wednesday, February 19, 2014, 1:00 p.m.*
AT THIS TIME, ANYONE WISHING TO SPEAK ON AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA, MAY DO SO

Adjournment

PENDING LIST:

1. Change of Zone No. 13015, from AGR Agricultural District to R-1 Residential District, on property generally located at Frontier Road and Highway 2 (6061 and 6101 Frontier Road).  
   *(10-16-13: Planning Commission voted 8-0 to continue public hearing on April 16, 2014, at the applicant’s request.)*

2. Street & Alley Vacation No. 06007, to vacate Pine Ridge Lane west of the west line of Westshore Drive, at approximately Highway 2 and Ashbrook Drive.  
   *(01-22-14: Planning Commission voted 8-0 to continue public hearing on February 19, 2014, at the applicant’s request.)*

Planning Dept. staff contacts:

Stephen Henrichsen, *Development Review Manager* . 402-441-6374 . shenrichsen@lincoln.ne.gov
David Cary, *Long Range Planning Manager* . 402-441-6364 . dcary@lincoln.ne.gov
Paul Barnes, *Planner* . 402-441-6362 . pbarnes@lincoln.ne.gov
Michael Brienzo, *Transportation Planner* . 402-441-6369 . mbrienzo@lincoln.ne.gov
Tom Cajka, *Planner* . 402-441-5662 . tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov
Christy Eichorn, *Planner* . 402-441-7603 . ceichorn@lincoln.ne.gov
Brandon Garrett, *Planner* . 402-441-6373 . bgarrett@lincoln.ne.gov
Stacey Groshong Hageman, *Planner* . 402-441-6361 . slhageman@lincoln.ne.gov
Sara Hartzell, *Planner* . 402-441-6371 . shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov
David Pesnichak, *Transportation Planner* . 402-441-6363 . dpesnichak@lincoln.ne.gov
Brian Will, *Planner* . 402-441-6362 . bwill@lincoln.ne.gov
Ed Zimmer, *Historic Preservation Planner* . 402-441-6360 . ezimmer@lincoln.ne.gov

***

The Planning Commission meeting which is broadcast live at 1:00 p.m. every other Wednesday will be rebroadcast on Sundays at 1:00 p.m. on 5 City TV, Cable Channel 5.

***

The Planning Commission agenda may be accessed on the Internet at [http://www.lincoln.ne.gov/city/plan/pcagenda/index.htm](http://www.lincoln.ne.gov/city/plan/pcagenda/index.htm)
ACCOMMODATION NOTICE

The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public’s access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.
Greetings Jon,
I hope this finds you and the family well. Paul and I have moved and are now in your district. We too now are in the town home stage of life and loving it! Please allow me to chime in along with many of my neighbors about my concerns about this prospective development.
As you know I am about as pro growth and development as anyone but I do find the traffic issue a real concern. If a stop light is not guaranteed at 70th and highway 2 and speed bumps along Ashbrook our quality of life and our safety is in jeopardy. The residents along Ashbrook will already have to swallow the bitter pill of lights and noise in their thus far wild and beautiful back yard but to have their safety be a concern is too much. We do hope you will advocate for us to find a solution that our community can live with.
Thanks for your efforts.'
Best,
Becky

--

Becky Huebner
Realtor, GRI
Nebraska Home Sales
(402) 770-1350
beckyhuebner6@gmail.com
http://nebhomesales.com/agents/becky-huebner/

Emails sent or received shall neither constitute acceptance of conducting transactions via electronic means nor create a binding contract until and unless a written contract is signed by the parties.
I am a resident of the Pine Lake neighborhood. I am urging you as a city council member to reject the proposed Broadmore development to our west. I live at 6601 Eastshore Drive and moved into the neighborhood June of 2013. I am married and have 3 young children. My wife and I moved our children to Pine Lake due to the “country” feel of the neighborhood. The neighborhood is safe and encourages outdoor play, weather it is on bikes, at the park, on the beach, fishing, or swimming. It is a great area for families to enjoy each other. The proposed development and requested change of permit will allow an unsafe increase in traffic to our neighborhood. The R4 zoning change is too dense. The land surrounding the development site is filled with single family homes and townhomes. The other issue with the density is the lot size versus the development size. The lot is roughly 15 acres but due to the flood zone the development will be pushed into a 10 acre area, pushing the size of the buildings over the standard R4 zone height limit, requiring Broadmore for another special permit. Don’t ruin a special neighborhood full of families and great people with another large apartment development forcing more traffic through our streets. Pine Lake is a unique development for Lincoln and one that makes our city great. Let’s keep it that way.

Sincerely

Chad Wemhoff

Chad Wemhoff
6601 Eastshore Drive
Lincoln NE 68516

cwemhoff@lpta-nohc.com
402-871-7964
I appreciate the traffic report. The traffic headed to 70th and old cheney isn't the only concern of mine and many of my neighbors. The traffic trying to get to 84th street and head north is another concern. They would have 3 paths.

1. Left turn (without a light) onto Hwy 2 during rush hour, and another left at 84th and Hwy 2, then 2 additional stop lights (84th and Eiger, and 84th and Pine lake Rd) then head north.
2. Go north on Ashbrook and east on northshore drive, continue on eastshore to pine lake road to the stop light at 84th and Pine Lake rd.
3. Go north on Ashbrook and east on Northshore Dr., head across the dam to westshore Dr, to Eiger Dr. then make the choice to go up to the light on 84th and Eiger or turn left on 82nd and take Pine lake road up to the light at 84th and pine lake.

I think the timing could about the same, but the chance to avoid 2 left hand turns(one without a light) and 2 additional stop lights will make people go through the neighborhood and probably use northshore to eastshore and get to the 84th and pine lake light to make the left hand turn.

A traffic signal would allow for a higher probability of the traffic to use Hwy 2.

Thanks for listening.

Chad Wemhoff PT, SCS, CSCS
Lincoln Physical Therapy Associates
1501 Pine Lake Road Suite 20
Lincoln NE 68512
402-421-2700

I am sharing the email below which just was forwarded to me. Comments are welcome.

Best regards,

Jon

JON A. CAMP
Haymarket Square/CH, Ltd.
200 Haymarket Square
808 P Street
P.O. Box 82307
Lincoln, NE 68501-2307

Office: 402.474.1838/402.474.1812
Fax: 402.474.1838
From: Miki Esposito  
Sent: Monday, February 03, 2014 12:05 PM  
To: Carl B. Eskridge; Jon Camp; Jonathan A. Cook; Trenton J. Fellers; Leirion Gaylor Baird; Roy A. Christensen; Doug Emery  
Subject: FW: Ashbrook  

FYI - this has public hearing today. Thought you would want the traffic information ahead of the meeting. (The residents who wrote me were blind copied).

Miki

Miki Esposito, Director
Public Works & Utilities
555 S. 10th St. Room 208
Lincoln, NE 68508
(402) 441-6173
mesposito@lincoln.ne.gov

From: Miki Esposito  
Sent: Monday, February 03, 2014 12:03 PM  
Cc: Jon Camp; Marvin S. Krout; Jean Preister; Randy W. Hoskins; Roger A. Figard  
Subject: FW: Ashbrook  
Importance: High  

Good Afternoon,

First, I want to thank you for expressing your concerns about the proposed Broadmoor Apartments development.

Second, I asked our traffic staff to conduct additional research regarding the traffic impacts and we offer the following observations:

- **Increased Traffic:** The 147 apartment units proposed by this development would generate approximately 75 morning peak hour trips. Of those trips, 80%, or 60 vehicles, would be leaving the site during the morning peak. That amounts to one vehicle per minute added during the morning peak hour. On balance, this is a small increase in traffic and would be hard for most people to notice.

- **Cut-through Traffic:** Other than limited school traffic (those trying to get to Maxey or Lincoln Christian), it is assumed that most motorists would likely not cut through the neighborhood to the north. To verify this assumption, test runs were made to time the difference in using the various routes out of the apartment complex to get to the 70th and Old Cheney Road intersection.
Going north through the neighborhood, exiting onto Old Cheney at 77th Street took 3:40 minutes.

Going east out of the neighborhood, using Stevens Ridge, took 3:36 minutes.

Using Highway 2 to 70th Street took 2:01 minutes.

Since driving through the neighborhood would take an additional minute and a half, drivers are more likely to use the Highway 2 route. Especially since, during the morning peak, that route would only exceed 1.5 minutes (particularly since the majority of traffic is expected to make a right turn at that intersection).

We believe of the 60 additionally generated morning peak hour trips, a very low percentage of those vehicles will choose to drive through the neighborhood.

- **Traffic Signal at Ashbrook and Highway 2:** It is anticipated at some point that the intersection of Pine Lake Road/Ashbrook Drive with Highway 2 will be signalized. It is not anticipated that this development will generate enough traffic to meet the Federal traffic signal warrants to install a traffic signal. The completion of the widening of Pine Lake Road to the west of Highway 2 could be the catalyst that would cause those warrants to be met. That project is currently shown for completion in the Capital Improvement Program around 2017. The presence of separate left turn and right turn lanes on Ashbrook along with the acceleration lane onto Highway 2, allows for better access onto Highway 2, especially for westbound vehicles.

- **“Life safety” Issues:** A memo issued by Olsson Associates, the engineer for the developer, mentioned the desire of the developer to create a secondary access to the site across an easement to the east. The memo stated that this secondary access would provide greater access to Eiger Drive (out to 84th Street) and would provide better connectivity for “life safety purposes”. The term “life safety purposes” is generally meant to indicate access for emergency responders such as fire or ambulance services. It is not an indication that the engineer feels the current access to the site and the use of Ashbrook Drive by development traffic is a life safety concern for motorists or neighborhood residents.

Again, thank you so much for reaching out to us! I hope that the above observations from our traffic experts help to alleviate your concerns. Please let me know if you have any additional questions.

Sincerely,

Miki

Miki Esposito, Director
Public Works & Utilities
555 S. 10th St. Room 208
Lincoln, NE 68508
(402) 441-6173
mesposito@lincoln.ne.gov
Dear Jon,
I hope you are getting a lot of input from my neighbors. I did hear back from Ms Esposito regarding a study they did on the traffic going through the neighborhood. I guess it takes less time to go on the highway than to cut through the neighborhood. I really don't think time is the whole issue. Really. I would rather avoid using the highway if I were headed north anytime. As you know our neighborhood is primarily older retired folks who don't speed like the younger ones who already race through our neighborhood on any given day. Ashbrook on the west side is still in the developing mode and the Berean church is also talking of expansion I am all for developing and I know that an apartment complex generates more continual revenue but really, where does the greed stop? Why can't there be some concern for our wildlife and keep a little green on the land rather than in the pocket. Thanks for listening. Do what you can to help us!
Nancy J. Bradley
Sent from my iPad
I just wanted to thank each of you for taking the time to listen to the testimony and ask questions regarding the proposed apartment complex development near the Pine Lake Association and Edenton Woods neighborhoods. We've all been studying the possibilities for many months now and I hope you heard a fairly complete summary of the pros and cons yesterday at the meeting, but I'm sure everyone testifying yesterday would be happy to answer any questions that may arise before Monday's vote.

Best wishes,

Jennifer Rawlinson
402-488-7879 (home)
402-560-3319 (cell)
From: Bob Moodie [mailto:rmoodie@friedmanlaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 5, 2014 3:27 PM
To: Jon Camp
Subject: RE: Email from Miki Esposito, Director of Public Works

Thank you. I had received a copy of Ms. Esposito’s email before the public hearing. It was partially based on this email that I asserted that nobody but my neighbors were concerned about the traffic implications of this project. I think the major point that Ms. Esposito fails to consider is the limited amount of “stacking” that would be available on Ashbrook because of how close the entrance would be to the Hwy intersection and because of the configuration (S-curve) of Ashbrook at that location. To lesser extent, I don’t think her analysis accounts for the volume and speed of the traffic on Hwy 2 at that location. Even turning right onto the highway can be difficult and nerve wracking due to the fact that you accelerate up to highway speeds in a short amount of space in order to merge into traffic.

Bob Moodie
Mr. Camp,

Build the Breslow Ice Center with non-tax funds. It should operate as any business does with a goal to cover all maintenance and operating costs. That means that its doors are open every day to the public.

Operate year-round to generate the required income. Base admission charges to cover the bills. The Center should never receive tax funds to make up short falls in revenue. After all, this ice rink will compete with tax-paying recreation and entertainment businesses such as the roller rinks, movie theaters, miniature golf courses, etc. These businesses must cover construction costs, maintenance, operating and taxes, plus make a profit to reinvest in their businesses.

The Breslow Center starts out with its construction cost covered and will not pay taxes!

Skating clubs, ice skating lessons and ice hockey should not interfere with the public skating. These activities may take place in non-prime time hours. Use the Ice Box for ice hockey. The Ice Box will have more hours available since the public and private parties will book the Breslow Ice Center instead.

Let the Breslow Center pay its own way.

I’m not against the ice rink, but I am against taxpayers putting money into it. If it is such a great business, why hasn’t the commercial entertainment folks done it? Because it does not pay for itself?

Jim Randall

6140 S 94th Street

Lincoln, NE 68526
Hello. I live in the Pine Lake area of Lincoln at 8003 North Shore Dr. I am writing to express my concern over Broadmoor’s interest in constructing between 147 to 220 housing units in buildings that are as high as 45 feet tall. I feel that such a construction would be completely out of character with the single family and duplex units that surround it and that it could negatively affect property values in surrounding neighborhoods. I think duplexes or single family homes would be more appropriate and fitting to the neighborhood. I am also concerned about the noise and disruption of our lives for the year and one half that it takes to build such a large structure.

There is also a small wetlands area adjacent to our neighborhood and to the north of the proposed Broadmoor Development that is inhabited by an assortment of wildlife. I am concerned that a large housing development that takes a long time to build could disrupt the wildlife in the wetlands. I wonder if a concentrated large number of people living so close to a wetlands area would negatively affect the important wildlife that are needed to maintain a wetlands area.

Pine Lake has a dam with a road over. This road could be shorter lived if the opening to maintain the overflow from the lake is not maintained. The area needing regular maintenance would be on the Broadmoor development land so I am concerned that Broadmoor will forget about this important maintenance duty soon after their project is finished. This road is as important for our neighborhood not only for driving over but also to walk, run, bicycle etc. It is a beautiful area that we all enjoy.

Respectfully
Theresa Atwood

Sent from my HTC EVO 4G LTE exclusively from Sprint
Hello my name is Danielle Nikkila. I was driving on Thursday afternoon January 9th, around 2:00 PM. I was traveling down P street and turned left onto 13th street. I turned into the far lane and the curb is broken up pretty bad with rebar sticking out all along the curb. My tire got caught on one and put a hole in my front passenger side tire. I had to wait for assistance for over an hour and then pay $179.02 to repair the tire. I have pictures of the curb and also my receipt from Graham tire. I want to know who to contact about this. I want reimbursed for everything. Please give me more details as soon as possible.

Thank you

Contact Info
dani jo 14@hotmail.com
February 5, 2014

Danielle Nikkila
Sent via email to “dani_jo_14@hotmail.com”

Re: Location: 13th & P
Date of Accident: 1/9/14

This letter is in reference to an accident/incident that occurred on the above date and location. Please be advised that the Political Subdivisions Tort Claims Act, Nebraska Revised Statutes 13-905 requires the following procedure in order to make claim:

"All tort claims under sections 13-901 to 13-926, ... shall be filed with the clerk, secretary, or other official whose duty it is to maintain the official records of the political subdivision, or the governing body of a political subdivision may provide that such claims may be filed with the duly constituted law department of such subdivision. It shall be the duty of the official with whom the claim is filed to present the claim to the governing body. All such claims shall be in writing and shall set forth the time and place of the occurrence giving rise to the claim and such other facts pertinent to the claim as are known to the claimant."

As the risk management division is not authorized to accept claims by the above statute, please do not return to our office as this will only delay your claim. Instead we suggest that you put this matter in writing and submit with all pertinent information, such as bills, repair estimates, photographs, etc., to either address below. Both are authorized to accept your notice of claim. Claims can be submitted by fax, mail or in person. The original claim cannot be submitted via email. Thank you for your cooperation.

City Attorney's Office
City/County Bldg.
555 South 10th St.
Lincoln, NE 68508
Fax 402-441-8812

or

City Clerk
City/County Bldg.
555 S. 10th St.
Lincoln, NE 68508
Fax 402-441-8325

Sincerely,

Kent D. Smig
Risk Management Division
Department of Human Resources
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for General Council

Name:     Shot and Candiss Kleen
Address:  6550 Westshore Drive
City:     Lincoln, NE 68516

Phone:    402-540-4836
Fax:       
Email:    skleen@huskers.com

Comment or Question:
Dear Honorable Members of the Council:
You have all heard the arguments, pro and con on the proposed Broadmoor development in the Pine Lake neighborhood. The bottom line for most of us residents is that our homes represent our largest investment. We value our property and love living in this area. Please consider recommending single family, duplex, or condominium development on the Livingston property. Respectfully yours,
Shot and Candiss Kleen
6550 Westshore Drive
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>From:</th>
<th>Jonathan Hoesch [<a href="mailto:jonathan.nicole@sbcglobal.net">jonathan.nicole@sbcglobal.net</a>]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sent:</td>
<td>Thursday, February 06, 2014 12:49 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To:</td>
<td>Jonathan A. Cook; Jon Camp; Carl B. Eskridge; Carl B. Eskridge; Leirion Gaylor Baird; Roy A. Christensen; Trenton J. Fellers; Mary M. Meyer; Doug Emery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject:</td>
<td>14R -44- Special Permit No. 13043 Application by Livingston Investments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To: Honorable Members of the City Council

First of all, I want to thank you for your service to our city and know many times it is a thankless job and requires much time.

Secondly, I wanted to voice our opposition to the request for a Special Permit to build apartments adjacent to Pine Lake. My wife and I moved to this area from Dallas, TX about 2 years ago and when we found this home we were thankful that your predecessors had not developed this section of land to the point we are now facing.

I would humbly ask that you reject this proposal and wait for a more conducive opportunity to develop the area. If it would be helpful, I would be inclined to collect names of those who object to this project if that would assist in your decision making process.

If you were to be in the area for any length of time you will see the issues we will be facing. (Density, Traffic, Safety, etc...)

I believe there are many other areas this large development apartment complex could be situated.

Thank you for your time and I appreciate your consideration!

Sincerely,

Jonathan and Nicole Hoesch
8101 Dougan Circle
I. CITY CLERK

II. MAYOR & DIRECTORS’ CORRESPONDENCE

MAYOR
1. Approved Administrative Regulation #4, Mileage Reimbursement Rates.
2. NEWS ADVISORY. Mayor Beutler’s public schedule for the week of February 8, 2014 through February 14, 2014.
3. NEWS RELEASE. Lincoln City Libraries celebrate African-American History Month.

III. DIRECTORS

WEST HAYMARKET JOINT PUBLIC AGENCY
1. The West Haymarket Joint Public Agency will meet on Tuesday, February 11, 2014, 3:00 p.m, in the Council Chambers, 555 S. 10th. Agenda and attachments can be found online.

CITIZEN INFORMATION CENTER
1. City of Lincoln Parking Ban Update, Friday, February 7, 2014. The snow removal district parking ban ends at 4:00 p.m. today, Friday, February 7.

PARKS AND RECREATION
1. Parks and Recreation Advisory Board meeting agenda for Thursday, February 13, 2014.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1. Urban Design Committee meeting agenda for February 12, 2014.

IV. COUNCIL MEMBERS

JON CAMP
1. Email to Miki Esposito, Public Works & Utilities Director, regarding the attached unhappy email from David Anderson on his latest water and sewer bill.
2. Email from Nick Cusick regarding the Broadmoor R-4 Zoning. Any development beyond single or duplex units that mirror the Ashbook development to the north are really not appropriate.
3. Response to Tom Huston regarding the Broadmoor Development. Anticipate requesting a two-week delay.
4. Jerry and Margaret Puls correspondence on the Broadmoor Development. Delay a decision on the rezoning until the traffic concerns can be resolve.
   a) Response to Margaret and Jerry Puls. Anticipate requesting a two-week delay. Suggest sub-divisions meet and voice concerns with the Traffic Engineering staff.
5. Mike and Becky Haufle with their concerns on the proposed Broadmoor apartments along Ashbrook Drive.
6. Email to Mike Esposito, Public Works & Utilities Director and Randy Hoskins, Assistant City Engineer, regarding the Broadmoor Development at Ashbrook and Highway 2. Will request a two-week delay and asking if they might coordinate with the developer, neighbors, and interested parties to analyze the practical implications of traffic flow in this vicinity and viable solutions.

7. Jodi Delozier email stating she is not in support of the Grand theater selling alcohol during evening movies. Listing reasons.

8. Carol and Dean Kechely, residents of Edenton South, giving reasons why there should be significant renovations to the Ashbrook intersection on Highway 2 with the proposed Broadmoor Development. Response to Carol and Dean Kechely from Councilman Camp saying he will request a two-week delay in order that interested parties have further review of the solutions to the traffic challenges.

V. CORRESPONDENCE FROM CITIZENS

1. Andy Beecham email in support of Item 14R-52, increased funding for sidewalk repairs and replacement.

2. Email from Linda Pappas requesting alcohol not be served in movie theaters.

3. Wayne Boles commenting on Item 14R-52. Increased funding for sidewalk repairs on behalf of the children who live in the Near South neighborhood of Lincoln.
   a) Data from the Lincoln Public Schools Census Department on the number of children in 2014 living in an area from G Street, 13th Street, South Street, to 27th Street.

4. Brandon Dupsky InterLinc correspondence, listing reasons who he is against the proposed Broadmoor Apartment complex. Included video of area near dam showing traffic.

5. Nicole D. Jensen, REALTORS® Association of Lincoln, voicing support for the exploration of utilizing state grant dollars to examine the StarTran Bus Service for much needed restructuring and improvement.

6. Rhonda Pepper expressing concerns on the zoning change for Project Number: CA13020, Special Permit No. 13403, the Broadmoor Ashbrook Apartments Community Unit Plan.

7. Steve and Mary Schwab writing in support of Item 14R-52 of increased funding for sidewalk repairs and replacement.

8. William Carver email in support of item, No. 14R-52. Increased funding for sidewalk repairs and replacement.

9. Russell Miller email in favor of Council voting yes, or approving, the resolutions 14R-50 and 14R-52 to improve the Cornhusker Highway entryway and correct the City’s many sidewalk issues.

10. Pat Anderson-Sifuentes email in support of the $7 million bond issue. Sidewalks identified as a priority.
CITY OF LINCOLN ADMINISTRATIVE REGULATION #4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBJECT:</th>
<th>EFFECTIVE DATE:</th>
<th>A.R. NUMBER:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MILEAGE REIMBURSEMENT RATES</td>
<td>January 1, 2014</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPLIES TO:</th>
<th>REPLACES:</th>
<th>PAGE 1 of 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALL DEPARTMENTS</td>
<td>AR #4 Issued 01-01-2013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PURPOSE: To establish the mileage reimbursement rate paid to city employees when using personally owned vehicles for official city business.

SCOPE: Applies to all employees.

POLICY: Effective January 1, 2014, city employees, who are authorized by their Department Director to use a personal vehicle for official city business, shall be reimbursed at a rate of fifty-six (56) cents per mile for each mile traveled pursuant to Administrative Regulation #9.

Each employee shall be required to submit a signed claim documenting the mileage to the Director or Department Head on the last working day of each month. A copy of the mileage record shall be attached to the claim requesting reimbursement.

Directors and/or Department Heads must approve the mileage claim and forward it to the City Controller. In most cases, reimbursement will be made in approximately ten (10) days after the employee submits the mileage claim.

Administrative Assistant

Mayor
Date: February 7, 2014
Contact: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 402-441-7831

Mayor Beutler’s Public Schedule
Week of February 8 through 14, 2014
Schedule subject to change

Sunday, February 9
• University Place Community Organization annual meeting, remarks - 2 p.m., Huntington Elementary School, 2900 N. 46th St.

Monday, February 10
• Engineers Week proclamation signing - 9 a.m., Mayor’s Conference Room, County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St.
• Mayor’s Award of Excellence presentation - 3 p.m., Council Chambers, County-City Building
• Mayor’s Neighborhood Roundtable meeting - 5:30 p.m., Mayor’s Conference Room

Tuesday, February 11
• West Haymarket Joint Public Agency (JPA) meeting - 3 p.m., Council Chambers
• Mayor’s Multicultural Advisory Committee - 4 p.m., Mayor’s Conference Room

Wednesday, February 12
• Chamber of Commerce annual lunch, remarks - 11:30 a.m., Cornhusker Marriott Hotel, 333 S. 13th St.

Thursday, February 13
• Kickoff Lincoln Arts Council’s Community Supported Art program - 6:30 p.m., Union Bank & Trust, 27th Street and Pine Lake Road
LINCOLN CITY LIBRARIES
136 S. 14th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 402-441-8500

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: February 7, 2014
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Brenda Ealey, Lincoln City Libraries, 404-441-8562

LINCOLN CITY LIBRARIES CELEBRATE
AFRICAN-AMERICAN HISTORY MONTH

Lincoln City Libraries (LCL) invites the public to celebrate African-American History Month, also known as Black History Month, throughout the month of February. LCL is working with the Lincoln Public Schools (LPS) and the Malone Community Center to bring recognition to the significant role of African-Americans in our nation’s history. Events include the following:

Taste of African-American Literature - 2:30 to 3:30 p.m. Monday, February 10 at Gere Branch Library, 2400 S. 56th Street. A “book tasting” of African-American literature provides an opportunity to sample many of the titles on LPS’s Mosaic, an annual annotated multi-cultural book list compiled by LPS staff. Similar to speed dating, attendees will be given three to five minutes to read a summary or review of a title and then pass it to the next person. This event is intended for adults and students in middle or high school.

African-American History Month Kickoff - 4:15 to 5 p.m. Monday, February 10 at the Malone Community Center, 2032 “U” Street. Events include performances of “Lift Every Voice and Sing” (Negro National Anthem) by I'mya Jones of Belmont Elementary School, and “Of Thee I Sing: A Letter to my Daughters by Barack Obama,” performed by the Belmont Elementary School scholars.

African-American History Read-In - 11:30 a.m. to 1 p.m., Thursday, February 27, Bennett Martin Public Library, 136 S. 14th St. Individuals reflecting the diversity of the community will read excerpts from African-American literature that influenced their lives.

Information about these program and other library events is available at lincolnlibraries.org.
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FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Parks and Rec. Carpentry/Heavy Eq. DATE: 1-21-14

NEED
To cover a #2,643 upgrade for the 2013-14 replacement of Track #26439 a 1/2 Ton Pickup to a 3/4 Ton with Snow Plow Package. To be used for clearing parking lots.
Allocate funds from 09004, #5825 to #6064

FUTURE IMPACT:

- Ongoing
- Limited
Projected Completion Date: 5-2014

REVENUES GENERATED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEGISLATIVE CHANGES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No □</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IMPACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERSONNEL (full time equivalents)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (cost) business unit:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPPLIES business unit:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER SERVICES &amp; CHARGES business unit: 09004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code description 5825 Natural Gas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities are included in MSC rent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIPMENT business unit: 09004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code description 6064 Cars &amp; Trucks for upgrade to 3/4 ton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL EXPENDITURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOURCE OF REVENUES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

DIRECTOR: Asa Johnson
DATE: 2/3/14
WHEN TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Requesting transfer of operating appropriations.
2. Requesting increase in personnel (full time equivalents) appropriations.
3. Requesting transfer of capital improvement appropriations.
4. Requesting operational change not authorized during the budget process.
5. Requesting appropriations based on receipt of additional funds from outside sources.
6. Requesting use of Contingency funds.

HOW TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

NEED: There should be a detailed explanation of why a change to the previously approved budget is necessary. If the change will have any impact beyond the current fiscal year, it should also be noted.

FUTURE IMPACT: One of the boxes should be checked. An example of an item with ongoing impact would be a request for additional fte authorization that will also be requested in upcoming budgets. This would necessitate filling out the "Next Fiscal Year Annualized" column. An example of an item with limited impact would be asking for authorization to use salary savings for the one time purchase of equipment. If "Projected Completion Date" applies, please fill in.

REVENUES GENERATED: Please note if the request will affect current and future revenues.

LEGISLATIVE CHANGES: These boxes should be marked yes or no. Some of the actions this form is used for (transfer of capital improvement appropriations, Contingency Funds) require a City Council ordinance.

PERSONNEL (full time equivalents): Please note the number of fte’s the request involves, if applicable.

PERSONNEL (cost), SUPPLIES, OTHER SERVICES AND CHARGES, EQUIPMENT: All entries in these boxes must have the business unit, object code, and object code description along with the dollar amount. Negative amounts must be indicated by brackets.

TOTAL EXPENDITURES: This box should contain the sum of the dollar amounts in the various expenditure categories.

SOURCE OF REVENUES: This box should contain the name of the fund the action is required for.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Beginning Budget</th>
<th>Remaining Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5323-Bldg Maint Supplies</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
<td>$11,558.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5324-Custodial Supplies</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$476.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5328-Misc Small Hardware</td>
<td>$800.00</td>
<td>$595.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5329-Oper Maint Supplies</td>
<td>$16,000.00</td>
<td>$8,003.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5332-Sand, Cement &amp; Brick</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$1,506.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5338-Park Maint - Minor Impr</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5420-Minor Equipment</td>
<td>$4,000.00</td>
<td>$851.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5421-Safety Equipment</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>($595.61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5422-Small Tools</td>
<td>$2,515.00</td>
<td>$1,761.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Material & Supplies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Beginning Budget</th>
<th>Remaining Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$48,115.00</td>
<td>$25,657.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Beginning Budget</th>
<th>Remaining Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5825-Natural Gas</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
<td>$6,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5829-Telephone</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$156.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5830-Water</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
<td>$400.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5859-Equip Maintenance Contra</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$529.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5868-Operations Maint &amp; Repai</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5922-Rent of Bldgs &amp; Lots</td>
<td>$107,826.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5924-Rent of Machinery &amp; Equi</td>
<td>$137,319.00</td>
<td>$87,689.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5960-Damage to Property</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6006-Building.Misc Permits</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
<td>($193.69)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Services & Charges**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Beginning Budget</th>
<th>Remaining Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$256,345.00</td>
<td>$97,081.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Beginning Budget</th>
<th>Remaining Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$352,575.00</td>
<td>$148,397.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subject: West Haymarket JPA Meeting February 11, 2014

The West Haymarket Joint Public Agency will meet on Tuesday, February 11, 2014 at 3:00 A.M. in the City Council Chambers Room 112.

Agenda and attachments are online at [http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/finance/account/jpa-mtgs.htm](http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/finance/account/jpa-mtgs.htm)
CITY OF LINCOLN
PARKING BAN UPDATE

For more information:
Public Works Snow Center -- 402-441-7644
Citizen Information Center -- 402-441-7831

Date: Friday, February 7, 2014
Time: 4 p.m.

The snow removal district parking ban will end at 4 p.m. this afternoon. At that time all parking bans associated with the recent snowfall will be expired. City crews will continue to work tonight to remove snow from sidewalks along major streets. You can report problem areas by calling the Public Works Snow Center at 402-441-7644. More information on City snow operations is available at lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: snow).

Diane Gonzolas
Manager, Citizen Information Center
Office of the Mayor
555 S. 10th Street
Lincoln, NE  68508
402-441-7831
cell 402-525-1520
dgonzolas@lincoln.ne.gov
AGENDA

1. Call to Order and Recognition of ‘Open Meetings Act’

3. Approval of Minutes: * December 12, 2013

4. Comments from Public for Items Not Listed on the Agenda

5. Committee Reports:
   A. Fees & Facilities Committee – Susan Deitchler (Chair) 488-4224
      * No Report
   
   B. Futures Committee – Bob Ripley (Chair) 471-0419 or 488-5131
      * No Report
   
   C. Golf Report
      * Recommendation regarding Lincoln City Golf Strategic Plan for Sustainability
   
   D. Executive Committee – Peter Levitov (Chair) 402-488-2742
      * Recommendation regarding revisions to the Woods Park Master Plan adjusting phasing for renovation of the Woods Park Tennis Center

6. Staff Report

7. Announcements:
   * Neighborhood meeting in Peter Pan Park – date and time to be announced

* Denotes Action Items

ACCOMMODATION NOTICE
Lincoln Commission on HUMAN RIGHTS
The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public’s access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.
URBAN DESIGN COMMITTEE

Notice is hereby given that a meeting of the URBAN DESIGN COMMITTEE will be held on Wednesday, February 12, 2014, 3:00 p.m., in Room 214, second floor, County/City Building.

For more information, please contact the Lincoln City/Lancaster County Planning Department, 402-441-7491.

AGENDA
February 12, 2014

1. Adoption of meeting record of UDC meeting of January 8, 2014; receive notes on subcommittee meeting of January 26, 2014.
2. Olsson Building (Project Oscar) entryway lobby/courtyard.
3. Hyatt Hotel (Q & Canopy Streets) sidewalk café.
4. Misc. and staff report: 2014 Enersen Urban Design Award, etc.

Accommodation Notice

The City of Lincoln complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 guidelines. Ensuring the public’s access to and participating in public meetings is a priority for the City of Lincoln. In the event you are in need of a reasonable accommodation in order to attend or participate in a public meeting conducted by the City of Lincoln, please contact the Director of Equity and Diversity, Lincoln Commission on Human Rights, at 402 441-7624 as soon as possible before the scheduled meeting date in order to make your request.
Mary M. Meyer

Subject: Lincoln Water & Wastewater e-Bill: B9022061170000

From: Jon Camp  
Sent: Thursday, February 06, 2014 2:51 PM  
To: Miki Esposito; Mary M. Meyer  
Cc: DAVID ANDERSON  
Subject: FW: Lincoln Water & Wastewater e-Bill: B9022061170000

Miki:
Please see the email below and concerns about the water and sewer rate increases, especially as they affect low users and those attempting to conserve.

I recall your discussion last year in which you highlighted the cost to “distribute” water to service users, separate from the use. I understand from a business perspective that concept, but in the analysis, I am concerned that we are penalizing citizens like Mr. Anderson, who are attempting to conserve and be responsible. Similar issues have arisen with other utilities as well as subsidies the City provides to various classes of utility users. And we even went the other direction of enacting a higher rate structure that would take effect should Lincoln experience severe water shortages.

Please share this with whomever can best assist in this matter.

Jon

JON A. CAMP
Haymarket Square/CH, Ltd.
200 Haymarket Square
808 P Street
P.O. Box 82307
Lincoln, NE 68501-2307

Office: 402.474.1838/402.474.1812
Fax: 402.474.1838
Cell: 402.560.1001

Email: joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com
Website: www.lincolnhaymarket.com

Check our reception and event venues at:

http://www.facebook.com/pages/Apothecary-Lofts-Ridnour-Rooms/173175799380032

From: noerad@gmail.com  
Sent: Wednesday, February 05, 2014 5:10 PM  
To: Jon Camp  
Subject: Fwd: Lincoln Water & Wastewater e-Bill: B9022061170000

Jon-
I hope you are well and warm.

I want to kvetch about my latest water and sewer bill so that you know I am unhappy with the latest increase. As you are personally aware, I am sure, all utility bills are on the rise despite the federal government's contention that inflation is virtually non-existent. But what irritates me the most is the administrative fee increases. These fees, charged by LES, and BHE as well, are often more expensive than the commodity consumed! In the instant case below, I used 7 units of water for a cost of $9.41 plus sales tax of $1.46 for a total of $10.87. The service fee is now $11.50.

And another thing: Not all water used makes it to the water treatment plant. So why is my sewer amount ($13.01) more than my water bill? At least the sewer fee is only half the former.

All in all, for 7 units of water consumed, it now costs a homeowner like me $43 and change. Seems steep by historical standards.

So how about a city ordinance that limits the service fees so as not to exceed the cost of the water provided? This could benefit conservative consumers, or small households, and possibly people on fixed incomes.

I look forward to your thoughts on this matter.

---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Lincoln Water <WaterEbill@lincoln.ne.gov>
Date: Tue, Feb 4, 2014 at 8:35 PM
Subject: Lincoln Water & Wastewater e-Bill: B9022061170000
To: dareon@juno.com

Dear DAVID ANDERSON,

Your current Lincoln Water & Wastewater statement is available for online viewing. Bill amount will be deducted from your financial institution on or after the due date.

For service address: 6117 ORWELL CIR

You currently owe $43.14 on account number B9022061170000. This amount is due on 02/18/2014.

To access your account online:

- Go to lincoln.ne.gov
- Please sign into MyInterlinc to access your account(s).

Questions regarding Water/Wastewater bills, please contact the Water & Wastewater Business Office at 402-441-7551, Monday thru Friday, 7:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

*** This is an automated notification. ***

--

Dave
Dear Councilman Camp:

While I testified at the Planning Commission meeting in opposition to the original proposed development, I listened quietly in the back of the room at the February 3 public hearing on Broodmoor’s appeal and modified plan as I believe all parties did an excellent job of expressing their views on the appropriateness of the modified 147 unit maximum proposal.

While I was actively involved in negotiations with Broodmoor to modify the plan that ultimately resulted in the 147-unit compromise, I must confess that I continue to believe that any development of the parcel beyond single or duplex units that mirror the Ashbrook development to the north are really not appropriate. The Pine Lake Association Board split vote (4-3) is a clear indication that, while we believe Broodmoor is a quality, well-intentioned developer who has gone out of their way to find a mutually acceptable solution, there is still a strong neighborhood belief that a 147-unit mixed 3- and 2-story apartment complex is not in keeping with the area and will cause avoidable traffic, liability, and safety risks.

Some have noted that the proximity to Highway 2 makes the area unsuitable for single family or duplex units. I note the recent buildout of the area backing onto Highway 2 just west of 66th as an example of the proximity to the highway not being a market hindrance.

I know that the Council faces tough decisions every day and are responsible for balancing the priorities of Lincolnites.

I hope you know that I am pro-growth and am at least a little uncomfortable voicing any opposition to this project, as I hope not to be viewed as a “Not In My Backyard” citizen.

I have thought through my rationale closely and truly believe that the most appropriate use of this land is for Ashbrook-style development, but if ultimately you choose to approve the plan before you, Pine Lake will work closely with our new neighbors to mitigate these risks where practical.

Good luck with your tough decision.

Regards,

Nick Cusick
Tom:

I have visited with quite a few folks and my City Council colleagues. At our Monday meeting, I anticipate requesting a two-week delay with the suggestion that all parties address one specific area, namely the “traffic flow”.

This is a very difficult area due to the current street configuration. Taking the global view, I see reasonable concerns by all parties on how traffic can flow into and out of the proposed 147 unit complex, without intruding on the Ashbrook neighbors, Edenton South, Pine Lake and the Berean Church.

The concessions made by Broadmoor Development are greatly appreciated and have addressed some of the neighbors’ concerns. Before making a final determination, more attention needs to be given to the traffic issue. I know that several of my City Council colleagues share this concern.

Assuming the delay is passed, in the coming two weeks before our February 24, 2014 meeting, I am hopeful that the parties can address this concern, including our Public Works/Traffic Engineers.

Thank you—I will be available Monday morning should you wish to discuss this matter further.

Best regards,

Jon

JON A. CAMP
Haymarket Square/CH, Ltd.
200 Haymarket Square
808 P Street
P.O. Box 82307
Lincoln, NE 68501-2307

Office: 402.474.1838/402.474.1812
Fax: 402.474.1838
Cell: 402.560.1001

Email: joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com
Website: www.lincolnhaymarket.com

Check our reception and event venues at:

http://www.facebook.com/pages/Apothecary-Lofts-Ridnour-Rooms/173175799380032
Councilman Camp:

We were two of the Ashbrook Drive residents that attended the City Council meeting last Monday, February 3rd, where the rezoning of Ashbrook and Hiway 2 was discussed. We were pleased to see questions from the Council to the developers about the traffic concerns we have, as residents. At the hearing, when asked what would be a fair resolution to the traffic concerns, someone mentioned single family dwellings would be one resolution. The attorney for Broadmoor indicated single family dwellings would not be viable because no one would build that close to Hiway 2. That is an incorrect statement, as the south side of Hiway 2 has any number of lovely homes dotting the landscape, just east of the Lowe’s shopping area and also, closer to 84th and Hiway 2.

We would like to remind you one more time of the additional residents that are, and will be, living on the west side of Ashbrook as soon as that development is complete. We really believe that adding 147 apartment dwellers to this mix (whose current entry and exit is planned off of Ashbrook), would create havoc on a street that was not built for high usage. Additionally, at the last meeting the city engineer pointed out that there was no guarantee that a traffic light will be installed anytime soon on Hiway 2 at the intersection. You know from our comments, that we do not believe that a light will make a significant difference in traffic flow north on Ashbrook, but without one, there truly will be significantly more traffic up our street and into the neighborhoods to get to South 70th and South 84th.

We appreciate the concern you expressed for the residents on Ashbrook and whether any discussions had taken place with us about our concerns. Was there an answer to that question? We urge you to delay a decision on the rezoning until the traffic concerns can be resolved.

Jerry and Margaret Puls

6310 Ashbrook Drive
Margaret and Jerry:
Thanks for your email—today I anticipate requesting 2-week delay. I strongly suggest that you and your neighbors work together to work on solutions to the traffic. Your concerns need to be voiced by each of you in a communication setting with other areas including Edenton South, Pine Lake, the Berean Church and the Developers, along with our Traffic Engineering staff.

Best regards,
Jon

JON A. CAMP
Haymarket Square/CH, Ltd.
200 Haymarket Square
808 P Street
P.O. Box 82307
Lincoln, NE 68501-2307

Office: 402.474.1838/402.474.1812
Fax: 402.474.1838
Cell: 402.560.1001

Email: joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com
Website: www.lincolnhaymarket.com
Check our reception and event venues at:
http://www.facebook.com/pages/Apothecary-Lofts-Ridnour-Rooms/173175799380032

Councilman Camp:

We were two of the Ashbrook Drive residents that attended the City Council meeting last Monday, February 3rd, where the rezoning of Ashbrook and Hiway 2 was discussed. We were pleased to see questions from the Council to the developers about the traffic concerns we have, as residents. At the hearing, when asked what would be a fair resolution to the traffic concerns, someone mentioned single family dwellings would be one resolution. The attorney for Broadmoor indicated single family dwellings would not be viable because no one would build that close to Hiway 2. That is an incorrect statement, as the south side of Hiway 2 has any number of lovely homes dotting the landscape, just east of the Lowe’s shopping area and also, closer to 84th and Hiway 2.
We would like to remind you one more time of the additional residents that are, and will be, living on the west side of Ashbrook as soon as that development is complete. We really believe that adding 147 apartment dwellers to this mix (whose current entry and exit is planned off of Ashbrook), would create havoc on a street that was not built for high usage. Additionally, at the last meeting the city engineer pointed out that there was no guarantee that a traffic light will be installed anytime soon on Hiway 2 at the intersection. You know from our comments, that we do not believe that a light will make a significant difference in traffic flow north on Ashbrook, but without one, there truly will be significantly more traffic up our street and into the neighborhoods to get to South 70th and South 84th.

We appreciate the concern you expressed for the residents on Ashbrook and whether any discussions had taken place with us about our concerns. Was there an answer to that question? We urge you to delay a decision on the rezoning until the traffic concerns can be resolved.

Jerry and Margaret Puls

6310 Ashbrook Drive
Jon, My husband Mike and I attended the City Council meeting on Monday 2/3/14. We are residents of a townhome located at 6500 Ashbrook Drive. We want to thank you for listening with sincere interest to our concerns about such a large apartment complex being proposed in our neighborhood.

The traffic from the Church brings some very unique considerations to this project, along with the fact that Pine Lake does not want to negotiate a 2nd entrance/exit on their land. The very busy Highway 2, the curvy Ashbrook Drive and the Park up the street also present safety concerns. A large number of additional vehicles from an apartment complex will only exaggerate these real situations.

While we all understand the growth of the city will occur we hope that you will agree with members of the planning commission that the extreme rezoning from Agricultural to R 4 is unfair to the current residents and their investments. We do not think this location should be approved for any dense population usage. It should be zoned for something that is in line with the rest of the current homes, something that will not jeopardize safety and in consideration of the Church traffic.

We ask for your help and understanding in this matter and appreciate your service to our community.

Sincerely,
Mike and Becky Haufle
Mary M. Meyer

Subject: Broadmoor Development at Ashbrook and Highway 2

From: Jon Camp [mailto:joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com]
Sent: Monday, February 10, 2014 9:09 AM
To: Miki Esposito; Randy W. Hoskins
Cc: Mary M. Meyer; ‘thuston@clinewilliams.com'; rmoodie@friedmanlaw.com; ‘jcrawlinson@windstream.net'
Subject: Broadmoor Development at Ashbrook and Highway 2

Miki and Randy:
Today I will request a two-week delay on this matter at the City Council meeting. During that time, I will appreciate your coordination with the developer (Tom Huston), the neighbors (Berean Church, Ashbrook homeowners, Edenton South and Pine Lake, and any other interested parties) to analyze the practical implications of the traffic flow in this vicinity and viable solutions.

To no one’s fault, the street configuration is rather unusual and tight. Although many concerns have been raised, at this point, the “traffic issue” appears to be the main focus. While I defer to you and those involved to create the solutions, possible solutions raised by testifiers at the Public Hearing last week include, among others: (1) Highway 2 signal, (2) access road to Eiger, (3) fewer units with lower density or townhomes, or (4) no commercial and/or apartment development.

The developer has been cooperative in the past with its reduction of units to 147 from 219 and inclusion of landscaping, fencing and reducing the height of the northern buildings. Because the development relies on a zoning change, the developer should consider what else can be added to mitigate the traffic congestion. I have no doubts that whatever may be built, however, will be a quality project.

Likewise, I hope the neighbors will focus their concerns on the traffic solution. As some testifiers noted, at some point this property will be developed (unless the neighborhoods choose to purchase the property) and they need to consider whether other alternatives would be better or more challenging. Please remember “common sense” and that human beings usually “take the course of least resistance” in their daily traffic patterns. Engineers may find a traffic template to be helpful but, in practice, human nature may not follow. Please further consider the impact on the Berean Church’s road and parking lot network, the future addition of homes in the Ashbrook development, and the Northshore Drive in Pine Lakes.

While the Comprehensive Plan encourages density in areas like this within the City Limits, this parcel and adjacent neighborhoods present an unusual street configuration. Further, we need to remember that the Comp Plan is a “guideline”, not a mandate.

Thank you in advance for your coordination of this review. Please keep the City Council and me posted on developments. I will have Mary Meyers forward this email to my Council Colleagues.

Jon

JON A. CAMP
Haymarket Square/CH, Ltd.
200 Haymarket Square
808 P Street
P.O. Box 82307
Lincoln, NE  68501-2307

Good Morning Councilman Camp,

I am not supportive of the Grand theater selling alcohol during evening movies. Too many young people attend movies at the Grand and I'm not quite sure how you could stop someone of legal age supplying an alcoholic drink to an underage movie goer if they were at the same movie. There are still too many unanswered questions. I just don't think it is necessary to have alcohol at every event, especially when children and teens are in the same facility. I am also concerned about kids being exposed to inebriated adults demonstrating how stupid they can look under the influence. As a parent of an 18 and 13 year old, I do my best to minimize their exposure to places where alcohol is sold. I know that many parents drop their kids off at movie theaters and pick them up after the show. Obviously, this would no longer be a viable option if the Grand is allowed to sell alcohol after 9pm. I would suggest the Grand build a separate movie theater if they want to sell alcohol. We have enough problems with alcohol abuse, drunk driving, MIP, etc. Let's keep at least one venue free from alcohol and intoxicated adults. I want to close by saying it's not a good enough reason for the Council to approve this idea just because Omaha is doing it. I don't necessarily see Omaha as a good role model for Lincoln. Thanks, Jon.

Jodi Delozier
Dean and Carol:

Thank you for your email—sharing your experiences is greatly appreciated, especially on the many times traffic is already congested.

Today I will request a two-week delay to have City staff and other interested parties further review solutions to the traffic challenges.

Best regards,

Jon

JON A. CAMP
Haymarket Square/CH, Ltd.
200 Haymarket Square
808 P Street
P.O. Box 82307
Lincoln, NE  68501-2307

Office:       402.474.1838/402.474.1812
Fax:            402.474.1838
Cell:            402.560.1001
Email: joncamp@lincolnhaymarket.com
Website:    www.lincolnhaymarket.com
Check our reception and event venues at:  http://www.facebook.com/pages/Apothecary-Lofts-Ridnour-Rooms/173175799380032

Mr Camp:

First let me say "thank you" to you and your colleagues for the through research you are doing into the potential development at the intersection of Ashbrook and Hiway 2.  Being a resident in Edenton South has given my wife and I a very good understanding of the issues that will develop if additional traffic is going to be introduced to this location.  When we first moved to our home it took us several different times to learn that you just don't leave the neighbor by traveling south to Hiway 2 at certain times.  Any number of times we were backed up to the entrance to Berean Church waiting for traffic to clear the intersection at Hiway 2.  This is not just Sunday but other days of the week where large services are held.  The primary problem appears to be folks wanting to make a left hand turn onto Hiway 2, but some people have difficulty merging onto Hiway 2 because their vision is blocked by others wanting to turn left.  I am just not convinced that putting another large
residential complex at this location is merited without significant renovations to the Ashbrook intersection on to Hiway 2. If an emergency situation were to develop it could be bad for someone in need of care.

Thank you very much for your consideration to this matter.

Carol and Dean Kechely
To the Lincoln City Council:

This email is in support of item 14R-52 Increased funding for sidewalk repairs and replacement. Having lived in Witherbee, Near South and Country Club neighborhoods, I have been a strong supporter of good sidewalks and trails. Good sidewalks increase neighborhood safety, promote healthy family activity, and increase the quality of life in our neighborhoods. We appreciate the additional funding and urge your support of this item.

Sincerely,
Andy Beecham
3024 Stratford
Lincoln
Dear Council Members - I enjoy beer and/or alcohol as much as the next person, but PLEASE not in movie theatres! Thanks for listening.

Linda Pappas
6221 South 29th Circle
Lincoln 68516
402-432-8888

Sent from my iPhone
TO: Lincoln, NE City Council Members  
FROM: E. Wayne Boles, 128 N. 13th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508  
DATE: February 8, 2014  
REGARDING: Item 14R-52 Increased funding for sidewalk repairs and replacement*  

Dear friends:  

Thank you for your service.  

I’m writing on behalf of the 5,000 children who live in the Near South neighborhood of Lincoln. When they grow up, I hope they’ll be able to say, “When I was a kid, our City leaders made sure we had great, safe sidewalks.” I thought about bringing them with me to the February 10 City Council meeting. 5,000 kids would be a sight to see. But we can just envision them, instead.  

The attached document has data from the Lincoln Public Schools Census Department.  

Dr. Edward F. Zimmer, Lincoln-Lancaster County Historic Preservation Planner, describes the boundaries of the Near South Neighborhood as G Street, South Street, 13th Street and 27th Street. I, therefore, didn’t count Lincoln High School students in the population of minors in Near South Neighborhood.  

Dr. Zimmer knows of Near South homes built in the 1880s and estimates that most of the homes in that historic neighborhood were built in the first 1/3 of the 20th century.  

If an outside firm is considering Lincoln as a new location, and if that firm brings employees along to investigate affordable housing for families, they will envision their own children playing and walking on neighborhood sidewalks.  

Now that past decisions by the City Council have insured that funds will be available, let’s invest some of those funds in the sidewalks of the Near South Neighborhood.  

Thank you for your consideration.  

Very sincerely,  

E. Wayne Boles  

*19) 14R-52 Declaring the official intent of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska to reimburse certain expenses from the proceeds of City of Lincoln, Nebraska Certificates of Participation in connection with acquisition and installation of materials and equipment for (a) sidewalks, (b) fiber optic network installations and expansions, and (c) improvements to West Adams/Cornhusker Highway and I-180 Corridor.  
(Related Items: 14R-50, 14R-52)
# Near South Neighborhood Children

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Approximate Boundaries</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>Pre-Kdg.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prescott Elementary School</td>
<td>Capital Parkway, D St., 18th St., 24th St., Van Dorn St.</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saratoga Elementary School</td>
<td>A St., Harwood, VanDorn, 17th</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everett Elementary School</td>
<td>O St., Capital, 17th St., A St.</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheridan Elementary School</td>
<td>South St., 27th St., VanDorn St., 40th St.</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>437</td>
<td>393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irving Middle School</td>
<td>South St., 17th St., VanDorn, Sheridan</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>809</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High School Students</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Number of Children in 2014** *G St., 13th St., South St., 27th St.*

4,942
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for General Council

Name: Brandon Dupsky  
Address: 7810 Northshore Dr  
City: Lincoln, NE 68516  
Phone: 402-438-6741  
Fax:  
Email: brandon@onfair.com

Comment or Question:  
Hello Lincoln City Council:  

Re: Broadmoor Apartment Complex (Against)  

You have an important decision that will affect many lives. I'm Brandon Dupsky, I live at 7810 Northshore Drive, a Pine Lake Member and like most of my neighbors I'm very concerned with the possibility of a large apartment complex being constructed next to our neighborhood. I watched the entire video footage on 2/3/2014 regarding this matter and believe many neighbors have already provided enough quality reasons why allowing such a development would be a horrible decision for the area but I felt I would give you one more reason.

Below is a link to a short video of recent activity on Pine Lake Dam road highlighting some of the many dangers additional activity will bring:

http://208.84.113.245/Pine-Lake-Feb-2014.html

On Saturday Feb 8th around 1pm I took my son to the Pine Lake Dam to go sledding. While sitting in the car warming up I was upset after watching a couple cars racing through the neighborhood speeding past me over the dam road within feet of kids trying to enjoy the area so I decided to shoot video footage of activity while I was there. You will see in the video link I am on the north side of the dam road (by the beach) and the proposed development would be behind the tree line on the right side of the road only a couple blocks walk from this area.

First you will see some of the liability concerns discussed on Feb 3rd when you notice a guy walking onto the lake to go Ice fishing while the entire lake is not even frozen due to the large population of geese. There are also two other people ice fishing farther to the left of frame. Because this guy drove his truck in from another neighborhood, it's a safe bet he is not a Pine Lake residence.

Then you will notice a busy dad with 4 kids also sledding on the dam. Again they drove in from another neighborhood so most likely not a Pine Lake member. His daughter almost goes walking onto the lake before her dad instructs her not to do so.

Next you see a person walking their dog even in this cold weather along the dam road. I watched this person also come over here from another neighborhood.
Don't get me wrong, it's not that I believe people from other neighborhoods should not come over and walk on our streets, it just shows you how busy this area becomes as the lake is a magnet for neighbors no matter how many blocks they have to walk or drive their car over. I don't blame them, it's a beautiful area and why we love living here.

Just imagine how busy this area becomes during nice weather? On a typical day you will see many more people (members and non members) walking their dogs, many more kids in strollers or on bikes and many more non-members along the dam fishing. As the city has grown out to Pine Lake the activity levels have multiplied many fold while the population of Pine Lake residents has remained the same.

The liability of adding another 140 residents (or more) within just a couple blocks should be a concern. A 100% fence line won't stop them from over populating this same area even more. A sign saying the lake is private doesn't stop others it won't stop them. A rule having no dogs will have little effect.

The ultimate result from cramming more cars, more people, more kids, more bikes, more strollers, more dogs, and more cars into this small area is just too much to ask before everyone's biggest nightmare happens and someone gets seriously injured. This location is only 1 block away from my home and so my kids and other kids play in this area often so I am already concerned with the existing increase of traffic from neighboring developments. I watch so many neighbors walking their dogs down the street and also watch so many cars go speeding past on Northshore Drive and Westshore Drive as they travel through the neighborhood.

I hope your leadership in our community will see and agree that approving the development of a major apartment complex is simply not the right decision for this part of town or for the city. That area should be developed similar to the neighborhood with lower density use.

Thanks for allowing me to share my concerns.

Brandon
To: Lincoln City Council

Attached please find a letter from the REALTORS® Association of Lincoln regarding the StarTran Bus Service. Thank you.

Nicole Jensen
Executive Vice President
REALTORS® Association of Lincoln
402.441.3620 v
402.441.3630 f
NicoleJ@LincolnRealtors.com
www.LincolnRealtors.com

Connect with RAL on Facebook!
Date: February 7, 2014
To: Lincoln City Council
From: REALTORS® Association of Lincoln
Re: Examination of StarTran Bus Service Efficiency

We can all agree, Lincoln is an amazing city with much to offer. Unfortunately, alternative transportation, such as city busing, is one area in which Lincoln is currently experiencing deficiencies. Allowing additional cab companies in Lincoln has improved one transportation option. However, the StarTran bus service is an inefficient, expensive and broken system.

The REALTORS® Association of Lincoln would like to voice support for the exploration of utilizing state grant dollars to examine the StarTran Bus Service for much needed restructuring and improvement. To be clear, the Association is not supporting any specific proposal or expenditures at this time. We simply believe the City of Lincoln should consider the option of utilizing state grant dollars to study the currently ineffective transit system.

With regards,

Nicole D. Jensen
Executive Vice President
REALTORS® Association of Lincoln
To Whom It May Concern:

I understand I have one more opportunity to express my concern on the matter of the zoning change for Project Number CA13020, Special Permit No 13403, Broadmoor Ashbrook Apartments Community Unit Plan.

I find myself in uncharted waters as I don’t typically get involved in City County matters, so writing letters to the Planning Commission and City Council are new to me. I find myself looking at retirement in the near future and living in a home my husband and I designed and built as our ideal retirement home in the perfect neighborhood. We made a substantial financial commitment and scouted the Lincoln area many years before deciding on this location. Many changes have occurred in the neighborhood since we built 5 years ago. We knew the land across the street was going to be developed and was part of the neighborhood design and zoning plan. The possibly of apartments in this area was not part of the design.

I have voiced my concerns about the additional traffic to the area, especially the Ashbrook Dr area. I received a very nice reply from M. Esposito last week as a response to the traffic concerns raised.

I am concerned that this response was not a complete assessment from the perspective of the neighborhood residents. After having thought about the Traffic response over this week, the following are points I request you to consider.

- The comments concerning rush hour traffic and the 3 most typical routes was fine, however two of the rush hour routes require travel down Ashbrook Dr. and Stevens Ridge which was not evident in the response.
- These Ashbrook Dr. and Stevens Ridge routes are often the preferred routes by neighborhood residents because there is no issue with Highway 2 traffic, no stoplights and 84th is preferred if the destination is east Lincoln or Omaha.
- The response did not address the remaining 150-200 cars (am assuming 2 people per apartment, each having a car) coming from the apartment complex. While these may not be at rush hour, they will be coming and going through the neighborhood. This would seem to add several hundred more cars through the neighborhood during the day.
- Absent from the response was the impact of the additional housing being developed on Ashbrook. These new townhouses will be facing Ashbrook, resulting in additional cars backing out onto Ashbrook.
- Ashbrook Dr. and Steven’s Ridge are narrow streets and require one way traffic if a car is parked on the street. Obviously there will be cars parked on the streets. This is necessary at the neighborhood park and also when we have our family come visit.

My original concerns were and remain whether the Ashbrook street design can handle the traffic safely and is consistent with the neighborhood traffic design. There will be a significant increase in traffic compared to today’s traffic.

The following is my original letter identifying my concerns:

While I appreciate the revised plan proposed by the developer, my original concerns remain valid. I am not opposed to development on this land in accordance with the current zoning requirements.

I own a home at 6334 Ashbrook Dr. in Lincoln. I am concerned about the zoning change to allow an apartment complex at the Ashbrook and Hwy 2 location. My concern is based on the increased traffic flow to the area.
The exit onto Hwy 2 from Ashbrook does not currently have a stop light. When exiting onto Hwy 2 from Ashbrook the speed and flow of traffic on Hwy 2 is often a concern. So much of a concern, that if I am going to 84th, I usually take the long way around through Edenton Woods and Edenton South to Old Cheney. I am quite comfortable saying that this a common route for area residents because of the difficulty going left onto Hwy 2 from Ashbrook. Going right (west) onto Hwy 2 from Ashbrook is not as difficult. However there are occasions when the truck and car traffic on Hwy 2 is such that even going right is difficult. I believe the concerns with speed and traffic volume on Hwy 2 is not unique in that there have been numerous accidents caused because of trucks going too fast. Adding a stop light to this intersection will of course help, however is not the solution. Come out and watch the speed of trucks coming off the hill and proceeding west on Highway 2.

Our neighborhood design and traffic flow is appropriate for the current residential design. I do not know if these curves and intersections are adequately designed to add the additional traffic flow. Several neighbors already have mailboxes occasionally hit during bad weather. I assume (hopefully not incorrectly) that the city took into consideration the zoning requirements when designing the neighborhood streets. With the additional homes being built on the north side of Ashbrook, consistent with the zoning plan, there will be a increase in street traffic. Revising the zoning plan to allow apartments will significantly add to the traffic congestion. Is the road layout for the neighborhood appropriately designed to handle the additional traffic? Is this consistent with the original city design?

The neighborhood has a lovely park which is frequented by young children and their parents. My grandchildren always look forward to spending time at the park. Is it appropriate to have the park, catering to young children, bordered by a busy street?

My concern about adding apartments and additional traffic to this residential area is based on:
1. The Hwy 2 traffic, lack of stop light at the Hwy 2 and Ashbrook intersection and speed of traffic coming down Hwy2.
2. The potential for additional accidents with the Hwy 2 traffic and the current intersections (70th, 56th, and now potentially Ashbrook).
3. The road layout for the neighborhood design for additional traffic flow.
4. The children’s park next to a busy street.

I have one last comment, while I appreciate the need to continue to develop our city, why will the city consider the needs of one resident over the needs of the other residents? Many of us built or bought residences in this neighborhood because of its location, the quiet streets and area design. A good place to retire and enjoy the neighborhood. I appreciate that if I had land to be developed I would want to explore that possibility to its fullest, however why should one person’s gain be at the expense of the other current residents who have already spent hundreds of thousands to locate in a quiet area.

I respectfully request your consideration in not permitting the re-zoning request and to continue to support the original neighborhood design.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,
Rhonda Pepper
Lincoln City Council:

This email is in support of item 14R-52 Increased funding for sidewalk repairs and replacement. The Witherbee Neighborhood Association has always been a strong supporter of good sidewalks and trails. Good sidewalks increase neighborhood safety, promote healthy family activity, and increase the quality of life in our neighborhoods. We appreciate the additional funding and urge your support of this item.

Steve & Mary Schwab
3510 Woods Ave
Lincoln, NE 68510
Lincoln City Council

This email is in support of item 14R-52, Increased Funding for Sidewalk Repairs and Replacement. The Near South Neighborhood Association has always been a strong supporter of good sidewalks and trails. Good sidewalks increase neighborhood safety, promote healthy family activity and increase the quality of life in our neighborhoods. We appreciate the additional funding and urge your support of this item.

Sincerely,

William Carver, President
Near South Neighborhood Association
Dear Lincoln City Council, 10 Feb. 2014

I am favor of the Council voting yes or approving the resolutions 14R-50 & 14R-52 for providing bond money to improve the Cornhusker Highway entryway to Lincoln plus providing money to correct this City’s many sidewalk issues.

Thank you,
  russell miller
daytime 402-499-2611
Dear City Council,
I am writing to remind you that the 'Neighborhood Plan for Action' was endorsed by 27 neighborhood associations. Sidewalks was identified as one of the top three priorities from the Plan for this budget cycle. Residents voiced clearly in the Taking Charge Survey that sidewalks are a priority.

Please support the $7 million bond issue.

Thank you,

--
Pat Anderson-Sifuentes
Community Builder
NeighborWorks Lincoln
2240 Q St.
Lincoln, NE 68503
402-477-7181, ext. 106
panderson@nwlincoln.org
www.nwlincoln.org

Our Mission: To help keep Lincoln a safe and prosperous community by revitalizing neighborhoods and promoting homeownership.
MINUTES
DIRECTORS’ MEETING
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2014

Present: Carl Eskridge, Chair; Doug Emery, Vice Chair; Leirion Gaylor Baird; Trent Fellers; Jonathan Cook; Roy Christensen; and Jon Camp

Others: Teresa Meier, City Clerk; Rick Hoppe, Chief of Staff; Rod Confer, City Attorney; Judy Halstead, Health Department Director; John Huff, Fire Chief; Pat Leach, Library Director; and Mary Meyer, Council Staff

Chair Eskridge opened the meeting at 2:08 p.m. and announced the location of the Open Meetings Act.

I. CITY CLERK

Meier, in review of the agenda, stated first is the Mayor’s Award of Excellence. Under the Consent Agenda Items 1, 2, and 4 through 7 will be introduced by Gaylor Baird. Under Public Hearing, Liquor Resolutions, will call Items 9 & 10, and Items 12 & 13 together. Under Public Hearing Ordinances, 2nd Reading, will call Items 14 & 15 together. Under Public Hearing Resolutions will call Items 18 & 19 together.

Cook asked, if we don’t act immediately is there an issue on any liquor permits? What’s the timeline? Is the timeline fine for Items 9 & 10? Meier responded with Items 9 & 10 we’re at our maximum. Christensen asked if we’re at 45 days with the State? Meier affirmed, adding, since we don’t meet next Monday our timeline would be now. Cook asked when the ILC meets? Eskridge replied, in two weeks. Meier reiterated, the State gives us 45 days. Will double check to see what our exact date is. Cook asked if possible for the applicant to voluntarily give us more time? Meier replied no, it’s State law. If we don’t have a recommendation by the date they move forward. Camp understood if passed they would hold the license until the ordinance. Meier stated even if we act on today, the license won’t be issued until they open, which is a month away. Eskridge requested Meier to let them know the exact date. He will see if the ILC could meet sooner.

II. MAYOR

1. NEWS RELEASE. Storytimes to focus on dental care.
2. NEWS ADVISORY. Mayor Beutler and Public Safety Officials will release the 2013 crime statistics for Lincoln at a news conference, Thursday, February 6th, 10:00 a.m., 555 S. 10th Street, 3rd floor.
3. NEWS RELEASE. Crime rate falls again in 2013.

III. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

CITIZEN INFORMATION CENTER
1. City of Lincoln Snow Update, Monday, February 3, 2014. Parking Ban beginning at 8:00 a.m. Tuesday.
2. City of Lincoln Street Condition Update, Tuesday, February 4, 2014, 12:00 Noon.
3. City of Lincoln Snow Removal/Parking Ban Update, Tuesday, February 4, 2014, 3:15 p.m. Lincoln engaged in full plowing operation.
4. City of Lincoln Snow Removal/Parking Ban Update, Tuesday, February 4, 2014, 4:50 p.m. Lincoln continues full plowing operation.
5. City of Lincoln Snow Removal/Parking Ban Update, Wednesday, February 5, 2014, 8:30 a.m. Plowing, material spreading continues. Snow emergency remains in effect.

HEALTH DEPARTMENT - Judy Halstead, Director
Halstead stated as part of the bi-annual budget discussion one major item to accomplish is revising outdated ordinances. The last outdated ordinances are swimming pool changes. Actually go back to 1978 and not revised since 1989, when we included spa changes. Staff revised the proposed pool code, and regulations which
accompany. Sent to the State, and Nebraska Department of Human Services, recognizing their adoptions which have occurred. As is our practice we sent letters to all permitted pool operations, 216 active status. We plan on 3 community meetings, 1 last week, with 2 this week, meeting with those impacted. Not proposing major changes to our drafts, and will be ready for the Board of Health in March.

Likely to Council in April with proposed changes. All documents, proposed regulation changes, rule changes, and summary on our website, under Environmental Health, and sent letters to all pool operators. If Council hears from constituents contact John Chess in our department, but is on our website. We don’t anticipate numerous major changes. The most significant change, as drafted, is that we’re looking at having required pool testers available. The last fiscal year was not consistent with prior years, and we had 26% of pools requiring an immediate closure from significant violations, with 89% not having someone appropriately testing the pool within the previous timeline with chemicals being off in the pool. This is in draft form, and reaching to people affected first. If Council has constituents with questions refer to the Health Department.

LINCOLN FIRE & RESCUE - John Huff, Fire Chief
Huff stated he would discuss Fire Station No. 11. Last year we signed an agreement with the Airport Authority, which we do every year, to lease space in the current facility at 3400 W. Luke. The Airport Authority had previously given us notice they would like us to relocate. They offered to help, build a new fire station in the Airpark Area. Probably owned by the Airport Authority.

They’ve given plenty of time, 2016-2017. Here to let you know we’re putting into our CIP, and will have an opportunity to further discuss.

Camp stated as Chief Huff gathers information he could explain his recommendations, options, or other alternatives. Huff asked if in respect to the station authorization plan? Camp thought on relocation. Huff said in 2006 had discussion with the Airport Authority but didn’t go forward. Camp asked in regard to purchasing properties? Huff answered are revisiting now, and think we have a good idea of when we’ll build. Will share information with Council. Primarily today wanted to make sure you knew this will be in our CIP, and will work with the Airport Authority.

Emery asked Huff to illustrate how this fits into the plans discussed a year ago? Huff replied, a couple of years ago he proposed closing Fire Station No. 11. That was met with some resistance. It didn’t fit into the station authorization plan. Station 11 is somewhat in need and a long way from another fire station. What we proposed with the Airport Authority, is going to NW 48th St. and West Adams. The Airport Authority owns land there, and is a suitable place, giving us a location for schools, which is the majority of calls.

Huff stated when the fire station was built we let the fire service use the airport runways, which we no longer do, even with the station still on the runways. Not the best location for responding to situations. Cook asked if the Airport Authority has equipment for servicing the runways? Huff replied the Airport Authority contracts with the Nebraska Department of Military, which they need to protect aircraft on their takeoff and landing. That’s why we no longer respond to the runways. All done by the Airport Authority, and decided years ago.

LINCOLN CITY LIBRARIES
1. Correspondence from Barbara Hansen, Lincoln City Libraries, submitting the resumes of the individuals interested in being appointed to the Library Board. (The 25 resumes are on file and available in the City Council office)
2. Letter from Pat Leach, Library Director, listing the Library Board recommendations.
LINCOLN CITY LIBRARIES BOARD APPOINTMENT - Pat Leach, Director

Leach stated she would answer any question in the process of naming a new Library Board Member to fill the term vacated by Maja Harris. Everyone received the letter, and information on each applicant. The Library Board traditionally accepts the applications for Library Board positions and reviews. This time received 25 applications. After reviewing suggest Lowell Berg be named to the Library Board. Also noted in application review was Tracy Sanford and Jerry Patterson.

Cook commented Council could act and approve a member which could become a resolution. Leach agreed. Cook added that’s official action, but the decision is made here. Regarding Lowell Berg do see why as he has good experience designing libraries. The only future library is downtown. Leach stated the Library Board was interested in Lowell because of his architectural experience, and working on developmental projects.

Camp asked how many people actually serve out a term? Leach replied she would forward the information. Many members have gone into other City Boards, Commissions, or City employment. We’ve had several leave for those purposes. Camp stated Lowell Berg is very talented, what would this do to him with employment, would he disqualify himself? Leach replied she’s discussed with the City Attorney potential conflict of interest and now making sure we’re following the rules and procedures. Do understand he is slowly retiring from Clark Enderson Partners. So that direct connection may no longer be what it is today.

Confer stated there is no absolute disqualification. Council can approve, or approve an employment contract with a person who serves on a Board. Unlike a Director, Council Member, or Mayor. Do have to put out for public bidding, but in the process would do anyway. Not an absolute disqualification against him. Cook added, there are a number of people on City Boards who are actively employed in professions that interact with the City.

MOTION: Cook made the motion to appoint Lowell S. Berg to the Library Board, seconded by Gaylor Baird. Cook added, often this is done at the Organizational Meeting but can be listed on either meeting, as long as it’s an open meeting. Confer stated, it is on the agenda.

ROLE: Meyer called role with Eskridge, Emery, Camp, Christensen, Cook, Fellers, and Gaylor Baird voting aye. Passed 7 - 0.

Eskridge extended Council’s thanks to all 25 applicants who applied for the Library Board position.

PLANNING COMMISSION

IV. COUNCIL MEMBERS

JON CAMP
1. Beck Huebner email regarding Broadmoor Development at 70th and Ashbrook, specifically traffic concerns.
2. Chad Wemhoff letter urging Council to reject the proposed Broadmoor development, listing reasons.
   a) Correspondence from Chad Wemhoff after receiving correspondence on traffic from Miki Esposito, Public Works & Utilities Director.
3. Nancy Bradley email on the Broadmoor Ashbrook project. Listing reasons, primarily traffic and speed.
4. Jennifer Rawlinson email thanking Council for asking questions and listening to the constituent testimony regarding the proposed apartment complex development near the Pine Lake Association and Edenton Woods neighborhoods. Willing to answer any questions.
5. Email from Bob Moodie commenting on Director Esposito’s traffic report.
6. Jim Randall email on the Breslow Ice Center. The Breslow Center should pay its own way. No taxpayer money.
V. CORRESPONDENCE FROM CITIZENS
1. Correspondence from Theresa Atwood expressing concerns over proposed Broadmoor Ashbrook Apartments.
2. Email from Danielle Nikkila regarding curb and tire damage. (Sent to Public Works, City Attorney’s offices)
   a) Letter to Danielle Nikkila advising her on how to file a claim from Kent Imig, Risk Management Division of Department of Human Resources.
3. InterLinc correspondence from Shot and Candiss Kleen. Consider recommending single family, duplex, or condominium development on the Livingston property, not the proposed Broadmoor development.
4. Jonathan and Nicole Hoesch email in opposition to the Special Permit to build apartment adjacent to Pine Lake.

VI. ADJOURNMENT
Chair Eskridge adjourned the meeting at 2:25 p.m.