DIRECTORS’ MEETING
MONDAY, JULY 1, 2013
555 SOUTH 10TH STREET
ROOM 113, 2:00 P.M.

I. CITY CLERK

II. MAYOR
1. NEWS RELEASE. North Cotner remains closed after water main break.
2. NEWS RELEASE. First “Party in the Parks” Festival is Saturday.
3. NEWS RELEASE. Mayor invites public to State of the City Address.
4. NEWS ADVISORY. Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department officials and Anna Wishart, Mayor Beutler’s representative for the Let’s Move! Initiative, will hold a news conference, Thursday, June 27th, 4:30 p.m., at the Fallbrook Farmer’s Market, 570 Fallbrook Boulevard to discuss national recognition for the initiative and the City’s on-going effort to improve nutrition and increase physical activity among Lincoln’s children.

III. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

FINANCE, TREASURER

HEALTH DEPARTMENT
1. NEWS RELEASE. Vehicles are no place for pets in hot weather.

PLANNING COMMISSION

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1. Administrative approvals by the Planning Director from June 19, 2013 through June 24, 2013.
2. Two amendments to the Lincoln/Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan (LPlan 2040) adopted by the City Council in January and February 2013. LPlan 2040 available on the web.

IV. COUNCIL MEMBERS

DOUG EMERY
1. Constituent call from Jeanette Malone regarding the proposed N Street bike lanes. Against.

V. CORRESPONDENCE FROM CITIZENS
1. InterLinc correspondence from Corey Godfrey. The proposed protected bicycle lanes for N Street in the Downtown Master Plan a great idea. Reasons given and links to articles.
2. Email from Larryjab asking the City of Lincoln to please limit the use of tax incremental financing.
3. InterLinc correspondence from Brittany Struble presenting idea on a volunteer designated driver program.
NORTH COTNER REMAINS CLOSED AFTER WATER MAIN BREAK

North Cotner Boulevard has been closed from Vine Street to “X” Street since early morning due to a water main break. The water main was repaired and water service restored early this morning.

Repairs to the street are currently underway. The southbound lane of Cotner is scheduled to reopen before today’s late afternoon commute. The northbound lane will remain closed at Vine Street until paving repairs are completed. The northbound lane is expected to reopen Friday.
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 402-441-7511

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:  June 26, 2013
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Brendan Evans, Party in the Parks Coordinator, 402-730-2016

FIRST “PARTY IN THE PARKS” FESTIVAL IS SATURDAY

The “Party in the Parks” series of public arts festivals begins Saturday, June 29 with events planned from 4 to 9 p.m. at Union Plaza. No admission fee will be charged, and several food trucks will be selling refreshments. Activities include the following:

• The Rubber Ducky races are scheduled from 5 to 6 p.m., with heats at 5 and 5:20 and the finals at 5:40 p.m. Before the races, children can decorate their ducks at the Art Van deLux from the Lux Center for the Arts.

• Paint Yourself Silly, Community Crops and the City’s Recycling Program will help kids paint pots and create plantable pots.

• Entertainment in the Dickinson Dinsmore Graf Amphitheater will include the Youth Actors Academy of Lincoln presenting excerpts from the local musical “A Funny Thing Happened on the Way to the Death Star” from 6 to 7 p.m. Two local bands also are scheduled – Low Horse at 7 p.m. and The Renfields at 8 p.m.

“Party in the Parks” is supported in part by an award from the National Endowment for the Arts (NEA) as part of its “Art Works” initiative. The Lincoln Parks and Recreation Department collaborated with community arts organizations and civic leaders to receive an NEA “Our Town” grant to begin a summer entertainment series in new civic event spaces, such as Union Plaza. Future festivals are being planned for July 27, August 17 and September 28.

More information is available at PartyintheParks.com or by calling 402-730-2016.
MAYOR INVITES PUBLIC TO STATE OF THE CITY ADDRESS

Mayor Chris Beutler will deliver his State of the City address at 10 a.m. Tuesday, July 2 in the City Council Chambers, 555 South 10th Street. The public is invited to attend the speech. It also will be carried live on 5 CITY-TV, the government access cable channel, and on the City website, lincoln.ne.gov.

The public also is invited to a reception immediately following the speech in room 303, third floor of the County-City Building. The entrance is just west of the elevators.

Free public parking is available in the lot north of the County-City Building, across “K” Street.
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Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department officials and Anna Wishart, Mayor Chris Beutler’s representative for the *Let’s Move!* Initiative, will hold a news conference at **4:30 p.m. Thursday, June 27** at the Fallbrook Farmer’s Market, **570 Fallbrook Blvd.**

They will discuss recent national recognition for Lincoln’s *Let’s Move!* Initiative and the City’s on-going efforts to improve nutrition and increase physical activity among Lincoln’s children.
OFFICE OF TREASURER, CITY OF LINCOLN, NEBRASKA

June 25, 2013

TO:      MAYOR CHRIS BEUTLER & CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS
FROM:    FINANCE DEPARTMENT / CITY TREASURER
SUBJECT: MONTHLY CITY CASH REPORT

The records of this office show me to be charged with City cash as follows at the close of business May 31, 2013:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Balance Forward</td>
<td>$ 291,042,356.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plus Total Debits May 1-31, 2013</td>
<td>$ 50,909,233.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Total Credits May 1-31, 2013</td>
<td>$(52,050,201.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cash Balance on May 31, 2013</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 289,901,387.99</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I desire to report that such City cash was held by me as follows which I will deem satisfactory unless advised and further directed in the matter by you.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U. S. Bank Nebraska, N.A.</td>
<td>$ 7,645,968.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank</td>
<td>$(116,161.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo Bank Credit Card Account</td>
<td>$(112,340.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornhusker Bank</td>
<td>$ 57,647.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Nebraska Bank</td>
<td>$ 7,025.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinnacle Bank</td>
<td>$ 52,429.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union Bank &amp; Trust Company</td>
<td>$ 24,301.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Gate Bank</td>
<td>$ 11,379.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle Funds - Short-Term Pool</td>
<td>$ 42,088,936.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idle Funds - Medium-Term Pool</td>
<td>$ 239,222,350.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash, Checks and Warrants</td>
<td>$ 1,019,850.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Cash on Hand May 31, 2013</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 289,901,387.99</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The negative bank balances shown above do not represent the City as overdrawn in these bank accounts. In order to maximize interest earned on all City funds, deposits have been invested prior to the Departments’ notification to the City Treasurer's office of these deposits; therefore, these deposits are not recorded in the City Treasurer's bank account balances at month end.

I also hold as City Treasurer, securities in the amount of $98,701,695.59 representing authorized investments of the City's funds.

ATTEST:

Teresa J. Meyer, City Clerk
Melinda J. Jones, City Treasurer
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CUSIP</th>
<th>MATURITY DATE</th>
<th>ORIGINAL FACE</th>
<th>CURRENT PAR</th>
<th>MARKET PRICE</th>
<th>MARKET VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FHLB 1.6</td>
<td>313378X63</td>
<td>04/25/2018</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHLB STEP-UP</td>
<td>313379VC0</td>
<td>06/27/2019</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHLB 5.0</td>
<td>313372HX5</td>
<td>02/18/2021</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHLB 3.69</td>
<td>3133753M7</td>
<td>08/02/2021</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORNHUSKER BANK</td>
<td>TOTAL PLEDGED</td>
<td>$2,500,000.00</td>
<td>$2,500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FNMAU</td>
<td>3136FTS83</td>
<td>02/28/2017</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNION BANK AND TRUST</td>
<td>TOTAL PLEDGED</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHLMC GOLD POOL L00015</td>
<td>3128DFAQ1</td>
<td>09/01/2035</td>
<td>$7,444,520.00</td>
<td>$2,586,425.31</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>$2,735,610.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHLMC GOLD POL L00022</td>
<td>3128DFAX6</td>
<td>11/01/2035</td>
<td>$12,050,000.00</td>
<td>$3,990,798.05</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>$4,273,151.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USBANK</td>
<td>TOTAL PLEDGED</td>
<td>$19,494,520.00</td>
<td>$6,577,223.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$7,008,762.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: June 25, 2013

FOR MORE INFORMATION: Steve Beal, Animal Control Manager, 402-441-7900

VEHICLES ARE NO PLACE FOR PETS IN HOT WEATHER

The Animal Control Division of the Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department reminds the public that it is dangerous to leave dogs and pets in your vehicle on hot days. A 75-degree outside temperature can climb to 100-degrees in only 10 minutes. A 100-degree outside temperature can increase to a 140-degree inside temperature in 15 minutes. The best plan is to leave your pets home or someplace cool rather than taking them in a vehicle. Never leave a dog unattended in a car during hot weather.

Dogs can only cool down by panting, which is much less efficient than sweating. If you must travel with a dog during hot weather, always make sure they have access to fresh, cool water, shade (no direct sunlight) and air conditioning.

Warning signs for an overheated pet include:
- excessive panting or difficulty breathing
- increased heart and respiratory rate
- seizures, vomiting, collapsing, stupor

More severe symptoms could include seizures, bloody diarrhea, vomiting and a body temperature of over 104-degrees. Animals with flat faces like Pugs and Persian cats are more susceptible to heat stroke since they can’t pant effectively.

For more information, contact Animal Control at 402-441-7900 or visit lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: Animal Control).
NOTICE: The Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission will hold a public hearing on Wednesday, June 26, 2013, at 1:00 p.m., in Hearing Room 112 on the first floor of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St., Lincoln, Nebraska, on the following items. For more information, call the Planning Department, (402) 441-7491.

The Lincoln City/Lancaster County Planning Commission will meet on Wednesday, June 26, 2013, from 12:00 noon - 12:45 p.m. in Conference Room 113 of the County-City Building, 555 South 10th Street, Lincoln Nebraska, for a briefing by Planning staff on "Part 2 of Planning Department Self-Assessment".

**PLEASE NOTE: The Planning Commission action is final action on any item with a notation of “FINAL ACTION”. Any aggrieved person may appeal Final Action of the Planning Commission to the City Council or County Board by filing a Notice of Appeal with the City Clerk or County Clerk within 14 days following the action of the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission action on all other items is a recommendation to the City Council or County Board.

AGENDA

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 26, 2013

[Commissioner Weber absent]

Approval of minutes of the regular meeting held June 12, 2013. **APPROVED, 7-0 (Weber absent)**

1. CONSENT AGENDA

   (Public Hearing and Administrative Action):

   COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:
   1.1 Comprehensive Plan Conformance No. 13003, to review as to conformance with the 2040 Comprehensive Plan, a proposed amendment to the Lincoln Electric System Capital Improvements Program for the construction of a Training Facility at North 27th Street and Fairfield Streets. The Planning Commission action as to a finding of conformity is final action.

   **Staff recommendation: Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan**
   **Staff Planner: Brandon Garrett, 402-441-6373, bgarrett@lincoln.ne.gov**
   **Planning Commission ‘final action’: A FINDING OF CONFORMANCE WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, 7-0 (Weber absent).**
   **Resolution No. PC-01339.**
ANNEXATION WITH RELATED ITEMS:

1.2a Annexation No. 13002, to annex approximately 24 acres, more or less, generally located at S. 27th Street and Rokeby Road.
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Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Christy Eichorn, 402-441-7603, ceichorn@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Public Hearing before City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, July 22, 2013, 3:00 p.m.

1.2b Change of Zone No. 13011, from AG Agriculture District to R-3 Residential District, on property generally located at S. 27th Street and Rokeby Road.
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Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Christy Eichorn, 402-441-7603, ceichorn@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Public Hearing before City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, July 22, 2013, 3:00 p.m.

TEXT AMENDMENTS:

1.3a Text Amendment No. 13007, amending Title 27 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to define and regulate the use of outlots by amending Section 27.02.160 to add the definition of "outlot"; amending Section 27.69.030 to provide signs may be erected and maintained on an outlot; adding a new Section 27.70.070 to establish permitted uses for an outlot; and repealing Sections 27.02.160 and 27.69.030 of the Lincoln Municipal Code as hitherto existing.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Sara Hartzell, 402-441-6371, shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Public Hearing before City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, July 22, 2013, 3:00 p.m.

1.3b Text Amendment No. 13009, amending Chapter 26.07 of the Lincoln Municipal Code (Land Subdivision Definitions) by amending Section 26.07.135 to revise the definition of "Outlot"; and repealing Section 26.07.135 of the Lincoln Municipal Code as hitherto existing.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Sara Hartzell, 402-441-6371, shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Public Hearing before City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, July 22, 2013, 3:00 p.m.
1.3c County Text Amendment No. 13008, amending the Lancaster County Zoning Resolution by amending Sections 2.098, 3.011, 15.007, 22.003, and 22.005 to add a definition of "Outlot"; clarify the jurisdictional boundaries of the County Zoning Resolution; define uses that may be allowed on outlots; reorganize general provisions for amendments and add a procedure for amendments which are protested; and remove the requirement for publication of hearing notices in newspapers of neighboring counties.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Sara Hartzell, 402-441-6371, shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Public Hearing before the Lancaster County Board of Commissioners being requested.

1.3d County Text Amendment No. 13010, amending the Lancaster County Land Subdivision Resolution, by amending Section 2.22 to change the definition of "Outlot".

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Sara Hartzell, 402-441-6371, shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Public Hearing before the Lancaster County Board of Commissioners being requested.

PERMITS:

1.4 Use Permit No. 152A, an amendment to Pioneers Pointe Plaza, to reduce the front yard setback along Highway 2, on property generally located at S. 13th Street and Highway 2. *** FINAL ACTION ***

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Christy Eichorn, 402-441-7603, ceichorn@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission 'final action': CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the staff report dated June 13, 2013, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Resolution No. PC-01340.

1.5 County Special Permit No. 13018, for soil excavation, on property generally located at SW 72nd Street and W. Denton Road. *** FINAL ACTION ***

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Sara Hartzell, 402-441-6371, shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission 'final action': CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the staff report, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Resolution No. PC-01341.
1.6 Special Permit No. 13020, for an early childhood care facility, on property generally located at Brookside Drive and West Q Street (1030 West Q Street). *** FINAL ACTION ***

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Tom Cajka, 402-441-5662, tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov

2. REQUESTS FOR DEFERRAL:

PERMITS:
2.1 Special Permit No. 1995A, an amendment to the Hub Hall Heights Community Unit Plan, to change 8 single-family lots to 9 townhouse lots and 6 single-family attached lots, including the waiver requests approved with the original CUP and additional waiver requests to lot area and lot width, on property generally located at NW 48th Street and W. Holdrege Street. *** FINAL ACTION ***

Staff recommendation: Applicant has requested to continue public hearing until July 10, 2013
Staff Planner: Tom Cajka, 402-441-5662, tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov
Applicant’s request for two-week deferral granted, with CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING AND ACTION scheduled for Wednesday, July 10, 2013, 1:00 p.m.

3. ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA: None.

4. PUBLIC HEARING AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION:

CHANGE OF ZONE:
4.1 Change of Zone No. 07060A, an amendment to the Wilderness Heights Planned Unit Development (PUD), to allow motorized vehicle sales and service, and to rezone a portion of the PUD from B-2 Planned Neighborhood Business District PUD to R-3 Residential, on property generally located at the southeast corner of South 40th Street and Yankee Hill Road.

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Christy Eichorn, 402-441-7603, ceichorn@lincoln.ne.gov
Had public hearing.
Planning Commission recommendation: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the staff report dated June 13, 2013, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Public Hearing before the City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, July 22, 2013, 1:00 p.m.
**4.2 County Change of Zone No. 13012, from AG Agriculture District to AGR Agriculture Residential District** on property lying between the former Hickman extraterritorial jurisdiction (ETJ) south to the newly established Hickman ETJ, generally located at South 72nd Street and Leisure Place; and from AGR Agriculture Residential District to AG Agriculture District on property generally located at South 68th Street and Martell Road.

**Staff recommendation:** Approval  
**Staff Planner:** Sara Hartzell, 402-441-6371, shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov  
**Had public hearing.**  
**Planning Commission recommendation:** APPROVAL, 7-0 (Weber absent).  
**Public Hearing before the Lancaster County Board of Commissioners is being requested.**

**PERMITS:**

**4.3 Special Permit No. 13022, Joshua’s Glen Community Unit Plan,** to allow up to 156 dwelling units, with requests to waive minimum lot width; minimum lot area; reduce setbacks; lot width to depth ratio; minimum street radius and sag curve K value; internal street intersection spacing; sanitary sewer to flow opposite street grades; and cul-de-sac radius, on property generally located at S. 56th Street and Shadow Pines Drive (6520 S. 56th Street).

***** FINAL ACTION *****  
**Staff recommendation:** Conditional Approval  
**Staff Planner:** Brian Will, 402-441-6362, bwill@lincoln.ne.gov  
**Had public hearing.**  
**Planning Commission ‘final action’: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the staff report dated June 12, 2013, 7-0 (Weber absent).**  
**Resolution No. PC-01343.**

*** **********

**AT THIS TIME, ANYONE WISHING TO SPEAK ON AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA, MAY DO SO**

*** **********

**PENDING LIST:** None
Planning Dept. staff contacts:

Stephen Henrichsen, Development Review Manager  . 402-441-6374  .  shenrichsen@lincoln.ne.gov  
David Cary, Long Range Planning Manager  . 402-441-6364  .  dcary@lincoln.ne.gov  
Paul Barnes, Planner  . 402-441-6372  .  pbarnes@lincoln.ne.gov  
Michael Brienzo, Transportation Planner  . 402-441-6369  .  mbrienzo@lincoln.ne.gov  
Tom Cajka, Planner  . 402-441-5662  .  tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov  
Christy Eichorn, Planner  . 402-441-7603  .  ceichorn@lincoln.ne.gov  
Brandon Garrett, Planner  . 402-441-6373  .  bgarrett@lincoln.ne.gov  
Stacey Groshong Hageman, Planner  . 402-441-6361  .  slhageman@lincoln.ne.gov  
Sara Hartzell, Planner  . 402-441-6371  .  shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov  
David Pesnichak, Transportation Planner  . 402-441-6363  .  dpesnichak@lincoln.ne.gov  
Brian Will, Planner  . 402-441-6362  .  bwill@lincoln.ne.gov  
Ed Zimmer, Historic Preservation Planner  . 402-441-6360  .  ezimmer@lincoln.ne.gov  

* * * * *

The Planning Commission meeting
which is broadcast live at 1:00 p.m. every other Wednesday
will be rebroadcast on Sundays at 1:00 p.m. on 5 City TV, Cable Channel 5.

* * * * *

The Planning Commission agenda may be accessed on the Internet at
http://www.lincoln.ne.gov/city/plan/pcagenda/index.htm
PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION
NOTIFICATION

TO : Mayor Chris Beutler
Lincoln City Council

FROM : Jean Preister, Planning

DATE : June 27, 2013

RE : Notice of final action by Planning Commission: June 26, 2013

Please be advised that on June 26, 2013, the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission adopted the following resolution:

Resolution No. PC-01339, finding a proposed amendment to the Lincoln Electric System current Capital Improvement Program to add the Walter A. Canney Service Center project located at North 27th Street and Fairfield Street, to be in conformance with the Comprehensive Plan (Comprehensive Plan Conformance No. 13003). The Planning Commission action as to the finding of conformity is final action. This resolution is being submitted to the City Finance Director for further processing.

Resolution No. PC-01340, approving Use Permit No. 152A, with conditions, requested by VerMaas Land Co., LLC, to amend an existing use permit to allow a reduction in the front yard setback along Highway 2 to allow for additional parking, on property generally located at South 13th Street and Highway 2.

Resolution No. PC-01342, approving Special Permit No. 13020, with conditions, requested by Lincoln Public Schools, on behalf of Willard Community Center, for an early childhood care facility for up to 20 children and 2 staff, on property generally located at 1030 West Q Street.

Resolution No. PC-01343, approving Special Permit No. 13022, with conditions, requested by John Hollingsworth, for authority to develop Joshua’s Glen Community Unit Plan showing 90 lots with a maximum allowed density of 156 dwelling units and associated waiver requests, on property generally located at South 56th Street and Shadow Pines Drive.

This is final action unless appealed to the City Council by filing a notice of appeal with the City Clerk within 14 days of the action by the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission Resolutions+ may be accessed on the internet at www.lincoln.ne.gov (Keyword = PATS). Use the “Search Selection” screen and search by application number (i.e. CPC13001, UP152A, SP13020, SP13022). The Resolution and Planning Department staff report are in the “Related Documents” under the application number.
Date:       June 25, 2013
To:         City Clerk
From:       Teresa McKinstry, Planning Dept.
Re:         Administrative Approvals
cc:         Jean Preister

This is a list of the administrative approvals by the Planning Director from June 18, 2013 through June 24, 2013:

**Administrative Amendment No. 13042** to Preliminary Plat No. 00014, North Creek Trade Center, approved by the Planning Director on June 18, 2013, requested by ESP, Inc., to reduce the 20 foot landscape easement to 10 feet adjacent to Interstate 80, and provide a landscape plan, for Lots 4 and 5, Block 2, on property generally located at N. 27th St. and Fletcher Ave.
Jean Preister

From: Michele M. Abendroth
Sent: Tuesday, June 25, 2013 12:48 PM
Subject: LPlan 2040 Amendments

Two amendments to the Lincoln/Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan (LPlan 2040) were adopted by the City Council in January and February 2013. LPlan 2040 is available on our website at http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/plan/long/comp.htm and has been updated to reflect the adopted amendments. In addition, an amendment packet is also available on the same page.

If you have questions regarding these amendments, please contact David Cary at dcary@lincoln.ne.gov 402-441-6363.

David Cary
Long Range Planning Manager
Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Department
402.441.6363
Telephone message:  
Attn: Councilman Doug Emery

Jeanette Malone, 47th and Gladstone

re: Bike Lanes on N Street

Not a good idea as location is very busy. Numerous State offices, lots of people. Handicapped and very hard to get to the Gold’s building now. Not enough handicapped parking and there are employees, retired people, clients using the State offices. Do not vote for.
Dear Lincoln City Council,

My name is Corey Godfrey. I am an avid cyclist, bicycle/fitness advocate, and healthcare professional. I am also the Vice President of the Great Plains Trails Network and the Treasurer of BicycLincoln.

I moved to Lincoln, Nebraska from the Cleveland, Ohio area after grad school. There were numerous employment opportunities across the country, but I choose Lincoln, Nebraska because of the impressive trail infrastructure and apparent forward thinking city planning for pedestrians/bicycles.

There has been a lot of discussion revolving around the Protected bicycle lanes on N Street. Some experienced cyclists have voiced opposition to these lanes siting safety as a concern. I have done some research and have only found that the statistics demonstrate the contrary.

Below are links to academic, peer-reviewed and published research trials which have found a relative reduction in injuries, an increase in ridership as well as a reduction in injuries at intersections that have bicycle lanes. Also included are some statistics compiled by the city of Chicago (several other trials sited in this article).

http://injuryprevention.bmj.com/content/early/2011/02/02/ip.2010.028696.full

http://injuryprevention.bmj.com/content/early/2013/02/13/injuryprev-2012-040561.full.pdf+html


After reviewing the statistics, I believe Protected bicycle lanes (cycle tracks) as proposed for N Street in Downtown Master Plan is a great idea for many reasons. These lanes have proven to be a great success in other cities across the globe. They have been shown to be safe (28% lower injury rate in Montreal per a study from Harvard and 50% reduction accidents for cyclists, pedestrians and motorists in NYC), effective (increases bicycle traffic and reduces automobile congestion), and may actually help alleviate parking shortages even with the loss of numerous parking spots by encouraging folks to ride downtown versus drive an automobile. Also, these lanes will provide a safe route out of traffic for those not comfortable riding the onstreet bicycle lanes, such as families with children.
Downtown Lincoln needs a safe East/West bicycle route. N Street is ideal since it is unidirectional and is wide enough to accommodate a protect bicycle lane with minimal loss of on-street parking. Lincoln has been gaining national recognition as a bicycle friendly city. Protected bicycle lanes may help attract and maintain an active and vibrant demographic.

I urge the City Council to approve the proposed protected bicycle lane for N Street in the Downtown Master Plan.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions, comments or concerns.

Best regards,

Corey Godfrey

1910 Pawnee
Lincoln, NE 68502
(402) 730-0912
Conclusions

Nebraska State Statute 18-2113 requires that every TIF plan shall be subject to a cost-benefit analysis. The relevant section of the statute reads:

"The authority shall conduct a cost-benefit analysis for each redevelopment project whose redevelopment plan includes the use of funds authorized by section 18-2147. In conducting the cost-benefit analysis, the authority shall use a cost-benefit model developed for use by local projects. Any cost-benefit model used by the authority shall consider and analyze the following factors:

(a) Tax shifts resulting from the approval of the use of funds pursuant to section 18-2147;
(b) Public infrastructure and community public service needs impacts and local tax impacts arising from the approval of the redevelopment project;
(c) Impacts on employers and employees of firms locating or expanding within the boundaries of the area of the redevelopment project;
(d) Impacts on other employers and employees within the city or village and the immediate area that are located outside of the boundaries of the area of the redevelopment project; and
(e) Any other impacts determined by the authority to be relevant to the consideration of costs and benefits arising from the redevelopment project."

Cost-benefit analyses in the TIF plans in this study are either non-existent or simply boilerplate language. This would seem to be a matter for the Planning Department Staff and Board since they are the ones who, in conjunction with the developers, draw up and give initial approval to the TIF plan. The analyses that came the closest to meeting the full State requirements were prepared separately by developers. Final responsibility, however, rests with the City Council which has the duty to reject any plan that does not contain an adequate cost-benefit analysis.

Recommendations
We recommend that the Planning Department staff and Board, and the City Council, ensure that each TIF plan contain a thorough, easily understood cost-benefit analysis as prescribed by State Statute.
To provide maximum opportunity for citizen input, we recommend that the Planning Department and the City Council hold their meetings in the evening when the majority of citizens can attend.
In this time of economic constriction, we encourage the Planning Department and the City Council to closely scrutinize each proposed TIF plan to assure that it really does provide for public, as opposed to primarily private, benefit.

-------------------

Opponents of Tax Increment Financing generally make the following arguments:

1. The criteria for “blighted areas” are sufficiently malleable that just about any area can be and has been given that designation.

2. Although North and South Omaha (notable for high concentrations of both poverty and
minorities) anchor the "blighted areas", most of the actual investment has gone into Downtown Omaha and has benefited persons of means. This is true whether referring to the new condos and townhouses or to entertainment complexes that the average family wage earner can only rarely afford. Poverty downtown has not so much been alleviated as relocated and replaced by gentrification. North and South Omaha remain relatively untouched. See the TIF map for the locations of TIF projects present and past (City of Omaha, 2010b).

3. TIF plans can subsidize projects that would have happened anyhow.

4. Since an unknown number of the TIF projects would have happened anyhow, what the TIF designation does is shift costs in those cases from the developer to the rest of the City. For fifteen years, the other property taxpayers in the City pay for the increased police and fire protection, Emergency Medical Services, mass transit, schools and other public services that the TIF project uses but is not paying for.

5. The City ends up paying for infrastructure improvements that otherwise the developer would have paid for. Developers who do not have the incentive of a TIF plan do have to pay the costs of these infrastructure improvements. This seems to give developers who receive TIF funds an unfair competitive advantage.

6. Some projects, such as retail strip malls, really don't have much more than a fifteen-year life expectancy. In this case, local governments would receive little, if any, benefit from the TIF plan.

7. TIFs tend to encourage the "bidding war" and "race to the bottom" mentality of municipalities as businesses play one off against the other to see who will give the biggest incentives.

8. Developers begin to develop a sense of entitlement and expect to rely on government handouts rather than taking risks on their own.

Disadvantages of Tax Increment Financing TIF seems to be becoming more and more popular, and more and more abused. So like any other economic development technique, it can be a gift or a burden to the citizens of any given municipality. The benefit is that the municipality does not have to go begging to the state or federal government to request a grant to allow it to afford the public improvements that a private investment will need to be viable. The problem then becomes that tax increment financing districts are subject to local politics and maybe political favoritism. Sometimes, like with any redevelopment financed by any method, the redevelopment itself drives out lower-income people and results in what is called gentrification (displacement of lower-income residents by higher-income ones). Even more dramatically, although most states require a legislative finding by the city council that the TIF district is "blighted," sometimes it requires quite a stretch of the imagination to see a well-maintained and fully-occupied 25 year old office building in a posh suburb as blighted.

It's hard to understand why a big box store (such as a large discount store) would need public financing for road improvements when they propose to construct on a four-lane road. And occasionally I've heard of rural or undeveloped areas becoming TIF districts where it seems that the governments in question should be requiring the developer to build the infrastructure instead.

As time goes on, we're seeing more and more permissive definitions of areas that qualify for TIF. Now, in addition to blighted areas, you might hear that your state allows TIF in what they might call a conservation area, essentially an area in danger of becoming blighted, or in an economic development target area designated by the local government. The latter could be almost anywhere.
Another problem is that taxing districts other than the city government are foregoing tax revenues, but usually they don't have much input into the TIF decision. The municipality makes the decision to forego future revenue growth, but the school district, library, community college, and other such districts suffer if the muni is wrong. These districts only receive the promise of a higher future tax base— which may or may not exist in 20 years.

By the expiration of the TIF district, the developer may have decided that they've made their profit and they're not going to reinvest in the maintenance, management, and refreshing that all developments need by that time. This makes school site selection a nightmare. Lately some local governments have been allowing the TIF deal to make it possible for schools to receive some additional revenues. One other substantial disadvantage should be mentioned. The entire premise of tax increment financing is that the assessed valuation of the property will rise after development or redevelopment.

The government, however, is left "holding the bag" if there is no increased assessed valuation, or if it falls back down to its previous level toward the end of the TIF period. Since we've just lived through a couple of bubbles, local governments should consider this possibility. It may require the TIF-granting municipality to dig a little deeper into development finance than may be customary, but the fact that the entire scheme depends on this increased assessment merits that extra look.

This latter factor is an argument for keeping the tax increment financing term as short as possible also. Seven years may seem less risky than thirty from this perspective. The best website we've found for tracking what is happening with TIFs and other development subsidies is called Good Jobs First, and it's quite worth the read.

---

TIF: Its Impact on Special Taxing Districts

Special taxing districts, such as school, water and fire districts, are extremely concerned with the effects of TIF on the local community. The growth related to TIF increases the need for public services but there is no corresponding growth in tax revenue for these special taxing districts since the fundamental element of TIF is a reinvestment of the incremental tax growth into the TIF district itself for physical improvement and not service provision. Thus, the amount of tax dollars that special taxing districts receive over the 23 life of the TIF in Illinois are established at the birth of the TIF and, excluding specific negotiation with the municipality, there is no increase in the tax dollars available to these special taxing districts.

Concerning schools specifically, advocates of TIF in Illinois argue that the state supported funding for schools in TIF districts increases when growth occurs due to TIF, but Illinois is presently ranked 48th out of 50 states for the amount of funding the state provides for public education (ISBE 2004). Combined with the extraordinary amount of TIF districts that exist in Illinois, schools see a significant decrease in available funds during the life of the TIF yet the essence of the TIF is economic and community growth, resulting in a much higher demand for educational services. As a result, Illinois schools have lost an average of $83 million annually to the TIF authority (CED 1999).
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for General Council

Name: Brittany Struble
Address: 4800 North 15th Apt #108
City: Lincoln, NE, 68521
Phone: 4024101628
Fax: Email: brittanystuble92@gmail.com

Comment or Question:
This is the general lay out and ideas I have to start a volunteer program to keep drunk driver's off the streets of Lincoln, Nebraska. I appreciate any support I can get! Please Read!

Safe Way Home
Volunteer Designated Driver Program

The Statistics:
According to the nationally known organization, MADD:
One in three people will be involved in an alcohol-related crash in their lifetime.
Almost every 90 seconds, a person is injured in a drunk driving crash.
Every day in America, another 27 people die as a result of drunk driving crashes.
Source: http://www.madd.org/drunk-driving/about/drunk-driving-statistics.html

These are just a few statistics that reveal the seriousness of the risk each person takes when they get behind the wheel drunk, or even buzzed. With these facts, and knowing the amount of tragedy and loss that comes from the act of driving drunk, it is clear to me that there need to me more options out there to provide designated drivers to those who are unable to do so prior to drinking. With the help of the community, we can make a difference. I believe, through funding from both public and private parties, it is possible to get many people involved in making the roads safer in Lincoln.

The Idea:

If there was a place, 7 days a week that could provide a free, safe way home, I believe that individuals would put it to use. Yes, there are cab companies, there are friends, but those sources are not always 100% reliable. If we put together a team of volunteers willing to commit to helping others get home when they have had a few too many? we could truly change lives.

There are always questions of safety;
As a program, we will require that each driver undergoes a background check. This will guarantee that we are not subjecting the passenger to a dangerous person. Those who raise question after undergoing a background check will not be able to provide a Safe way Home?. Now, the safety of the driver, each trip will have 2 drivers. Using a buddy system? will lessen the risk of any passenger causing harm to the volunteer.
How it works:

I have a few different ideas on how to do this;

1- A call center that distributes the calls to volunteers. One or more people running the phone lines and contacting the volunteer with location and name of a passenger.

2- A ?clubhouse? that provides a comfortable place where they are ready and available to provide a ?Safe Way Home? to callers. This will be where the calls are received as well. With several volunteers available and having multiple phone lines, we could efficiently answer phone calls and have quick access to volunteers for the passenger.

This is just the beginning of what can be done to help people avoid the tragedies that can occur when driving drunk.

Thank you, Brittany Struble
DIRECTORS’ AGENDA
ADDENDUM
MONDAY, JULY 1, 2013

I. CITY CLERK

II. MAYOR & DIRECTORS’ CORRESPONDENCE

MAYOR
1. NEWS RELEASE. Lincoln honored as “Spotlight Community”.
2. NEWS ADVISORY. Mayor Beutler’s public schedule for the week of June 29, 2013 through July 5, 2013.
4. NEWS ADVISORY. Mayor invites public to State of the City Address.

III. DIRECTORS

PLANNING COMMISSION
1. Action by the Planning Commission, June 26, 2013. (Item No. 1.4 corrected)

PUBLIC WORKS AND UTILITIES/ENGINEERING
1. ADVISORY. Citywide arterial street rehabilitation. Capitol Parkway; South 21st Street - South 33rd Street. State Project No. LCLC-5228(4), Control No. 13138, City Project No. 540022.

PUBLIC WORKS AND UTILITIES/WATER OPERATIONS
1. The June-July Public Works and Utilities newsletter is available online. Online address listed.

URBAN DEVELOPMENT
1. The Urban Page, Urban Development department’s quarterly newsletter available online.

IV. COUNCIL MEMBERS

V. CORRESPONDENCE FROM CITIZENS
1. Marge Schlitt’s comments on the South Beltway.
2. InterLinc correspondence from Nic Gaunt regarding paying for stolen items. (Sent to Police Chief Peschong)
   a) Reply to Mr. Gaunt from Chief of Police Peschong on his question regarding payment of his stolen items.
LINCOLN HONORED AS “SPOTLIGHT COMMUNITY”

Lincoln has been recognized as a “Spotlight Community” by the National League of Cities’ (NLC) “Let’s Move! Cities, Towns and Counties” initiative for its successful efforts to decrease childhood obesity locally. The NLC partners with First Lady Michelle Obama in the national Let’s Move! Campaign.

As a result of the recognition, Lincoln Mayor Chris Beutler has accepted an invitation from Michelle Obama to attend a celebration event Wednesday, July 10 at the White House. Mayor Beutler will also participate in a meeting with selected mayors who have been identified for their excellent work with the initiative.

Anna Wishart, Mayor Chris Beutler’s spokesperson for the Let’s Move! Initiative, made the announcement and shared information about the five medals presented to the City by NLC for achievements made in the five nutrition and physical activity goals of the initiative:

• Provide children with a healthier start through good nutrition and physical activity
• Promote MyPlate messages throughout the community
• Increase participation in school food programs
• Improve access to healthy affordable foods in municipal venues
• Increase opportunities for physical activity

“Let’s Move! is bringing more attention to the issue of obesity, especially childhood obesity, and I am honored to take an active role in highlighting the great things happening in Lincoln to help build a healthier community,” said Wishart. “The citizens of this city can take great pride in local efforts being conducted to help ensure an active and healthy life for Lincoln’s youth.”

Examples and results of local Let’s Move! efforts include:

• Interagency collaboration on early care and education programs through the “54321Go” community coalition and Little Voices for Healthy Choices
• Promoting MyPlate in all municipal venues where food is available
• 100 percent of public schools participating in the school breakfast program
• The number of sites and students served by the Summer Food Service Program
• Healthy vending options available in City recreation centers
• Accessibility of parks and trails
• A tobacco-free Parks policy

-more-
In July 2012, Mayor Beutler signed on Lincoln as the fifth city in Nebraska to become part of the *Let’s Move!* Cities, Towns and Counties initiative.

Over the past three decades, childhood obesity rates in America have tripled and today, nearly one in three American children are overweight or obese. Lincoln’s rates have reflected the national trend, however obesity rates are starting to decline.
PLEASE NOTE THAT THE STATE OF THE CITY ADDRESS WILL BEGIN AT 9:45 A.M. TUESDAY, JULY 2, 15 MINUTES EARLIER THAN WAS PREVIOUSLY LISTED.

Date: June 28, 2013
Contact: Dave Norris, Citizen Information Center, 402-441-7547

Mayor Beutler’s Public Schedule
Week of June 29 through July 5, 2013
Schedule subject to change

Sunday, June 30
• Bison Trail Bridge dedication, remarks - 2 p.m., Bison Trail (west of the intersection of Highway 77 and West Van Dorn Street)

Tuesday, July 2
• State of the City address - 9:45 a.m., Council Chambers, County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St. (reception follows in room 303, County-City Building)

Wednesday, July 3
• Uncle Sam Jam Independence Day celebration - 7 p.m., Oak Lake Park, First and Charleston streets (Trinkle Brass Works/Meadowlark Music Festival performances at 7:30, 9 and 10 p.m. Zambelli Fireworks display at 10 p.m.)

Thursday, July 4

CITY OFFICES CLOSED - INDEPENDENCE DAY HOLIDAY
FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

DEPARTMENT/DIVISION: Finance / Information Services  DATE: 6/12/13

NEED
Information Services currently has a vacant Microcomputer/Network Support Coordinator Position budgeted at approx. $162,000 with benefits (salary grade M3 - a high-level management position). This position has been left vacant while an assessment of current customer service delivery has been conducted. This review has confirmed that additional staffing in the desktop/server support division is needed. Information Services is proposing to replace the current vacant position with two Microcomputer Support Specialist I positions that will be “on the ground” customer support positions. The attached cost analysis of this restructure of positions indicates this will also produce a salary savings of approximately $16,500 over a 5-year period. This does increase full time positions within Information Services, but it is important to remember that Information Services surrendered two positions, dropping from 39 to 37 positions, upon adoption of the current biennial budget.

FUTURE IMPACT:
- Ongoing

LEGISLATIVE CHANGES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Current Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Next Fiscal Year Annualized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REVENUES GENERATED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IMPACT</th>
<th>Current Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Next Fiscal Year Annualized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (full time equivalents) – 1.0 (M1452)</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcomputer/Network Support Coordinator + 2.0 Microcomputer Support Specialist I (C1495)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL (cost) business unit:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPPLIES business unit:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER SERVICES &amp; CHARGES business unit:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>object code description</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EQUIPMENT business unit:
- object code description

TOTAL EXPENDITURES
- $0

SOURCE OF REVENUES
- Information Services Revolving Fund

DIRECTOR: [Signature]  DATE: June 13, 2013
WHEN TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

1. Requesting transfer of operating appropriations.
2. Requesting increase in personnel (full time equivalents) appropriations.
3. Requesting transfer of capital improvement appropriations.
4. Requesting operational change not authorized during the budget process.
5. Requesting appropriations based on receipt of additional funds from outside sources.
6. Requesting use of Contingency funds.

HOW TO USE FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

NEED: There should be a detailed explanation of why a change to the previously approved budget is necessary. If the change will have any impact beyond the current fiscal year, it should also be noted.

FUTURE IMPACT: One of the boxes should be checked. An example of an item with ongoing impact would be a request for additional fte authorization that will also be requested in upcoming budgets. This would necessitate filling out the "Next Fiscal Year Annualized" column. An example of an item with limited impact would be asking for authorization to use salary savings for the one time purchase of equipment. If "Projected Completion Date" applies, please fill in.

REVENUES GENERATED: Please note if the request will affect current and future revenues.

LEGISLATIVE CHANGES: These boxes should be marked yes or no. Some of the actions this form is used for (transfer of capital improvement appropriations, Contingency Funds) require a City Council ordinance.

PERSONNEL (full time equivalents): Please note the number of fte’s the request involves, if applicable.

PERSONNEL (cost), SUPPLIES, OTHER SERVICES AND CHARGES, EQUIPMENT: All entries in these boxes must have the business unit, object code, and object code description along with the dollar amount. Negative amounts must be indicated by brackets.

TOTAL EXPENDITURES: This box should contain the sum of the dollar amounts in the various expenditure categories.

SOURCE OF REVENUES: This box should contain the name of the fund the action is required for.
Memorandum

TO: Hiring Review Committee  
FROM: Steven L. Henderson, Chief Information Officer  
DATE: June 12, 2013

Information Services currently has a vacant Microcomputer/Network Support Coordinator position (salary grade M3 – a high-level management position); Ken Kuszak’s retirement approximately a year ago created this vacancy. The expense of the position (approximately $162,000, including benefits) was included in the Information Services rates currently in place for FY2012-2013, as well as for FY2013-2014. A vacant Microcomputer Support Specialist I position (salary grade C30 – an entry-level technical position) was also budgeted in the FY2013-2014 rates (approximately $74,500, including benefits), but that position has since been filled. The Microcomputer/Network Support Coordinator position has been left vacant during this past year while the organization worked to conduct an assessment of current customer service delivery and to determine what possible personnel actions would most help improve customer service.

This review period has confirmed that one of the most important activities within Information Services, in context of customer service, is desktop/server support. Information Services currently provides desktop and server support using five Microcomputer Support Specialist II’s (salary grade C36) and two Microcomputer Support Specialist I’s. Two additional Microcomputer Support Specialist II’s provide help desk support. These nine staff members provide services for more than 2,650 county/city desktops and servers. Feedback from these customers has made it clear that Information Services’ response to requests for desktop/server support is too slow – especially for those situations requiring “office call” services for desktop support. This feedback is not unexpected – Information Services’ staffing ratio of more than 290 for “organizational PCs to user support staff” (this particular definition of “user support staff” includes both desktop/server support and help desk staff) is well above the industry’s median of 190 (for large organizations), according to Computer Economics, a major industry consulting firm.

As a result of this analysis, Information Services proposes that the Microcomputer/Network Support Coordinator position be restructured as two Microcomputer Support Specialist I’s, and that those two positions be advertised and filled as swiftly as possible. It is clear that customer service for desktop/server support would benefit far more from this action than it would by refilling the original high-level management position. Said another way, Information Services leadership believes it is far better to staff for improved “on the ground” customer support, and much less important to unthinkingly refill a high-level management position. While it could be argued that this represents an increase in full time positions, it is also important to remember that Information Services voluntarily surrendered two positions, dropping from 39 to 37 positions, upon entry into the current biennial budget.

Because Information Services is a revolving fund organization, cost analysis of the services provided is of paramount importance. Information Services performed a cost analysis of continuing the expense of the existing Microcomputer/Network Support Coordinator position compared to filling two Microcomputer Support Specialist I positions. Using a five year present value cost analysis model, filling two Microcomputer Support Specialist I positions compared to the original Microcomputer/Network Support Coordinator position saves more than $16,500 (see attached cost analysis model).

In summary, Information Services seeks approval to fill two Microcomputer Specialist I positions instead of refilling the vacant Microcomputer/Network Support Coordinator position. This action will provide the most appropriate staffing to improve customer service without raising rates for customers, eliminate a high-level management position, and save money.
### Cost Analysis - Position Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assumed Annual City Cost of Living Increase</td>
<td>1.50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumed Annual Health Insurance Increase</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumed Annual Other Benefits Increase - Kuszak</td>
<td>1.50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumed Annual Other Benefits Increase - MSS 1</td>
<td>3.00%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV Interest Rate</td>
<td>1.50%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCEA C Step Increase</td>
<td>2.75%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kuszak position (Budgeted at top of M3)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Salary</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 120,928</td>
<td>$ 122,742</td>
<td>$ 124,583</td>
<td>$ 126,542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Living Increase</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 1,814</td>
<td>$ 1,841</td>
<td>$ 1,869</td>
<td>$ 1,897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merit Increase</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Salary</td>
<td>$ 120,928</td>
<td>$ 122,742</td>
<td>$ 124,583</td>
<td>$ 126,542</td>
<td>$ 128,349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Health Insurance</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Insurance Increase</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 1,296</td>
<td>$ 1,425</td>
<td>$ 1,568</td>
<td>$ 1,724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Health Insurance</td>
<td>$ 12,956</td>
<td>$ 14,252</td>
<td>$ 15,677</td>
<td>$ 17,244</td>
<td>$ 18,969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Other Benefits</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Benefits Increase</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 426</td>
<td>$ 432</td>
<td>$ 438</td>
<td>$ 445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Other Benefits</td>
<td>$ 28,375</td>
<td>$ 28,801</td>
<td>$ 29,233</td>
<td>$ 29,671</td>
<td>$ 30,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully Burdened Position Expense</td>
<td>$ 162,259</td>
<td>$ 165,794</td>
<td>$ 169,492</td>
<td>$ 173,367</td>
<td>$ 177,434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five Year NPV</td>
<td>$ 823,092</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MSS 1 position (Projected at entry step of C30)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Salary</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 46,656</td>
<td>$ 48,639</td>
<td>$ 50,707</td>
<td>$ 52,862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Living Increase</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 700</td>
<td>$ 730</td>
<td>$ 761</td>
<td>$ 793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merit/Step Increase</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 1,283</td>
<td>$ 1,338</td>
<td>$ 1,394</td>
<td>$ 1,454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Salary</td>
<td>$ 46,656</td>
<td>$ 48,639</td>
<td>$ 50,707</td>
<td>$ 52,862</td>
<td>$ 55,108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Health Insurance</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 17,158</td>
<td>$ 18,874</td>
<td>$ 20,761</td>
<td>$ 22,837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Insurance Increase</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 1,716</td>
<td>$ 1,887</td>
<td>$ 2,076</td>
<td>$ 2,284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Health Insurance</td>
<td>$ 17,158</td>
<td>$ 18,874</td>
<td>$ 20,761</td>
<td>$ 22,837</td>
<td>$ 25,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Other Benefits</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 10,770</td>
<td>$ 11,093</td>
<td>$ 11,426</td>
<td>$ 11,769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Benefits Increase</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ 323</td>
<td>$ 333</td>
<td>$ 343</td>
<td>$ 353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Other Benefits</td>
<td>$ 10,770</td>
<td>$ 11,093</td>
<td>$ 11,426</td>
<td>$ 11,769</td>
<td>$ 12,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully Burdened Position Expense</td>
<td>$ 74,584</td>
<td>$ 78,606</td>
<td>$ 82,894</td>
<td>$ 87,468</td>
<td>$ 92,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five Year NPV</td>
<td>$ 403,149</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Five Year NPV, Two MSS 1 Positions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Years saved</strong></td>
<td>$ 806,298.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Savings - Two MSS Positions vs. Kuszak Position</strong></td>
<td>$ 16,794</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information Services / Steven L. Henderson  
6/11/2013
MAYOR INVITES PUBLIC TO STATE OF THE CITY ADDRESS

Mayor Chris Beutler will deliver his State of the City address at 9:45 a.m. Tuesday, July 2 in the City Council Chambers, 555 South 10th Street. The public is invited to attend the speech. It also will be carried live on 5 CITY-TV, the government access cable channel, and on the City website, lincoln.ne.gov.

The public also is invited to a reception immediately following the speech in room 303, third floor of the County-City Building. The entrance is just west of the elevators.

Free public parking is available in the lot north of the County-City Building, across “K” Street.
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NOTICE: The Lincoln/Lancaster County Planning Commission will hold a public hearing on Wednesday, June 26, 2013, at 1:00 p.m., in Hearing Room 112 on the first floor of the County-City Building, 555 S. 10th St., Lincoln, Nebraska, on the following items. For more information, call the Planning Department, (402) 441-7491.

The Lincoln City/Lancaster County Planning Commission will meet on Wednesday, June 26, 2013, from 12:00 noon - 12:45 p.m. in Conference Room 113 of the County-City Building, 555 South 10th Street, Lincoln Nebraska, for a briefing by Planning staff on "Part 2 of Planning Department Self-Assessment".

**PLEASE NOTE: The Planning Commission action is final action on any item with a notation of “FINAL ACTION”. Any aggrieved person may appeal Final Action of the Planning Commission to the City Council or County Board by filing a Notice of Appeal with the City Clerk or County Clerk within 14 days following the action of the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission action on all other items is a recommendation to the City Council or County Board.

AGENDA

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 26, 2013

[Commissioner Weber absent]

Approval of minutes of the regular meeting held June 12, 2013. **APPROVED, 7-0 (Weber absent)**
1. CONSENT AGENDA
(Public Hearing and Administrative Action):

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN:
1.1 Comprehensive Plan Conformance No. 13003, to review as to conformance with the 2040 Comprehensive Plan, a proposed amendment to the Lincoln Electric System Capital Improvements Program for the construction of a Training Facility at North 27th Street and Fairfield Streets. The Planning Commission action as to a finding of conformity is final action.

Staff recommendation: Conformance with the Comprehensive Plan
Staff Planner: Brandon Garrett, 402-441-6373, bgarrett@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission ‘final action’: A FINDING OF CONFORMANCE WITH THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, 7-0 (Weber absent).

Resolution No. PC-01339.

ANNEXATION WITH RELATED ITEMS:
1.2a Annexation No. 13002, to annex approximately 24 acres, more or less, generally located at S. 27th Street and Rokeby Road.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Christy Eichorn, 402-441-7603, ceichorn@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL, 7-0 (Weber absent).

Public Hearing before City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, July 22, 2013, 3:00 p.m.

1.2b Change of Zone No. 13011, from AG Agriculture District to R-3 Residential District, on property generally located at S. 27th Street and Rokeby Road.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Christy Eichorn, 402-441-7603, ceichorn@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL, 7-0 (Weber absent).

Public Hearing before City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, July 22, 2013, 3:00 p.m.

TEXT AMENDMENTS:
1.3a Text Amendment No. 13007, amending Title 27 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to define and regulate the use of outlots by amending Section 27.02.160 to add the definition of “outlot”; amending Section 27.69.030 to provide signs may be erected and maintained on an outlot; adding a new Section 27.70.070 to establish permitted uses for an outlot; and repealing Sections 27.02.160 and 27.69.030 of the Lincoln Municipal Code as hitherto existing.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Sara Hartzell, 402-441-6371, shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL, 7-0 (Weber absent).

Public Hearing before City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, July 22, 2013, 3:00 p.m.
1.3b Text Amendment No. 13009, amending Chapter 26.07 of the Lincoln Municipal Code (Land Subdivision Definitions) by amending Section 26.07.135 to revise the definition of "Outlot"; and repealing Section 26.07.135 of the Lincoln Municipal Code as hitherto existing.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Sara Hartzell, 402-441-6371, shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Public Hearing before City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, July 22, 2013, 3:00 p.m.

1.3c County Text Amendment No. 13008, amending the Lancaster County Zoning Resolution by amending Sections 2.098, 3.011, 15.007, 22.003, and 22.005 to add a definition of "Outlot"; clarify the jurisdictional boundaries of the County Zoning Resolution; define uses that may be allowed on outlots; reorganize general provisions for amendments and add a procedure for amendments which are protested; and remove the requirement for publication of hearing notices in newspapers of neighboring counties.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Sara Hartzell, 402-441-6371, shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Public Hearing before the Lancaster County Board of Commissioners being requested.

1.3d County Text Amendment No. 13010, amending the Lancaster County Land Subdivision Resolution, by amending Section 2.22 to change the definition of "Outlot".

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Sara Hartzell, 402-441-6371, shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Public Hearing before the Lancaster County Board of Commissioners being requested.

PERMITS:

1.4 Use Permit No. 152A, an amendment to Pioneers Pointe Plaza, to reduce the front yard setback along Highway 2, on property generally located at S. 13th Street and Highway 2.  ***FINAL ACTION***

Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Christy Eichorn, 402-441-7603, ceichorn@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission recommendation: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the staff report dated June 13, 2013, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Resolution No. PC-01340:
**Pursuant to § 27.64.010(h), requires action by City Council**
**Public Hearing before City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, July 22, 2013, 3:00 p.m.**
1.5 County Special Permit No. 13018, for soil excavation, on property generally located at SW 72nd Street and W. Denton Road. *** FINAL ACTION ***
Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Sara Hartzell, 402-441-6371, shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission ‘final action’: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the staff report, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Resolution No. PC-01341.

1.6 Special Permit No. 13020, for an early childhood care facility, on property generally located at Brookside Drive and West Q Street (1030 West Q Street). *** FINAL ACTION ***
Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Tom Cajka, 402-441-5662, tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov
Planning Commission ‘final action’: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the staff report dated June 11, 2013, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Resolution No. PC-01342.

2. REQUESTS FOR DEFERRAL:

2.1 Special Permit No. 1995A, an amendment to the Hub Hall Heights Community Unit Plan, to change 8 single-family lots to 9 townhouse lots and 6 single-family attached lots, including the waiver requests approved with the original CUP and additional waiver requests to lot area and lot width, on property generally located at NW 48th Street and W. Holdrege Street. *** FINAL ACTION ***
Staff recommendation: Applicant has requested to continue public hearing until July 10, 2013
Staff Planner: Tom Cajka, 402-441-5662, tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov
Applicant’s request for two-week deferral granted, with CONTINUED PUBLIC HEARING AND ACTION scheduled for Wednesday, July 10, 2013, 1:00 p.m.

3. ITEMS REMOVED FROM CONSENT AGENDA: None.

4. PUBLIC HEARING AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION:

CHANGE OF ZONE:

4.1 Change of Zone No. 07060A, an amendment to the Wilderness Heights Planned Unit Development (PUD), to allow motorized vehicle sales and service, and to rezone a portion of the PUD from B-2 Planned Neighborhood Business District PUD to R-3 Residential, on property generally located at the southeast corner of South 40th Street and Yankee Hill Road.
Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Christy Eichorn, 402-441-7603, ceichorn@lincoln.ne.gov
Had public hearing.
Planning Commission recommendation: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the staff report dated June 13, 2013, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Public hearing before the City Council tentatively scheduled for Monday, July 22, 2013, 1:00 p.m.
4.2 County Change of Zone No. 13012, from AG Agriculture District to AGR Agriculture Residential District on property lying between the former Hickman extraterritorial jurisdiction (ETJ) south to the newly established Hickman ETJ, generally located at South 72nd Street and Leisure Place; and from AGR Agriculture Residential District to AG Agriculture District on property generally located at South 68th Street and Martell Road.

Staff recommendation: Approval
Staff Planner: Sara Hartzell, 402-441-6371, shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov
Had public hearing.
Planning Commission recommendation: APPROVAL, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Public Hearing before the Lancaster County Board of Commissioners is being requested.

PERMITS:

4.3 Special Permit No. 13022, Joshua’s Glen Community Unit Plan, to allow up to 156 dwelling units, with requests to waive minimum lot width; minimum lot area; reduce setbacks; lot width to depth ratio; minimum street radius and sag curve K value; internal street intersection spacing; sanitary sewer to flow opposite street grades; and cul-de-sac radius, on property generally located at S. 56th Street and Shadow Pines Drive (6520 S. 56th Street).

*** FINAL ACTION ***
Staff recommendation: Conditional Approval
Staff Planner: Brian Will, 402-441-6362, bwill@lincoln.ne.gov
Had public hearing.
Planning Commission ‘final action’: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL, as set forth in the staff report dated June 12, 2013, 7-0 (Weber absent).
Resolution No. PC-01343.

**********

AT THIS TIME, ANYONE WISHING TO SPEAK ON AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA, MAY DO SO

**********

PENDING LIST: None
Planning Dept. staff contacts:

Stephen Henrichsen, Development Review Manager . 402-441-6374 . shenrichsen@lincoln.ne.gov
David Cary, Long Range Planning Manager . 402-441-6364 . dcary@lincoln.ne.gov
Paul Barnes, Planner . 402-441-6372 . pbarnes@lincoln.ne.gov
Michael Brienzo, Transportation Planner . 402-441-6369 . mbrienzo@lincoln.ne.gov
Tom Cajka, Planner . 402-441-5662 . tcajka@lincoln.ne.gov
Christy Eichorn, Planner . 402-441-7603 . ceichorn@lincoln.ne.gov
Brandon Garrett, Planner . 402-441-6373 . bgarrett@lincoln.ne.gov
Stacey Groshong Hageman, Planner . 402-441-6361 . slhageman@lincoln.ne.gov
Sara Hartzell, Planner . 402-441-6371 . shartzell@lincoln.ne.gov
David Pesnichak, Transportation Planner . 402-441-6363 . dpesnichak@lincoln.ne.gov
Brian Will, Planner . 402-441-6362 . bwill@lincoln.ne.gov
Ed Zimmer, Historic Preservation Planner . 402-441-6360 . ezimmer@lincoln.ne.gov

****

The Planning Commission meeting which is broadcast live at 1:00 p.m. every other Wednesday will be rebroadcast on Sundays at 1:00 p.m. on 5 City TV, Cable Channel 5.

****

The Planning Commission agenda may be accessed on the Internet at http://www.lincoln.ne.gov/city/plan/pcagenda/index.htm
**CORRECTED**

PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION
NOTIFICATION

TO : Mayor Chris Beutler
     Lincoln City Council

FROM : Jean Preister, Planning

DATE : June 27, 2013

RE : Notice of final action by Planning Commission: June 26, 2013

Please be advised that on June 26, 2013, the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission adopted the following resolution:

Resolution No. PC-01339, finding a proposed amendment to the Lincoln Electric System current Capital Improvement Program to add the Walter A. Canney Service Center project located at North 27th Street and Fairfield Street, to be in conformance with the Comprehensive Plan (Comprehensive Plan Conformance No. 13003). The Planning Commission action as to the finding of conformity is final action. This resolution is being submitted to the City Finance Director for further processing.

Resolution No. PC-01340, approving Use Permit No. 152A, with conditions, requested by VerMaas Land Co., LLC, to amend an existing use permit to allow a reduction in the front yard setback along Highway 2 to allow for additional parking, on property generally located at South 13th Street and Highway 2.

**Use Permit 152A is a reduction of the front yard setback and requires City Council action.**

Resolution No. PC-01342, approving Special Permit No. 13020, with conditions, requested by Lincoln Public Schools, on behalf of Willard Community Center, for an early childhood care facility for up to 20 children and 2 staff, on property generally located at 1030 West Q Street.

Resolution No. PC-01343, approving Special Permit No. 13022, with conditions, requested by John Hollingsworth, for authority to develop Joshua’s Glen Community Unit Plan showing 90 lots with a maximum allowed density of 156 dwelling units and associated waiver requests, on property generally located at South 56th Street and Shadow Pines Drive.

This is final action unless appealed to the City Council by filing a notice of appeal with the City Clerk within 14 days of the action by the Planning Commission.

The Planning Commission Resolutions+ may be accessed on the internet at www.lincoln.ne.gov (Keyword = PATS). Use the “Search Selection” screen and search by application number (i.e. CPC13001, UP152A, SP13020, SP13022). The Resolution and Planning Department staff report are in the “Related Documents” under the application number.
Citywide Arterial Street Rehabilitation
Capitol Parkway; South 21st Street - South 33rd Street
State Project No. LCLC-5228(4) Control No. 13138
City Project No. 540022

The City of Lincoln proposes to mill and overlay Capitol Parkway from South 21st Street to South 33rd Street beginning on July 8, 2013, weather permitting. The existing asphalt pavement will be milled off and overlaid with new asphalt and pavement markings. The turn lanes at the Randolph Street intersection will be widened. Pedestrian curb ramps along Capitol Parkway will be reconstructed to meet ADA standards.

Pavers Inc. will be the contractor. They propose to perform the work under lane closures. Access to side streets and driveways will be limited for short durations during the milling and asphalt operations. Work in the Capitol Parkway and A Street intersection will result in temporary detours while the milling and asphalt lay down is accomplished. Pavers will coordinate with local businesses and residences to maintain access as much as possible.

If a business or residence has a sprinkler system in close proximity to the existing curb ramps, they are asked to flag the sprinkler heads to aid the contractor in minimizing damage.

Information on the Lincoln Citywide Street Rehabilitation Project is available on the City’s website at www.lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: projects). If you have questions or comments, please contact one of the following people:

Zach Becker, Project Manager
City of Lincoln - Engineering Services
(402) 613-3763
zbecker@lincoln.ne.gov

Mike Tidball, Project Manager
Pavers Inc.
(402) 875-1469
To: All PW/U Employees, Mayor Beutler, & City Council Members;


The newsletter features the following articles:

• Respect and Civility at Work
• Strong Lincs
• Events
• Storm water Runoff
• Drought Rates Approved

We hope that you enjoy reading the PWU newsletter and look forward to your comments and any suggestions you may have. Also, please forward to anyone you think would benefit from reading the newsletter.

Sincerely,

The PW/U Marketing Team

Thanks,

Nick McElvain, PE
Operations Support Manager
Lincoln Water System
2021 N 27th St
Lincoln, NE 68507
nmcelvain@lincoln.ne.gov
402.441.7571 receptionist
402.441.5931 desk
402.441.8493 fax
The next issue of *The Urban Page*, Urban Development Department's quarterly newsletter, is available at: http://lincoln.ne.gov/city/urban/reports/pdf/urbanpg/v17i1s13.pdf.

This issue highlights a few of the many nonprofit organizations helping make Lincoln an even better place to live:

Page 1:
- *Jacob's Well*
- *City Impact Homes*
- NeighborWorks-Lincoln's *Community Engagement Initiative*

Page 2:
- 2013 Neighborhood Mini-Grants Awarded
- *Alice’s Integrity Loan Fund*

Page 3:
- Hoppe Brothers selected to develop 21st & N
- *TULIP* - a new customer service provided by Parks & Rec
- New Brownfields grant received
- New Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing - DRAFT available
- Prescott School bicycle court
- *Community CROPS* -- 2012 highlights

Page 4:
- *Project Homeless Connect Lincoln* scheduled for October
- Lincoln Continuum of Care agencies receive $1.9 million
- 2013 Point in Time Count of Lincoln's homeless

Opal G. Doerr
Planning Assistant
City of Lincoln DSC / Urban Development Dept.
555 S. 10th St, Suite 205
Lincoln, NE 68508
402-441-7852
Dear City Councilmember,

If the purpose of the South Beltway is to remove the truck traffic from Highway 2 thru Lincoln, then it should start where it is proposed and end at Highway 77 WITH NO EXITS IN BETWEEN.

If the real purpose of the South Beltway is to increase development south of Saltillo Road and environs, then we must DOUBLE THE IMPACT FEES. All the exits shown on the map of this new highway indicate that this is the real purpose - and it would have an enormous effect on the rest of Lincoln and its infrastructure.

Think about it, please!

Marge Schlitt
2600 C Street
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for General Council

Name: nic gaunt
Address: 1919 j street #3
City: Lincoln Nebraska 68510
Phone: 402 314 0782
Fax:
Email: gauntlet1279@gmail.com

Comment or Question:
I am a victim of a theft. My things were recovered at a local pawn shop. I was called to come identify them and was told after the person went to court I would get them back. I went to get them back after the police told me I could get them. When I showed up to get them, they informed me I had to pay them what they gave the thief foe my stuff. Is this justice?? I am the victim of a crime and am being forced to pay for my things which I paid for once. I am not the one who bought stolen property the pawn is. They should be the ones to track this person down and get that money back. Please I am asking for help every legal person I speak with says its illegal for them to hold stolen property
Mr. Guant,

I certainly understand your frustration in this matter. Pawnshops in the City are licensed to do business and have certain criteria that they must do when conducting this business. One of the things that they must do is to file a report with the police department on items that are pawned with them. We in turn try to match up items that are pawned with items that are reported stolen to us. The pawnshop owner pay for these items in hope of selling the item for a profit. However, if they purchase an item and cannot recoup the amount of money that they have paid for it they very well may be out of business. Of course if they are out of business then more stolen items get sold on the streets which makes it more difficult to ever recover. The mere fact that pawnshops record their transactions and reports these to the police greatly assist us in identifying the persons responsible who had in their possession the stolen property.

Courts across the country have acknowledged that pawnshops do have property interests in property, which is in their possession. If you do NOT wish to reimburse the pawnshop for the money that they have paid to secure your property you certainly have another option. You can file a writ of replevin with the court and ask the court to return the custody of your property to you. The court will then rule on the matter.

However, the true ownership of the property is a civil matter between the pawnshop and you. This is not a matter that the police department or the City of Lincoln can get involved in. I would encourage you to discuss the matter with an attorney who can provide you with your options and some guidance on how best to proceed.

While I recognize this probably isn’t the answer that you are looking for, this is the best advise that I am able to provide you with.

Jim Peschong
Chief of Police
Comment or Question:
I am a victim of a theft. My things were recovered at a local pawn shop. I was called to come identify them and was told after the person went to court I would get them back. I went to get them back after the police told me I could get them. When I showed up to get them, they informed me I had to pay them what they gave the thief for my stuff. Is this justice?? I am the victim of a crime and am being forced to pay for my things which I paid for once. I am not the one who bought stolen property the pawn is. They should be the ones to track this person down and get that money back. Please I am asking for help every legal person I speak with says its illegal for them to hold stolen property
MINUTES
DIRECTORS' MEETING
MONDAY, JULY 1, 2013

Present:  Carl Eskridge, Chair; Doug Emery, Vice Chair; Jon Camp; Roy Christensen; Jonathan Cook; Trent Fellers; and Leirion Gaylor Baird

Others:  Teresa Meier, City Clerk; Rick Hoppe, Chief of Staff; Lynn Johnson, Parks & Rec Director; June Pederson, Aging Partners Director; Dave Landis, Urban Development Director; Steve Hubka, Finance Director

Chair Eskridge opened the meeting at 2:03 p.m. and announced the location of the Open Meetings Act.

I. CITY CLERK
Meier stated on today’s agenda Items 3 & 6, through Item 10 will be introduced by Gaylor Baird. The liquor resolutions called together are No’s 13/14, 15/16, 17/18, 19/20, and 23/24. On Item 21 there is a substitute resolution, with the item on second reading.

Hoppe stated on Items 23 & 24 spoke with Council Members regarding the change by resolution on Item 24. Lynn Johnson will explain.

Johnson stated with Items 23 & 24, one is an agreement between the owner of 1320 P Street and the City regarding Civic Plaza. The other is an amendment to the CIP. Requesting withdraw of 13R-139. Originally was to designate $400,000, which previously was not appropriated. (Attachment A) In reviewing found 2 overlapping TIF Districts with appropriations in both. Do have demolition funding appropriated for the prior theater building and Civic Plaza construction site appropriation. Request withdrawal of the resolution. Will reintroduce with the $100,001.00 allocation of appropriated Keno money, the funding associated with 1320 P Street. Request delay of action on the agreement until July 22nd. The approval request of the CIP, and approval of the agreement would be on the same date.

Cook asked if requesting to hold the public hearing and on the 22nd have public hearing on both? Hoppe asked, why not introduce the new on the 15th, with introduction, public hearing, and vote? Cook stated the 15th would be introduction, trying to figure if there’s a reason for more information? Could something come forward for delaying? Johnson replied we can if Councils’ preference. Believe agreement loose ends are tied up and would be the public hearing today. Hoppe asked if wanting the public hearing today and leave open? Cook replied to leave open till the 22nd. With public hearing on the CIP would allow ordinance public hearing, in case something would come up.

Cook stated the agenda says related items. Normally would vote together. Presumably if not withdrawing 13R-139, would vote today. Meier stated correct, an action date should be listed. Cook commented since not in the resolution section assumed it would be with the ordinance and delayed. Normally an action date is set. Hoppe asked if suggesting not to withdraw 13R-139? Cook replied no, curious what we would have done if not withdrawing, adding he wanted to leave 13-75 open.

Gaylor Baird inquired if anything is happening to 13-75 today? Emery replied it’s being delayed until the 22nd. Cook added, will continue on the 22nd with action.

Camp asked if Johnson is attending the public hearing. Then asked for history as he’s received numerous calls after the newspaper article. Johnson started by saying it dates to the Downtown Master Plan and the Civic Plaza vision identified. The Master Plan suggested a downtown gathering space in the center of the P Street retail corridor. Camp added, possibly we’ll hear at the public hearing.
II. MAYOR
1. NEWS RELEASE. North Cotner remains closed after water main break.
2. NEWS RELEASE. First “Party in the Parks” Festival is Saturday.
3. NEWS RELEASE. Mayor invites public to State of the City Address.
4. NEWS ADVISORY. Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department officials and Anna Wishart, Mayor Beutler’s representative for the Let’s Move! Initiative, will hold a news conference, Thursday, June 27th, 4:30 p.m., at the Fallbrook Farmer’s Market, 570 Fallbrook Boulevard to discuss national recognition for the initiative and the City’s on-going effort to improve nutrition and increase physical activity among Lincoln’s children.

No comment

III. DIRECTORS CORRESPONDENCE

Hoppe stated Department Directors gained efficiencies in different projects with the saved time by a bi-annual budget. Asked Aging Partners, Urban Development, and the Finance Director, to speak.

AGING PARTNERS June Pederson, Director (Attachment B)
Pederson stated Aging Partners was asked to bring in a 95.6% budget this year, and 99% next year. We knew we had to cut employees. Did cut some, but decided not all would be staff cuts. Resulted in having to make more money, which we’ve been doing.

She stated their program, Cost Recovery, is contribution based. We ask people to contribute to the cost of the service received. Do receive USDA and other supplemental money. When using Older Americans Act money it has to be a contribution. After figuring cost of the service, we ask for a contribution. Always done with an envelope, going directly to accounting. The one providing services, or the Director doesn’t knows what, or if, a contribution was made. Very private, with no one denied services.

Our last program review should have been at 58.33% of goal, and we were at 59.77%. It’s working. The people who can do make contributions so we can continue to serve people who cannot.

Although not presenting a City budget, we do do a budget for the State Unit on Aging, as that’s how our money comes from the Federal and State governments. We have an annual plan each year.

It was decided 6 years ago that Aging Partners didn’t have to comply with City HIPPA rules. Things changed with records, and we will be part of the City managed HIPPA program.

Now restructuring our management team. Lost an important member with the lead accountant’s death. An interim person is in the position. In 2005 eliminated the Administration Division Administrator, second in command. Will have an Operations Officer without adding staff, and a Debt Management person. Will add a middle, interim, person who will serve in my absence.

URBAN DEVELOPMENT David Landis, Director
Landis stated this year our department budgeting person had less obligations, more time. We were approached by a Federal program wanting some administration work done. We used her time to administer a cooperative energy based program between the City and Federal governments, and were paid $15,000, which goes into the General Fund. Time used to make money from services provided.
FINANCE  Steve Hubka, Director
Hubka stated he provided a presentation to the Nebraska League of Municipalities, with one category showing bi-annual budget benefits. Cost savings, revenue generation, items completed with the extra time. The City Council benefits by not spending lots of time on a City budget, plus less public conflict and stress reduction to staff directors and City Council.

The Finance Department hasn’t funded the budget officer position primarily because of the bi-annual budget. Staff worked with State legislation extensively, and did some job duties for other departments, such as, Public Works & Utilities, Parks & Recreation, and the Libraries. The Health Department worked on Medicaid billings, HIPPA laws risk assessment, and devoted time to a 5 year FDA grant. Expanded general program funding with 2 grants. More services provided to the public.

Hubka stated the City Attorney’s office implemented case management software, document management system enhancements, and Confer works on “good government City Charter amendments”. Parks & Rec benefitted in several categories with additional public programs, planning and efficiencies, and performed an airport entryway study in-house. Building & Safety reported 800 hours saved and redesigned the website, homeowners handbook, and process improvements. The Personnel Department had time to work on retro pay, and training offered to City departments rose. Fire & Rescue and the Police Department worked on performance indicators, had committees around Lincoln and developed some management tools, and worked on technology projects.

Hubka commented so far bi-annual budget benefits have been significant. Feeling good about where benefits happened. The past couple of years we’ve had to notify City employees this week on agenda budgets for the following year, and extremely pleasant not to do this year.

Hubka added on (Attachment C) is the most recent water refunding bond. In early May took to the City Council and asked for authority to refinance the bonds, and received shortly before the new City Council members took office. We got to the market quickly and as listed we reduced our outstanding principle by $2.6 million, and issued these bonds at a premium of $2.7 million. Our remaining interest payments on this bond reduced to $3.5 million, equating to an average of about $289,000 savings a year. All inclusive interest cost dropped from 4.64% to 2.08%. The present value benefit to us is $3.3 million. When we presented to the City Council our goal was the 6% or 7% range, but achieved 10.67%. We reaffirmed our bond rating and consider it an extremely successful sale. This is the last in a series of refunding done the last few years, saving appropriately $1.3 million annually, and at the present value state is overall $13 million to $14 million, at least. Taking advantage of the record low interest rates.

An interesting item is how interest rates have risen significantly the last 3 to 4 weeks, and we hit on a low day of 1.91% for the 10 year treasury. It’s been running at 2.4% or 2.5% lately. Very good timing.

FINANCE, TREASURER
   No comment

HEALTH DEPARTMENT
1. NEWS RELEASE. Vehicles are no place for pets in hot weather.
   No comment

PLANNING COMMISSION
1. Action by Planning Commission, June 26, 2013. – No comment
2. Planning Commission Final Action, June 26, 2013. – No comment
PLANNING DEPARTMENT
1. Administrative approvals by the Planning Director from June 19, 2013 through June 24, 2013.
2. Two amendments to the Lincoln/Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan (LPlan 2040) adopted by the City Council in January and February 2013. LPlan 2040 available on the web.
No comment

IV. COUNCIL MEMBERS

DOUG EMERY
1. Constituent call from Jeanette Malone regarding the proposed N Street bike lanes. Against.
No comment

V. CORRESPONDENCE FROM CITIZENS
1. InterLinc correspondence from Corey Godfrey. The proposed protected bicycle lanes for N Street in the Downtown Master Plan a great idea. Reasons given and links to articles.
2. Email from Larryjab asking the City of Lincoln to please limit the use of tax incremental financing.
3. InterLinc correspondence from Brittany Struble presenting idea on a volunteer designated driver program.
No comment

VI. ADJOURNMENT
Chair Eskridge adjourned the meeting at 2:24 p.m.
Memorandum

July 1, 2013

TO: Lincoln City Council
FR: Lynn Johnson, Parks and Recreation Director
RE: Request to Withdraw City Council Agenda Item 13R-139
Request to Delay Action on City Council Agenda Item 13-75
Cc: Mayor Chris Beutler
    Dave Landis, Urban Development Director

The purpose of this memo is to request withdrawal of City Council Agenda Item 13R-139 regarding a proposed amendment to the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) associated with funding for the Civic Plaza project. The resolution as introduced would have appropriated TIF funding to the project, and would have directed previously appropriated, but unallocated, Keno funding to the project in association with the proposed agreement (City Council Agenda Item 13-175) regarding the west wall of 1320 P Street and the Civic Plaza. Subsequent to introduction of Agenda Item 13R-139 it was determined that there are two overlapping TIF districts and that adequate funding had already been appropriated for demolition of the prior theater building and development of the Civic Plaza. We will be introducing a new CIP amendment addressing only allocation of the Keno funding on July 15 for public hearing and action on the resolution on July 22.

In order to coordinate approval of the proposed agreement (City Council Agenda Item 13-175) regarding the west wall of 1320 P Street and the Civic Plaza and allocation of Keno funding for the costs associated with the agreement, we are also request delay of action on the ordinance associated with the agreement until July 22.

Please contact me with questions. Thank you for your consideration.
Memo to the Lincoln City Council
July 1, 2013

Aging Partners (AP) used time normally spent creating a budget to do the following:

Cost Recovery:

Making a conscious decision not to cut staff further to reach our 96.5% FY 12-13 and 99% of that for FY 13-14, AP engaged in an effort to solicit contributions for services rendered to clients and participants. Older Americans Act statutes forbid charging a fee for any service that is supported (even in part) by federal funds. A suggested contribution can be requested, but the service must be provided regardless of the (age 60 or older) individual's ability to pay.

It was necessary to identify what each of these services cost. AP has done this for years with the meal program. There is a sign in the dining room that says: "The meal you had today cost $8 to prepare. Your suggested contribution is $4." We can be sure of the $8 figure by including all costs (facility rent, personnel/benefits, administrative costs like accounting and management supervision, raw food, utility costs for the kitchen, cost of paper goods, etc.)

It has been necessary to assess the per hour cost of our care management program, our financial services program, our Handyman program, transportation services, Information & Referral (calls on the phone that may be simple or complex, may take follow-up), the per visit cost of our Fitness Program, nutrition education services and on and on. This was no small task, but it was accomplished and implemented September 1, 2012. It was determined that we would make it clear that clients were invited to make a contribution to the cost of their service, that the (for example) "hour of case management you received was provided at a cost of $51 and they were encouraged to contribute 25% or more if they could.

Letters had to be written for those who would receive a suggested contribution request. They were individualized by service. A process using return envelopes addressed to our Accounting Dept. was created. Each area has a color code and a small colored mark is made on each envelope so that Accounting can credit contributions to the appropriate program. AP employees providing the service are not told if the client makes a contribution or not.

Service is provided without regard to contributions to the program.

Donations made by a grateful child or other person when no service was received were acknowledged with a "tax exempt letter." Complex and detailed, the program is working. Based on a monthly goal estimated by each area involved in cost recovery, we should be at 58.33% on April 30. On that date the Agency was at 59.77%. Success with this effort means we can keep our current staffing structure.

Annual State Plan: Aging Partners must submit annually to the State Unit on Aging a complete budget document. This encompasses all counties in our service area. The Director must visit all county elected officials at a regular meeting in June or July sharing information about the program and asking for the county contribution to the administrative costs of managing the entire program. The Older Americans Act requires that 25% of all administrative costs be secured from local sources. Point being, although we do not have the City/County Common, the meeting with the City Budget office or the Mayor, an annual budget must still be created.

HIPPA: It has been determined that Aging Partners should be complying with City HIPPA policies. Several years ago this was investigated and it was determined AP did not have to comply, but electronic client and medical records have changed so completely with the implementation of the Affordable Care Act, it is now necessary. This will be a significant undertaking for the Agency for the better part of 2013.
One Budget Creation: The concept is appropriate, implementing it has been a challenge. Martha Hakenkamp, Jennifer Hartman and I are still meeting and discussion how to address the roadblocks. I’m trying to be careful of how much to ask of my accounting staff which is still short a senior staff member (working on that with Personnel.) Aging Partners has a Lancaster County/City of Lincoln budget, an agency wide budget for our state-required annual Area Plan and budgets for each of the counties we serve. We hoped we could create “one budget” that could be used internally that would give the Director and management staff agency-wide data to compare over time.

The issues seem to come back to multiple fiscal years and how to address so many variations. We have decided that if we do not believe it can be achieved, we will abandon the effort Sept. 1. We are learning a lot in this process.

Restructure Senior Management: We will reclassify three existing positions to replace our lead accounting position, create an operations officer position who will also serve in the absence of the Director and utilize a current vacancy to create a data manager position.

The death of our lead accountant late last year was both an emotional and a management challenge. Jennifer Hartman has served as interim since that time. The position needed reclassification which was completed during this vacancy and I am now working with Personnel to permanently fill this position.

During budget cuts in 2005, I eliminated the Administrative Division Administrator position. This left the Agency without a second-in-command. I am developing a position directly under the Director who will serve to manage operations. I expect one of my upper level management staff to apply with the understanding that they will add this to their duties, not relinquish those they have now. Finally, I am utilizing what will be a vacant position in my accounting office to create a Systems Specialist I position. This classification deals directly with managing data collection and reporting and is very much needed. All this will be accomplished without adding employees and with minimal salary adjustments.

(June Pederson, Director
Aging Partners
1005 O Street
Lincoln, NE 68508
Direct Line: 402-441-6132
junepederson@lincoln.ne.gov)
## Water Revenue Refunding Bonds Series 2013 Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Series 2004 Bonds</th>
<th>Series 2013 Bonds</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding principal</td>
<td>$31,210,000</td>
<td>$28,595,000</td>
<td>$2,615,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining interest</td>
<td>$10,203,934</td>
<td>$6,667,515</td>
<td>$3,536,419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average annual savings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>About $289,000/year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All inclusive interest cost</td>
<td>4.64%</td>
<td>2.08%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net present value benefit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$3,329,482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net present value benefit on refunded bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond rating - also reaffirmed for other outstanding Water Revenue debt</td>
<td></td>
<td>Aa1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoffering premium</td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,719,106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prepared by Steve Hubka, 7/1/13, City Finance, 441-7412
Moody's assigns Aa1 rating to the City of Lincoln's (NE) $32 million Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2013

Aa1 applies to $63.9 million of outstanding parity debt, including the current refunding

Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aa1 rating to the City of Lincoln's (NE) $32 million Water Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2013. The Aa1 rating is maintained on the water system's outstanding parity debt. Post-sale, the city will have $63.9 million of outstanding water revenue debt, including the current refunding.

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Series 2013 bonds are secured by a senior lien on the net revenues of the water utility. Proceeds will be used to refund the system's outstanding Series 2004 bonds for estimated net present value savings of $2 million or 7.3% of refunded par with no extension of maturity. The Aa1 rating reflects the system's stability that is provided in part by its top two customers, satisfactory financial operations; regular city council authorized rate increases that provide adequate debt service coverage; affordable future debt plans; and adequate but relatively weak legal covenants.

STRENGTHS

-Large and stable customer base provided in part by its top two customers, the University of Nebraska and the City of Lincoln (comprised 4.68% of 2012 revenues)

-Approved annual rate increase

CHALLENGES

-Relatively weak legal covenants

DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

STATE GOVERNMENT AND UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA PROVIDE ECONOMIC STABILITY DIVERSE CUSTOMER BASE

The City of Lincoln's (General Obligation rated Aaa /stable outlook) economy will likely remain strong as a result of stable growth and Lincoln's role as the state capital of Nebraska, county seat of Lancaster County (General Obligation Limited Tax GOLT rated Aa1), and home to the flagship campus of the University of Nebraska (revenue backed rating Aa1/ stable outlook). The stability provided by these two institutions, as well as the city's substantial manufacturing and service sectors, supports a diverse employment base that is reflected in unemployment rates that consistently trend well below those of the nation. Lincoln's 3.4% unemployment rate in March 2013 was considerably below the national rate of 7.6% for the same time period.

The City of Lincoln's water system provides water supply, treatment, and distribution to approximately 79,698 retail customers, all of whom are located within the city's corporate limits. Due to new residents who have moved to Lincoln from other locations, and, to a lesser extent, from annexations of surrounding properties, the city's population has increased by 66% since 1970 (14.5% increase from 2000 to 2010). The water system's customer base has also steadily increased, averaging a 1.4% annual increase since 2007, although the pace of growth has slowed somewhat since 2005. City officials project a 1% annual increase in the water system's customer base over the next five years. This forecast is based on expectations for continued residential and commercial development. Evidencing the stability of the water system's customer base are its top users: the University of Nebraska, which represented 2.62% of fiscal 2012 revenues, and the State of Nebraska, which represented 1.69%. The ten largest water customers made up just 11.72% of total
water revenues in fiscal 2012, which is relatively diverse. The city's maintains ample supply capacity with a total water resource of 100 MGD, and a peak demand of 69.3 MGD for fiscal 2012.

SATISFACTORY FINANCIAL OPERATIONS; CITY COUNCIL AUTHORIZED RATE INCREASES PROVIDE ADEQUATE DEBT SERVICE COVERAGE

Due to the city council's ability and demonstrated willingness to raise rates as needed, Moody's expects the city's water system to continue its trend of satisfactory financial performance. The city's Water Enterprise Fund closed fiscal 2012 with $15.3 million in net working capital, which represents an increase from $10.6 million in fiscal 2011 but a decrease from $45.5 million in fiscal 2007. In fiscal 2007, the utility's cash and investments equaled $40.9 million. By the close of fiscal 2012, the cash and investments balance dropped to $15.5 million. The decline reflects the use of bond proceeds for planned capital projects. During the same period, the system's net fixed assets increased, from $261 million in fiscal 2007 to $297 million in fiscal 2012. Officials expect that the system's cash balances will remain stable after declining the past four years as cash on hand, along with debt, financed planned capital improvements which are not expected to reoccur. Moody's believes that the system's informal policy of keeping at least three months' worth of operating revenues on hand for contingencies purposes. At the close of fiscal 2012, the system was in compliance with the policy, with approximately 12 months worth of cash on hand.

In recent years, the utility's financial operations have enabled the system to generate net revenues that provided approximately 1.3 to 1.4 times debt service coverage. In fiscal 2012, debt service coverage improved to 2.3 times, which management attributes to unusually dry weather that increased consumption. The system's 2012 net revenues of $19 million would provide 2.0 times maximum annual debt service (MADS) coverage on all existing debt, including the current refunding. MADS of $7.3 million occurs in 2015. Officials have a goal of keeping the debt service coverage at a minimum of 1.35 times, which has been exceeded in recent years.

The city council has demonstrated a willingness and ability to set rates which should continue to provide for adequate debt service coverage. The Lincoln City Council has independent rate setting authority. Each year since 2002, rate increases of an average of 5.6% per year were implemented for the water system. Officials have already authorized rate increases of 5% in fiscal 2014, 3.5% in fiscal 2015, and 3.5% in fiscal 2017, which is expected to provide for annual debt service coverage ranging between 2.4 and 2.8 times. Moody's notes that debt service coverage is sound but is relatively narrow for similarly rated systems nationwide. However, Moody's expects the city council to leverage its full rate setting authority if financial performance begins to fall short of projections.

AFFORDABLE FUTURE DEBT PLANS

Given rapid amortization, limited issuance plans, and ample capacity, we expect the water system's debt position to remain manageable. The system currently has $63.9 million in debt, including the current refunding. All of the outstanding debt is secured by a senior lien on the net revenues of the water system. The utility recently agreed to a 3 year $15 million state revolving loan fund for a variety of infrastructure and rehabilitation projects. The funding, effective July 2011, will be subordinate to the system's outstanding debt, including the current refunding. Payout for the system's debt is healthy with 66.3% of the principal amortized in ten years. The system's fiscal 2012 debt ratio was a modest 17.7%, which represents a slight decrease from prior years. All of the water utility's debt is in fixed rate mode, and the system is not a party to any interest rate swap agreements.

Adequate but relatively weak legal covenants

The legal provisions for the current bonds should provide adequate security for bondholders. The bonds are paid from a senior lien on the net revenues of the water utility. The sum sufficient rate covenant is weak
relative other highly rated systems. An additional bonds test (ABT) calls for net revenues in the preceding fiscal year to equal 1.25 times the average annual debt service on all outstanding bonds and the additional bonds. A debt service reserve is required for all bonds to equal the lesser of: 10% of the par amount of the bonds; 1.0 times maximum annual debt service; or 1.25 times average annual debt service. The debt service reserve is fully cash funded with no surety bonds outstanding. The system benefits from a closed loop of funds.

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING -- UP

- Substantial and sustained improvement in debt service coverage and liquidity
- Increase in size of the system

WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATING:-- DOWN:

- Deterioration in net revenues that weakens annual debt service coverage
  - Inability to adopt rate increases that are required for the system maintain adequate debt service coverage.
- Significant increases in debt

KEY STATISTICS

Type of system: Water supply, treatment, and distribution

2010 census population: 258,379 (14.5% increase from 2000)

2012 number of customers: 79,698 (0.65% increase from 2011)

2006-2010 per capita income as a % of state: 99.7% (92% US)

2006-2010 median family income: 104.3% (102.5% US)

City of Lincoln Unemployment rate (March 2013): 3.4% (NE 3.9%; US 7.6%)

Fiscal 2012 net working capital: $15.3 million

Fiscal 2012 operating revenues: $34.1 million

Fiscal 2012 operating ratio: 45.8%

Fiscal 2012 debt service coverage: 2.3 times (excluding connection fees: 2.17 times)

Pro forma maximum annual debt service coverage (fiscal 2012 net revenues): 2.02 times (excluding connection fees: 1.9 times)

Fiscal 2012 debt ratio: 17.7%

Post-sale debt outstanding: $63.9 million

Payout of principal (10 years): 66.3%

PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGY USED