IN LIEU OF
DIRECTORS’ MEETING
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 2006

I. MAYOR
   1. NEWS RELEASE. Mayor Presents Award of Excellence for October to Alicia “Ali” Hettenbaugh.
   2. NEWS ADVISORY. News conference with Mayor Seng on Thursday, November 16, 2006 at 9:00 a.m. regarding the homeless population in Lincoln.
   3. NEWS RELEASE. Mayor releases new homeless count.
   4. NEWS RELEASE. City announced snow plowing plan.

II. DIRECTORS

   WOMEN’S COMMISSION
   1. NEWS RELEASE. Study Shows Women Under-Represented on Local Boards.

III. CITY CLERK

IV. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE

V. MISCELLANEOUS
   1. Email from John Henry (Jack) Zohner, John Henrys, re: Monitoring new street projects, timeliness, and quality of roads.
   2. Letter from Daniel E. Klaus, Rembolt/Ludtke, re: License application for The Pit Crew. (Letter delivered to Council Members on 11/13/06)
   3. Rely from the Planning Department to Darrell and Betty Ihde re: Bill #06-200, Change of Zone No. 06066. Opposition to down zoning - 401 South 27th Street, Lincoln.
   4. Letter from Joan Wagner Rosenberg re: Juveniles ticketed and consequential time through the court system.
   6. Email from Don Nordell, Aquila Operations Manager, re: Aquila rate application.
   7. Letter received from Michael James re: Wood Park down zoning.

VI. ADJOURNMENT
Mayor Coleen J. Seng today presented the Mayor’s Award of Excellence for October to Alicia “Ali” Hettenbaugh in the Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department (LLCHD). The monthly award recognizes City employees who consistently provide exemplary service and work that demonstrates personal commitment to the City. The award was presented at the beginning of today’s City Council meeting.

Hettenbaugh has been employed as a Community Outreach Specialist in the Promotion and Outreach Division of LLCHD since 1999. She was nominated by Public Health Education Manager Charlotte Burke in the category of Customer Relations.

For nearly seven years, Hettenbaugh has worked with the “Healthy Homes” program, helping pregnant and parenting Hispanic women. Her regular caseload of more than 40 clients includes many who are struggling to survive in a new country. In her nomination, Burke writes that Hettenbaugh does her job with “grace and enthusiasm” and works many hours outside of her normal shift with clients.

In one case, Hettenbaugh was working with a client who was eight months pregnant and had no support from family or friends. Before the woman’s husband could join her, the doctor decided she would need a C-section. Hettenbaugh took the woman to the hospital at 5 a.m. for surgery and stayed with her all day to interpret. She later arranged for consultation with Milk Works, and when supplemental formula was needed during the night, she purchased and delivered it to the new mother.

In another case, Hettenbaugh worked with the dietetic interns to educate clients on practical nutrition topics, such as using grocery store coupons. These evening classes involved client coordination, transportation, interpretation and child care.

Hettenbaugh has been asked to serve on a statewide Governor’s council, a Women’s Preventative Health Education program and other community forums, and most of those activities also occur in the evenings or weekends. Burke says Hettenbaugh deserves to be recognized because of her sacrifices and her important contributions to good health. “Her always pleasant personality, her practical ideas and her willing involvement make Ali a valuable asset to our organization,” writes Burke.

- more -
The other categories in which employees can be nominated are safety, productivity, prevention and valor. All City employees are eligible for the Mayor’s Award of Excellence except for elected officials and some managers. Individuals or teams can be nominated by supervisors, peers, subordinates and the general public.

Nomination forms are available from department heads, employee bulletin boards or the Personnel Department, which oversees the awards program. All nominations are reviewed by the Mayor’s Award of Excellence Committee, which includes a representative with each union and a non-union representative appointed by the Mayor. Award winners receive a $100 U.S. savings bond, a day off with pay and a plaque. Monthly winners are eligible to receive the annual award, which comes with a $500 U.S. savings bond, two days off with pay and a plaque.
Mayor Coleen J. Seng will release a new survey of the homeless population in Lincoln at a news conference at 9 a.m. Thursday, November 16 in the Mayor’s Conference Room, 555 South 10th Street. Representatives of agencies serving the homeless also will attend.
Mayor Coleen J. Seng today released the results of a survey estimating that 822 people are homeless in Lincoln, including 363 children under the age of 18. The “Point in Time” survey is an annual effort to estimate the size of the City’s homeless population. It is conducted by organizations that provide services to the homeless and the Lincoln Police Department. The survey provides an unduplicated count of those individuals and families who received homeless services during the week of October 23rd.

“Lincoln is very fortunate to have a very strong Homeless Coalition, with many agencies and individuals working every day to serve the homeless,” said Mayor Seng. “Members of the Coalition also are seeking out the root causes of homelessness and finding ways to prevent individuals and families from becoming homeless. I want to thank everyone who supports these efforts to combat homelessness and hunger in our community.”

Of the homeless people surveyed, 56.4 percent were female. Other findings from the “Point in Time” count include:

- 68 percent were Caucasian, 17.4 percent were African-American, 6 percent were Hispanic and 5 percent were Native American.
- 28-percent were members of single-parent families, with at least one child under the age of 18.
- 34 percent were victims of domestic violence.
- 38 percent were homeless for the first time, and 30 percent had been homeless less than three months.

Susanne Blue, Executive Director of Matt Talbot Kitchen and Outreach, said advocates believe the actual number of homeless is higher because the survey counted only those receiving services that week. Homeless people living in cars or motel rooms or with family or friends were not counted.

- more -
Blue projects that her agency will provide more than 111,000 meals this year, an all-time high. She said the significant increase may be due to the economy and the expanded space at the center.

Scott Young, Executive Director of the Food Bank of Lincoln said his agency distributed more than 2.3 million pounds of food during the first 10 months of the year. That’s the equivalent of about 6,000 meals every day to the 100 agencies that operate more than 200 programs in the Food Bank’s 16-county service area.

“Our shelves are pretty bare right now” said Young. “The 2006 Holiday Food Drive begins Monday, November 20, and we are hoping for an excellent drive to help the Food Bank support its agencies and clients through the hard winter months. Hunger is a 12-month-a-year problem for the working poor and homeless of our community. The Food Bank and our 100 agencies are committed to doing the best we can to help low-income people ward off hunger.”

Beth Vavrina, Director of Major Giving at the People’s City Mission, said the agency has served nearly 1,700 people so far this year. The Mission has provided 70,287 nights of lodging; provided 14,176 people with food, clothing, and household items; and served 388,593 meals to homeless and low-income residents of Lincoln.

The release of today’s survey results also included two special presentations:

- Mayor Seng issued a proclamation today declaring November as Homeless and Hunger Awareness Month in the City of Lincoln.

- The Lincoln-Lancaster County Homeless Coalition presented Mayor Seng with a Lifetime Achievement Award. “Mayor Seng has been a solid supporter of homeless individuals and the agencies who serve them for many years,” said Merry Wills of CEDARS, who is chair of the Coalition. “Furthermore, Mayor Seng provided key leadership in some of the earliest efforts to address the needs of the homeless in our community. This award is a small token of our appreciation for Mayor Seng’s compassion, dedication and leadership on this important issue.”

The Coalition will present other awards at its annual recognition event at 9 a.m. tomorrow, Friday, November 17, at Matt Talbot, 1911 “R” Street

The Coalition works to educate the public and raise awareness of issues surrounding the homeless and to encourage citizen involvement. The Coalition’s Continuum of Care Planning and Evaluation Committee identifies gaps in services, coordinates the “Point in Time Count” and conducts a peer review of service providers.
CITY ANNOUNCES PLOWING PLAN

City Public Works and Utilities Director Karl Fredrickson has announced Lincoln’s procedures for snow removal on City streets.

The City can issue two types of snow removal parking bans:

- A **snow emergency** means that parking is banned on emergency snow routes, arterial streets and City bus routes.

- A **residential parking ban** will begin on the even-numbered (north and east) sides of the street in ALL residential areas. Once the ban on the even-numbered sides has ended, parking will then be banned on the odd-numbered (south and west) sides of the street in ALL residential areas. Parking is again allowed on the even sides.

“This is the second year for the even-odd system in residential areas,” said Fredrickson. “With so many multi-car families, we have found that an even-odd system allows plows access to clear the streets and is more convenient for the public.”

Fredrickson is asking for the public’s cooperation in helping Public Works clear snow from cul-de-sacs. Because regular plows are often too large to maneuver in some cul-de-sacs, crews will use specialized equipment. Although most cul-de-sacs have both even- and odd-numbered addresses, the City is asking those living in cul-de-sacs to move vehicles off the street when the even residential parking ban begins and to keep cars off the street until the area has been plowed.

Fredrickson said Public Works will continue to be proactive in keeping streets cleared. Crews begin spreading anti-icing materials on bridges, intersections and other trouble areas before storms begin.

During storms, information on snow operations will be available from local media, City cable channels 5 and 10, the City Web site (lincoln.ne.gov) and the Alltel/Windstream phone directory.
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: November 15, 2006
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Bonnie Coffey, director, 402/441-8695
Diane Mullins, 402/441-7717

STUDY SHOWS WOMEN UNDER-REPRESENTED ON LOCAL BOARDS
Update study on gender equity shows little progress in last three years

The Lincoln-Lancaster Women's Commission (LLWC) newly released report indicates that women continue to be under-represented in the Lincoln and Lancaster County communities.

A follow-up of the 2003 report was conducted from July through September 2006 and findings were published as, A Place at the Table - An Update. The information compares recent findings to an original study published three years earlier on for-profit and not-for-profit boards, City/County commissions, task forces and boards, and elected offices.

The report indicates that of the 2,420 possible seats on 219 boards, men occupied 1,710 seats (70.7 %) and women held 710 seats (29.3 %). Although female representation jumped 11.9% in the past three years in elected offices, the overall female participation rate dropped six-tenth of a percent (.6%). In its research, LLWC staff used company annual reports, one-on-one interviews, Web sites and Secretary of State corporate records to determine the number of seats per board and how many of those seats women currently occupied.

The study reviewed four categories: Lincoln's largest employers (for-profit), non-profit organizations, local government and elected offices. Of the 710 seats held by women, 54.8 percent sat on not-for-profit boards, 31.1 percent participated in local government, 6.3 percent served in elected office, and 11.4 percent sat on for-profit boards. Other findings:

$ Largest employers (for-profit) -- Of the 56 boards surveyed with 522 possible seats, 81 or 15.5 percent were occupied by women.

$ Not-For-Profit -- Of the 67 boards surveyed with 1,118 possible seats, 389 or 34.8 percent were occupied by women.

$ Local government BO of the 66 City and County governmental commissions, task forces and boards surveyed with 628 possible seats, 195 or 31.1 percent were occupied by women.

$ Elected offices - Of the 30 elected boards including 152 offices, 45 or 29.6 percent were occupied by women.

In response to gender inequity, LLWC created the Women’s Leadership Board Recruitment Program to match women and boards inquiries with similar interests and abilities levels. LLWC continues to offer the opportunity for women seeking a place at the table and community involvement. Call for more information, 441-7716, or visit the LLWC Web site located at: www.lincoln.ne.gov, type in keyword “women”.

The Lincoln-Lancaster Women's Commission (LLWC) is a City/County agency housed in the Office of the Mayor. Taxpayer funded, LLWC’s budget represents 5/100th of one percent of the County Budget and 6/100th of one percent of the City Budget - about 70 cents per person per year.

When you strengthen women, you strengthen families.
To Whom it May Concern:

It's good to see Pioneers Boulevard get widened in the proximity of 73rd to 84th. However, the quantity of work completed to date is inadequate, which probably reflects the reason the street is scheduled to be closed until next summer.

The opportunity we have to improve timeframes of street repairs is being lost. Historically this has been the case and I firmly believe not enough is being done today to motivate the road contractors to improve. We are slowly improving, however the true cost to taxpayers of a street out of operation is phenomenal.

In addition it is important to monitor the quality of new roads, since the surfaces of streets are tearing up vehicles. My offer to each of you is to ride in my 1954 Chevy panel truck on a newly completed street and then decide for yourselves if enough consideration is being given to the standards for road quality.

I challenge each of you to continue monitoring new street projects and then use that as training to consider ways to increase timeliness and quality of repairs for future projects as we move forward in the City of Lincoln with street improvement bonds.

Thank you from the bottom of my heart for all you do for our city and also for any consideration in improving streets.

Sincerely,

John Henry (Jack) Zohner
CEO/Owner John Henrys
402-435-5555

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and any attachments are intended only for the use of the individual or entities to whom or which it is addressed and may contain information that is confidential, proprietary, privileged, and trade secret. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or reproduction of this communication is prohibited.
November 13, 2006

Jon Camp  
City Council Office  
County-City Building  
555 South 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE 68508

Jonathan Cook  
City Council Office  
County-City Building  
555 South 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE 68508

Robin Eschliman  
City Council Office  
County-City Building  
555 South 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE 68508

Dan Marvin  
City Council Office  
County-City Building  
555 South 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE 68508

Annette McRoy  
City Council Office  
County-City Building  
555 South 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE 68508

Patte Newman  
City Council Office  
County-City Building  
555 South 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE 68508

Ken Svobdoa  
City Council Office  
County-City Building  
555 South 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE 68508

RE: License Application for The Pit Crew

Dear Councilmembers:

I am writing with respect to the pending application of Lead Dog Entertainment, Inc. for a liquor license for The Pit Crew. As you are aware, the public hearing on this application was held last week and the Council’s vote on the license was continued for one week. It is my understanding that the license will be considered today without an additional public hearing.

I assume that the primary reason for postponing the Council’s recommendation on this license application was the testimony of Chief Cassidy regarding the advertisements being run by Coyote Willys for drink specials (25¢ draws) and Ladies Night. At the outset, I would note that the application currently before the Council is for The Pit Crew, not Coyote Willys, and there was not a single statement made by anyone about problems or concerns with The Pit Crew. Moreover, the application for Coyote Willys was previously approved by the Council. The clientele for The Pit Crew is different than the clientele that patronizes Coyote Willys, particularly since approximately 50% of the revenue derived at The Pit Crew is from the sale of food rather than beverages. Even if the Council considers the actions of
November 13, 2006
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Coyote Willys to be relevant to the pending application of The Pit Crew, there are multiple reasons why
the City Council should recommend approval of the pending application.

With respect to the issue of drink specials, the majority of the bars within the City of Lincoln advertise
or otherwise promote various drink specials on an almost constant basis. Indeed, there is nothing illegal
about promoting drink specials, and in order to compete, most bars feel the need to provide their
customers and prospective customers with drink specials. In the case of Coyote Willys, only a minority
of their patrons take advantage of these specials, while the majority consume regular priced drinks.
Nevertheless, at the time of the public hearing for Coyote Willys, the bar was advertising its 25¢ draws
for multiple nights per week, and in partial response to the concerns raised at the hearing, it restricted
25¢ draws to Thursday night only, the night with the fewest patrons in the bar, and last week did not
offer that drink special any night of the week.

Coyote Willys understands that drink specials heighten the need to be vigilant against over-consumption
of alcohol. In addition to properly training its staff, Coyote Willys also restricts the number of low-
priced draws patrons can purchase at the end of the night. The success of the owners’ efforts to control
over-consumption is demonstrated by the statistics Chief Cassidy quoted about “last drunk data.” As I
recall, over a six month period, Chief Cassidy noted only five individuals at detox that identified Coyote
Willys as the last location where they purchased alcohol, which is dramatically lower than many other
bars in town. In addition, police dispatches to the bar have been insignificant. Only one related to a
disturbance and in that instance, employees of the bar called the police to request their assistance.
Clearly, the owners of Coyote Willys take seriously their responsibilities as licensees.

With respect to the “ladies night” promotion, prior to advertising this event, the owners contacted the
Nebraska Liquor Control Commission to inquire about the legality of such an event. The Commission
indicated there is no state law which precludes such an event, and the owners therefore assumed
everything was appropriate. They failed to inquire whether there are local ordinances which prohibit
such events, which happens to be the case. Immediately upon learning about the local prohibition against
“ladies nights,” the owners removed that promotion from its website. The owners are properly charged
with knowing both the state laws and local ordinances which regulate their operations; however, after
the public hearing last week, they told me that other bars advertise the same promotion. Upon further
inquiry, I discovered that to avoid the local ordinance, other bars advertise “lipstick night” rather than
“ladies night,” which apparently does not violate the ordinance. The irony of that distinction was not lost
on the owners, but I can assure the Council that they will not again promote a “ladies night.” In addition,
I am certain if they have any questions, they will contact the local authorities to insure that any
promotion they intend to run fully complies with local ordinances.

I trust that this information assists the Council in recommending approval of the pending license
application for The Pit Crew. If any of you need additional information, please contact me.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Daniel E. Klaus
dklaus@remboltludtke.com
Dear Mr. and Mrs. Ihde:

I acknowledge receipt of your opposition to the Woods Park downzone request on your property at 401 South 27th Street, and have forwarded your comments to the City Council members for their consideration.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

--Jean Walker, Administrative Officer
City-County Planning Department
441-6365
"darrell Ihde" <ihderealtor@hotmail.com>

Nov. 14, 2006

Jean, I would appreciate it if you would pass on to the City Council for their Nov 20 meeting that My wife and I would hope that they would not allow our above indicated property to be down zoned as part of the Woods Park request. We feel this will affect the resale of said property should we sale in the future. We are not requesting any thing that has not already been approved by the City for our property. As a registered voter here in Lincoln, veteran of the US Navy we feel I gave 4+years in the military for the right to express my views and BE HEARD by those who will decide this issue. WE ARE AGAIN ASKING FOR THE COUNCIL NOT TO INCLUDE OUR PROPERTY IN THE WOODS PARK REQUEST.

Please pass this on to the members for their review.

Should you have any questions, please contact me.

Thank you for your time and help!

Darrell and Betty Ihde
"Bad things" and Lincoln teens must pay big

Bad judgement? Yes.
Bad behavior? Yes.
Lapses in good decision making? Yes.
Criminal wrongdoing? Apparently, yes.

I was informed by the Lincoln City Police that the city council wants juveniles ticketed and consequated through the court system 100% of the time-----zero tolerance policy for teens.

The scenario for my child and family is thus: At age 15 my child had permission to have about 10 friends over to "play cards and listen to music" after a school event. Guidelines: no alcohol, no drugs, no sex, and everyone out of the house by 11:30. We would be upstairs working if any problems occurred we were to be alerted. Agreed. When a few friends showed up with alcohol, my daughter confiscated the alcohol and let them in without admitting this to me. When uninvited teens began to show up, she locked the doors (to keep them out) and told me everything was fine. She came and got me when the police showed up. They informed me there were drunk teens in our yard, across the street, and running away from the house. My daughter, husband, and I all received tickets for maintaining a disorderly house and completed diversion programs. My daughter was grounded from the computer, the phone, and friends for two months. She was to never have more than three friends over in the future and we would be monitoring all of them for violation of our house rules. (My daughter was not charged with possession of alcohol, and there was no alcohol in our home.)

Three months later, I got a call that I needed to pick up my daughter who had just been ticketed at a party. When I arrived, dozens of my daughter's schoolmates were standing around waiting for their tickets and parents. Everyone was calm and intoxication was not apparent. My daughter's blood alcohol level was reported at .03. Again she was punished with loss of all privileges for the remainder of the summer (two months). I spoke with a couple of attorneys who were not eager to take the case because there "are so many of these cases" and it would be unnecessarily expensive. We waited for over six months to appear in juvenile court where she was appointed a probation officer and we were given a second court date three months in the future. She was asked to leave a urine sample in front of a female court official.

Last week (before my daughter had even been able to meet with her probation officer) I got a call to come pick up my daughter who had just been ticketed for being a minor in possession. At the scene when I inquired about her blood alcohol level, the officer seemed somewhat evasive and replied he thought it was about .01. My daughter has lost all privledges once
again...indefinitely. She had major consequences applied through her school, as she was one of ten participating in a “team night.” She could have/should have been taken into detention, according to her probation officer (whom we still have not been able to meet).

I called the police department to investigate the accuracy of what my daughter had told me and to clarify the mixed messages I had gotten from the officers who ticketed her. The report (written in highly subjective language) did indeed contain a report of the other teens, but not my daughter. She was waiting (not driving) in a car with them “because it was cold standing outside.” The sargent on the phone started sounding angry when he insisted she was guilty because there was alcohol in the car. I asked if they ever give teens a warning and then his anger increased and he told me I could just take this up with the city council. I tried to explain that my concern was only whether putting kids into the legal system was the only way to handle their inevitable, dumb mistakes. To this he charged, “Well YOU are obviously not taking care of it!” Need I say I found this extremely offensive and inappropriate?

The short story is that I have a 16 year old daughter who has never stolen, vandalized, or hurt anyone. She has not had a car accident or a speeding ticket. She is active in her high school and well liked by her teachers, coaches, and peers. (She has been in counselling/assessment to make sure she does not have an alcohol problem that I am not seeing.) But, she is heavily entangled with the juvenile court system. She will rarely be allowed by me to socialize with her peers now. I feel this action is necessary to protect her. I just don’t know if I am protecting her more from herself or from the Lincoln Police.

To the city council, I want to ask: “Is this how Lincoln wants to utilize police and court resources?” I ask myself: “Am I going to be able to raise this teen-ager in Lincoln?”

If this situation seems absurd to you and you can give me any help or have any questions, please contact me.

Respectfully,

Joan Rosenberg
7242 Shamrock Court
Lincoln, NE 68506

402-489-6173
Casady presents findings from police investigation into firetruck purchase; matter still could end up in civil court.

BY DEENA WINTER
Lincoln Journal Star

Bad judgment? Yes.
Bad behavior? Yes.
Ethical lapses? Yes.
Criminal wrongdoing? No.
That's the upshot of the report that Lincoln Police Chief Tom Casady presented to the City Council on Monday on the investigation into the city's troubled purchase of seven new firetrucks.

In a nutshell, police found that former Fire Chief Mike Spadt and Assistant Chief John Huff knew the new firetrucks did not meet many of the city's specifications but kept that information from Mayor Coleen Seng when concerns were raised.

And Dennis Klein, the fire department's deputy chief of maintenance, told police that Huff and Spadt leaned on him to keep quiet about the firetrucks' deficiencies. Huff denied pressuring Klein; Spadt refused to talk to police.

Klein eventually alerted an assistant city attorney, Casady said, setting off the chain of events that led Seng to ask for Spadt's resignation and put Huff on paid administrative leave after Seng discovered the trucks weren't what the city ordered.

"We did not find anything that constituted a crime," Casady said. "We're not saying at all that there weren't some highly inappropriate things going on here. ... There are lots of bad things that people do that do not meet the elements of a crime. ... That is the situation here." Police were charged with investigating.

City Council members Ken Svoboda (left) and Annette McRoy (right) listen as Casady presents his department's investigation into the purchase of firetrucks.

Lincoln's EDM Equipment Co., a distributor for Florida manufacturer Emergency One.

Casady said police looked into the possibility of bribery, official misconduct, obstructing government.

The city's technical services unit has pretty much wrapped up its investigation, and the case will remain open, and police will pursue leads.

There were a few other issues, as well.
no crime

Lincoln Police Chief Tom Casady as he reports Monday on the findings of a fire department e-mails sent before March 2, 2005, because of change in the department's e-mail system.

Spadt was the only person who refused to be interviewed by police, invoking his Fifth Amendment rights against self-incrimination.

On the Web
To see video coverage of the City Council briefing by Lincoln Police Chief Tom Casady, and to see a timeline of events in the case, go to
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Karl Fredrickson  
Director of Public Works & Utilities  
555 So. 10th Street, Suite 203  
Lincoln, NE 68508  

Roger Figard  
Engineering Services  
Public Works and Utilities  
531 Westgate Blvd., Suite 100  
Lincoln, NE 68526  

Re: Highway Allocation Bond Projects

Dear Karl and Roger:

With the City Council’s passage of the $27 million highway allocation bond this week, I am certain the two of you are pleased to have some money available to complete some critically needed road projects. I was pleased to read in the Journal Star’s listing of projects for roads last week that West Adams from NW 56th Street to NW 48th Street is one of the proposed projects. That is fantastic and will make the City and Hartland Homes investment in paving NW 56th Street that much better.

I am curious to know though why the West Adams Improvement Project is scheduled for 2009 rather than 2007. It seems to me that the paving of West Adams should be done at the same time as the NW 56th Street Project. Why would the City want to tear up and disrupt the streets in this neighborhood twice in the span of two years? Further, I have to believe that there will be significant savings if the projects can be combined in that whomever the road contractor is that is selected will only need to mobilize one time.

It seems to me that there is sufficient time from now until Spring construction start to complete the roadway design and amend my client’s EO so that it could be bid as one project. If you agree with that approach, please give me a call so that we can discuss the details.

Sincerely,

Peter W. Katt  
For the Firm  
lawkatt@pierson-law.com

PWK:sh  
cc: City Council  
Duane Hartman  

Pierson, Fitchett, Hunzeker, Blake & Katt
Council considers $27M bond for roads

BY DEENA WINTER / Lincoln Journal Star
Tuesday, Nov 07, 2006 - 12:12:33 am CST

The City Council is considering a $27 million highway allocation bond that would build new streets on the city's edge and help pave up to 15 blocks of gravel roads within the city limits.

The council held a public hearing Monday on the new street funding proposal. The city would use the extra million dollars in vehicle sales tax dollars it squeezed out of state lawmakers last year to leverage $27 million.

The city would start out using those dollars to repay the bond and gradually move to using general funds to repay the bonds, which means a property tax increase is possible.

The city would also begin using general funds to cover expenses currently paid for with highway allocation dollars, such as snow removal and repairs to streets, sidewalks, and trails. The city's property tax levy would be adjusted accordingly.

That means next year the council would have to commit an estimated $860,000 in general funds toward the bond payments, which would increase to $2 million in three years, or about a 1-cent increase in the city's property tax levy. That equates to $4 per $100,000 of assessed valuation.

"It's yet another way to try to stretch the dollars even farther," said Mayor Coleen Seng's chief of staff, Mark Bowen.

The money would make a dent in a street financing gap estimated at more than $135 million over the next dozen years.

The last time the council approved a highway allocation bond was in early 2004, when it passed a $35 million bond that didn't rely on property taxes for repayment, but an increased wheel tax.

The money would have to be spent within three years, beginning with the 2007-2008 budget.

The ordinance requires that 80 percent of the money go toward new arterial street construction on the city's fringe and 20 percent be spent within what was the city limits in 1980.

Of that 20 percent, $750,000 would be available as matching grants to help residents in low- to moderate-income residential areas pave gravel roads. The benefiting owners would pay half of the cost, and the city would pay the other half.

That should be enough to pave about 15 blocks. If there are no takers, the money would instead be used for arterial streets.

Lincoln gadfly Bob Van Valkenburg railed against the bond.

"You people don't have a problem spending money because it's not your money," he said.

The Home Builders Association of Lincoln supports the concept of devoting most of the money to new streets, spokesman Fred Hoke said, because it will developments get built more quickly.

He said the resulting construction jobs and new tax revenue should help ensure the property tax impact is minimal.

"It is a small step because $27 million is nowhere close to the amount of road bonding capacity that we should have," he said.
The Lincoln Independent Business Association, Realtors Association of Lincoln and Lincoln Chamber of Commerce also support of the bond.

The council will vote on the proposed bond next week.

Reach Deena Winter at 473-2642 or dwinter@journalstar.com.

Proposed street projects

Proposed street projects if the City Council approves a $27 million highway allocation bond:

2007

* South Street from Eighth Street to 18th Street

2007-2008

* South 27th Street from Pine Lake Road to Yankee Hill (sidewalks and gravel/substandard paving)

* Fletcher Avenue from 14th Street to Telluride (27th Street)

2008

* Pine Lake Road from 84th to 98th streets.

* 98th Street from Highway 2 to Pine Lake Road

2009

* West Denton from Folsom to Amaranth (near the future Southwest Village development in southwest Lincoln)

* Alvo Road from Northwest 20th Street to North First Street

* West Adams from Northwest 56th Street to Northwest 48th Street

* Adams from 75th to 84th streets (near the 84th and Adams development)

Source: City of Lincoln
Good Afternoon:

I want to let you know that Aquila will file with the Nebraska Public Service Commission for a rate increase estimated to be about $7 for residential and commercial customers we serve in Nebraska.

The filing reflects the $42 million of investment that Aquila has made in our natural gas delivery systems and technology improvements since the last increase in 2003. Aquila works hard to control costs; however, like other companies, Aquila has experienced increases in operating expenses for labor, materials, health care, fuel and depreciation. The filing will include only costs to service NE customers.

Our filing may generate media attention and you may get questions, so I wanted to let you know of our plans upfront. My goal is to provide information on our request that allows you to respond to customers, business associates or others who may contact you.

We can’t discuss the details until the rate case is filed with the Commission, but remember I will make myself available to discuss this further after the material is filed. Call me at 437-1779 if you have more questions.

Sincerely,

Don Nordell
Operations Manager
Aquila
November 13, 2006

Members of the City Council,

I testified at the Planning Commission hearing on October 25, 2006, for the Woods Park downzone. I had planned to testify at the City Council meeting, but due to a family matter, I am unable to do this.

This downzone is very important to our neighborhood. We want to preserve and protect our neighborhood. We value what we have. It is an established neighborhood. The neighborhood has two official historic districts, and several houses on the National Register of Historic places. Some of the houses date to the 1880’s. The streets were laid out many years. Most are narrow, and on street parking is restricted in much of the area around my home.

Many Woods Park residents use the narrow alley ways to access their parking and garages. The alley behind my property is used to access the business and offices along “O” and 27th street. The zone change would put a lid on density. The infrastructure wasn’t planned or designed to carry this burden.

Woods Park provides a wide variety of affordable housing, both home owners and renters. We ask that you help us protect this asset.

I would like you to consider also the high level of support for the downzone. There is support from the owner’s of the multiple apartment buildings as well as the owners of single family homes. This downzone is consistent with the zoning changes in other Lincoln neighborhoods.

Please support us in the Woods Park downzone. We think it is vital to the integrity of our neighborhood.

Michael James

James
145 S, 28th St
Lincoln, NE 68510
ADDENDUM TO DIRECTORS’ AGENDA
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 2006

I. MAYOR -

1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Flags To Be Flown At Half Staff In Honor Of Soldier.

2. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Mayor To Present Human Rights Award.

3. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Mayor Seng’s Public Schedule Week of November 18-24, 2006-Schedule subject to change.


5. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Parking Meters Will Be Enforced Friday.

II. CITY CLERK - NONE

III. CORRESPONDENCE

A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE - NONE

B. DIRECTORS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS -

1. Memo from Marvin Krout - RE: Change of Zone #06059 - Proposed Amendments to the Sign code (Bill #06-193) (Council received Memo on 11/16/06 in their Thursday packet)

C. MISCELLANEOUS -

1. E-Mail from Bob Norris to Marvin Krout, Planning Director - RE: Sign Ordinance Options.

2. Letter from M.L. Sisel - RE: Item 06-193, Change of Zone #06059, Sign Ordinance.

4. E-Mail from Russell Miller for Lincoln Neighborhood Alliance - RE: Item 7, 06-200, Woods Park Neighborhood Change of Zone #06066.

5. E-Mail from Marilyn Oborny - RE: Digital advertising.


7. E-Mail from Carl Mau - RE: In Support of Item 7, 06-200, Woods Park Neighborhood Change of Zone #06066.

FLAGS TO BE FLOWN AT HALF STAFF IN HONOR OF SOLDIER

Governor Dave Heineman has granted the request of Mayor Coleen J. Seng for flags to be flown at half staff in Lincoln Monday, November 20 until sunset in honor of Lance Cpl. Mike Scholl, a Lincoln High School graduate who was killed October 14 while on duty in Iraq. Services for the Marine are scheduled for 2 p.m. Monday at Holy Savior Lutheran Church.
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
Lincoln Comm. on Human Rights, 440 S. 8th St., Lincoln, NE 68508, 441-7624, fax 441-6937

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: November 17, 2006
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Larry Williams, LCHR Exec. Director, 441-8691, TDD 441-8398

MAYOR TO PRESENT HUMAN RIGHTS AWARD

Mayor Coleen J. Seng will present the 2006 Gerald Henderson Human Rights Award to Florine Joseph during a ceremony at 4 p.m. Tuesday, November 21 in the Mayor’s Conference Room. Joseph is a former employee of the Lincoln Action Program (LAP). She was nominated by Lincoln Board of Education member Barbara Baier.

Joseph was a human rights advocate for almost 40 years as part of LAP before retiring this past spring. As part of her work with those in poverty, she developed the Coalition of Landlords and Tenants program. In cooperation with the Legal Aid Society, she also helped create a handbook on landlord and tenant laws and provided legal forms to help with such issues as evictions, illegal confiscation of personal property and lack of redress regarding health and safety issues.

Joseph played a critical role in bringing family planning services to Lincoln in 1968 and was active in the African Congress of Negro People during the 1970s. She was an organizer for Lincoln Education Outreach and recruited hundreds of college students to provide tutoring, mentoring and other services to young people.

“She is forever dedicated to quietly righting injustice in whatever form she sees,” Baier wrote in her nomination. “She has promoted inter-cultural understanding by engaging herself in the difficult work of dialoguing one-on-one, changing one heart and one mind at a time.”

The Lincoln Commission on Human Rights (LCHR) established an annual Human Rights Award in 2000 to recognize outstanding achievements in furthering human relations in the City. In 2003, the award was named for the late Gerald Henderson, who was the first director of LCHR and a longtime civil rights activist. Nominees are judged on their achievements in improving human rights based on activities implemented, services performed, or programs operated in the City. Previous winners are Dan Williams; Cecilia Olivarez Huerta; José Soto and the Division of Affirmative Action, Equity and Diversity of Southeast Community College; Milo Mumgaard and the Nebraska Appleseed Center for Law in the Public Interest, Inc.; and Judi M. gaiaashkibos.

LCHR is the primary City agency responsible for the remediation of discrimination complaints brought by individuals. The Commission also provides community education and technical assistance to help people know and understand their rights and responsibilities under law.
Mayor Seng’s Public Schedule
Week of November 18-24, 2006
Schedule subject to change

Saturday, November 18
• Volunteer at Food Share - 7:30 a.m., 4th Presbyterian Church, 5200 Francis Street

Monday, November 20
• Donate blood - 7:30 a.m., Community Blood Bank, 100 North 84th Street
• Services for Lance Cpl. Mike Scholl - 2 p.m., Holy Savior Lutheran Church, 10th and Superior streets

Tuesday, November 21
• Star City Holiday Parade news conference - 10 a.m., float factory, Waverly (map will be sent to media)
• KFOR - 12:30 p.m., 3800 Cornhusker Highway
• Gerald Henderson Human Rights Award presentation - 4 p.m., Mayor’s Conference Room, 555 South 10th Street

Thursday, November 23 and Friday, November 24 - City offices closed for Thanksgiving holiday
November 16, 2006

The Honorable Mayor and City Council

All of the drinking water samples were tested for Total Coliform and E. coli bacteria by the Colilert® method of analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulatory Tests during the month of October:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Repeat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonregulatory Tests during the month of October:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test Type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Quanitray</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Respectfully,

[Signature]

Dalton Johnson
Nebraska HHSS-R&L Laboratory
Lab Manager of Operations

enc.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City of Uruapan</th>
<th>Total Coliform Sample Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0001</td>
<td>01/01</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0002</td>
<td>02/02</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0003</td>
<td>03/03</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0004</td>
<td>04/04</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0005</td>
<td>05/05</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0006</td>
<td>06/06</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0007</td>
<td>07/07</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0008</td>
<td>08/08</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0009</td>
<td>09/09</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0010</td>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0011</td>
<td>11/11</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0012</td>
<td>12/12</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All samples were tested for total coliforms and results were recorded accordingly.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
<th>Column 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data 1</td>
<td>Data 2</td>
<td>Data 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data 4</td>
<td>Data 5</td>
<td>Data 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data 7</td>
<td>Data 8</td>
<td>Data 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The table provides a summary of key data points.*
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 441-7411, fax 441-8325

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: November 20, 2006
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Patricia Waegli, Violations Bureau, 441-8307

PARKING METERS WILL BE ENFORCED FRIDAY

Football fans and other motorists are reminded that downtown parking meters in Lincoln will be enforced Friday, November 24. Downtown traffic will be heavy Friday due to the University of Nebraska's home football game against Colorado, which is scheduled for 2:30 p.m. Friday.

Parking meters are enforced from 8 a.m. to 6 p.m. Monday through Saturday. Parking is free only on Sundays and Memorial Day, the Fourth of July, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day, Christmas Day and New Year's Day.

Metered parking is 25 cents per half-hour. The fee supports maintenance, staffing and construction of parking facilities. The fine for an expired meter is $10.

Meters not operating properly can be reported to 441-7277. More information on public parking is available on the City Web site at lincoln.ne.gov (keyword: parking).
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MEMORANDUM

TO: City Council

FROM: Marvin Krout, Director of Planning

SUBJECT: Change of Zone No. 06059 - Proposed Amendments to the Sign code (Bill #06-193)

DATE: November 16, 2006

cc : Mayor Coleen Seng
     Dana Roper, City Attorney
     Rick Peo, Chief Assistant City Attorney
     Lincoln-Lancaster County Planning Commission
     Bob Norris, Nebraska Neon Sign Company
     Coby Mach, LIBA
     Martha Lee Heyne, Lamar Outdoor Advertising
     Don Deitemeyer, Lamar Outdoor Advertising
     Mike Merwick and Mike Petersen, Building & Safety

Below is an update on the amendments to the zoning ordinance regarding electronic changeable copy signs, on which you took public testimony November 6 and continued to this coming Monday, November 20th:

Input from the Council during and after the November 6 meeting suggested two options for further consideration:

1. Amending the proposal submitted by staff and Planning Commission to reduce the minimum "hold time" for messages on on-site (business) signs from 3 seconds to 2 seconds. The concepts of requiring a static message with a minimum hold time, and transitions between messages with limited animation (scroll, fade, and dissolve) during that transition, would remain in this option. Because this is a very simple amendment, we have not asked the City Attorney's office to prepare the written text.

2. Permitting on-site signs almost unlimited animation, except for flashing/blinking/pulsating, and deleting any reference in the ordinance to "hold times" for and "transitions" between messages. The speakers at the November 6 hearing wanted to allow animation as part of the message itself, and not just a static message, and they wanted to allow more kinds of animation than just scroll, fade, and dissolve. The simplest and easiest to enforce language seems to require a clearer definition of flashing/blinking/pulsating. We are proposing this type of animation as an on-off type of
light display with a cycle time of less than one second. As long as you do not flash messages and other graphics on and off in less than one second, the animation during and between messages would be unlimited. Several other minor additions and deletions to the ordinance are required for this option. The City Attorney's office is preparing a substitute ordinance for this option, which they will be sending to you separately.

We met with Bob Norris of Nebraska Signs earlier this week to discuss the form of a substitute ordinance, as well as adjustment to the bottom of the "brightness curve" in the proposed ordinance. One of his suppliers was concerned that in a potential situation of almost total darkness in the vicinity of a sign, the 375 nits limitation in the proposed curve may render some colors invisible. Staff is agreeable to the supplier's recommendation, which is to adjust the maximum permitted brightness with the ambient condition of total darkness from 375 nits to 750 nits. This change will be reflected in the substitute ordinance that you will be receiving from the City Attorney's office (#2 above). But if there is a motion to do the simple amendment to the ordinance as recommended by the Planning Commission (#1 above), it should also include this change to the brightness standard.

Let me comment on two other issues that have been raised regarding these amendments:

1. **Legal opinion on sign regulations.** Coby Mach, in his testimony on behalf of LIBA, referred to a legal opinion on sign regulations that was provided to his organization. City staff obtained a copy of this opinion this week. We have not asked the City Attorney to do a formal review of applicable case law and provide you with their own opinion. But we have spoken informally with them about this private opinion, and they generally agree with my own assessment, which is based on many years of following this subject, including reading dozens of court opinions and articles interpreting these opinions. I would be glad to share more information on this subject with interested Council members as well as LIBA members.

I think the LIBA opinion is completely mistaken -- it does not review the many cases that have come through state and local courts to interpret these older cases. It takes statements from the cited cases out of context, and mis-states the implications of these decisions. In fact, while the Supreme Court in the Metromedia case found technical flaws with San Diego's ordinance, their opinions indicated that cities did not need to base their sign regulations on authoritative technical studies, but could rely on "common sense" in limiting signage based on traffic safety concerns. A later court opinion stated: "No empirical studies are necessary for reasonable people to conclude that billboards pose a traffic hazard, since by their very nature they are designed to distract drivers and their passengers from maintaining their view of the road." ([Major Media of the Southeast v. City of Raleigh](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10728020), 621 F.Supp. 1446, 1451 aff'd 792 F.2d 1269 (4th Cir. 1986), cert denied 107 S. Ct. 1334 (1987). Metromedia also established that aesthetics and not just traffic safety was a valid purpose for sign regulation. The opinion also differentiated
between commercial and non-commercial sign messages, indicating that courts should give much closer scrutiny to restrictions on non-commercial messages. State and local courts over the past three decades since this landmark case generally have given broad latitude to communities in sign regulation.

2. **Reversible standards?** It was informally suggested that if the Council approves permissive regulations now, and then later decides that these standards were too permissive, then this or a future Council could make its stricter standards retroactive on existing signs. But the City Attorney's informal opinion is that signs approved by this proposed ordinance must be "grandfathered" and allowed to continue under the previous rules, if a future City Council decides these rules were too permissive and passes a more restrictive set of rules. This opinion is regardless of whether the sign standards continue to reside in the zoning ordinance, or are moved to another chapter of the City Code.

Marvin S. Krout, Director
Lincoln-Lancaster County Planning Department
tel 402.441.6366/fax 402.441.6377

q:\pc\cz\06000\CZ.06059 Memo to City Council 111606
Marvin-
After our meeting on Tuesday I was a little surprised to see option 1 going forward in the form it is. The thing that bothers me the most is that I'm not sure it is clear to all, how much is removed from the operating capabilities of EMCs by stipulating only three transitions modes that may be used. I am not talking about animations here, (that comes later) just the methods used in bringing the text message onto the display. The total elimination of animation then further removes numerous options of message presentation available to the user of the display. As I said, since we spent no time discussing option 1, I was surprised to see it in the memorandum; I believe it to be an over-regulatory mistake, attempting to legislate aesthetics. Option 2 will be better and will something Building and Safety can enforce much easier.
Thanks for "listening".
Bob Norris
Dear Council Members,

Some businesses have not shown any common sense or human sensibility locally as far as lighting or advertisements are concerned. The only answers for them are bigger, brighter, flashier, more frenetic. Aesthetics are not in play.

Thanks are in order for the few regulations and standards that got in place before it was too late to control them. These simple standards are not grabbed out of thin air. They come from the experiences of other cities that had to deal effectively with specific problems.

Anyone who thinks a one-second hold is not "flashing" has watched too many MTV videos with 1/60th of a second "jump cuts." I have to wonder if they really want a legal go for subliminal effects. This flashing is intrusively distracting. You don't need a study to verify that fact. Reserve it for traffic signs.

I'm relating my experience from the smaller ground signs now in place. I can hardly imagine how obnoxious a billboard-sized one will be.

"Never been sued" sounds like a used-car salesman's excuse. The ground sign within West "P" St. and Sunvalley Blvd is too bright and frantically distracting. When it does cause a tragedy for traffic, I can tell those victims who to contact.

These simple standards do not limit commerce. They simply do not maximize it at the expense of the populace. They keep all Lincolnites from being victimized, stressed and disturbed by frantic, blinding, intrusive visual sequences that forcefully emit the strength and powerful brightness of LED computer-driven techniques.

I support the standards and rules of 06-193 in their entirety and they barely go far enough.

Sincerely,

M. Sisel 
M. Sisel (475-8543)
1010 West PSt
Lincoln, NE 68528 11-17-06
Media Release

To: Media and Interested Persons
From: Lori Seibel, Community Health Endowment
Date: 11.15.06
Re: Community Health Endowment Applicant Workshop

Applications are now available to organizations and agencies interested in applying for funds from the Community Health Endowment (CHE). Interested persons may obtain an application by contacting the Community Health Endowment Offices at 436-5516, or visiting www.chelincoln.org.

**APPLICANT WORKSHOPS** will be held at no cost to assist potential applicants in preparing a concept paper and answering questions about CHE's vision and funding interests. The workshop is not mandatory, but should be considered useful in preparing a funding application. Novice grant writers, new staff, and first-time applicants are highly encouraged to attend.

The workshops will be held at:

**Madonna Rehabilitation Hospital**
5401 South Street
Sheridan A/B/C

**Thursday, November 30, 2006** OR **Wednesday, December 6, 2006**
10:00-11:00 a.m. OR 2:00-3:00 p.m.

If you plan to attend the workshop, please RSVP to the CHE Office, 436-5516.
Hello,
I am representing Lincoln Neighborhood Alliance and LNA is in favor of this down-zoning action.

One of the agenda items in the LNA's Plan for Action is called Neighborhood Preservation which is concerned with actions that undermine home ownership.

Most first time home buyers are not aware of zoning and its implications and regulations as to what can be develop on the neighboring properties or in the neighborhood. The easy assumption is that since the neighborhood has appeared this way the past 50 years, the appearance will remain permanent.

The real estate industry's 'Seller Disclosure Sheet' furthers this misconception because its 2 pages of detailed check off items leave the new buyer with the impression that it has covered everything. It does not mention zoning and the implications of the neighborhood zoning classification.

The adoption of this down-zoning action as proposed by the Woods Park Neighborhood Association will help insure that new buyers and current home owners will realize that what you see now is what you get and there is a very high probability that it will stay that way in the future.

Thank you,
Russell Miller for Lincoln Neighborhood Alliance
daytime phone 499-2611

The following is the complete excerpt from LNA's Plan for Action about neighborhood down-zoning which closely follows a similar item in Lincoln's Comprehensive Plan.

"Neighborhood Preservation. Whereas zoning designations that conflict with current or historical use patterns create increased density that is detrimental to character of existing neighborhoods, undermines home ownership, and is beyond the neighborhood infrastructure capacity (parking, water, sewer, etc). Resolved: The city should support down-zoning in neighborhoods where strong support exists."
Councilmembers, I was able to view part of a replay of your council meeting of Nov. 6 dealing with the issue of digital advertising regulations. Some speakers expressed the opinion that a problem doesn't exist, so it doesn't need to be addressed. I contend that a problem already does exist with a sign on the NW corner of Sun Valley Blvd. and West P, and if we don't regulate these signs now there will certainly be more problems in the future. Then it will be a case of closing the barn door after the horse is out and business signs will be "grandfathered". I don't know if the sign for the Hastings State Bank on West P is in the realm of the type of signs you were talking about at your council meeting, but the issue I have with that sign is brightness. I am a school bus driver who drives by that intersection twice daily, and I immediately noticed and was annoyed by that sign when it appeared in the past year. Motorists facing east waiting to turn either way onto Sun Valley must stare into that sign to look for traffic, because there is no signal to control traffic. I can literally read by the light of that sign in the dark when it shows the bank's logo with a white background, which is very distracting when I am trying to look for headlights, and I am faced with the glare of that sign at eye level. Just to the south there is a similar type of sign at the GasNShop. I believe that sign has a lower level of brightness, and even though there is much more activity on that sign, a motorist is not forced to be situated in a way that causes one to have to stare into it. One is either traveling past or is stopped farther away from it. I find that sign informative, "driver friendly" and not at all annoying. If current regulations allow the sign at Sun Valley and West P to exist, I certainly think that regulations need to be in place now to address current technological advances, before more annoying signs will be grandfathered. Thank you for your hard work. Marilyn Oborny
Dear Councilpersons,

We are in favor of the proposed changes to 27.69.035 adding restrictions to electronically lighted signs. The signs we see now at Sun Valley Boulevard and O and P St are too bright and distracting, especiially when they change. They make us worse drivers.

We understand the wish to be fair to owners of existing signs, but public safety should come first. The same 5000 ft spacing should apply to them as well.

Judy Johnson
Gunter Hofmann
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for
General Council

Name: Carl Mau
Address: 1035 South 32nd Street
City: Lincoln, NE 68510
Phone: 402-450-6825
Email:

Comment or Question:
Greetings Council Members;
As the Vice President of the Woods Park Neighborhood Asso. I am requesting
your support for the down-zoning application that will be in front of all of
you Monday, November 20th, 2006. I have previously contacted Councilmen Marvin
and Cook about this issue. My main reason for my thoughts on this matter is I
am a single Dad of a 8 year old daughter and I do not feel that "shoehorning"
in multi-family buildings / apartments would be safe for the neighborhood kids
because of the increased traffic. Please do not confuse my thoughts as
anti-business. I am also member in good standing in Steamfitters & Plumbers
Local Union 464, so I am all for business, however my daughter and her
neighborhood friend's safety is much more important to me.
I do regret that I will be unable to attend the meeting Monday to testify with
my fellow board members, however; my job requires me to be out of town. If you
have any questions, feel free to contact me on my cellular telephone at
402-450-6825.

Thank you in advance for your support.

Carl Mau
To: Lincoln City Council  
From: Lincoln Independent Business Association  
Date: November 17, 2006  

We have reviewed the sign memo sent to you from Marvin Krout dated November 16, 2006 and would like to offer some observations.

We fear that the council and Marvin may have focused on the legal aspect of this issue rather than that fact that we are creating sign regulations to fix a problem that does not exist.

Clearly there is disagreement about the legal grounds in which part of our opinion was based. Berry & Kelley, the legal team that provided the legal brief, stand behind their research and feels so strongly that they are willing to proceed in court.

However, we believe that the Planning Department has made compromises and we would like reciprocate by offering our support for option #2 as presented in the November 16th memo.

Thank you in advance for considering a pro-business vote (option #2) on this matter.

Coby Mach  
Executive Director  
LIBA - Lincoln Independent Business Association  
620 North 48th Street Suite 205  
Lincoln, NE 68504  
Phone - 466-3419  
Cell - 430-5554  
email - cm@liba.org  
www.liba.org