I. MAYOR

1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Basketball Tips Off On 21 Educational Access-Lincoln Public Schools’ teams to be included for first time - (See Release)

II. DIRECTORS

CITY ATTORNEY’S OFFICE

1. Inter-Department Communication from John McQuinn - RE: Yield Right of Way to Vehicles Making Lawful U-Turns -(See Memo)

FINANCE

1. Report from Don Herz - RE: 2002 County/City Master Plan this report references the K Street Records Warehouse Facility - (Copy of Report on file in the City Council Office) (See Attached Report)

PLANNING

1. Letter from Tom Cajka to Brian Carstens, Brian Carstens & Associates - RE: Hartland Homes Southwest 5th Final Plat #05084-Generally located at West “A” St. and SW 27th Street -(See Letter)

2. Memo - RE: Comprehensive Plan/Long Range Transportation Plan Update - (See Material)

3. Letter from Tom Cajka to Michael Johnson, Olsson Associates - RE: Long View Estates 1st Final Plat #05054-Generally located at West High Ridge Rd. and SW 47th St. -(See Letter)

PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION ....

1. Special Permit #05056 (Temporary concrete crusher-3900 Industrial Drive) Resolution No. PC-00970.
WOMEN’S COMMISSION

1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Return To Work/School and Breastfeed Your Baby-Workshops educate and promote women returning to work/school as nursing mothers -(See Release)

2. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Movies Are For Mommies-And Daddies, Too!-Lincoln-Lancaster Women’s Commission coordinates discount movie program for parents of small children -(See Release)

III. CITY CLERK

IV. COUNCIL

A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE

ROBIN ESCHLIMAN

1. Request to Lynn Johnson, Parks & Recreation Director - RE: Center lanes being painted on bike trails (RFI#2 - 11/09/05)

PATTE NEWMAN


   1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM MARC WULLSCHLEGER, URBAN DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR RECEIVED ON RFI#37 - 12/05/05.

V. MISCELLANEOUS -

1. E-Mail from Charles “Pete” Stalder - RE: Council Agenda - Wal-Mart - (Council received this e-mail on 12/12/05 before Formal Council Meeting) (See E-Mail)

2. E-Mail from Peter Katt, Pierson, Fitchett, Hunzecker, Blake & Katt Law Firm with response from Nicole Fleck-Tooze, Public Works & Utilities Department - RE: New Design Standards - Stevens Creek Inspired - (Council received this e-mail on 12/12/05 before Formal Council Meeting)(See E-Mail)
3. E-Mail from John & Bonnie Robbins - RE: The Wal-Mart issue -(Council received this e-mail on 12/12/05 before Formal Council Meeting)(See E-Mail)

4. Letter & Material from Terry L. Bundy, LES - RE: LES Board Consideration of a Rate Adjustment and Power Cost Adjustment - (See Material)

5. E-Mail from Patrick J. Henry - RE: The K Street Project - (See E-Mail)

6. E-Mail - RE: Wal-Mart stores - (See E-Mail)

7. E-Mail from Scott Sandquist, AIA - RE: Wal-Mart - (See E-Mail)

8. E-Mail from Ted Stock - RE: Wal-Mart - (See E-Mail)

9. E-Mail from Tammy Doak - RE: The development at 84th & Adams - (See E-Mail)

10. E-Mail from Justin Jones - RE: Box store, 84th & Adams - (See E-Mail)

11. E-Mail from Ruth Fitzwater - RE: Wal-Mart - (See E-Mail)

12. Letter from Nancy Armstrong Johnson - RE: Armstrong Interiors & Furniture-It is vitally important to save both the 48th & R Street entrances as explained to continue in our present location -(See Letter)

13. E-Mail from Al Micek - RE: The article on Cats, in this mornings LJS was interesting -(See E-Mail)

14. E-Mail from Kay Rising - RE: Budget & Growth - (See E-Mail)

15. E-Mail from Sandra Lab - RE: Wal-Mart - (See E-Mail)

VI. ADJOURNMENT
DIRECTORS’ MEETING
MINUTES
MONDAY, DECEMBER 19, 2005
CONFERENCE ROOM 113

Council Members Present: Ken Svoboda, Chair; Patte Newman, Vice-Chair; Jonathan Cook, Dan Marvin, Annette McRoy, Robin Eschliman, Jon Camp

Others Present: Mayor Coleen Seng, Mark Bowen, Ann Harrell, Rick Hoppe, Darl Naumann, Mayor’s Office; City Clerk, Joan Ross; Dana Roper, City Attorney; Directors and Department Heads; Tammy Grammer, City Council Staff; Deena Winter, Lincoln Journal Star Representative; Coby Mach, LIBA; and Mark Hunzeker, Attorney.

I. MAYOR -

Mayor Coleen Seng stated she received the letter from Mr. Svoboda regarding the Zucker Report. She only had one draft copy at one point, but Council probably could get a copy of the draft report from the City Attorney. She heard that there is some copy out in the community but Dana Roper would be the one to get Council a copy of the draft report. She thinks Dana (Roper) needs to get Council one copy that they can keep locked up until it is finally released. Mr. Svoboda commented he is a bit bothered by a member of the community having a copy of it through the Freedom of Information Act and Council Members who will act on it do not have anything. Mr. Svoboda asked Mr. Roper if Council could get a copy of the Zucker Report? Mr. Roper answered yes, they will probably just give Council one copy of the report and then they can make the copies if each of them want one because it is fairly long and expensive to copy. Mr. Svoboda mentioned that he made a formal request on behalf of the Council this morning to the Mayor’s Office. Mr. Cook asked if they could send it to them electronically? Mr. Roper replied yes. Ms. Newman questioned was there a reason they did not receive a copy of the report before LIBA. Mr. Roper stated LIBA made a public records request for it. They reviewed the State law and provided a copy to LIBA, they do not distribute copies to other people who have not asked for it. Mayor Seng commented she does not believe the report has been released. Ms. Newman requested that when somebody requests information through the Freedom of Information Act that Council be advised of it.
She watched the Pre-Council Meeting this morning on the ‘M’ Class. They probably will need to continue to work on it, so they need to have a Council representative on the committee. Mr. Svoboda stated they will discuss it at their “Noon” Meeting today.

From our Budget Meeting last week, she thinks they are going to talk about the auditor job and so they need to have a Council representative on this committee as well. [Note: This issue is listed on the “Noon” Agenda today for discussion.]

There is a lot of amendments on the ‘K’ Street Facility and Joel Pedersen (City Attorney’s Office) has all of them ready. Does Council want Mr. Pedersen to attend their “Noon” Meeting today to go over the amendments? Mr. Svoboda replied yes, so they can at least get a determination of what it is they are going to be asked to act on this afternoon.

She has a veto message regarding Bill #05-161 for a change of zone on property generally located at 2100 K Street and 05R-289 a zoning agreement associated with a change of zone at 21st & K Streets which she will hand out to Council. [See Attachment ‘A’]

Regarding the Lancaster County Agricultural Society proposal which is on Council’s Agenda at this time which last week she talked a little bit about it. The Event Facility Task Force held a special meeting Tuesday afternoon. At the meeting representatives for Lancaster County Agricultural Society said their goal in seeking a Comprehensive Plan amendment was to bring the sign at the Event Center into compliance with State and Federal law. They are very sincere in what they are doing but she believes they are still asking for 14 acres of commercial zoning to accommodate the sign and if the issue is a sign than that is what they need to focus on. So, she asked Marvin Krout (Planning Director) to meet with State officials this morning and Ray Hill (Planning Dept.) will update Council on this issue. She wants to encourage Council once again to place this item on pending because she thinks there probably needs to be some more time given to it. They need to be able to have the task force work with the State Fair and the Agricultural Society.

Mayor Seng called on Directors. Marvin Krout (Planning Director) reported on the Lancaster County Agricultural Society proposal. They meet with Gary Britton who is the right-of-way person who deals with this issue that off premise signs on State highway and the State law requires the land be zoned commercial or industrial. If it is on the highway system which 84th is on the national highway system so this does come into State law. The Agricultural Society unit public purpose is exempt from zoning the entity with
power condemnation like they have and so we did not approve the sign. In fact, as an alter sign we meet many of the regulations that are in the code but they did off site advertising and then apparently they were told according to Gary Britton that this would be a violation before they built the sign. But, they went ahead and built the sign and did advertising and they were told that they need to stop advertising on the sign that it is a violation of State law. They talked about the option of zoning this sign along with the 14 acres of land that the Agricultural Society had shown in their plans for commercial use. They also talked about zoning a different configured area that would include a small portion of the 14 acres plus some additional area possibly even one or more of the existing buildings on the site. But that would leave the 14 acres not committed towards zoning because once you approve this Comprehensive Plan Amendment there is a presumption that the zoning is appropriate and he thinks that the Agricultural Society could be expected to come in and request that zoning and it would be difficult to turn it down if you’ve approved the Comprehensive Plan Amendment. They knew that there were floodplain issues, traffic issues that needed to be worked out and so basically that’s what they have done in this agreement is try to work out those issues. He thinks they have worked those issues out to satisfactory to all parties but the issue still is this the time to be committing this land to commercial use or should the task force process go on without the Agricultural Society or anyone else being prejudice without the fact that this zoning is in effect and the impact that it would have in allowing the Agricultural Society to pursue their plan for development which may or may not be what the task force recommends. So, he would agree with the Mayor that the best thing to do with this would be to place it on pending and see what the task force can come up with.

Ray Hill (Planning Department) stated for Items 6 & 7 which is on public hearing this afternoon, they worked out an agreement with Tom Huston on the roadway connection to the south and the detention area. There is also a Motion-To-Amend for the right-turn lane in Highway 2 be 150 feet long as opposed to 200 feet that was originally approved which Public Works believes is safer and that the City would pay the cost over and above the 150 foot trimmer line. Mr. Hill noted when Dana Roper was out he was actually running copies of the Motion-To-Amend. Dana Roper stated they are going to have to redraft it because it is off another version than the one they have so it’s being reworked and hopefully they will have it by the Council Meeting.

Mr. Cook commented on Item 7 it says action date 12/19/05 which is not when they will be taking action on the ordinance so is that a mistake. City Clerk Joan Ross responded that would be her error, it should be January 9, 2006. Mr. Cook stated perhaps because it is noted as action date 12/19/05 they might want to take action to move it. City Clerk Joan Ross answered yes. 

[#6, 05-185, Change of Zone #05026A - Application of Apple’s Way LLC and Uno Properties to amend the Apple’s Way Planned Unit Development to adjust setbacks and the maximum height in the B-2 Planned Neighborhood Business Area, on property legally described as a portion of Outlot E,
Country Meadows, and Lots 36, 88, 123, 155 and 156 I.T., all located in Section 16-9-7, Lancaster County, Nebraska, generally located at S. 66th Street and Highway 2.; and #7, 05R-297, Preliminary Plat 05016-Appeal of Apple’s Way LLC and Uno Properties Inc. to amend condition 1.1.4 and condition 1.2.2 for 32 residential lots in the R-1 Residential District and 10 lots in the B-2 Planned Neighborhood Business District, on property generally located at S. 66th Street and Highway 2.]

Mr. Marvin commented in regards to Item 22 from his understanding the applicant did not really want this and we moved forward. Ray Hill responded this is the one that has been around for several years and we decided that it should be sent up to the City Council rather than being put on pending at Planning Commission. Mr. Marvin commented against the wishes of the applicant too is that correct. Marvin Krout replied they asked for another six month delay. Mr. Marvin responded so against the wishes of the applicant they just voted to send it off. Mr. Hill commented this was our recommendation to the Planning Commission at that time. Mr. Krout stated to Mr. Marvin this is an unusual case because this is a case of where the applicant is not the owner of the property. The applicant is an individual in behalf of two Neighborhood Associations who is requesting to down zone another person’s property and he doesn’t know if they have ever had a case exactly like this before. They have had neighborhood down zoning cases where not everyone was sponsoring a down zoning but most people were in support of the down zoning of their property and this one person is asking to zone another person’s property. They thought this was leaving a cloud on the land as to its future and it would be appropriate to have it be voted up or down. [#22, 05-188, Change of Zone 3413-Application of Landon’s Neighborhood Association for a change of zone from R-4 Residential to R-2 Residential on property generally located at North 24th and Superior Streets.]

Ray Hill stated regarding the Prairie Village at 84th & Adams Street issue on the chance of zones. After meeting with Peter Katt this morning the Law Department and Planning Department will have a motion to split the ordinance into ‘A’ and ‘B’. One would approve the entire project, the other would exclude the commercial zoning and depending upon how the Council reacts they would than go ahead and renegotiate the annexation agreement. Mr. Hill indicated they need some direction so that they know how to go back and renegotiate the annexation. Mr. Cook commented so they will not be taking final action on the Wal-Mart at 84th & Adams items today. Mr. Krout replied correct the Law Department is just providing a motion that would have Council split the PUD into two separate ordinances and then put it back on their agenda in early January which at that time they would be voting on the two different pieces.
Police Chief Casady reported they had a rather unusual robbery at Kentucky Fried Chicken Saturday night at 70th & “O” Street. Any given year they’re going to have just under 200 armed robberies in Lincoln, it’s very rare if they have anyone injured during those robberies. However, they are very high risk crimes and in this particular case they had two employees that were pistol whipped a really terrorizing incident. The last one they had like this was about a year ago at Taco Inn just north of 27th & Cornhusker where two people were shot. But, it’s a pretty rare crime for us to have a business robbery where someone is physically attacked. Chief Casady commented ‘robbery’ by definition includes as one of its elements is either the use of force or threatens the force so violence is always an element of the crime.

Also, over the weekend they had a six month old baby that was discovered deceased in his residence and the investigation is underway right now. They are going to work several hundred cases every year of unattended deaths, people who died without any kind of a medical presence or medical supervision. There was (inaudible) about hundred of those cases where there are at least some kind of suspicious circumstances, person of young age with no reason from medical history or a fatal ruling homicide. All these cases, however, including this one are all handled the same at the outs of always have to preserve the evidence and do a scene investigation until you pin down a cause of death. Of course, cause of death often times is not pinned down for days or sometimes even weeks after the actual investigation takes place, so during that assuming time you have to treat those cases as very serious cases.

Ms. Eschliman asked Police Chief Casady if they are missing some criminal history on Keith Dragoo who is applying for the Red Robin application? It said Mr. Dragoo’s criminal history has been included for their review and it is not attached. Chief Casady stated he will make sure Officer Russ Fosler gets them copies of the information.

Nicole Fleck-Tooze (Public Works & Utilities Department) pointed out to Council on their Addendum is an information packet regarding the flood mapping items. They responded to several questions that had come up on Monday with regards to the flood mapping items which is on their Agenda for vote today.

1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Basketball Tips Off On 21 Educational Access-Lincoln Public Schools’ teams to be included for first time. — NO COMMENTS
II. DIRECTORS

CITY ATTORNEY’S OFFICE

1. Inter-Department Communication from John McQuinn - RE: Yield Right of Way to Vehicles Making Lawful U-Turns. — NO COMMENTS

FINANCE

1. Report from Don Herz - RE: 2002 County/City Master Plan this report references the K Street Records Warehouse Facility - (Copy of Report on file in the City Council Office) (See Attached Report). — NO COMMENTS

PLANNING

1. Letter from Tom Cajka to Brian Carstens, Brian Carstens & Associates - RE: Hartland Homes Southwest 5th Final Plat #05084-Generally located at West “A” St. and SW 27th Street. — NO COMMENTS


3. Letter from Tom Cajka to Michael Johnson, Olsson Associates - RE: Long View Estates 1st Final Plat #05054-Generally located at West High Ridge Rd. and SW 47th St. — NO COMMENTS

PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION ....

1. Special Permit #05056 (Temporary concrete crusher-3900 Industrial Drive) Resolution No. PC-00970. — NO COMMENTS

WOMEN’S COMMISSION

1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Return To Work/School and Breastfeed Your Baby-Workshops educate and promote women returning to work/school as nursing mothers. — NO COMMENTS

2. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Movies Are For Mommies-And Daddies, Too!-Lincoln-Lancaster Women’s Commission coordinates discount movie program for parents of small children. — NO COMMENTS
III. CITY CLERK

City Clerk Joan Ross stated on their Agenda for today, Items 2 & 3 will be called together. [#2, Application of Marti’s, Inc. dba Marti’s Bar and Grill for a Class C liquor license at 1409 “O” Street.; and #3, Manager application of Mike Figueroa for Marti’s, Inc. dba Marti’s Bar and Grill at 1409 “O” Street.]

Items 4 & 5 will be called together. [#4, Application of Bugeater Investments, Inc. dba The Watering Hole West for a Class C liquor license at 1550 Coddington Ave., Suite P.; and #5, Manager application of Bryan A. McFarland for Bugeater Investments, Inc. dba The Watering Hole West at 1550 Coddington Ave., Suite P.]

Items 6 & 7 will be called together. [#6, 05-185, Change of Zone #05026A - Application of Apple’s Way LLC and Uno Properties to amend the Apple’s Way Planned Unit Development to adjust setbacks and the maximum height in the B-2 Planned Neighborhood Business Area, on property legally described as a portion of Outlot E, Country Meadows, and Lots 36, 88, 123, 155 and 156 I.T., all located in Section 16-9-7, Lancaster County, Nebraska, generally located at S. 66th Street and Highway 2.; and #7, 05R-297, Preliminary Plat 05016-Appeal of Apple’s Way LLC and Uno Properties Inc. to amend condition 1.1.4 and condition 1.2.2 for 32 residential lots in the R-1 Residential District and 10 lots in the B-2 Planned Neighborhood Business District, on property generally located at S. 66th Street and Highway 2.]

Items 9 & 10 will be called together. [#9, 05R-301, Comp. Plan Amendment #05011-Amending the 2025 Lincoln/Lancaster County Comprehensive Plan by changing approximately 14 acres of Public/Semi-Public and Green Space to Commercial use, on property generally located at the southeast corner of N. 84th Street and Havelock Ave.; and #10, 05R-302, Approving a Memorandum of Understanding between the City and the Lancaster County Agricultural Society associated with the Society’s request for a comprehensive plan amendment designating approximately 14.4 acres generally located on the southeast corner of 84th Street and Havelock Avenue as commercial.]

They have already talked about the Amendments for Item 27. [05-173, Approving the sale of City property at 9th and K Streets, known as the K Street Power Plant.]
For Item 32, they have a Motion-To-Amend. *[05R-283, To formally recognize the Beal Slough 100-year Floodprone Areas and revised Floodway, including updated flood elevations, as the best available information for local flood regulation purposes.]*

For Item 34, they have a Motion-To-Amend. *[05R-282, To formally recognize the Southeast Upper Salt Creek 100-year Floodprone Areas, including updated flood elevations, as the best available information for local flood regulation purposes.]*

They have a “Miscellaneous Referral” which is routine.

Ms. Eschliman mentioned to Dana Roper that she thinks there is a typo on 05R-302 which is the ‘Event Center Memorandum of Understanding’ item. It talks about 14.4 acres generally located on the northwest corner of 84th & Havelock and she think they probably meant to say the southeast corner. Dana Roper stated okay.

IV. COUNCIL

A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE

JON CAMP - NO COMMENTS

JONATHAN COOK - NO COMMENTS

ROBIN ESCHLIMAN - NO COMMENTS

1. Request to Lynn Johnson, Parks & Recreation Director - RE: Center lanes being painted on bike trails (RFI#2 - 11/09/05). — NO COMMENTS

DAN MARVIN - NO COMMENTS

ANNETTE McROY - NO COMMENTS

PATTE NEWMAN -

Ms. Newman stated she would like to speak with Don Herz (Finance Director) after the Directors’ Meeting today.
1. Request to Marc Wullschleger & Wynn Hjermstad, Urban Development/ Marv Knout & Ed Zimmer, Planning Department - RE: Triplets-serious concerns over the future of Whittier School (RFI#37 - 11/23/05). — 1) SEE RESPONSE FROM MARC WULLSCHLEGER, URBAN DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR RECEIVED ON RFI#37 - 12/05/05. — NO COMMENTS

KEN SVOBODA - NO COMMENTS

V. MISCELLANEOUS -

1. E-Mail from Charles “Pete” Stalder - RE: Council Agenda - Wal-Mart - (Council received this e-mail on 12/12/05 before Formal Council Meeting). — NO COMMENTS

2. E-Mail from Peter Katt, Pierson, Fitchett, Hunzeker, Blake & Katt Law Firm with response from Nicole Fleck-Tooze, Public Works & Utilities Department - RE: New Design Standards - Stevens Creek Inspired - (Council received this e-mail on 12/12/05 before Formal Council Meeting). — NO COMMENTS

3. E-Mail from John & Bonnie Robbins - RE: The Wal-Mart issue -(Council received this e-mail on 12/12/05 before Formal Council Meeting). — NO COMMENTS

4. Letter & Material from Terry L. Bundy, LES - RE: LES Board Consideration of a Rate Adjustment and Power Cost Adjustment. — NO COMMENTS

5. E-Mail from Patrick J. Henry - RE: The K Street Project. — NO COMMENTS


7. E-Mail from Scott Sandquist, AIA - RE: Wal-Mart. — NO COMMENTS

8. E-Mail from Ted Stock - RE: Wal-Mart. — NO COMMENTS

-9-
9. E-Mail from Tammy Doak - RE: The development at 84<sup>th</sup> & Adams. — NO COMMENTS

10. E-Mail from Justin Jones - RE: Box store, 84<sup>th</sup> & Adams. — NO COMMENTS

11. E-Mail from Ruth Fitzwater - RE: Wal-Mart. — NO COMMENTS

12. Letter from Nancy Armstrong Johnson - RE: Armstrong Interiors & Furniture-It is vitally important to save both the 48<sup>th</sup> & R Street entrances as explained to continue in our present location. — NO COMMENTS

13. E-Mail from Al Micek - RE: The article on Cats, in this mornings LJS was interesting. — NO COMMENTS

14. E-Mail from Kay Rising - RE: Budget & Growth. — NO COMMENTS

15. E-Mail from Sandra Lab - RE: Wal-Mart. — NO COMMENTS

**ADDENDUM - (for December 19<sup>th</sup>)**

**I. MAYOR**

1. NEWS ADVISORY - RE: Mayor Seng’s Public Schedule Week of December 17 through 23, 2005 - Schedule subject to change-(See Advisory). — NO COMMENTS

2. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Recycling Office Encourages Waste-Free Holiday. — NO COMMENTS

**II. CITY CLERK - NONE**

**III. CORRESPONDENCE**

**A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE**

**JON CAMP**

1. E-Mail from Sandra Elton sent to Jon Camp - RE: Questions regarding several different issues. — NO COMMENTS
B. DIRECTORS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS

PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES

1. E-Mail Material from Nicole Fleck-Tooze - RE: Response to Questions re: Flood Mapping. — [Nicole Fleck-Tooze mentioned this material earlier.] (See that discussion)

C. MISCELLANEOUS

1. Faxed Letter from Robert E. Peterson - RE: Extension of “best available” flood plain policy to existing areas, especially Beal’s Slough watershed & Council Agenda Item 05R-283 - (Council received this Letter in their Thursday packet on 12/15/05). — NO COMMENTS

2. Letter from Larry Spangler, Sunwest Farms - RE: The proposed new floodplain & floodprone regulations. — NO COMMENTS

3. Faxed Letter from David L. Albers - RE: The proposed new floodplain & floodprone regulations. — NO COMMENTS

4. E-Mail from Esther Busboom - RE: Wal-Mart issue. — NO COMMENTS

5. E-Mail from Bruce Bohrer, Senior Vice President/General Counsel for Public Policy Lincoln Chamber of Commerce - RE: The proposed new flood regulations. — NO COMMENTS

6. E-Mail from Jeanette Nakada - RE: Wal-Mart. — NO COMMENTS

7. E-Mail from Russell Miller - RE: Additional testimony best available flood data. — NO COMMENTS

8. E-Mail from Suzanne Tesina - RE: LES rate hike. — NO COMMENTS


10. E-Mail from Rick Goodman - RE: Prairie Homes Development & Wal-Mart. — NO COMMENTS
11. E-Mail from Sally (Sara E.) Stoddard - RE: Wal-Mart. — NO COMMENTS

12. 2 E-Mail’s from Gary Rikli - RE: Wal-Mart. — NO COMMENTS

13. E-Mail from Karen Wolf - RE: Another Wal-Mart???. — NO COMMENTS

14. E-Mail from Maribeth Milner - RE: Stand firm on the veto threat - Wal-Mart. — NO COMMENTS

15. E-Mail from Mike & Shari Luft - RE: Super Wal-Mart. — NO COMMENTS

16. E-Mail from Margaret Haessler, Attorney at Law - RE: Wal-Mart. — NO COMMENTS

17. E-Mail from Art Kavan - RE: Wal-Mart. — NO COMMENTS

18. E-Mail from Vicky Valenta - RE: K Street Complex. — NO COMMENTS

[End of Addendum]

VI. MEETING ADJOURNED - Approximately at 11:47 a.m.