CORRESPONDENCE
IN LIEU OF
DIRECTORS’ MEETING
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 2005

I. MAYOR

*1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: City Establishes “Point Of Contact” Network - (See Release)

*2. NEWS ADVISORY - RE: Mayor Seng will discuss the Harris Overpass project at a news conference at 10:00 a.m. Thursday, September 22nd -(See Advisory)

*3. NEWS RELEASE - RE: One-Year Construction Schedule Chosen For Harris Overpass Project -(See Release)

*4. Letter from Mayor Coleen Seng to Curt Donaldson, Friends of the Pioneers Park Nature Center - RE: Writing to invite your participation in a citizen committee that I am appointing to consider funding strategies for parks and recreation facilities -(See Letter)

II. DIRECTORS

FINANCE

*1. Material from Don Herz - RE: August EMS Reports - (See Material)

FINANCE/ACCOUNTING

*1. Letter from Mark Leikam - RE: June 30, 2005 Quarterly Keno Audit -(See Letter)

PLANNING

*1. E-Mail Response from Jean Walker to Tamara Kuehn-Damme - RE: Wal-Mart Support (Annex. 05013 & CZ#05054, Prairie Village North Planned Unit Development) -(See E-Mail)
*2. Memo from Marvin Krout to County Board - RE: City Council Comprehensive Plan Amendments -(See Memo)

*3. Letter from Brian Will to Mike Johnson, Olsson Associates - RE: Village Gardens Addition - FPPL#05074-Generally located at Pine Lake Road and South 61st Street -(See Letter)

**PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION .....

*1. Special Permit #05044 (On-sale - South 27th Street and Jamie Lane) Resolution # PC-00951.

*2. Special Permit #05036 (Off-sale - Tobacco Shack, N. 63rd Street and Havelock Avenue) Resolution # PC-00950.

*3. Preliminary Plat #04017-Twin Pines Addition (SW 33rd Street and West Denton Road) Resolution # PC-00952.

**III. CITY CLERK

**IV. COUNCIL

**A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE

**JON CAMP

*1. E-Mail from Ross & Liz Wunderlich sent to Jon Camp - RE: Pioneers Blvd. Medians -(See E-Mail)

*2. E-Mail Response from Jon Camp to Ross & Liz Wunderlich - RE: Pioneers Blvd. Medians -(See E-Mail)

*3. E-Mail from Dave Anderson sent to Jon Camp - RE: Theaters -(See E-Mail)
JONATHAN COOK

1. Request to Public Works & Utilities Department - RE: The speed limit on West “A” Street -(RFI#127 - 8/30/05). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM SCOTT OPFER, PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT RECEIVED ON RFI#127 - 9/21/05.

PATTE NEWMAN

*1. E-Mail from Michael Goodrich sent to Patte Newman - RE: City Planning - (See E-Mail)

V. MISCELLANEOUS

*1. E-Mail from Patrick Henry - RE: Movie Theaters -(See E-Mail)


*3. E-Mail from Jeffrey Fields - RE: Theater Policy -(See E-Mail)

*4. E-Mail from Roger Yant - RE: Theaters -(See E-Mail)

*5. E-Mail from David Oenbring - RE: Theater -(See E-Mail)


*8. E-Mail from Teresa Predmore - RE: Yes to a new Wal-Mart -(See E-Mail)

VI. ADJOURNMENT

*HELD OVER UNTIL OCTOBER 3, 2005.
CITY ESTABLISHES "POINT OF CONTACT" NETWORK

Lancaster County Emergency Management, the City of Lincoln and about 40 volunteer health and human service professionals have joined to form a "point of contact" network for Hurricane Katrina evacuees who have made their way to Lincoln. The volunteers - representing local human service agencies, hospitals and health organizations - will be paired with the sponsor (church, relative, etc.) and the family to help them navigate the health and human services system.

To date, 45 families have been matched. Evacuees being brought to Lincoln by the faith communities also are encouraged to use the network.

All evacuees are encouraged to contact the American Red Cross office for immediate assistance (food, clothing and shelter) at 441-7997. Those in need of health and medical services are encouraged to call the Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department hotline at 441-0358.

To request a "point of contact" volunteer or for any other related questions, call the Red Cross at 441-7997 between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. Monday through Friday.
DATE: September 21, 2005
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 441-7831

Mayor Coleen J. Seng will discuss the Harris Overpass project at a news conference at 10 a.m. Thursday, September 22 on the dock on the west side of the Creamery Building at 7th and “P” streets. Parking is available in the public lot west of the dock area. If it is raining, the news conference will take place in the City Council Chambers, 555 South 10th Street.
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: September 22, 2005

FOR MORE INFORMATION: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 441-7831
Kris Humphrey, Public Works, 441-7592
Linda Beacham, The Schemmer Associates, 488-2500

ONE-YEAR CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE CHOSEN FOR HARRIS OVERPASS PROJECT

Mayor Coleen J. Seng announced today that following an extensive public opinion process, the aging Harris Overpass will close for reconstruction in 2007 for about one year. Total closure of the overpass will save about $5 million in construction costs and reduce construction time by about half, compared to an alternative option to have limited traffic during a two-year construction period.

Deteriorating steel girders and concrete have created the need to replace the 50-year-old overpass, which carries “O” Street traffic over the rail yards from 3rd to 9th streets. Construction costs for the bridge are estimated at about $15 million. Construction costs over a two-year period were estimated at about $20 million.

“There is no ideal solution,” said Mayor Seng. “Partial closure would have cost more, taken longer and still resulted in at least 55 days of full closure. This is a vital link between West “O” and downtown Lincoln. Nearly 27,000 vehicles cross the bridge every day. The consensus was that the construction be done as quickly as possible.”

Mayor Seng thanked those who participated in the decision-making process for this important project. The City hired The Schemmer Associates to conduct the public outreach program to discuss the options and consequences of the construction options. The firm worked directly with the affected businesses and residents and assembled an advisory group of those most directly affected by the bridge construction to examine the options.

“Many factors went into this decision and many people who will be most inconvenienced by the construction provided advice,” said Mayor Seng. “Cost was a major consideration because construction funds are very tight. Other important factors were the provision of police and fire services, the impact on West “O” and Haymarket businesses and public safety during the construction.”

- more -
Proposed vehicle detour routes are:

- I-180 (9th and 10th streets) to Cornhusker Highway, then to 1st Street, Charleston and Sun Valley Boulevard
- Capitol Parkway to the “K” and “L” Street interchange on the Homestead Expressway. The interchange is scheduled for completion in 2006.

Currently about $15 million is available from non-City sources, including the Federal Highway Bridge Rehabilitation and Replacement Program, which is administered locally by the Nebraska Department of Roads and the Railroad Transportation Safety District. Cost estimates may vary as final structural and aesthetic features are chosen with public input. The next step is to complete the design and bid the project.

“Funds are tight, we are stretching them to get the most from every dollar,” said Karl Fredrickson, Director of the City Public Works and Utilities Department. “Construction is inconvenient, but announcing the decision now will provide time for residents to plan ahead. The one-year construction period will save money. We will provide incentives and penalty clauses in the contract to expedite the construction schedule.”

The viaduct was completed in 1955 and is named in honor of John F. Harris, who donated the land for Pioneers Park.

Additional information on the Harris Overpass project is available on the City Web site at lincoln.ne.gov or at www.harrisoverypass.com.
September 21, 2005

Curt Donaldson
Friends of the Pioneers Park Nature Center
2860 R Street
Lincoln, NE 68503

Dear Curt:

I am writing to invite your participation in a citizen committee that I am appointing to consider funding strategies for parks and recreation facilities.

The City Council and I agree that a study guided by a diverse group of Lincoln residents who share a commitment to parks and recreation would be an excellent tool in shaping the community's approach to financing this important piece of our local infrastructure. The City Council recently passed a resolution emphasizing our shared support for this approach, which I have enclosed for your information.

The committee will meet every two weeks from late September to early December. Among other things, the committee will:

- Review an inventory of parks and recreation facilities
- Explore schedules and costs for rehabilitating and replacing existing facilities
- Discuss standards and costs for developing new facilities
- Research potential funding sources and recommend strategies.

The Parks and Recreation Facilities Infrastructure Finance Committee will meet for the first time from 7:00 - 9:00 p.m. on Thursday, September 29, 2005, in the second floor meeting room at the F Street Community Center, located at 1225 F Street. As part of that first meeting, committee members also will determine their preferred time of day for future meetings.

Your perspective and guidance are important in developing a funding strategy for parks and recreation facilities in Lincoln. Please contact Jeanne Bowling by phoning 441-8264 or by e-mailing jbowling@lincoln.ne.gov if you are not able to attend the September 29, 2005, meeting.

If you have any questions about the study, please call Parks and Recreation Director

Lynn Johnson at 441-8265.

Sincerely,

Coleen J. Seng
Mayor of Lincoln

cc: Lincoln City Council
Lynn Johnson, Parks and Recreation Director
RESOLUTION NO. A-83516

WHEREAS, the investment by citizens and taxpayers in the Lincoln system of Parks, Recreation, Conservancy Areas, Nature Centers, and Trails exceeds $130 million in replacement value; and

WHEREAS, as these assets age and deteriorate, annual investment is required for rehabilitation and renewal; and

WHEREAS, additional investment is required as the city grows to maintain the quality and distribution of park facilities; and

WHEREAS, over the past 12 years general fund and keno revenues appropriated for renewals and new facilities have declined from $2.163 million (FY94-95) to a proposed $1.08 million (FY02-06); and

WHEREAS, this level of investment represents less than 1% of system replacement costs, in a community growing at more than 1.5% per year; and

WHEREAS, the serious and effective determination of the City of Lincoln to systematically maintain quality parks, recreation, conservancy areas, nature centers, and trails must come into question; and

WHEREAS, current levels of support show no capacity to accumulate funds for major improvements such as community parks of 50 acres ($5 million), new and replacement neighborhood pools ($2.3 million), or the Pioneers Park Nature Center ($0.5 million); and

WHEREAS, in the past 12 years the percent of the General Fund Budget allocated for Parks and Recreation has declined from 9% to 7.2%.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Lincoln City Council supports the Mayor in the appointment of a task force involving broad representation of the community to
determine: what level of investment is required to maintain the quality of Lincoln's system of
parks and trails; what level of capital funding should be provided in FY06-07 and subsequent
years; and the proper role and capacity of private support for maintaining public assets.
Conclusions of the task force study should be available for consideration during the City Council
Budget Retreat in the fall of 2005.

Introduced by:

Jonathan Cook


Approved as to Form and Legality:

[Signature]
City Attorney

Approved this 31st day of Aug., 2005:

[Signature]
Mayor

ADOPTED
AUG 29 2005
BY CITY COUNCIL
City of Lincoln
EMS Cash Receipts/Expenditure Data 08/31/05
FY 2004-05

### Emergency:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total Month Receipts</th>
<th>Total Month Expenditures</th>
<th>Net Receipts (Expenditures)</th>
<th>Cumulative Receipts</th>
<th>Cumulative Expenditures</th>
<th>Cumulative Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2003-04 Balance Forward</td>
<td>11,333,698</td>
<td>11,682,645</td>
<td>(528,947)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>257,880</td>
<td>334,429</td>
<td>(76,549)</td>
<td>11,591,578</td>
<td>12,197,074</td>
<td>(605,496)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>340,384</td>
<td>236,532</td>
<td>103,852</td>
<td>11,931,962</td>
<td>12,433,606</td>
<td>(501,644)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>285,137</td>
<td>243,108</td>
<td>42,029</td>
<td>12,217,099</td>
<td>12,676,714</td>
<td>(459,615)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>271,751</td>
<td>333,645</td>
<td>(61,894)</td>
<td>12,488,850</td>
<td>13,010,359</td>
<td>(521,509)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>306,445</td>
<td>427,617</td>
<td>(121,172)</td>
<td>12,795,295</td>
<td>13,437,976</td>
<td>(642,681)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>272,071</td>
<td>295,210</td>
<td>(23,139)</td>
<td>13,067,366</td>
<td>13,733,186</td>
<td>(665,820)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>313,639</td>
<td>520,788</td>
<td>(207,149)</td>
<td>13,381,005</td>
<td>14,253,974</td>
<td>(872,969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>350,865</td>
<td>250,617</td>
<td>100,233</td>
<td>13,731,870</td>
<td>14,504,606</td>
<td>(772,736)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>297,530</td>
<td>424,156</td>
<td>(126,626)</td>
<td>14,029,400</td>
<td>14,746,062</td>
<td>(716,662)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>318,318</td>
<td>330,359</td>
<td>(12,041)</td>
<td>14,347,718</td>
<td>15,076,421</td>
<td>(728,703)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>313,639</td>
<td>520,788</td>
<td>(207,149)</td>
<td>14,681,005</td>
<td>15,543,974</td>
<td>(862,969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>170,771</td>
<td>313,236</td>
<td>(142,465)</td>
<td>14,753,913</td>
<td>15,653,293</td>
<td>(899,380)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Non-Emergency:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total Receipts</th>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
<th>Net Receipts (Expenditures)</th>
<th>Cumulative Receipts</th>
<th>Cumulative Expenditures</th>
<th>Cumulative Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2003-04 Balance Forward</td>
<td>1,490,522</td>
<td>1,991,639</td>
<td>(501,117)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>2,366</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>1,958</td>
<td>1,492,888</td>
<td>1,992,047</td>
<td>(499,159)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>1,059</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>875</td>
<td>1,493,947</td>
<td>1,992,231</td>
<td>(498,284)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>1,555</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>1,441</td>
<td>1,495,502</td>
<td>1,993,345</td>
<td>(496,843)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>1,883</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>1,746</td>
<td>1,497,385</td>
<td>1,994,882</td>
<td>(495,097)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>1,497,713</td>
<td>1,996,363</td>
<td>(494,232)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>766</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>755</td>
<td>1,498,479</td>
<td>1,996,124</td>
<td>(494,168)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1,498,584</td>
<td>1,996,702</td>
<td>(494,118)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>1,498,844</td>
<td>1,997,217</td>
<td>(493,873)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>439</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>1,499,283</td>
<td>1,997,725</td>
<td>(493,442)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>(109)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>(148)</td>
<td>1,499,174</td>
<td>1,997,764</td>
<td>(493,590)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>1,499,776</td>
<td>1,998,309</td>
<td>(493,033)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>454</td>
<td>1,500,232</td>
<td>1,998,811</td>
<td>(492,579)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total Receipts</th>
<th>Total Expenditures</th>
<th>Net Receipts (Expenditures)</th>
<th>Cumulative Receipts</th>
<th>Cumulative Expenditures</th>
<th>Cumulative Net</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2003-04 Balance Forward</td>
<td>12,824,220</td>
<td>13,854,284</td>
<td>(1,030,064)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>260,246</td>
<td>334,837</td>
<td>(74,591)</td>
<td>13,084,466</td>
<td>14,189,121</td>
<td>(1,104,655)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>341,443</td>
<td>236,716</td>
<td>104,727</td>
<td>13,425,909</td>
<td>14,425,837</td>
<td>(999,928)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>286,692</td>
<td>243,222</td>
<td>43,470</td>
<td>13,712,601</td>
<td>14,669,059</td>
<td>(956,458)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>273,634</td>
<td>333,782</td>
<td>(60,148)</td>
<td>13,986,235</td>
<td>15,002,841</td>
<td>(1,016,606)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>306,773</td>
<td>427,771</td>
<td>(120,998)</td>
<td>14,293,008</td>
<td>15,430,612</td>
<td>(1,137,604)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>272,837</td>
<td>295,221</td>
<td>(22,384)</td>
<td>14,565,845</td>
<td>15,725,833</td>
<td>(1,599,988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>313,744</td>
<td>520,843</td>
<td>(207,099)</td>
<td>14,879,589</td>
<td>16,246,676</td>
<td>(1,367,087)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>351,125</td>
<td>250,647</td>
<td>100,478</td>
<td>15,230,714</td>
<td>16,497,323</td>
<td>(1,266,609)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>297,969</td>
<td>241,464</td>
<td>56,505</td>
<td>15,528,683</td>
<td>16,738,787</td>
<td>(1,210,104)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>318,209</td>
<td>330,398</td>
<td>(12,189)</td>
<td>15,846,892</td>
<td>17,069,185</td>
<td>(1,222,293)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>236,026</td>
<td>263,681</td>
<td>(27,655)</td>
<td>16,082,918</td>
<td>17,332,866</td>
<td>(1,249,948)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>171,227</td>
<td>313,238</td>
<td>(142,011)</td>
<td>16,254,145</td>
<td>17,646,104</td>
<td>(1,391,959)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SOURCE:** Finance Department General Ledger  
**NOTE:** Amount Pending in JDE: $0  
**NOTE:** Amount Received in Lock Box not posted: $0
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total Amount</th>
<th>Collection %</th>
<th>Contractual Reduction</th>
<th>Remaining Agency Payments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>544,545</td>
<td>92.6%</td>
<td>99,905</td>
<td>544,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>673,900</td>
<td>95.3%</td>
<td>31,600</td>
<td>642,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>743,764</td>
<td>92.8%</td>
<td>17,800</td>
<td>725,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>519,400</td>
<td>95.7%</td>
<td>22,600</td>
<td>536,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>657,900</td>
<td>93.6%</td>
<td>16,200</td>
<td>641,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>583,700</td>
<td>94.0%</td>
<td>16,800</td>
<td>566,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>705,900</td>
<td>92.6%</td>
<td>18,500</td>
<td>687,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>544,900</td>
<td>94.5%</td>
<td>13,100</td>
<td>531,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>683,900</td>
<td>91.0%</td>
<td>19,400</td>
<td>664,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>743,900</td>
<td>92.1%</td>
<td>17,500</td>
<td>726,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>519,900</td>
<td>94.1%</td>
<td>15,200</td>
<td>504,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>657,900</td>
<td>92.2%</td>
<td>17,300</td>
<td>640,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>544,545</td>
<td>92.6%</td>
<td>99,905</td>
<td>544,545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>673,900</td>
<td>95.3%</td>
<td>31,600</td>
<td>642,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>743,764</td>
<td>92.8%</td>
<td>17,800</td>
<td>725,964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>519,400</td>
<td>95.7%</td>
<td>22,600</td>
<td>536,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>657,900</td>
<td>93.6%</td>
<td>16,200</td>
<td>641,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>583,700</td>
<td>94.0%</td>
<td>16,800</td>
<td>566,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>705,900</td>
<td>92.6%</td>
<td>18,500</td>
<td>687,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>544,900</td>
<td>94.5%</td>
<td>13,100</td>
<td>531,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>683,900</td>
<td>91.0%</td>
<td>19,400</td>
<td>664,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>743,900</td>
<td>92.1%</td>
<td>17,500</td>
<td>726,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>519,900</td>
<td>94.1%</td>
<td>15,200</td>
<td>504,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>657,900</td>
<td>92.2%</td>
<td>17,300</td>
<td>640,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Activity is through August 31, 2005.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Total Bills</th>
<th>Amount Billed</th>
<th>Contractual Reductions</th>
<th>Collectable Amount</th>
<th>Billed</th>
<th>Collection Amount</th>
<th>Collection % of Gross</th>
<th>Write offs</th>
<th>Remaining Accounts Receivable</th>
<th>Collection % of Net</th>
<th>Remaining Collections</th>
<th>Collection % of Agency</th>
<th>Agency Payments</th>
<th>Remaining Collections</th>
<th>Collection % of Agency</th>
<th>Agency Payments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
The Honorable Mayor  
And Members of the City Council  
Lincoln, Nebraska

I have performed the procedures as required by Revenue Ruling 35-96-3 published by the Nebraska Department of Revenue, Charitable Gaming Division, which were agreed to by the City of Lincoln and the Nebraska Department of Revenue, solely to assist the specified users in evaluating the City of Lincoln’s compliance with the Nebraska County and City Lottery Act and County and City Lottery Regulations during the quarter ended June 30, 2005. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of the report.

Sample sizes exceeded the minimum required and additional procedures were performed as determined necessary by the City of Lincoln’s level of keno activity and are summarized as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Audit Procedure</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Review videotapes of ball draws.</td>
<td>150 games</td>
<td>15 games</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Review winning tickets of $1,500 and over.</td>
<td>100% (40 tickets)</td>
<td>100% (up to 23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Review paid tickets</td>
<td>150 tickets</td>
<td>23 tickets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Review void tickets</td>
<td>102 tickets</td>
<td>23 tickets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Trace paid tickets to the transaction log.</td>
<td>50 tickets</td>
<td>23 tickets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Verify the accuracy of the transaction log.</td>
<td>Each day of the quarter (100%)</td>
<td>1 shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Recalculate the prize reserve balance and reconcile to prize bank accounts.</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Verify that lottery worker applications have been filed with the State for all employees performing work directly related to the conduct of the lottery.</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Not required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
During the performance of the required procedures and additional testing noted above, no findings were noted.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of officials of the City of Lincoln, the management of Lincoln’s Big Red Lottery Services Ltd. and the Nebraska Department of Revenue and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Mark Leikam
City of Lincoln Keno Auditor
August 31, 2005
Dear Ms. Kuehn-Damme:

Thank you for submitting your comments, which have now become part of the record. A copy is also being distributed to the City Council members for their consideration. The public hearing before the City Council on the Prairie Village North Planned Unit Development at 84th & Adams has not yet been scheduled; however, you will receive notice of the hearing date.

--Jean Walker, Administrative Officer  
City-County Planning Department  
441-6365  
"Tami Kuehn-Damme" <tamik_d@nmhc-clinics.com>

I support the building of Walmart at 84th and Adams area.

Tamara Kuehn-Damme  
2220 Coldwater Bay  
Lincoln NE 68505
MEMORANDUM

TO: County Board
FROM: Marvin Krout, Planning Director
SUBJECT: City Council Comprehensive Plan Amendments
DATE: September 21, 2005
COPIES: City Council
Ann Harrell, Mayor’s Office
Kent Morgan, Planning Department

Attached for your information are two Comprehensive Plan amendments that are before the City Council. Comprehensive Plan Amendment #05014 on the Downtown theater policy is scheduled for action by the City Council on September 26th. Comprehensive Plan Amendment #05015, the Downtown Master Plan is scheduled for public hearing on the same date. As per our previous memo and agreement at the Common meeting, these two amendments are within the regulatory jurisdiction of the City Council which will hold the public hearing on each. These items are routed to you for your information.

If you have questions on these amendments please feel free to contact me or Kent Morgan.
September 22, 2005

Mike Johnson  
Olsson Associates  
1111 Lincoln Mall  
Lincoln, NE 68508

RE: Village Gardens Addition - FPPL#05074  
Generally located at Pine Lake Road and South 61st Street

Dear Mike:

Village Gardens Addition - FPPL#05074, generally located at Pine Lake Road and South 61st Street was approved by the Planning Director on September 21, 2005. The plat and the subdivision agreement must be recorded in the Register of Deeds. The fee is determined at $0.50 per existing lot and per new lot and $20.00 per plat sheet for the plat, and $.50 per new lot and $5.00 per page for associated documents such as the subdivision agreement. If you have a question about the fees, please contact the Register of Deeds. Please make the check payable to the Lancaster County Register of Deeds. The Register of Deeds requests a list of all new lots and blocks created by the plat be attached to the subdivision agreement so the agreement can be recorded on each new lot.

Pursuant to § 26.11.060(d) of the Lincoln Municipal Code, this approval may be appealed to the Planning Commission and any decision of the Planning Commission to the City Council by filing a letter of appeal within 14 days of the action being appealed. The plat will be recorded with the Register of Deeds after the appeal period has lapsed (date + 14 days), and the recording fee and signed subdivision agreement have been received.

Sincerely,

Brian Will  
Planner

c: Village Garden Development Company, 7000 South 56th Street,  
Lincoln, NE 68516  
Joan Ray, City Council  
Dennis Bartels, Public Works & Utilities  
Terry Kathe, Building & Safety  
Sharon Theobald, Lincoln Electric  
Jean Walker, Planning  
File
PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION
NOTIFICATION

TO : Mayor Coleen Seng
     Lincoln City Council

FROM : Jean Walker, Planning

DATE : September 16, 2005

RE : Special Permit No. 05044
     (On-sale - South 27th Street and Jamie Lane)
     Resolution No. PC-00951

The Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission took the following action at their regular meeting on Wednesday, September 14, 2005:

Motion made by Bills-Strand, seconded by Pearson, to approve Special Permit No. 05044, with conditions, requested by El Rincon, LLC, for authority to sell alcoholic beverages for consumption on the premises generally located at South 27th Street and Jamie Lane.

Motion for approval, with conditions, carried 9-0 (Esseks, Carroll, Taylor, Larson, Sunderman, Pearson, Krieser, Bills-Strand and Carlson voting 'yes').

The Planning Commission's action is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a Letter of Appeal with the City Clerk within 14 days of the date of the action by the Planning Commission.

Attachment

cc: Building & Safety
    Rick Peo, City Attorney
    Public Works
    Randy Ewing, P.O. Box 95109, 68509
    El Rincon, LLC, 211 N. 70th Street, 68505
    Christine Jackson, Wilderness Ridge H.O. Assn., 9030 Whispering Wind Road, 68512
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RESOLUTION NO. PC-00951

SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 05044

WHEREAS, El Rincon, L.L.C. has submitted an application designated as Special Permit No. 05044 for authority to sell alcoholic beverages for consumption on the premises generally located at South 27th Street and Jamie Lane, legally described as:

Lot 2, Pine Lake Heights South 13th Addition, Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska; and

WHEREAS, the real property adjacent to the area included within the site plan for this permit to sell alcoholic beverages on the premises will not be adversely affected; and

WHEREAS, said site plan together with the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth are consistent with the intent and purpose of Title 27 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to promote the public health, safety, and general welfare.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission of Lincoln, Nebraska:

That the application of El Rincon, L.L.C., hereinafter referred to as "Permittee", to sell alcoholic beverages for consumption on the premises on property legally described above be and the same is hereby granted under the provisions of
Section 27.63.680 of the Lincoln Municipal Code upon condition that operation of said licensed premises be in strict compliance with said application, the site plan, and the following additional express terms, conditions, and requirements:

1. This permit approves the sale of alcohol for consumption on the premises of the restaurant as designated on the site plan.

2. Before receiving building permits:
   a. The Permittee must submit the six copies of a revised site plan to the Planning Department for review and approval to include:
      i. The legal description.
      ii. A north arrow.
      iii. Label South 27th Street.
      iv. Designate the licensed premises by cross-hatching or shading.
   b. The construction plans must comply with the approved plans.

3. Before the sale of alcohol for consumption on the premises, all development and construction must conform to the approved plans.

4. The site plan approved by this permit shall be the basis for all interpretations of setbacks, yards, locations of buildings, location of parking and circulation elements, and similar matters.

5. The terms, conditions, and requirements of this resolution shall be binding and obligatory upon the Permittee, its successors, and assigns. The building
official shall report violations to the City Council which may revoke the special permit or
take such other action as may be necessary to gain compliance.

6. The Permittee shall sign and return the City's letter of acceptance
to the City Clerk within 30 days following approval of the special permit, provided,
however, said 30-day period may be extended up to six months by administrative
amendment. The City Clerk shall file a copy of the resolution approving the special
permit and the letter of acceptance with the Register of Deeds, filing fees therefor to be
paid in advance by the Permittee.

The foregoing Resolution was approved by the Lincoln City-Lancaster
County Planning Commission on this 14th day of September, 2005.

ATTEST:

[Signature]
Chair

Approved as to Form & Legality:

[Signature]
Chief Assistant City Attorney
TO: Mayor Coleen Seng  
Lincoln City Council

FROM: Jean Walker, Planning

DATE: September 16, 2005

RE: Special Permit No. 05036  
(Off-sale - Tobacco Shack, N. 63rd Street and Havelock Avenue)  
Resolution No. PC-00950

The Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission took the following action at their regular meeting on Wednesday, September 14, 2005:

Motion made by Bills-Strand, seconded by Pearson, to approve Special Permit No. 05036, with conditions, requested by Amelia Snavely, for authority to sell alcoholic beverages for consumption off the premises at the Tobacco Shack generally located at North 63rd Street and Havelock Avenue.

Motion for approval, with conditions, carried 9-0 (Esseks, Carroll, Taylor, Larson, Sunderman, Pearson, Krieser, Bills-Strand and Carlson voting 'yes').

The Planning Commission's action is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a Letter of Appeal with the City Clerk within 14 days of the date of the action by the Planning Commission.

Attachment

cc: Building & Safety
Rick Peo, City Attorney
Public Works
Angela Coffey, 12205 W. Bluff Rd., Malcolm, NE 68402
Gas 'N Shop d/b/a Tobacco Shack #67, P.O. Box 81463, 68501
Amelia Snavely, 6440 Knox Street, 68507
Rick Albro, Havelock N.A., 6642 Morrill Avenue, 68507
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RESOLUTION NO. PC-00950

SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 05036

WHEREAS, Amelia Snively has submitted an application designated as Special Permit No. 05036 for authority to sell alcoholic beverages for consumption off the premises at the Tobacco Shack generally located at North 63rd Street and Havelock Avenue, legally described as:

Lots 22, 23, and 24, Block 16, Havelock, Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska; and

WHEREAS, the real property adjacent to the area included within the site plan for this permit to sell alcoholic beverages off the premises will not be adversely affected; and

WHEREAS, said site plan together with the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth are consistent with the intent and purpose of Title 27 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to promote the public health, safety, and general welfare.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission of Lincoln, Nebraska:

That the application of Amelia Snively, hereinafter referred to as "Permittee", to sell alcoholic beverages for consumption off the premises on property legally described above be and the same is hereby granted under the provisions of
Section 27.63.685 of the Lincoln Municipal Code upon condition that operation of said licensed premises be in strict compliance with said application, the site plan, and the following additional express terms, conditions, and requirements:

1. This permit approves the sale of alcohol for consumption off the premises as shown on the attached site plan.

2. Before receiving building permits the construction plans must comply with the approved plans.

3. Before the sale of alcohol for consumption off the premises, all development and construction must conform to the approved plans.

4. The site plan approved by this permit shall be the basis for all interpretations of setbacks, yards, locations of buildings, location of parking and circulation elements, and similar matters.

5. The terms, conditions, and requirements of this resolution shall be binding and obligatory upon the Permittee, its successors, and assigns. The building official shall report violations to the City Council which may revoke the special permit or take such other action as may be necessary to gain compliance.

6. The Permittee shall sign and return the City’s letter of acceptance to the City Clerk within 30 days following approval of the special permit, provided, however, said 30-day period may be extended up to six months by administrative amendment. The City Clerk shall file a copy of the resolution approving the special permit and the letter of acceptance with the Register of Deeds, filing fees therefor to be paid in advance by the Permittee.
The foregoing Resolution was approved by the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission on this 14 day of September, 2005.

ATTEST:

[Signature]
Chair

Approved as to Form & Legality:

[Signature]
Chief Assistant City Attorney
PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION
NOTIFICATION

TO : Mayor Coleen Seng
     Lincoln City Council

FROM : Jean Walker, Planning

DATE : September 20, 2005

RE : Preliminary Plat No. 04017 - Twin Pines Addition
     (S.W. 33rd Street and West Denton Road)
     Resolution No. PC-00952

The Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission took the following action at their regular meeting on Wednesday, September 14, 2005:

Motion made by Bills-Strand, seconded by Carroll, to approve Preliminary Plat No. 04017, Twin Pines Addition, with conditions, requested by Marvin Hoy, to develop 39 residential acreage lots, including a request to waive the requirements of the design standards for storm water detention and block length, on property generally located at S.W. 33rd Street and West Denton Road.

Motion for approval, with conditions, carried 9-0: Esseks, Carroll, Taylor, Larson, Sunderman, Pearson, Krieser, Bills-Strand and Carlson voting 'yes'.

The Planning Commission action on this preliminary plat is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a Letter of Appeal with the City Clerk within 14 days of the date of the action by the Planning Commission.

Attachment

cc: Building & Safety
    Rick Peo, City Attorney
    Public Works
    Karen Brouwer, 4320 W. Raymond Road, Raymond, NE 68428
    J. Michael Rierden, 645 M Street, Suite 200, 68508
    Marjorie Kniefi, Pester Ridge Neighborhood, 6500 S.W. 25th St., 68523
    Amy Chandler, Pester Ridge Neighborhood, 6400 S.W. 25th St., 68523
    Lyle Loth, ESP, 601 Old Cheney Road, Suite A, 68512
    Marvin Hoy, 2611 Surrey Court, 68512
RESOLUTION NO. PC-00952

WHEREAS, Marvin Hoy has submitted the preliminary plat of Twin Pines Addition for acceptance and approval together with a request to waive the requirements of the design standards for storm water detention and block length on property generally located at S.W. 33rd Street and West Denton Road; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Director has recommended conditional approval of said preliminary plat.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission that the preliminary plat of Twin Pines Addition, generally located at S.W. 33rd Street and West Denton Road as submitted by Marvin Hoy is hereby accepted and approved, subject to the following terms and conditions:

1. Revise the preliminary plat as follows:
   a. Provide a metes and bounds description for the area of the preliminary plat.
   b. Show the existing 25' LES easement along SW 32nd St.
   c. Delete ornamental lighting, street trees, sidewalks and landscaping from the list of waivers in Note 11. These waivers are not necessary.
   d. Delete the City Council approval block.
   e. Correct the right-of-way note along SW 33rd St. on the site plan.
   f. Change "tower" to "non-directional beacon" for the easement on Lots 2 & 3, Block 5
   g. Label the 100 year water surface elevation for Lots 6 & 7, Block 6 on the grading plan.
   h. Make corrections to the satisfaction of Lancaster County Engineering Department.
i. Provide 175' of frontage for Lots 1 & 2, Block 1 and Lots 6 & 10, Block 3. The frontage is calculated at the front yard setback line.

j. Show the dimension at the front yard setback for Lots 1 & 2, Block 1, and Lots 6 & 7, Block 3.

k. Delete the frontage waiver.

l. Add "block length" to the list of waivers in Note 11 on Sheet 1.

2. Final plats will be approved by the Planning Director after the Subdivider has completed or posted a surety to guarantee the completion of the public streets, land preparation and grading, sediment and erosions control measures, drainageway improvements, temporary turnaround and barricades, and street name signs, and the Subdivider has signed an agreement that binds the Subdivider, and Subdivider's successors and assigns:

a. to complete the street paving of public streets shown on the final plat within two (2) years following the approval of the final plat.

b. to complete the installation of the street name signs within two (2) years following the approval of the final plat.

c. to timely complete any other public or private improvement or facility required by Chapter 26.23 (Development Standards) of the Land Subdivision Ordinance which inadvertently may have been omitted from the above list of required improvements.

d. to submit to the Director of Public Works a plan showing proposed measures to control sedimentation and erosion and the proposed method to temporarily stabilize all graded land for approval.

e. to complete the public improvements shown on the preliminary plat.

f. to submit to the lot buyers and home builders a copy of the soil analysis.

g. to comply with the provisions of the Land Preparation and Grading requirements of the Land Subdivision Ordinance.
h. to relinquish the right of direct vehicular access from those lots that abut West Denton Road, Southwest 33rd Street, and Pleasant Hill Road except for Lots 4 & 5, Block 2.

i. to maintain County roads until the County Board specifically accepts the maintenance.

j. to submit to all potential purchasers of lots a copy of the ground water report from a test well on each lot.

3. The Land Subdivision Ordinance provisions regarding storm water detention and maximum block length are hereby waived.

DATED: September 14, 2005.

ATTEST:

/S/ Original signed by
Jon Carlson
Chair

Approved as to Form & Legality:

Chief Assistant City Attorney
Tammy:

Please put the following email into our packets. I also included you on my response to the Wunderlichs.

Jon

Jon Camp
Lincoln City Council
City Council Office: 441-8793
Constituent representative: Darrell Podany

-----Original Message-----
From: Wunconsult
To: CAMP JON; council@ci.lincoln.ne.us
Cc: rfigard@ci.lincoln.ne.us; rhoskins@lincoln.ne.gov
Sent: Sat, 17 Sep 2005 5:18:10 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Subject: Pioneers Blvd Medians

Mr Camp,
We wanted to address the current testimony going on for changing the 1998 ordinance to add raised medians along Pioneers Blvd between 70th Street and 84 Street. There is definitely valid reason to change the ordinance given the explosion of residential construction south of Pioneers. There is a lot more traffic to warrant revising the design.

Our main concern is for kids being able to safely cross Pioneers Blvd in order to get to Lux Middle School. The only controlled crossing that exists today is at 84th Street which requires crossing 7 lanes of traffic. There is actually insufficient time for a pedestrian to get across all 7 lanes. A traffic light at Lucille/76th Street would be too far West for kids in our subdivision along with the Nebraska Nurseries subdivision to cross in order to get to Lux Middle School. If there is no raised median, there would be no safe zone for pedestrians to cross Pioneers between 84th Street and Lucille/76th.

We would urge you to vote in favor of the amended ordinance adding raised medians as presented by Mr. Figard and Mr. Hoskins.

Sincerely,

Ross and Liz Wunderlich
4825 Mandarin Circle
Lincoln, NE 68516
Phone: (402) 484-6967
Ross and Liz:

Thank you for your thoughts on the Pioneers Blvd. median issue. I understand your concerns and will consider these as we visit more with Public Works.

Your particular concern for children/pedestrians crossing to go to Lux and north, raise the issue of a pedestrian crossing and lights, which might encompass a "safe roost" in the middle of Pioneers Blvd.

As far as medians the entire length, I have not been persuaded at this time that the 1998 ordinance should be changed.

I will forward your thoughts to Public Works and share them with my colleagues.

Again, thank you for your input.

Best regards,

Jon

Jon Camp
Lincoln City Council
City Council Office: 441-8793
Constituent representative: Darrell Podany

-----Original Message-----
From: Wunconsult
To: CAMP JON; council@ci.lincoln.ne.us
Cc: rfigard@ci.lincoln.ne.us; rhoskins@lincoln.ne.gov
Sent: Sat, 17 Sep 2005 5:18:10 PM Eastern Daylight Time
Subject: Pioneers Blvd Medians

Mr Camp,
We wanted to address the current testimony going on for changing the 1998 ordinance to add raised medians along Pioneers Blvd between 70th Street and 84 Street. There is definitely valid reason to change the ordinance given the explosion of residential construction south of Pioneers. There is a lot more traffic to warrant revising the design.
Our main concern is for kids being able to safely cross Pioneers Blvd in order to get to Lux Middle School. The only controlled crossing that exists today is at 84th Street which requires crossing 7 lanes of traffic. There is actually insufficient time for a pedestrian to get across all 7 lanes. A traffic light at Lucille/76th Street would be too far West for kids in our subdivision along with the Nebraska Nurseries subdivision to cross in order to get to Lux Middle School. If there is no raised median, there would be no safe zone for pedestrians to cross Pioneers between 84th Street and Lucille/76th.

We would urge you to vote in favor of the amended ordinance adding raised medians as presented by Mr. Figard and Mr. Hoskins.

Sincerely,

Ross and Liz Wunderlich
4825 Mandarin Circle
Lincoln, NE 68516
Phone: (402) 484-6967
Tammy:

Share with my colleagues.

Jon

Jon Camp
Office: 402-474-1838
Home: 402-489-1001
Cell: 402-560-1001
Email: JonCampCC@aol.com

-----Original Message-----
From: Dave Anderson <dareon@juno.com>
To: joncampcc@aol.com
Sent: Tue, 20 Sep 2005 20:33:16 GMT
Subject: Theaters

Jon:
I know that its axiomatic in a free economy that competition is beneficial cuz it usually results in better products/services at a lesser cost. But there are some exceptions to the rule where extenuating circumstances apply. The Douglas Theatre chain may be one of them. I go to a movie, on average, once per week. I spend a lot of time and money in the Douglas Theatres and have never been treated anything but fairly and courteously. Moreover, the theaters are clean and inviting and the caliber of furnishings and equipment is always first rate. I doubt that competition from another chain will improve the Douglas family operation.
If the City agreed, in fact, or tacitly, to not allow another movie chain into
or near the downtown area so that Douglas would invest its capital in what has
become the Grand Theatre, then the Council should abide by that agreement. If
no such agreement exists, then I would have to ask whether we risk hurting the
downtown area AND do we need any more movie screens in Lincoln, especially when
there aren't that many good films? When you read the trade publications re
movies all you ever see is how the movie going public has diminished over the
years due to advances in technology for home entertainment and the increasing
cost of a trip to the movies. I think it very likely that the Douglas family
will have to close at least one and probably two locations if Eiger has its way.
I recommend you tell Eiger "no".

Dave

ECK is the background music of life!
Visit www.eckankar.org
Mr. Rinke:

Councilperson Jonathan Cook forwarded to Public Works your email regarding your concerns for traffic speeds along W. 'A' Street from Coddington Avenue west. In response to your request and due to the fact that we know this roadway has been experiencing several changes related to new development, our office again conducted a Speed Study for W. 'A' Street at SW 24th Street. Previous Speed Studies were conducted in 1998 and in 2004. This latest study conducted last week continues to indicate that the existing posted speed limit of 45 mph is appropriate. The incident you describe with the truck sideswiping the young boy is something which obviously gives us great concern. However, the relationship to this unsupervised young person and the posted speed limit have very little to do with each other and one point you must remember is that it doesn't matter which part of the City we're talking about or whose kid this might be, we evaluate every situation consistently and we treat every situation as if it were our own children. Countless studies have shown that motorists will drive the speed at which they feel comfortable, regardless of the posted speed limit. Granted, there are some motorists who will drive the speed limit whether it is reasonable or not, but most drivers take into account the surrounding friction along the roadway when selecting their travel speed. There are few driveways or intersecting streets along this section and only an occasional pedestrian. As far as the ability or inability of pedestrians to cross W. 'A' Street, even with the existing 45 mph speed limit, during most of the day, there are more than enough safe gaps in the traffic stream to allow for them to cross. In
addition, children who originate north of W. 'A' Street between SW 24th and Coddington who are destined for Roper Elementary School, should be crossing at the traffic signal located at W. 'A' & Coddington. Sidewalks were added to connect that neighborhood to the sidewalks along Coddington which lead directly to the school. This is also an option for any other pedestrians who wish to cross W. 'A' Street.

We will be continue to do follow up studies as conditions change in this portion of southwest Lincoln. As development takes place along W. 'A' Street and as pedestrian activity and vehicular activity from these new developments increase, we will re-evaluate the situation. Please do not hesitate to stay in contact with us, especially if you begin to see a major change in traffic patterns which appears to be consistent. You may contact me directly either by email or by phone at 441-7851. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Scott A. Opfer, Manager
Traffic & Engineering Services Operations

InterLinc: City Council Feedback for
Jonathan Cook

Name: Don Rinke
Address: 1401 SW 24th
City: Lincoln, NE 68522
Phone: 402-610-2317
Fax:
Email: dlrinke@hotmail.com

Comment or Question:
I talk to you about a year or 2 ago about the speed limit on west A st being to high 45 tonight a young boy got hit he is alright the truck was able to stop the boy got side swiped by the truck the boys parents got a ticket for child endangerment for not watching the kid and the driver of the truck didn't get a ticket, because there are no cross walk markings on the road so the pedestrians don’t have the right away. There are only two ways for pedestrians to cross A st to the north housing units, that is on southwest 24th, and west A, and Coddington and A which has cross walks. The safety issue is the speed limit is 45 through a residential neighborhood, which isn't a county road anymore, and the kids are having to wait to cross the street because traffic is moving to fast, and trying to decide when to cross the street. I have noticed an increase in pedestrian traffic walking west on west A to timber ridge. We've talked about this before, and we think safety is more important than federal funding for street improvement. Maybe cross walk markings and pedestrian signs on south west 24th and A street would make it safer for pedestrians to cross at this intersection. Vehicle traffic is increasing because the neighborhood is growing. The neighborhood would appreciate it if you lowered the speed limit on west A street. These aren't your kids or the city engineers kids crossing A street, and if they were, Im sure
the speed limit would be lowered. Thank you for your time, and I hope we can get something accomplished this time.
Tammy: Please share with the rest of the Council if they did not receive this. Thanks.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: "Michael Goodrich" <mgoodri@lps.org>
To: <pnewman@lincoln.ne.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 21, 2005 10:57 AM
Subject: City Planning

>I understand that city planning effects many subgroups within the city and
>we all have our personal interests. However, I'd like you consider the
>logic of blocking a multiplex theatre in Southeast Lincoln and allowing
>another Wal-mart in Northeast Lincoln. The business owners in downtown
>Lincoln are against a theatre for fear it will hurt the downtown economy.
>I agree it may. I'm afraid surrounding the city of Lincoln with Wal-marts
>will hurt the economy of every small business owner in the city who has to
>compete with them, including those that sell hardware downtown. Most
>affected will be those in the Meadowlaine and Havelock communities. I don't
>understand how it can be both ways. Either both requests should be denied
>or both should be allowed. If you deny then it's business as usual and big
>business will need to revise their plans and try again or allow both
>requests and put others out of business.
>
>Michael Goodrich
>2844 N. 78th
>Lincoln
>
>--
>No virus found in this incoming message.
>Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
>Version: 7.0.344 / Virus Database: 267.11.3/107 - Release Date: 9/20/2005
>
Dear, Mr. Henry: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Tammy J. Grammer
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508
Phone: 402-441-6867
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: tgrammer@lincoln.ne.gov

This may be a little late for your consideration, but I pass it on anyway. First, I do not believe the agreement to protect the large theater was proper, but it is in place and should be honored. That said, it should not be honored forever, and some trigger should be established for it to fade away. I would suggest some level of population for the City—not an SMA or the County, but the actual City Limits—like 225,000 or 250,000. I think a date could be used, but if growth slows down, it may be harmful. Perhaps some combination could be used. I do firmly encourage you to select a threshold that could be reached at some comfortable future date that could largely honor the current agreement, yet give some guidance to developers.

Patrick Henry
1460 Buckingham Dr.
Lincoln, NE 68506
402-488-8098
Dear Mr. Johnson Jr.: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Tammy J. Grammer
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508
Phone: 402-441-6867
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: tgrammer@lincoln.ne.gov

jwjr@concentric.net

Dear Council Members!

Next week, I depend upon you to demonstrate that you are NOT in the pocket of David Livingston and the Douglas Theatre Corporation. For twenty years, by ordinance, Douglas has monopolized film entertainment in Lincoln. City government has created a monopoly and, with The Grand, used eminent domain and tax increment financing to allow one company to totally dominate the Lincoln market. What's next? A special ordinance to make sure Swoboda's lawn service gets all the right neighborhoods? Or Jon Camp's investments in the Haymarket can not be challenged?

If you turn down the application for a megaplex at 84th and Highway 2, you will affirm that, first, you are all in Livingston's pocket, and, second, you are corrupt to the core!

Some of you may remember the free enterprise system. Supply and demand. The sort of concepts that make America work? That make this nation -- and this city -- committed to Capitalism?

We have an investor willing to take a risk. You have a city out-of-town "expert" who says a megaplex outside of downtown will fail. Who cares? We have an investor willing to take a risk! That's better than Livingston and Douglas Theatres -- they don't take risks because for twenty years they have convinced councils and mayors that they offer the best entertainment options and those options should be concentrated in downtown -- a downtown that really never works and is avoided as much as possible by those who do not live and work in downtown.
I am Bcc'ing this to other Lincoln residents. I am asking them to LET YOU ALL KNOW that a city council created monopoly is unacceptable -- and that you council members WILL be held accountable. I am asking them to create a chain letter to all of their friends and put you on notice that although we may not be able to attend and testify against what you clearly intend to do -- turn down the investor’s application -- we will remember and when you attempt reelection, we will remember. Dave Livingston and his bride have had their way with you for too, too long. It’s time to get out of their bed (and accept their money) and let free enterprise flourish. YOU council members are the problem. Get out of the way -- and stop sucking up to Douglas Theatres!

Lt Col Joseph W. Johnson, Jr., USAF Retired
2800 Woods Boulevard, No. 908
Lincoln, NE 68502-5844

402-423-1447
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for General Council

Name: Jeffrey Fields
Address: 530 Surfside Drive
City: Lincoln, NE 68528

Comment or Question:
Reference Theater Policy:
What planet does the Lincoln City Council come from? What happened to a free market? Why are you protecting one business owner over another? I'm personally tired of the theater monopoly you have allowed to occur. I've been dissatisfied with Douglas Theater Company and more or less the company told me to kiss off. That attitude would never have occurred in a free market! Council Person Annette McRoy, if you want my support in the future I believe I've made my position clear. LET CAPITALISM WORK!
Dear, Mr. Yant: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Tammy J. Grammer  
City Council Office  
555 S. 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE  68508  
Phone:  402-441-6867  
Fax:  402-441-6533  
e-mail:  tgrammer@lincoln.ne.gov

RogerYant@aol.com

RogerYant@aol.com
09/20/05 08:10 AM To council@ci.lincoln.ne.us
cc
Subject  Theatres

Dear Council Members!

Next week, I depend upon you to demonstrate that you are NOT in the pocket of David Livingston and the Douglas Theatre Corporation. For twenty years, by ordinance, Douglas has monopolized film entertainment in Lincoln. City government has created a monopoly and, with The Grand, used eminent domain and tax increment financing to allow one company to totally dominate the Lincoln market. What's next? A special ordinance to make sure Swoboda's lawn service gets all the right neighborhoods? Or Jon Camp's investments in the Haymarket can not be challenged?

If you turn down the application for a megaplex at 84th and Highway 2, you will affirm that, first, you are all in Livingston's pocket, and, second, you are corrupt to the core!

Some of you may remember the free enterprise system. Supply and demand. The sort of concepts that make America work? That make this nation -- and this city -- committed to Capitalism?

We have an investor willing to take a risk. You have a city out-of-town "expert" who says a megaplex outside of downtown will fail. Who cares? We
have an investor willing to take a risk! That's better than Livingston and Douglas Theatres -- they don't take risks because for twenty years they have convinced councils and mayors that they offer the best entertainment options and those options should be concentrated in downtown -- a downtown that really never works and is avoided as much as possible by those who do not live and work in downtown.

I am Bcc'ing this to other Lincoln residents. I am asking them to LET YOU ALL KNOW that a city council created monopoly is unacceptable -- and that you council members WILL be held accountable. I am asking them to create a chain letter to all of their friends and put you on notice that although we may not be able to attend and testify against what you clearly intend to do -- turn down the investor's application -- we will remember and when you attempt reelection, we will remember. Dave Livingston and his bride have had their way with you for too, too long. It's time to get out of their bed (and accept their money) and let free enterprise flourish. YOU council members are the problem. Get out of the way -- and stop sucking up to Douglas Theatres!

Lt Col Joseph W. Johnson, Jr., USAF Retired
2800 Woods Boulevard, No. 908
Lincoln, NE 68502-5844
402-423-1447
Roger Yant
5640 Hunts Dr.
Lincoln, NE. 68512
580-1402
Regard the proposed theatre.

I resent the way the Grand was built. At least the owner of the Marz bar had the guts to stand up for what was right even if no one else did.

For this reason I have not attended a movie at the Grande. I will withhold my patronage in protest. I know that will have exactly zero influence on city policy but it keeps the people at Blockbuster happy.

The use of eminent domain to build the Grande and enrich its owner at the expense of the taxpayer was wrong and the continued monopoly on multiplex theaters is wrong as well.

Do the right thing and change a bad law. And quite holding tax increases over our heads if the Grande should stumble. You are the ones who lead the city down this path. Stand up and take responsibility for once.
David Oenbring

2630 S 13th St

Lincoln, NE 68502

402-474-4300
Dear Mr. Stoddard:  Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration.  Thank you for your input on this issue.

Tammy J. Grammer  
555 S. 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE  68508  
Phone:   402-441-6867  
Fax:          402-441-6533  
e-mail:     tgrammer@lincoln.ne.gov

Thomas Stoddard <tstoddard2003@yahoo.com>

Dear City Council:

As a resident and tax payer in Northeast Lincoln, I wish to voice my opposition to a third Wal-Mart in Lincoln. I would like to see a smaller more neighborhood friendly development at the 84Th and Adams Street location. 2 is Enough!

Sincerely,

Thomas M. Stoddard  
7945 Dawson Creek Drive  
Lincoln, NE 68505  
Ph #402-429-3965

Yahoo! for Good  
Click here to donate to the Hurricane Katrina relief effort.
Dear, Edwin K. Aasen III: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Tammy J. Grammer  
City Council Office  
555 S. 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE 68508  
Phone: 402-441-6867  
Fax: 402-441-6533  
e-mail: tgrammer@lincoln.ne.gov

Eaasen3@aol.com

Dear Council Members:

I just wanted to take a moment to thank each of you for your desire to listen to the community regarding the change of ordinance to allow raised medians along Pioneers Blvd. I appreciate very much your standing up for not only the business owners but also the residence along Pioneers Blvd. and for your unanimous vote against the raised medians.

Sincerely,

Edwin K. Aasen III  
President: Pioneer Gymnastics Academy
Tammy J. Grammer
City Council Office
555 S. 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508
Phone: 402-441-6867
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: tgrammer@lincoln.ne.gov

"Teresa Mulkey-Predmore" <tmpredmore@neb.rr.com>

I just received a note on the front door of my home on the above subject from someone calling themselves "2 is Enough". The first time I saw this information was at a Russ's store and the Russ's owner was asking customers to sign a petition against adding another Wal-Mart in Lincoln. I have written to the council on other occasions stating that I am very much in favor of a super Wal-Mart being built in my neighborhood. I find it very interesting that Russ's is trying to get people in my neighborhood to e-mail the city council and urge you to vote against adding another Wal-Mart. We have seven Russ's and four Super Saver's currently in Lincoln!!! Mr. Raybold was not interested in building on 84th Street, AND he does not want Wal-Mart too either. I am guessing he is not an expert in marketing considering the number of homes/apartments from "O" to Adams Streets. The residents in this area would also enjoy convenient shopping. I think 7/4 IS enough. Lincoln does not need anymore Russ's or Super Savers - we would very much like more super Wal-Marts though. Please vote to allow Wal-Mart to build a super center in my neighborhood. Thank-you for your time and consideration.

Teresa Predmore
7933 Yellow Knife Dr.
Lincoln, NE 68505
ADDENDUM
TO
DIRECTORS' AGENDA
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 2005

I. MAYOR

1. NEWS ADVISORY - RE: Mayor Seng’s Public Schedule Week of September 24 through 30, 2005-Schedule subject to change -(See Advisory)

II. CITY CLERK - NONE

III. CORRESPONDENCE

A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE

PATTE NEWMAN

1. E-Mail from Jerrie Michaelson sent to Patte Newman - RE: Opinion-Theater & Wal-Mart -(See E-Mail)

2. E-Mail from Carol Ann Clark sent to Patte Newman - RE: Lincoln’s Theater Policy -(See E-Mail)

3. E-Mail from Bill Kobza sent to Patte Newman - RE: Theaters -(See E-Mail)

4. E-Mail from Kathy Wilson sent to Patte Newman - RE: Theaters -(See E-Mail)

5. E-Mail from Eleanor Brooks sent to Patte Newman - RE: Theater Policy -(See E-Mail)

B. DIRECTORS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS - NONE

C. MISCELLANEOUS

1. E-Mail from Jorja Brazda-Witters, Near South Board Member - RE: Theater Policy -(See E-Mail)

2. E-Mail from Bob & Sue Semerena and the girls - RE: Access to 56th Street-North from the 56th & Pine Lake area -(See E-Mail)
3. E-Mail from Cathy Beecham - RE: Theater Policy -(See E-Mail)
4. E-Mail from Arlyn Rawson - RE: Theater Policy -(See E-Mail)
5. E-Mail from Patrick Henry - RE: Theater Policy -(See E-Mail)
6. E-Mail from Coralee Carver - RE: Maintain Existing Theater Policy -(See E-Mail)
7. E-Mail from Dallas Jones - RE: Theater Policy -(See E-Mail)
8. E-Mail from Scott Baird - RE: Theater Policy -(See E-Mail)
10. E-Mail from Brandon Silverstein - RE: Theater Policy -(See E-Mail)
11. E-Mail from Gary Rikli - RE: Theater Policy -(See E-Mail)
Date: September 23, 2005
Contact: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 441-7831
         Dave Norris, Citizen Information Center, 441-7547.

Mayor Seng’s Public Schedule
Week of September 24 through 30, 2005
   Schedule subject to change

Saturday, September 24
•  Volunteer at Food Share - 7:30 a.m., 4th Presbyterian Church, 5200 Francis
•  Women and Money Conference, remarks - 9 a.m., UNL City Campus Union, 12th and “R” streets
•  Lincoln Arts Festival, choose “Mayor’s Choice” award - 10 a.m., SouthPointe Pavilions west parking lot
•  Hispanic Community Festival, proclamation - 12:30 p.m., Lancaster Event Center, 4100 North 48th Street

Sunday, September 25
•  Lincoln Neighborhood Alliance “Celebrate Neighborhood Week,” remarks and proclamation - 2:30 p.m., Antelope Park near playground
•  Citizen Corps CERT (Community Emergency Response Team) potluck picnic, remarks - 4 p.m., Oak Lake Park

Tuesday, September 27
•  KLIN - 8:120 a.m., 4343 “O” Street
•  Tajikistan Ambassador to the U.S. - 1:30 p.m., Mayor’s Office, 555 South 10th Street

Wednesday, September 28
•  Lincoln-Omaha joint City Council meeting - 2 p.m., County-City Building, Room 113, 555 South 10th Street

Thursday, September 29
•  WasteCap Nebraska Reduction and Recycling meeting, remarks - 8 a.m., Holiday Inn Downtown, 141 North 9th Street
•  KFOR - 12:30 p.m., 3800 Cornhusker Highway
•  Randolph Elementary School grand reopening and 80th birthday, remarks and proclamation - 6 p.m., 1024 South 37th Street

Friday, September 30
•  Scooter’s Coffeehouse grand opening - 10:45 a.m., 206 South 19th Street
•  Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual and Transgender History Month, remarks - 11:45 a.m., UNL City Campus Union, 12th and “R” streets
Tammy J Grammer/Notes
09/23/05 10:15 AM

To: CouncilPacket/Notes@Notes
cc
bcc

Subject: Fw: Opinion

----- Forwarded by Tammy J Grammer/Notes on 09/23/05 10:17 AM -----

"Patte Newman"
<newman2003@neb.rr.com>
09/23/05 07:41 AM

To: <tgrammor@ci.lincoln.ne.us>
cc

Subject: Fw: Opinion

----- Original Message ----- 

From: Dan Michaelson
To: pnewman@lincoln.ne.gov
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2005 7:02 PM
Subject: Opinion

Please vote no to the request for a huge multiplex film complex. The agreement made with Douglas theatre needs to be honored.

Also, we do not need or want another Walmart (low quality goods, etc.) in north Lincoln. A grocery store would be great!

Jerrie Michaelson
3630 Doral Lane
Lincoln 68507
466-2267

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.344 / Virus Database: 267.11.5/110 - Release Date: 9/22/2005

No virus found in this outgoing message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.344 / Virus Database: 267.11.5/110 - Release Date: 9/22/2005
Tammy J Grammer/Notes
09/23/05 01:29 PM
To: CouncilPacket/Notes@Notes
cc
bcc
Subject: Fw: Lincoln's Theater Policy

----- Forwarded by Tammy J Grammer/Notes on 09/23/05 01:30 PM -----

"Pate Newman"
<n Newman2003@neb.rr.com>
09/23/05 12:57 PM
To: <tgrammer@ci.lincoln.ne.us>
cc
Subject: Fw: Lincoln's Theater Policy

Tammy: Please pass this on to the council. Thanks.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Carol Ann Clark
To: pnewman@lincoln.ne.gov
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2005 3:06 PM
Subject: Lincoln's Theater Policy

Please update Lincoln's Theater Policy to allow theaters with more than six screens to be built outside the downtown area.

Carol Ann Clark
521 Eldon Drive
Lincoln, NE 68510
402/327-0797

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.344 / Virus Database: 267.11.4/109 - Release Date: 9/21/2005
Tammy: Please forward to the Council if they did not receive this. Thanks.

----- Original Message -----  
From: Bill Kobza  
To: pnewman@lincoln.ne.gov  
Sent: Thursday, September 22, 2005 3:39 PM  
Subject: theaters  

I hate downtown Lincoln, I refuse to go to a movie there. If I had kids I wouldn't want them downtown with all the drunks and weirdo's. I know you want to keep it viable for the Universities sake. But enough is enough. Parking is inadequate and Douglas gives you a whole 50 cents off if you park in a parking garage. Plus the parking garages are kind of scary at night.

Maybe there is no such thing as a monopoly in Lincoln but one group owning all the theaters, and Woods and Home Reality owned by the same company. Doesn't leave much room for competition.

If you take your kids to a movie downtown what is there for me to do other than sit in a bar. At Southpoint I at least have a choice to shop or sit in a bar. Let's be fair to everybody in this town not just the people that own buildings downtown.

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Version: 7.0.344 / Virus Database: 267.11.4/109 - Release Date: 9/21/2005
Tammy: Please share with the Council.

----- Original Message ----- 
From: <kwilson@lps.org>
To: <pnewman@lincoln.ne.gov>
Sent: Friday, September 23, 2005 7:02 AM
Subject: theatres

> Patte,
> 
> I don't really see the need to change the policy on theatres. Right now
> we have too many theatres in the community. So I hope you will vote
> against a change in the policy.
> 
> Kathy Wilson

> --
> No virus found in this incoming message.
> Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
> Version: 7.0.344 / Virus Database: 267.11.5/110 - Release Date: 9/22/2005
> 
>
Tammy J Grammer /Notes

To: CouncilPacket/Notes@Notes

c

bcc

Subject: Fw: Vote on 9/26/05

----- Forwarded by Tammy J Grammer/Notes on 09/26/05 08:16 AM -----

"Patte Newman"

<newmen2003@neb.rr.com>

To: <tgrammer@ci.lincoln.ne.us>

cc

Subject: Fw: Vote on 9/26/05

Tammy: to share with the Council. Thanks.

----- Original Message ----- 

From: Robert Brooks

To: pnewman@lincoln.ne.gov

Sent: Friday, September 23, 2005 1:48 PM

Subject: Vote on 9/26/05

I am against the vote for the new theatre policy. I wish it to stay the same as it is now. Thanks.

Eleanor J. Brooks
6620 Colfax Ave.
Lincoln, NE 68507

No virus found in this incoming message.
Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.
Dear City Council Members:

I encourage you to support the existing theater policy that limits six screen theaters in newer neighborhoods and allows the multi-screen complex in downtown Lincoln. It is important that the city council continue to uphold their relationships with locally owned businesses and honor commitments to those who have invested in this area of our city.

It is remarkable that our city develops quickly in all areas but as you know we have limited public resources and income to maintain staff and infrastructure. As you consider the implications of increasing the business opportunities for large group activities, you must also consider the possible needs to support them. Police and fire response times, traffic control, and street maintenance are issues to explore. As you are aware, the downtown area is a great entertainment district which is close to needed services. As the city grows outward, the travel time for those support units grows and removes manpower from other areas.
I have been a long time member of the Near South Neighborhood - first as a UNL student and now as a resident in Mount Emerald. I love the downtown and remember it as a strong, vital retail area and have watched it grow into a strong restaurant/bar/financial area beautified by sculpture and architecture. Yet, it is surrounded by stressed and challenged neighborhoods that need immediate support staff and economic development.

It saddens me that the perception of our downtown and its sister neighborhoods is filled with stereotypes and ignorance. Investment in the downtown by business and UNL strengthens this core and directly addresses these impressions. It encourages many of us to continue to support downtown and our historic neighborhoods not only for the good of our financial investments but for the good of Lincoln.

Growth is inevitable but our time line for growth can be lengthened to allow us to catch up and financially support our decisions. Thoughtful planning for the future of all of Lincoln can not be understated. Please support the existing theater policy for all of Lincoln.

Jorja Brazda-Witters
Near South Board Member
Thank you for your diligence in your approach to the matter of north bound access to 56 street for those in our area. Because we travel 56 north to our shopping, work and for travel to church, any access to 56 N out of this addition is better than none.

We suggest Shadow Pines access may be beneficial to both the Shadow Pines development and to our addition. But actually, access via Madeyln is closer to our home and has been used to access N 56 from our neighborhood for 15 years. Our hope is for access by any reasonable means.

Thank you in advance. We are impressed by the interest you have taken in this important matter affecting our whole addition. (No reply is requested).

/s/ Bob and Sue Semerena and the girls
6922 South 56 Court
Dear Ms. Beecham:

Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Tammy J. Grammer
City Council Office
555 S. 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508
Phone: 402-441-6867
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: tgrammer@lincoln.ne.gov

Cathy Beecham <cathy_beecham@yahoo.com>

Cathy Beecham
<cathy_beecham@yahoo.com>
09/22/05 02:51 PM

To: council@lincoln.ne.gov
cc:
Subject: Theater Policy

Dear Council Members,

I am writing to express my support for the current Lincoln Theater policy which prevents huge multiplexes from going in outside of downtown. I think this policy is an important factor in keeping downtown as a destination for Lincolnites. The current policy allows for other theaters to have up to six screens - a number that I believe provides ample opportunity for fair competition. Lincoln has so many screens currently that some of it’s existing theaters are having trouble. The last thing we need is an 18-plex going in on the outskirts of town and drawing more people away from Lincoln’s core.

Please vote to retain the current theater policy in the city.
Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Cathy Beecham
2540 C Street
Lincoln, NE 68502

---

Do You Yahoo!?
Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
http://mail.yahoo.com
Dear Arlyn Rawson:

Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Tammy J. Grammer
City Council Office
555 S. 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508
Phone: 402-441-6887
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: tgrammer@lincoln.ne.gov

"Arlyn Rawson" <acrawson@hotmail.com>

Please record this as my opposition to changing the current theatre policy in Lincoln. We poured a lot of tax dollars into the Grand and it's my prediction if you allow a 20 screen AMC to open on Hwy 2, you'll soon find the Grand, EastPark and probably Edgewood closing. Please don't let that happen. We do not need more theatres. Please maintain current policy to not allow more than 6 screens outside the downtown area. I'll be watching your vote on 9/26. Arlyn Rawson
5521 Melrose Ave. Lincoln, Ne. 68506 acrawson@hotmail.com
Dear, Patrick Henry: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Tammy J. Grammer
City Council Office
555 S. 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508
Phone: 402-441-6867
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: tgrammer@lincoln.ne.gov

"patheny" <pheny@neb.rr.com>

"patheny"<pheny@neb.rr.com>
09/22/05 05:52 PM
To <council@lincoln.ne.gov>
cc
Subject Theaters

I indicated in an earlier e-mail that I am opposed to the agreement the City apparently has with the Douglas theater people. I believe the City has no right to subsidies any business entity in the City to the exclusion of others. While there are no other theater operators in town, the intent appears to have been to achieve a "viable downtown". I have no interest in any retail business or restaurant, and I do not understand why a "vibrant downtown" is needed over any other area of the City. The people with business interests should create the vitality, not tax dollars. Now it would not be fair to terminate the agreement on a moment's notice, but it is not fair to the people of Lincoln to keep the agreement in place forever. There must be some room for compromise, letting Douglas know the protection must terminate at some time in the future, and letting potential developers know the potential will become a reality at some point in the near future.

My wife and I seldom go to a movie downtown, as we find the parking inconvenient as well as an extra cost relative to those theaters in other parts of the city. We seldom dine out downtown, including the Haymarket area, as there are plenty of good restaurants located elsewhere. Why should our tax dollars be used to boost the business volume of enterprises we seldom use. I believe our neighbors are of the same opinion.
I notice the vitality of the business owners in the West O area is not of as much importance as that of Downtown or the Haymarket. They are not being given the same subsidy while the Harris Overpass is replaced. I do note that the proposed construction schedule, and the decision to close the entire overpass at once rather than building one-half at a time (leaving two way traffic continue) limits the negative parking impact on Haymarket businesses, but gives short shrift to a large number of small business owners. Again, I must state that I have no interest in any economic enterprise in the area, but am interested in seeing all Lincoln businessmen treated fairly and equally.

Patrick J. Henry
1460 Buckingham Dr.
Lincoln, NE 68506
402-488-8098
Dear Coralee Carver: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Tammy J. Grammer  
City Council Office  
555 S. 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE  68508  
Phone: 402-441-6867  
Fax: 402-441-6533  
e-mail: tgrammer@lincoln.ne.gov

"coralee carver" <coraleec@team-national.com>

09/23/05 12:12 PM

Subject: Maintain Existing Theater Policy

Dear Council Members,

When you vote on Monday, please vote to maintain the existing theater policy.

Thank You!

Coralee Carver
Dear, Dallas Jones: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Tammy J. Grammer  
City Council Office  
555 S. 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE 68508  
Phone: 402-441-6867  
Fax: 402-441-6533  
e-mail: tgrammer@lincoln.ne.gov

"Dallas D. Jones" <djones@baylorlaw.com>

Members of the City Council:

My family and I urge you to vote in support of the existing policy. While I am strong advocate of the free market system, there must be limits which encourage intelligent growth and development. Fortunately, Lincoln has had the wisdom and foresight to create policies and incentives that foster a strong downtown. Our downtown area is something that my clients and colleagues who fly in from afar remember about Lincoln. Allowing unchecked development in the fringe areas of Lincoln, which has the obvious affect of diminishing what has become a jewel of this community is unwise and detrimental. Please vote to support the current policy.

Dallas Jones  
1900 S. 25th
To communicate with sender:

Dallas D. Jones
Baylor, Evnen, Curtiss, Grimit & Witt, L.L.P.
1248 "O" Street, Suite 600
Lincoln, NE 68508

Telephone: 402/475-1075
Facsimile: 402-475-9515

e-mail: djones@baylorlaw.com
webpage: www.baylorlaw.com

***************************************************************

NOTICE: The information in this e-mail and any files attached are intended only for the addressee and may contain attorney-client confidential or privileged material or work product. If you believe you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender by return e-mail or notify the sender at one of the above telephone numbers. Any interception, review, retransmission, dissemination, or other use of this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is expressly prohibited.
Dear Mr. Baird: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Tammy J. Grammer
City Council Office
555 S. 10th Street
Lincoln, NE  68508
Phone: 402-441-6867
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: tgrammer@lincoln.ne.gov

"Scott Baird" <scottbaird@aya.yale.edu>

I am writing to ask that you support the current movie theater policy. The Douglas Theater company made a big investment in the downtown's vitality by building The Grand. The city should continue to be supportive. It is far too soon to change the rules of the game on The Grand.

Scott Baird
scottbaird@aya.yale.edu
Dear Mr. Dubas:

Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Tammy J. Grammer
City Council Office
555 S. 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508
Phone: 402-441-6867
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: tgrammar@lincoln.ne.gov

"Keith Dubas" <kwdubas@alltel.net>

Dear Council Members:

I believe that the present theater policy should be kept. It is one of the foundations of keeping the city center the entertainment core and has been one of the most successful components of the downtown plan. Please preserve it to keep a strong downtown, which is one of the characteristics that makes Lincoln attractive to other potential investors in the community.

Thank you,

Keith Dubas
DO NOT REPLY to this-
InterLinc
<nore@lincoln.ne.gov>
09/26/05 09:15 AM

InterLinc: City Council Feedback for
General Council

Name: Brandon Silverstein
Address: 2121 West Cove Drive
City: Lincoln, NE 68522
Phone: 402 770 6687
Fax: 
Email: bsilverstein@neb.rr.com

Comment or Question:
Two things.

1. The archaic movie theater policy is about as ridiculous as not being allowed to tie up your horse on a Sunday. A free-market economy is what fuels economic growth. Competition usually benefits the consumer. If the City of Lincoln used TIF and annexation to get themselves into this mess, it should not be used as a means to discourage new business just to save face.

Besides, the voters know that this ordinance is a thinly-veiled way to prevent Douglas Theaters from competing. They are a great company, and The Grand is great, but they should not be protected any more than banks, restaurants and other businesses.

I encourage you to vote to rescind this theater rule.

2. Is it possible to get a street light installed at West A and South Polsom? Every weekday A.M. and P.M. there is a two to three block line of cars that slowly move through that intersection. With the new developments on West A, the shopping center, schools and Lincoln Plating (semi-trucks coming through the intersection), there is a need for crosswalk lights and traffic lights for pedestrian and bicyclist safety as well as traffic control.

If someone wanted to do some research, they would just need to sit at the intersection of West A and South Polsom, or place a traffic metering box there to get an idea of how much that intersection is used.

I thank you in advance for your consideration regarding these issues.
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for General Council

Name: Gary Rikli
Address: 7606 Willard Ave
City: Lincoln, NE, 68507
Phone: 402-423-5777
Fax: 
Email: grikli@neb.rr.com

Comment or Question:
I am writing in reference to your vote on the movie theater monopoly Douglas theater has on Lincoln. No wonder they are screaming that AMC wants to build in Lincoln. It would take away from their hold they now have. Douglas says that they will lose money in downtown if AMC builds southeast. Well that's life. I have not gone downtown to see a movie or shop in years and why should I when I have Gateway and Southpoint to shop at and Omaha's Oakview Mall is only 30 minutes away with free parking. To me its all about free parking and ease of movement from store to store. Douglas has had a strangle hold on Lincoln for too long. Its about time for some competition in this town. I live in NE lincoln and we need that Wal-Mart you have been holding up in sub-committee for some time now. The longer you wait the more costly it becomes for them and us for infrastructure. Lets get going and get this built. If you want Omaha and Lincoln to get that combined designation you are so strongly after, you have to build that way.

Gary Rikli