CORRESPONDENCE
IN LIEU OF
DIRECTORS’ MEETING
MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2004

I. MAYOR

**1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Mayor Announces Plans For “Patriot Day-Lincoln Remembers” - (See Release)

II. CITY CLERK - NONE

III. CORRESPONDENCE

A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE

TERRY WERNER

1. OUTSTANDING Request to Vince Mejer, Purchasing Agent - RE: Notice to Bidders #04-110 – Television Equipment (RFI#132 - 6/16/04).


**3. Letter from Judy Anderson to Terry Werner - RE: Use Permit #04002- Application of Cameron Corporation to Develop 112 Dwelling Units at W. Fletcher Avenue & NW 12th/13th Street - (See Letter)

4. Request to Allan Abbott, Public Works & Utilities Director - RE: 11th & “O” Streets Intersection (RFI#137 - 8/31/04)

GLENN FRIENDT

1. OUTSTANDING Request to Don Herz, Finance / Dana Roper, Law - RE: Constituent inquiry regarding the bond issue (RFI#37 - 8/12/04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM DON HERZ, FINANCE DIRECTOR RECEIVED ON RFI#37 - 8/19/04. — 2.) SEE ADDITIONAL FOLLOW UP RESPONSE TO YOUR RFI#37-9/02/04 FROM DON HERZ, FINANCE DIRECTOR.


4. Letter from Glenn Friendt to Carl Eskridge, President, Board of Directors Lincoln Arts Council - RE: His letter dated August 20, 2004 - pleased to see that the Board is taking steps to respond to the letter sent to the Arts Council by the City Finance Director - (See Letter)

JONATHAN COOK


ANNETTE McROY

1. Request to Karl Fredrickson, Public Works & Utilities Department - RE: North 14th Street Improvements (RFI#154 - 9/01/04)

2. Request to Public Works & Utilities Department - RE: W. Adams Street between NW 48th & NW 53rd (RFI#155-9/01/04)

3. Request to Mayor’s Office - RE: Pedestrian & bike travel between the North Bottoms & Belmont commercial area (RFI#156 - 9/01/04)

B. DIRECTORS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS

FINANCE

*1. Letter from Mark Leikam, City of Lincoln Keno Auditor - RE: City of Lincoln’s level of keno activity - (See Letter)
FINANCE DEPARTMENT/CITY TREASURER

**1. Material from Don Herz, Finance Director & Melinda J. Jones, City Treasurer - RE: Resolution & Finance Department, Treasurer of Lincoln, Nebraska - Investments Purchased August 16 thru August 27, 2004.

FIRE DEPARTMENT

**1. Letter from Fire Chief Spadt to Florafae Schoen - RE: Emergency response to your area - (See Letter)

HEALTH DEPARTMENT

**1. News Release Information - RE: Bordetella Pertussis - (See Release)

PARKS & RECREATION DEPARTMENT

*1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Woods Pool To Remain Open Two More Weekends - Cool, wet weather lowers season attendance figures - (See Release)

PLANNING

**1. Material - RE: Street Vacation #04008 - Bill No. 04-156 - Public Hearing: September 13, 2004 - (See Material)

**2. Letter from Becky Horner to Michael R. Johnson, Olsson Associates - RE: Elizabeth Park North 1st Addition Final Plat #04079 - (See Letter)

PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION . . . .

*1. Special Permit No. 04034 (Health Care Facility - South 27th Street and Tamarin Ridge Road) Resolution No. PC-00885.

POLICE DEPARTMENT

**1. Response E-Mail from Joy Citta, Captain Center Team, Lincoln Police Department to Gail Steen - RE: Pink Dots on your Tires downtown -(See E-Mail)
PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT

*1. Response Letter from Allan Abbott to Deborah Cole; and Samuel Wineberg - RE: In response to your formal complaint letter dated June 21, 2004 - 4th Street Trunk Sewer Construction Project Progress Meeting - (See Letter)

*2. E-Mail from Steve Masters forwarded by Nicole Fleck-Tooze to City Council Members - RE: Service leak near 22nd & R Streets - (See E-Mail)

REAL ESTATE DIVISION

*1. InterOffice Memo from Clinton W. Thomas - RE: Street & Alley Vacation No. 4008 -21st Street between Y Street and the abandoned MoPac RR right-of-way - (See Memo)

C. MISCELLANEOUS

*1. E-Mail from Jason Faulkner - RE: Repeal Patriot Act -(See E-Mail)

*2. Faxed Material from Mary Rauner - RE: Object statement: To Repeal Lincoln City Ordinance Number 04-123, Also known as Lincoln Smoking Regulation Act, And Return The Law To How It Existed On June 1st, 2004 - (See Material)

*3. E-Mail from Stephen H. Johnson - RE: 48th & “O” Street Project - (See E-Mail)

*4. E-Mail from Jim Chambers, Senior Vice President-Financial Consultant RBC Dain Rauscher - RE: 48th & “O” Street Project - (See E-Mail)

*5. E-Mail from William & Cheryl Ross - RE: Special Permit No. 04035 - development of the Andrea’s Court Community Unit Plan - (See E-Mail)

**6. E-Mail from David Nelson, Change Control Manager, MDS Pharma Services, Inc. - RE: Possible suggestion to generate revenue - (See E-Mail)

**7. E-Mail from William Kerschner - RE: Thank you for bringing smokefree air to Lincoln! - (See E-Mail)
**8. E-Mail from Shirley A. Ritter - RE: Ballot with smoking ban - (See E-Mail)

**9. E-Mail from Lee Chaplin - RE: Auditor - (See E-Mail)

**10. Letter from Joe & Teri Sloup - RE: Andrea’s Court Community Unit Plan-Special Permit #04035 - 32 townhouse units and church - (See Letter)

**11. E-Mail from Stu & Denise Essman - RE: Andrea’s Court Community Unit Plan, Special Permit #04035 - (See E-Mail)

**12. E-Mail from Stan Kuta - RE: Our NW Highlands Assn. Appreciated their efforts and probing questions to bring out our concerns - (See E-Mail)

**13. E-Mail from Robert Converse - RE: Special Permit # 04035 - (See E-Mail)

**14. Letter & Material from Michael Kane - RE: His Supplemental Security Income (SSI) payment checks - (See Material)

**15. E-Mail from Dave Brady - RE: Bond Issue - (See E-Mail)

**16. Letter from Terry Bundy, LES - RE: Writing to let you know that the Omaha Public Power District (OPPD) will soon announce a project that is likely to be of interest to you and other area residents - (See Letter)

**17. Letter from Barbara L. Poppe - RE: Go Cart on city streets, on public sidewalks, darting between cars & vehicles - N. 58th Street - (See Letter)

IV. DIRECTORS

V. CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

VI. ADJOURNMENT
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OFFICE OF THE MAYOR  
555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 441-7511, fax 441-7120

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: September 1, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 441-7831

MAYOR ANNOUNCES PLANS FOR
"PATRIOT DAY – LINCOLN REMEMBERS"
AVI8ORS singing group to perform

Mayor Coleen J. Seng today invited Lincoln area residents to observe the third anniversary of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks on America by attending "Patriot Day – Lincoln Remembers," a free community memorial ceremony at 7:30 p.m. Friday, September 10 at the Veterans Memorial Garden in Antelope Park. The U.S. Congress has designated September 11 as "Patriot Day" and has asked local governments to observe the day with appropriate programs. This year's event will feature a concert by the "AVI8ORS" ensemble, a five-person group which presents a USO-style show featuring songs of the World War II era.

"It is important that we never forget the events of September 11," said Mayor Seng. "Our Patriot Day event is an opportunity to remember the victims of that day and to honor those who serve us as emergency responders and in the military."

The program is being organized by the City of Lincoln and the Mayor's Advisory Council for the Veterans Memorial Garden. The public is encouraged to arrive early to tour the Garden and its memorials. Music from Lincoln Pipe and Drum will begin at 7 p.m. The half-hour program beginning at 7:30 p.m. will include remarks from Mayor Seng, State Adjutant General Roger Lempke and Jeff McCullough, a cousin of Monte Hord of Central City who died in the Trade Center attacks. The AVI8ORS concert begins at 8 p.m.

Bench seating will be available, but those attending are encouraged to bring lawn chairs. Refreshments will be available in the enclosed shelter north of the Garden. Parking is available north and south of the Auld Recreation Center, with overflow parking available at the Folsom Children's Zoo. Handicapped parking is available south of Auld. The program will be interpreted for hearing impaired persons, who are invited to sit in the reserved section. In case of rain the event will move inside the Auld Recreation Center.

The program will be videotaped for airing on 5 CITY-TV, the government access cable channel. More information on the AVI8ORS is available at av8ors.com. For more information on the Patriot Day observance, contact the Mayor's Office at 441-7511 or see the City Web site at lincoln.ne.gov.

- 30 -

MEDIA NOTE: The AVI8ORS Web site includes high resolution photos.
A Patriot Day logo is available from Rick Koepping, CIC, at 441-7317.
Members of the City Council
Lincoln Journal Star
August 24, 2004

Regarding:

Use Permit #04002
Application of Cameron Corporation to
Develop 112 Dwelling Units at
W. Fletcher Avenue and NW 12th/13th Street

Meetings held:

Planning Commission       July 21, 2004
City Council               August 16, 2004
                          August 23, 2004

I have followed this Application over the course of
these meetings because I was a potential buyer of
this Townhome Development.

After hearing the comments from several members of
the City Council yesterday, the reason they were
voting against this Application was heavily weighed
by the adamant denial of this Application by the
Planning Commission, I feel compelled to share some
facts with you.

When I walked into the Planning Commission Meeting
on July 21, 2004, Mr. Taylor was leaving the
Meeting through a side door. I recognized Mr.
Taylor from a Meeting that I had attended with the
builders of this Development, their attorney, and
the neighbors at a school in the Highlands earlier
this spring. I was not at a meeting at the Golf
Course, so that would imply there was more than one
meeting where this group of people met - contrary
to the testimony of the Homeowners. Mr. Taylor,
who lives at 811 W. Custer, in the Highlands,
presided over that Meeting as the President of one of the Homeowners' Associations. I did not, however, realize Mr. Taylor was also a member of the Planning Commission, until he left the Chambers that afternoon. One of the other Planning Commission Members asked were Mr. Taylor was going and someone else responded, he has a conflict of interest in this Hearing.

At that point, I knew or strongly felt in what direction the Hearing was going to proceed. Irregardless, I testified in support of the Development. I have lived in my Townhome Association for 10 years. I have served as an officer or Board Member of that Association throughout that time. I am a professional person who has worked in the legal field for the past 25 years, 10 of those years have been here in Lincoln, Nebraska. My background includes working for both a City Attorney and a County Attorney prior to moving to Lincoln.

I'm sure a transcript of the testimony of the Planning Commission, if available, would show the Members of the City Council the same thing I saw taking place. Two Members of the Planning Commission were quite versed with the questions they asked in regard to the testimony given to shed the most unfavorable light on this Development. The impression I received from the Meeting led me to believe that this was a case of you vote my way on this, I'll vote your way on the next issue you feel strongly about. The Planning Commission Meeting was in no way an unbiased forum.

However, in spite of the denial by the Planning Commission, I thought the City Council would follow the guidelines set by the City and not be swayed by a group of disgruntled Homeowners.
The Homeowners repeatedly said this Development did not comply or compliment their existing area; that there would be additional children in their already overcrowded schools; the value of their property would decrease; their view would be diminished, they didn’t want to have to look at the back yards of this Development, etc., etc.

I have paid taxes in our City since I came here in December of 1993. I have been a home owner since June of 1994. I have no children, therefore, my purchase of a Townhome in this Development would not have led to any additional children being placed in the already overcrowded school district, yet I have paid taxes for the schools in this City for the past 10 years.

I also do not feel that the value of the Homeowners’ property would decrease. In fact, at the Meeting I attended, a gentlemen who was a homeowner in the Highlands said he was an appraiser within the City of Lincoln. The man went on to say that he had looked at the Pine Lake Development, which is the same as this Development, and that he did not feel it would affect the value of his property in the Highlands in an adverse manner.

As for the Homeowners looking into the backyards of the Development, we would also be looking at the Homeowners backyards as well - will they maintain them to our liking.

With respect to the prospective buyers of this Development, I believe these Townhomes will be purchased by retired people who no longer can or choose to do their own property maintenance (yard work/snow removal), single professional people, whether they be widowed, single or divorced and people who want to own a nicer home, but do not
have the means to purchase a single family dwelling home.

I do not believe my current standing leads me to be a disreputable person or a less than desirable sort of person as the Homeowners imply. I am a professional person, who chooses not to own a single family dwelling home. I cannot do the upkeep of a home myself, therefore, I like the options a Townhome and Townhome Association provides.

You may remember the part of the Max Roper Park that was directly to the North of the Parkside Village Homes Association (2nd & Fairfield area) - the area I currently live in. When I purchased my house approximately 10 years ago, it was my understanding, the area was donated to the Park and was to remain a Park. As we all know, that changed a few years ago, and now there is a street on part of that property.

Mr. Friendt made a comment at the City Council Meeting on August 16, something to the effect, “I may not want this in my backyard either, but how can we let a group of Homeowners dictate progress, especially in light of the City’s Comprehensive Plan.”

You have done just that by your denial of this Application yesterday. Maybe, we didn’t want a street in the back of our area either, but if everyone “Won” as these Homeowners have, where would our City be.

Respectfully submitted,

Judy Anderson
248 Parkside Lane
Lincoln, NE 68521
Memo

To:    Glenn Friendt, City Council
From:  Don Heitz, Finance Director
CC:    City Council
       Mayor's Office
Date:  September 2, 2004
Re:    RFI #37 – Street/Trail Bond Issue

You have requested an additional follow up to your Request for Information #37 dealing with the proposed $75 million bond issue. Your follow-up question was: What mechanism would be in place to ensure the bond proceeds would be used for the proposed uses?

On August 19, 2004, the Mayor announced the formation of an audit review committee (See attached news release) to ensure the funds are expended on the intended projects. (See attached list of proposed projects). This will be a citizens committee that will review the independent audits of the bond construction fund to ensure the funds are being spent as proposed.

Let me know if you have any additional questions.

Encl.:  RFI # 37
       News Release dated August 19, 2004
       Listing of proposed street and trail projects
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 441-7511, fax 441-7120

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: August 19, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 441-7831

MAYOR FORMS CITIZEN STREET AND TRAIL BOND AUDIT REVIEW COMMITTEE

Mayor Coleen J. Seng today announced formation of the Citizen Street and Trail Bond Audit Review Committee that would review audits of the expenditures of the $75 million if the upcoming bond issue passes to ensure the funds are spent on the intended projects.

"Because there are so many projects some tend to wonder if the funds are really spent for what was announced," said Mayor Seng. "This review committee would eliminate that doubt and reassure taxpayers that the funds will be spent as planned. This citizen committee would review the independent audits of the bond proceeds to ensure the funds are spent on the projects that have been announced and not used for any other purpose." The Committee will meet at least annually to review the independent audit that would be done on the street bond funds.

On September 14th, residents will vote on a bond proposal that would provide $75 million to construct streets and trails in Lincoln. The projects would be under construction by 2007. At least $70 million will be spent on street and road projects, $2.75 million will be designated for trail projects and the remaining $1.5 million will be available for sidewalk construction, but could also be spent on streets if other funds are used for sidewalks.

"The Streets, Roads and Trails Committee had extensive public involvement. I believe it is important to continue this open process by naming this Citizen Street and Trail Bond Audit Review Committee," Seng said. Seng noted that infrastructure is one of the most important issues affecting our community's growth and quality of life.

The committee members named by the Mayor include Dan Marvin, co-chair of the Street, Roads and Trails Committee and Planning Commission member; Linda Wilson, former City Council member; Carl Sjulin, President, West Gate Bank; and Annette McRoy, Lincoln City Council member.

Mayor Seng extended an invitation to Lincoln residents who want to be considered for appointment as the at-large representative to the committee to send her office a letter or e-mail stating their interest with a brief comment explaining why they would want to serve on the committee. The letters or e-mails must be received or postmarked no later than September 1st to be considered.
Proposed Uses of $75 Million Street & Trail Bond Funds
August 2004

Street Project List for $70.75 Million* of Bond Funds
(The list is not inclusive nor by priority order. Projects would be under construction by 2007)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT LOCATION</th>
<th>TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. 27th Street - Yankee Hill Rd. to Beltway corridor (near Saitillo Rd.)</td>
<td>2 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 27th Street - Pine Lake Rd. to Yankee Hill Rd</td>
<td>4 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55th Street - Old Cheney Rd. to 1/4 mile north of Pine Lake Rd</td>
<td>4 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams Street - 75th St. to 84th St.</td>
<td>2 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coddington Street - “A” St. to Van Dom St.</td>
<td>4 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. 14th Street - Superior St. to 1/4 mi. north of Alvo Rd</td>
<td>4 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Cheney Road - 70th St. to 84th St.</td>
<td>4 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West “A” Street - 1/4 mi. West of SW 40th St. to Coddington St</td>
<td>2 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31st Street - “C” St. to Randolph St</td>
<td>Reconstruct existing, add turn Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48th Street - Pioneer Blvd. to Calvert St.</td>
<td>Reconstruct existing, add turn Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56th Street - Randolph St. to South St.</td>
<td>Add turn Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70th Street and Highway 2</td>
<td>2 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98th Street - Old Cheney Rd. to Pine Lake Rd.</td>
<td>2 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“A” Street - 63rd St. to Imperial St.</td>
<td>Reconstruct existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alvo/Arbor Road - 14th St. to Telluride St.</td>
<td>2 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Parkway - 21st St. east (fiber optics)</td>
<td>Signal Upgrade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fletcher Avenue - Hwy 6 to 1/4 mi east of 84th St.</td>
<td>2 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fletcher Avenue - 14th St. to Telluride Dr.</td>
<td>4 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highway 2 and Country Meadows (near 66th St.)</td>
<td>Add turn Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holdrege St - 33rd St. to 47th St.</td>
<td>Reconstruct existing, add turn Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“N” Street - 9th St. to Centennial Mall</td>
<td>Signal Upgrade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW 56th Street - Partridge St. to Adams St.</td>
<td>2 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“O” Street - 42nd St. to 52nd St.</td>
<td>6 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peach Street - 10th St to 14th St.</td>
<td>Reconstruct existing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine Lake Road - 56th St. to Highway 2</td>
<td>2 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine Lake Road - 84th St. to east of 98th; S. 98th St. north of Pine Lake Rd. to HWY 2</td>
<td>4 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioneers Blvd. - Antelope St. to Pagoda Ln.</td>
<td>4 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. 56th Street - 1/4 mi south of Pine Lake Rd. to 1/4 mi south of Yankee Hill Rd</td>
<td>4 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Street - 8th St. to 17th St.</td>
<td>Rebuild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW 40th Street - 0 St. to A St.</td>
<td>4 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Dorn Street - 33rd St. to 48th St.</td>
<td>Rebuild - add turn Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W. Adams Street - NW 63rd St. to NW 48th St. &amp; NW 56th St., south of Adams</td>
<td>4 Lanes + Turning Lanes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural-to-Urban Transition for Streets (RUTS)-City / County project</td>
<td>98th Street</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Trail Project List for $2.75 Million of Bond Funds:

Billy Wolff Trail - 27th Street to “A” Street ........................................... Upgrade to Current Standard
Billy Wolff Trail - “A” Street Underpass .................................................. Upgrade to Current Standard
Billy Wolff Trail - Capitol Parkway Underpass ............................................ Upgrade to Current Standard
Jamaica North Trail - Calvert St. to “J” St. (will be matched with additional federal funds) .................................................. New Construction
Superior Street Trail ...................................................................................... Rehab and Repair Existing
70th Street Trail ............................................................................................. New Construction
Tierra Williamsburg Park Connector .................................................................. New Construction
Highway 2 Trail ............................................................................................... Upgrade to Current Standard
Murdock Trail .................................................................................................... Upgrade to Current Standard
N. 27th Street Husker Link Bridge (construction would not begin until a private match is secured) .................................................. New Construction

*$1.5 million in bond funds is available for sidewalk construction, but could be spent on street construction if other funds become available for sidewalk construction.

P:FILES\MAYOR2004_Issues\Street&TrailBondProjectList_08-22-2004.wpd
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
COUNCIL OFFICE

By: Glenn Friendt - #37 (Council Member)  August 12, 2004 (Date)

REQUEST: RE: Constituent inquiry regarding the bond issue

Would you please respond to the attached Letters and send me a copy of the response.

Thanks.

-Glenn Friendt

cc: Dalyee Ronnau
Chair of the Pedestrian/Bicycle Advisory Committee
2740 "A" Street (02) - FOR YOUR INFORMATION - COPY OF REQUEST SENT BY COUNCIL MEMBER, NO RESPONSE REQUIRED FROM YOU

Mayor's Office

RESPONSE (Indicate action taken): By: __________________________ Date

COMMENTS:

PLEASE RESPOND WITH 15 COPIES to the Council Office
DATE: August 11, 2004

FROM: Glenn Friendt

TO: Don Herz
    Dana Roper

RE: RFI / Constituent inquiry re the bond issue

Please respond to the attached letter from the Dalyce Ronnau, Chair of the Pedestrian / Bicycle Advisory Committee, inquiring of the use of funding from the bond issue scheduled for the September 14, 2004 special election. In your response please confirm what the specific commitments of the bond issue will be for streets, trails, roads and sidewalks.

Please indicate that you are responding at my request and, in consideration of the rapidly approaching election date, a timely response would be appreciated.

Thank you.
August 31, 2004

Carl Eskridge, President
Board of Directors
Lincoln Arts Council
920 O St.
Lincoln, NE 68508

Dear Carl:

Thanks for your letter dated August 20, 2004. We are both supporters of arts in the community and the Lincoln Arts Council and I am pleased to see that the Board is taking steps to respond to the letter sent to the Arts Council by the City Finance Director. It is surprising that the letter written by Grafton in December did not come the board’s attention until just recently. Letters such as this usually accompany an annual audit and, at the very least, should have been received and reviewed by a finance committee of the board long before July 27.

When public dollars are involved it becomes even more important to safeguard the process and accountability of organizations such as yours to assure that public trust is upheld and that there are no questions of any irregularities, no matter how slight or unintentional. The public trust is a fragile thing and, once lost, can cause irreparable harm to an organization’s reputation and its ability to achieve its mission.

I hope that Lincoln Arts Council is able to quickly resolve the issues described by the Grafton letter to everyone’s satisfaction and that you and the Board respond positively to offers to assist in establishing an improved method of operations for the Lincoln Arts Council.

I look forward to being appraised of progress in this area.

Sincerely,

Glenn Friendt

CC: Mayor Seng
City Council
Lincoln Arts Council, Executive Director
RESOLUTION NO. A-________

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska:

That the attached list of investments be confirmed and approved, and the City Treasurer is hereby directed to hold said investments until maturity unless otherwise directed by the City Council.

INTRODUCED BY:

__________________________________________

Approved:

__________________________________________

Don Herz, Finance Director

Approved this ___ day of __________, 2004

__________________________________________

Mayor
FINANCE DEPARTMENT
TREASURER OF LINCOLN, NEBRASKA
INVESTMENTS PURCHASED
AUGUST 16 thru August 27, 2004

Due to a surplus of funds in the Short Term Pool August 16, 2004, we invested $12,572,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$12,525,000</td>
<td>Treasury Cash Management Fund at Wells Fargo Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$47,000</td>
<td>First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

August 17, 2004, we cashed $7,825,000 out of the Short Term Pool and then invested $9,774,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1,774,000</td>
<td>First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>Freddie Mac, discounted 99.91355%, costing $2,997,406.50, yielding 1.5%, maturing September 7, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>NSLC, purchased at par, rate of 1.70%, maturing June 1, 2035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to a surplus of funds in the Short Term Pool August 18, 2004, we invested $490,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$55,000</td>
<td>Nebraska Public Agency Investment Trust at Union Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$350,000</td>
<td>Treasury Cash Management at Wells Fargo Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A $5,000,000 bond investment was called August 18, 2004, and we immediately reinvested that same amount in a FHLB, discounted 99.94555%, costing $4,997,277.78, yielding 1.424109%, maturing September 1, 2004, in the Medium Term Pool.

A $5,000,000 CD matured August 19, 2004, and we immediately cashed along with a $375,000 Treasury Cash Management Fund at Wells Fargo Bank in the Short Term Pool. We then reinvested $1,101,000 in a First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank in the Short Term Pool.
August 23, 2004, we cashed a $202,000 First American Government Obligation Fund out of the Short Term Pool. We then invested $395,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$390,000</td>
<td>Treasury Cash Management Fund at Wells Fargo Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>Nebraska Public Agency Investment Trust at Union Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to a surplus of funds August 23, 2004, we invested in a $2,500,000 FHLB, purchased at par, yielding 1.75%, maturing February 23, 2006, in the Medium Term Pool.

Due to a surplus of funds August 24, 2004, we invested 2,326,000 in the Short Term Pool as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$95,000</td>
<td>Nebraska Public Agency Investment Trust at Union Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$700,000</td>
<td>Treasury Cash Management Fund at Wells Fargo Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,531,000</td>
<td>First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

August 25, 2004, we cashed a $200,000 Treasury Cash Management Fund out of the Short Term Pool and then reinvested in a $113,000 First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank.

Two investments totaling $4,500,000 matured August 25, 2004 in the Medium Term Pool. We immediately cashed and then invested $4,535,000 in the Short Term Pool as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2,535,000</td>
<td>Nebraska Public Agency Investment Trust at Union Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>USB Preferred Business Money Market</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A $2,000,000 Note was called August 25, 2004, and we immediately reinvested that amount in a FHLB, discounted 99.94%, costing $1,998,800, yielding 1.373324%, maturing September 10, 2004.

Due to a surplus of funds August 26, 2004, we invested $1,111,000 in the Short Term Pool as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$150,000</td>
<td>Nebraska Public Agency Investment Trust at Union Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>Treasury Cash Management Fund at Wells Fargo Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$761,000</td>
<td>First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
August 27, 2004, we cashed $4,268,000 out of the Short Term Pool and then reinvested $3,025,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$25,000</th>
<th>Nebraska Public Agency Investment Trust at Union Bank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>CD, purchased at par, rate of 1.76%, maturing September 22, 2004 at Cornhusker Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to a surplus of funds August 27, 2004, we invested $928,000 in a FFCB, purchased at a premium of 102.32%, costing $949,529.60 plus accrued interest of $1,752.89, yielding 3.680294%, maturing February 11, 2009, for the Building Commission 2004 Bond Reserves.

We respectfully request approval of our actions.

Don Herz, Finance Director                                      Melinda J. Jones, City Treasurer
September 2, 2004

Florafae Schoen
815 West Stockwell
Lincoln, NE 68522

Dear Ms. Schoen:

I have received your inquiry through Councilman Camp’s office regarding emergency response to your area.

The annexation project in your area is not all encompassing at this time. With that being said, your address of 815 West Stockwell is still shown as rural. If the need for services were sent to your address it would come from Southwest Rural Fire Protection District.

This would be true for all emergencies unless we were called upon by Southwest Rural. I hope that this information satisfy’s your request. If not, please don’t hesitate to call or write.

Sincerely,

Michael L. Spadt
Fire Chief

C: File
City Council Members
Marvin Krout, Planning
Mayor Seng
Re: Florafae Schoen
815 West Stockwell
423-3931
ercadiakees@alitel.net

Hello Chief,

Ms Schoen called Jon Camp’s cc office to see who will be responsible for providing her home with ambulance and fire service. They have been served by the rural fire district. According to Ms Schoen portions of their neighborhood are being taken into the city, including the fire barn, and she would appreciate clarification of who will respond to fire or ambulance calls to this address.

Can you please ask appropriate LFD staff to respond to her inquiry and copy any correspondence to Jon’s cc office.

Thanks.

Darrell

copy Jon Camp
August 26, 2004

TO: All Physicians, Administrators and Laboratory Personnel

FROM: Bruce Dart, MS; Health Director

SUBJECT: Bordetella Pertussis

Bordetella Pertussis

The Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department is currently investigating five individuals in Lancaster County who were close contacts of a person who has been confirmed to have B. pertussis. The lab-confirmed case is not a Lancaster County resident and the exposure occurred outside of Lancaster County. On investigation, three of the five individuals were found to have respiratory symptoms including cough. Several household contacts of these people, including both children and adults have subsequently developed respiratory symptoms.

The Department is working with the health care providers of the symptomatic patients to obtain lab confirmation and to initiate empiric antibiotic treatment. The Department is also recommending prophylactic treatment of all household members of symptomatic individuals who were exposed to the lab-confirmed case patient.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) clinical case definition for pertussis is "a cough illness lasting 2 weeks or longer with one of the following: paroxysms of coughing, inspiratory 'whoop,' or post-tussive vomiting, without other apparent cause." A person who meets the clinical case-definition is not laboratory-confirmed unless B. pertussis is isolated from a clinical specimen by culture or from PCR. For laboratory diagnosis two posterior nasopharyngeal swabs (using dacron- or rayon-tipped swabs, not cotton or calcium alginate) should be collected, one for culture and the other for PCR.

Culture requires special transport media (Regan-Lowe). The PCR testing is available through the Nebraska Public Health Lab.

All household contacts of a pertussis case should be treated regardless of immunization status or age. Chemoprophylaxis should be considered for adults who have close or extensive contact. Other contacts outside the home who are symptomatic should be evaluated and treated as necessary. Asymptomatic contacts outside the home need not be treated.

OVER
Erythromycin estolate (40-50 mg/kg per day orally in four divided doses; maximum, 2 g/day) for 14 days is the drug of choice. Erythromycin resistance has been rarely reported. The newer macrolides, Azithromycin or Clarithromycin may be as effective as Erythromycin and have fewer adverse effects and better compliance.

Azithromycin (10-12 mg/kg per day, orally, in 1 dose for 5 days; maximum 600 mg/day)  
Clarithromycin (15-20 mg/kg per day orally in two divided doses; maximum, 1 g/day for 7 days)

Please contact the Communicable Disease Program at 441-8053 with questions or to report a possible case.

**Physician Advisory Available By E-Mail**

Physicians, Advance Nurse Practitioners, Physician Assistants, Health-Care and Laboratory Administrators can receive periodic physician advisories by e-mail. Send an e-mail to ttimmons@ci.lincoln.ne.us with your name, type of practitioner (MD, ARNP, PA-C, Administrator, etc.) and location of practice.

PC  Mayor Coleen Seng  
Board of Health  
Steven Rademacher, M.D., Medical Consultant  
Thomas Stalder, M.D., Medical Consultant  
James Nora, M.D, Medical Consultant  
Joan Anderson, Executive Director, Lancaster County Medical Society
STREET VACATION NO. 04008
BILL NO. 04-156
PUBLIC HEARING: SEPTEMBER 13, 2-04

cc: City Council Office
    City Clerk
    Mayor
    Law
    Public Works

City Council
Lincoln, Ne

RE: Street & Alley vacation #04008

I respectfully refer you to the letter written June 14, 2004 by Mr. Rick Pritzler, Chief Assistant City Attorney, and 2 maps marked 010 and 011 illustrating 21st Street from the south line of 21st Street to the north line of the RR right-of-way. Jean Walker has done a superior job of forwarding information regarding this matter.

Lincoln Lumber is still very concerned about the value of an easement in this situation. See the pictures of the west side of 21st St. The setback is not very much. There are 4 overhead doors situated for loading and unloading trucks. It looks like they plan to do considerable activity here. It looks to me, like any time a truck is backed up to the overhead doors, the street is effectively blocked. So even with an easement, UNL or Lincoln Lumber is going to have to plan to use this street at the convenience of B&J. It will certainly interfere with Lincoln Lumber use of the street and I would think it would inconvenience UNL.

If the Council should decide to vacate this street, we would ask for a fully and complete public access easement in regards to 21st St. Lincoln Lumber Company bought this railroad in 1997 relying on the use of 21st St. No one bought after that date and wishes to restrict LLC use of a public street that LLC was planning to use.

Lincoln Lumber Company will appreciate your consideration.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Don Hamill
for Lincoln Lumber Company

First in Quality—First in Service
August 27, 2004

cc: City Council Office, City Clerk
Mayor
Law Department
PW
Mr. Jean Walker
Administrative Officer
Lancaster County Planning Dept.
555 S. 10th St.
Lincoln, Ne. 68508

Dear Jean

RE: Street & Alley Vacation No. 04008

Enclosed are pictures taken August 26, 2004 of the area around 21st & Y Streets. Each picture is marked with a hand-written number and a brief description of that we are looking at. These are marked #1 to #16. There is also a date on the back of the picture and a printed number which identifies the sequence in the roll. These numbers are 10 to 25.

If you have any questions, feel free to call me.

Sincerely

Don Hamill
President & owner
Looking slightly south of little back
2

31st & Y, Looking South
21st & 4th, look south & slightly west
Looking South & West
10

Shows each side of building that faces 21st.
7#
Looking west from east of 21st.
Standing in Cushman's looking North
down 21st
Looking North Down 21st.
West side 21st looking east
West of 21st looking North & East
South of tracks looking North
Standing on 21st, looking North-west
Standing East of 21st looking North-West
August 31, 2004

Michael R. Johnson
Olsson Associates
1111 Lincoln Mall
Lincoln NE, 68508

RE: Elizabeth Park North 1st Addition Final Plat #04079

Dear Mr. Johnson

Elizabeth Park North 1st Addition was approved by the Planning Director on August 31, 2004. The plat and the subdivision agreement must be recorded in the Register of Deeds. The fee is determined at $.50 per existing lot and per new lot and $20.00 per plat sheet for the plat, and $.50 per new lot and $5.00 per page for associated documents such as the subdivision agreement. If you have a question about the fees, please contact the Register of Deeds. Please make check payable to the Lancaster County Register of Deeds. The Register of Deeds requests a list of all new lots and blocks created by the plat be attached to the subdivision agreement so the agreement can be recorded on each new lot.

Pursuant to § 26.11.060(d) of the Lincoln Municipal Code, this approval may be appealed to the Planning Commission and any decision of the Planning Commission to the City Council by filing a letter of appeal within 14 days of the action being appealed. The plat will be recorded with the Register of Deeds after the appeal period has lapsed (date + 14 days), and the recording fee and signed subdivision agreement have been received.

Sincerely,

Becky Horner, 441-6373, rhorner@lincoln.ne.gov
Planner

CC: Don Linscott
Joan Ray, City Council
Dennis Bartels, Public Works & Utilities
Terry Kathe, Building & Safety
Sharon Theobald, Lincoln Electric
Jean Walker, Planning
File

F:\FILES\PLANNING\PC\FP\04000\FP04079.elizabethparknorth1st.rdh.wpd
Dear Gall,

I am Captain Joy Citta with the Center Team, Lincoln Police Department. My Public Service Officers handle the parking enforcement in the downtown area so I will try and answer your question.

Sgt. Bob Ziemen and I have talked with all of our parking enforcement officers and cannot find any PSO who is using anything pink, let alone some type of paint to mark tires. They all use our issued yellow chalk and neon yellow crayon. (On occasion the supplier will send us a neon orange crayon.) I have personally used the chalk and the crayon and they easily come off of a tire. We mark tires with a line across the tread, not a perfectly formed spot, so if the car is moved the line disappears or it is evident some of the chalk or crayon is missing. The crayons are a wax based product much like the ones children use, not only can they be driven off, they also come off of clothing with soap and water, to this I can attest personally.

Since you did not say where you parked, at a meter, in a lot, garage etc. I cannot provide any further information to help you determine how those marks appeared on your tires.

What I can tell you is our parking enforcement employees do not have any tire marking devices or supplies that would make the mark you describe or in the color you report.

If you have additional questions or would like to talk about this please feel free to call me in my office at 441-7751.

Joy Citta
Captain Center Team
Lincoln Police Department
Dear Mr. Nelson:

Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

As we face numerous issues with how to generate revenue for the city and the state without always having to raise taxes for the homeowners, I would like to make a suggestion as to how we can recoup some unneeded expenditures.

Numerous states, including the state we relocated from before living here in Nebraska, require only one license plate on a motor vehicle-on the back. I propose that we drop the two license plate law on our vehicles thus saving the amount of time, labor and material costs for the second plate to be made. And, the cost of the yearly licensing of the vehicles can stay the same, which means more money for the state and possibly the city.

This solution has two possible positives.

First off, as I stated previously, it would allow us to save the money on the cost of making the second license plate and the state/city could pocket it.

Secondly, it would allow fans of teams (example: Nebraska Cornhuskers) to sell more NCAA licensed plates thus generating extra income for the college.

In my opinion, everyone is a winner with this proposed solution.

My two cents on trying to help our state and city with money woes. I sincerely hope this idea is considered.

------------------------------

David Nelson
Change Control Manager
MDS Pharma Services, Inc.
Lincoln, NE
David.Nelson@mdsp.com
402.437.4892 Direct Line
Visit our website at: www.mdsp.com
Dear Mr. Kerschner:

Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

Lincoln City Council
555 S. 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508

Dear Lincoln City Council,

I am writing to thank the City Council for choosing to protect the health of all workers, residents, and visitors of Lincoln.

Please be vigilant in safeguarding the new law from any opposition attempts to weaken or repeal the law.

Lincoln residents, especially those working in smoke-filled environments, can now look forward to fewer heart attacks, fewer asthma attacks, and lower cancer rates. They will have you to thank for their improved health and quality of life.

Experience in hundreds of other communities around the country shows that smokefree laws, once in effect, are not only popular, but also good for health, and good for business.

Thank you again for your commitment to a healthier Lincoln.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM KERSCHNER
6915 HARTWIG DRIVE
CHERRY VALLEY, Illinois 61016
Dear Ms. Ritter: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6666
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

Shirleyreads@aol.com

Please keep reminding yourselves that the smoking ban issue is a health issue. You have done something far-sighted and worthy of praise with your bold vote to ban smoking in all public areas. I understand that you received requests for information and kudos from other cities. You may be plowing new ground in Nebraska, but this is an established way-of-life in other places for some time. And the whole country of Ireland was brave enough to enforce such a ban for health reasons.

Now that you are passing it to the voters, PLEASE make sure it gets on the November ballot. We have waited long enough to resolve this issue. Implementation won’t be easy -- change never is. But let’s get started.

Thank you for your service and your time. Shirley A. Ritter
council dist. 02
Dear Mr. Meginnis:  Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

"Richard Meginnis" <RMeginnis@naifmarealty.com>

"Richard Meginnis" To: <council@ci.lincoln.ne.us>
<RMeginnis@naifmarealty.com> cc: <council@ci.lincoln.ne.us>
08/27/2004 09:47 AM

Subject: FW: Auditor

From: lee-chapin [mailto:lee-chapin@truckpaper.com]
Sent: Friday, August 20, 2004 4:40 PM
To: rmeginnis@NAIFMAREalty.com
Subject: Auditor

August 20, 2004

Dear City Council,

Please keep the money in the city budget for an annual independent audit. Over the course of a year people make mistakes and or steal money from cities, corporations and churches. Well run organizations with annual budgets of less than $500,000 have their books checked annually by an independent auditor. With a $125,000,000 budget, don't you think we should have an independent auditor look at our books? What are we afraid an audit would find? Money?

Most people respect the job you do at city hall but not having an annual audit completed makes no sense. Please be respectful of our $125,000,000 and have Lincoln's books audited every year.

Sincerely,

Lee Chapin
August 26, 2004

Councilman Terry Werner
City Council Office
County-City Building
555 S. 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508

Dear Councilman Werner:

Recently we learned of a new development a few blocks north of our home. We have a lot of issues with this development that were not considered by the County Planning Commission.

Our two largest concerns with the development is the lack of concern shown by the developer and landowner for the tremendous increase in traffic and lack of flood control. The developer has also requested numerous waivers of minimum standards that in reality will cause crowding, flooding, over-parking, and overall degradation of the neighborhood. Waivers granted include:

- Non-standard cul-de-sac
- Minimum lot sizes
- Minimum or no sidewalks
- Minimum length driveways (8 foot long?)
- Minimum or no back yards (several lots will run along the 15 foot deep Turner Ditch)

As you are well aware, minimizing of standards invariably leads to an inferior development.

It is undeniable that this development will be placed in the Salt Creek Flood Plain that according to the Journal Star newspaper (May 31, 2003 by Russell Miller, a Floodplain Task Force Member) it may now be considered a 60-year Flood Plain due to the silting, overgrowth, and development along Salt Creek. In 1993, before the developments that have since taken place, this area was within inches of enduring a major flood. The paving of this wetlands property will exponentially add to this problem.

Our second concern is the increase in traffic. 40th street has become the “shortcut” for North Star attendees, construction companies, employees, and even some semi-trucks. The last study done on our street showed 1600 vehicles within 24 hours. This is a tremendous increase considering this strip of 40th street only runs from Superior to Cornhusker Highway. The police have to be called periodically to keep speeders in check. The speed limit is 25 mph (lawfully a neighborhood’s speed limit) but most “short cutters” travel between 35 and 45+ mph. They even have the audacity to tailgate or pass you if you drive the limit!

Our children ride the school bus every morning. We have witnessed for ourselves a complete disregard for the school bus stop arms by these speeders. We feel their lives are incredibly endangered and a new development that potentially adds 64+ vehicles is totally unacceptable.

None of the property owners will be moving into the development, nor the developer himself. We plead with you, please, do not let this development pass and disregard, endanger, and degrade our neighborhood. Vote no.

Respectfully yours,

[Signature]

Joe and Teri Steup

Re: Andrea’s Court Community Unit Plan
Special Permit #04035
32 townhouse units and church
Parcel ID: 17-07-203-001-000

Joseph F. Steup
4001 Turner St
Lincoln, NE 68504-1226
Dear Mr. & Ms. Essman: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

STUESSMAN@aol.com

STUESSMAN@aol.com
08/27/2004 05:40 PM
To: council@ci.lincoln.ne.us
cc: council@ci.lincoln.ne.us
Subject: Attention all council members...

RE: Andrea's Court Community Unit Plan, Special Permit No. 04035

We request that you would please review the above referenced project. The City Planning Commission has approved the development of 32 townhouses on the north side of Turner Ditch and on the west side of 40th Street. We would like for you to consider rejecting the project or at least request for the project to be revised.

We highly encourage development, however this project is for 32 townhouses in a very limited space. It could greatly affect the neighborhood and has raised concerns for potential flooding for the area. The proposal suggests that additional dirt would be brought in for the development, but how will that affect the existing properties? We have been told that the City Planning Commission also waived several other easements. They have been given exemptions for the wet land. They have received a waiver for the amount of green space. Both of these issues will affect flooding in the neighborhood. Currently the Turner Ditch helps the homeowners in the area. Just this past Wednesday morning we received almost an inch of rain in less than an hour. I observed the ditch rising to mid way. What would be the effect of a rain like that with these additional units? Please re-consider approving before additional research could be done.

We are not engineers, but just common citizens that are concerned about our growing city. We urge you to review this proposal before accepting the City Planning Commissions recommendation. Please take the time to drive by the area and you will understand what we mean.

Thank you for your time.

Stu and Denise Essman
4141 N 42nd Street Circle
Lincoln, NE 68504
To: Stankutaman@aol.com  
cc: JRay@ci.lincoln.ne.us  
Subject: Re: InterLinc: Council Feedback

Dear Mr. Kuta: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray  
City Council Office  
555 South 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE - 68508  
Phone: 402-441-6866  
Fax: 402-441-6533  
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

Stankutaman@aol.com

To: JRay@ci.lincoln.ne.us  
cc:  
Subject: Re: InterLinc: Council Feedback

LET ALL THE COUNCIL MEMBERS KNOW OUR NW HIGHLANDS ASSN. APPRECIATED THEIR EFFORTS AND PROBING QUESTIONS TO BRING OUT OUR CONCERNS. WE HOPE EVERYONE'S EFFORTS WON'T BE FOR NAUGHT, AND THE RIGHT BUILDING SITUATION WILL PREVAIL. THANKS

SINCERELT, STAN KUTA
Dear Mr. Converse: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6666
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

Rgconverse@aol.com

Dear City Council Members:
I am opposed to the proposed development of Andrea's Court Community Unit Plan to be located at 40th and Superior Street Special Permit #04035 consisting of thirty-two townhouse units and a church.
I believe if you would look at this area you would agree that it is wrong to allow dwellings to built so close to Salt Creek no mater what elevation..
I have attached a letter with my reasons to deny the development as proposed.
Please take the time to read and consider these reasons.

Sincerely,

Robert Converse
3921 North 42nd Street
Lincoln, NE 68504
Home 464-1851
Cell 890-7121

City Council Members.doc
Social Security Administration
Supplemental Security Income
Notice of Change in Payment

MICHAEL KANE
2601 N 47 ST
APT 1
LINCOLN NE 68504-3694

Dear MICHAEL KANE

We are writing to tell you about changes in your Supplemental Security Income (SSI) payments. The following chart shows the SSI money due you for the months we changed. As you can see from the chart, we are changing your payments for future months. The rest of this letter will tell you more about this change.

Your Payments Will Be Changed As Follows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Amount Due Each Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>September 2004</td>
<td>$376.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October 2004</td>
<td>$376.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Why Your Payments Changed

You were incarcerated for part of the months of June and July. We must charge you in-kind support and maintenance for the month of July because you were incarcerated at the 1st of the month. This income affects your check for 2 months.

If You Disagree With The Decision

If you disagree with this decision, you have the right to appeal. We will review your case, and consider any new facts you have. Then, a person who did not make the first decision will decide your case. We will also look at those parts of the decision you agree with and may make them unfavorable or less favorable to you.

You have 60 days to ask for an appeal

See Next Page
The 60 days start the day after you receive this letter. We assume you got this letter 5 days after the date on it unless you show us that you did not get it within the 5-day period.

You must have a good reason for waiting more than 60 days to ask for an appeal.

To appeal, you must fill out a form called "Request for Reconsideration." The form number is SSA-561. To get this form, contact one of our offices. We can help you fill out the form.

**How To Appeal**

There are two ways to appeal. You can pick the way you want. If you meet with us in person, it may help us decide your case.

Case Review - You have the right to review the facts in your file. You can give us more facts to add to your file. Then we'll decide your case again. You won't meet with the person who decides your case.

Informal Conference - You'll meet with the person who decides your case. You can tell that person why you think you're right. You have the right to review the facts in your file. You can give us more facts to help prove you are right. You can bring other people to help explain your case.

**If You Want Help With Your Appeal**

You can have a friend, lawyer, or someone else help you. There are groups that can help you find a lawyer or give you free legal services if you qualify. There are also lawyers who do not charge unless you win your appeal. Your Social Security office has a list of groups that can help you with your appeal.

If you get someone to help you, you should let us know. If you hire someone, we must approve the fee before he or she can collect it.
If You Have Any Questions

We invite you to visit our web site at www.socialsecurity.gov on the Internet to find general information about Social Security. If you have any specific questions, you may call us toll-free at 1-800-772-1213, or call your local office at 402-437-5401. We can answer most questions over the phone. If you are deaf or hard of hearing, you may call our TTY number, 1-800-325-0778. You can also write or visit any Social Security office. The office that serves your area is located at:

SOCIAL SECURITY
ROOM 191
100 CENTENNIAL MALL N
LINCOLN NE 68508

If you do call or visit an office, please have this letter with you. It will help us answer your questions. Also, if you plan to visit an office, you may call ahead to make an appointment. This will help us serve you more quickly when you arrive at the office.

David Bensley
David Bensley
District Manager
SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Date: August 26, 2004
Claim Number:

MICHAEL P KANE
GENERAL DELIVERY
LINCOLN NE 68504-9999

You asked us for information from your record. The information that you requested is shown below. If you want anyone else to have this information, you may send them this letter.

Information About Supplemental Security Income Payments

Beginning October 2004, the current Supplemental Security Income payment is $564.00

This payment amount may change from month to month if income or living situation changes.

Supplemental Security Income Payments are paid the month they are due. (For example, Supplemental Security Income Payments for March are paid in March.)
From: Mark Kane
To: Entire city council
Subject: Still homeless

Phone #: 417-366-2

I was in jail as a practical distance did not have $250 bail. Sec. Sec. cut my case (see attached)

Did time of community service paid fines court costs any 100 out fee. By now. But a homeless person in a tramp a place at these are no public shelters to sleep if available to the homeless. Thus could not more shelter

I have no concert/social worker to help me with homelessness. Thus a damage deposit is a big obstacle.

Aug 30 will be 63 days to due or treat my body can't eat it.

Been homeless since March am yet solving the problem alone. Thanks.

Thanks for reading this

Mark Kane
HELP!!
Dear Mark:

I am responding to your comments that the city will not re-do certain streets because of the local neighbors objections. Well, did the comprehensive plan address 27th St south of Capital Parkway when it was widened. This couldn't have come out of the comprehensive plan. That area is a depressing sight and the city could have done a much better job by purchasing homes on one side of the street, removing them, using what land they needed to widen the street and donating the rest to the adjacent property owners. This not only would have been practical, but would have completely preserved the neighborhoods (and their values) on both sides of the street.

I really think that since most of the streets you suggested were not on the comprehensive plan because of local objections, you should consider the FACT that these streets are MAJOR artials designed for 1950 traffic patterns. I would think that you need to address these streets for the good of the whole instead of a special few. Many of these homes that are located along these streets were purchased with the knowledge that someday their street would be widened.

If the comprehensive plan puts a hold on all these streets for widening, or even one-way traffic, many locals will use other streets (especially side streets) to get to their destination and nobody will want to come to Lincoln and spend their money if the traffic is too congested. I find myself on many occasions taking side streets because the congestion at stop lights is ridiculous (70th & Holdrege, 66th & Cotner, 27th & Vine, 48th & A, 27th & "O", etc). As it is right now with the University back in session, it is much worse. Isn't their anything better than the comprehensive plan? It is already archaic.

As for the widening of "O" Street, poor planning on the city's part is the reason the Dept of Roads has no money for it(in the short term). The Dept has a one and 5 year plan. Some of their schedules go much further out (some 20 years). This is a US highway, so, "O" St is eligible! It all comes
back to this so-called comprehensive plan. Did it include widening this section of "O" St long ago? Did it include asking the State for the money or Dist. 1 congressman Bereuter? It didn't sound like it.

As for these people that were involved with deciding the bond issue, who cares who decided it. It was wanted and endorsed by the Mayor and the Council. The Mayor is carrying the ball and she is the one campaigning for it.

I realize this is an accumulation of a generation of passing the buck to balance the budget and not raise taxes. I realize that the city is funding many more social programs than in the past. I realize that this fiscal mismanagement has come to roost on Mayor Seng. I commend her for trying this approach. I just can't see how it will pass. There are too many people who are scaping by who cannot afford this much of a property tax increase all at once. At this point and juncture, a determination will have to be made on the true priorities of the citizens of Lincoln. We cannot have everything, but I think with prudent judgements, I would suggest instead that you increase the budget incrementally and plan accordingly. It is always much better to pay as you go. To borrow for the future is suicide. With the current approach, we will always be asking for money and it may not always turn out the way it needs too and we will never catch up. With my suggestion above, in 10 to 15 years we just might.

If by chance this bond issue does pass, I would like to volunteer to be on the Mayors task force on what streets should be taken care of. I remember listening to her on XFOR at lunch time where she asked for volunteers. I would like to be one.

Thanks for the response. It was much appreciated.

Dave Brady
7912 Yellow Knife Dr.
Lincoln, NE 68505
466-1534
August 31, 2004

Terry Werner
Chair, Lincoln City Council
555 South 10th St., Suite 111
Lincoln, NE 68508

Dear Terry:

I’m writing to let you know that the Omaha Public Power District (OPPD) will soon announce a project that is likely to be of interest to you and other area residents. As you may know, OPPD is planning construction of a second power plant at its current location near Nebraska City. When this plant goes on-line in 2009, it will help ensure a reliable and affordable supply of electricity for OPPD customers, and also for electricity users served by other publicly-owned utilities in Nebraska, Missouri and Minnesota.

Construction of this essential new power plant will also require construction of an additional transmission line that will run from the new facility to a location yet to be determined near southeast Lincoln, Nebraska. We want to make you aware of that before a general announcement is made in the news media within the next few days.

While Lincoln Electric System is not a participant in OPPD's new plant at Nebraska City we have worked closely with those participants to be sure that the required transmission facilities enhance regional reliability. We agree that the new line to Lincoln is the best option. As part of the coordinated effort, Lincoln Electric System will be responsible for siting and constructing a new substation southeast of Lincoln that will become the point at which the new OPPD line will connect to our system.

OPPD's route-selection process will include the selection of corridors in southeast Nebraska that might be suitable for location of this transmission line. Those corridors will be presented during a series of public meetings which will likely be scheduled for mid to late February, 2005.
If you have any questions about LES’ role or responsibilities, please contact Dan Pudenz, LES Vice President of Engineering, at dpudenz@les.com or 402-467-7608. If you have questions about the transmission line portion of the project, please call (collect) OPPD’s Manager - Real Estate, Alison Rider, at 402-636-3642.

Sincerely,

Terry L. Bundy, P.E.
Administrator and CEO

E-mail: tbundy@les.com
Phone #: (402)473-3392
FAX #: (402)475-9759

TLB:cls
Barbara L. Poppe
3807 Ave D
Kearney, NE 68847
Home (308) 234-4900

work (308) 234-2130

Do not cut on city streets,
on public sidewalks, darting between
cars & vehicles

N 58 Street - anywhere in town

Diners, bars, etc...