DIRECTORS’ MEETING
MONDAY, AUGUST 23, 2004 - 11:00 A.M.
CONFERENCE ROOM 113

I. MAYOR

1. Letter from Mayor Coleen Seng to Dr. Loy Watley; Mr. Mark Wilson, Lincoln Christian School - RE: Your recent letter about the traffic at Lincoln Christian School - (See Letter)

2. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Mayor Presents July Award Of Excellence - (See Release)

3. Response E-Mail from Mayor Coleen Seng to Karin Fuog - RE: Lux booster bus routes - (See E-Mail)

4. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Mayor Thanks Senator Nelson For Assistance In Securing Grants For New Police Officers - (See Release)

5. NEWS ADVISORY - RE: Mayor Seng will have a news conference at 10:00 a.m., Thursday, August 19th to discuss traffic and parking information for the State Fair and the UNL Husker football season and also will announce formation of a Citizen Audit Review Committee - (See Advisory)

6. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Mayor Forms Citizen Street and Trail Bond Audit Review Committee - (See Release)

7. NEWS RELEASE - RE: City Prepared For State Fair And Husker Traffic - Pre-paid parking and Big Red Express are great options for football fans - (See Release)

II. CITY CLERK
III. CORRESPONDENCE

A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE

PATTE NEWMAN

1. Request to Dana Roper, Law Department/Don Herz, Finance Director - RE: Possible future source of funding called a worker tax (RFI#26 - 8/09/04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM DANA ROPER, CITY ATTORNEY RECEIVED ON RFI#26 - 8/17/04.

TERRY WERNER

1. OUTSTANDING Request to PW/Planning - RE: Inquiry from Jay Petersen on Kajan Drive - Public or Private Roadway, plus Surface Rehabilitation Process (RFI #130-6-15-04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM THOMAS SHAFER, PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT RECEIVED ON RFI#130-8/03/04.

2. OUTSTANDING Request to Vince Mejer, Purchasing Agent - RE: Notice to Bidders #04-110 – Television Equipment (RFI#132 - 6/16/04).


4. Request to Fire Chief Mike Spadt - RE: Recent Emergency Call at Williamsburg Lake (RFI#136 - 8/11/04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM FIRE CHIEF MIKE SPADT RECEIVED ON RFI#136 - 8/12/04.

GLENN FRIENDT


2. Request to Public Works/Law/Urban Development - RE: Alley improvements (RFI#38 - 8/16/04)

ANNETTE McROY

1. Request to Dennis Bartels, Public Works & Utilities Department - RE: Request-Gap Paving (RFI#153 - 8/12/04)

JON CAMP

1. E-Mail from Sherry West with response from Jon Camp - RE: Proposed Budget - (See E-Mail’s)

2. E-Mail from Victor Stava with response from Jon Camp - RE: Paramedic/Firefighter Shortage - (See E-Mail’s)

3. E-Mail from Bob Goemann with response from Jon Camp - RE: Fire Chief Spadt Response - (See E-Mail’s)

4. E-Mail from Jon Camp to Daryl - RE: Firefighter staffing - (See E-Mail)

JONATHAN COOK

1. Request to Harry Kroos, Public Works & Utilities Department - RE: Sidewalks (RFI#117 - 8/17/04)

B. DIRECTORS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS

FINANCE

1. Letter from Don Herz to Deb Weber, Executive Director, Lincoln Arts Council - RE: The Audit Report for the Lincoln Arts Council for the year that ended August 31, 2003 - (See Letter)

FINANCE/BUDGET

1. Inter-Department Communication from Steve Hubka - RE: Budget Resolution - (See Material)

HUMAN SERVICES

1. Memo from Kit Boesch - RE: August 17, 2004-Update - Keno Human Services Fund Requests - (See Memo)
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PLANNING

PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION . . . .


PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT

1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Section Of North 16th Street Will Be Two-Way - (See Release)

2. Public Works & Utilities ADVISORY - RE: Arterial Rehabilitation Project 701753-Cornhusker Hwy., from 13th to 20th - (See Advisory)

3. Public Works & Utilities ADVISORY - RE: Arterial Rehabilitation Project 701753-Cornhusker Hwy; 35th-44th and 48th, Cornhusker Hwy-Superior - (See Advisory)

4. Public Works & Utilities ADVISORY - RE: Sidewalk Repair Advisory - Near South Neighborhood Area - 13th- 21st; South - A – (See Advisory)

5. Response Letter from Karl Fredrickson to Joe Hampton, Hampton Enterprises - RE: Letter dated 8/13/04 from Mark Hunzeker to Allan Abbott and various neighborhood inquiries - Williamsburg North Pond Sediment Removal - Project No. 700034. - (See Letter)

6. Response E-Mail from Nick W. McElvain - RE: Council Response for Use Permit No. 04002 - (See E-Mail)

7. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Safe Walk And Safe Ride To School Set For Thursday & School Area Signage - (See Release)

8. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Construction To Begin On Salt Valley Sewer Project - (See Release)
C. MISCELLANEOUS

1. E-Mail from Tim Tonner - RE: Townhouse Project-NW 12th & Fletcher Ave. -(Council & City Clerk received this E-Mail at the Directors’ Meeting on 8/16/04)(See E-Mail).

2. E-Mail from Barbara Hart - RE: 48th & “O” Street - (Council & City Clerk received this E-Mail on 8/16/04, before Council Meeting)(See E-Mail)

3. Letter from Patti Hoage - RE: The area around 48th & “O” Streets - would like you to consider her ideas to improve this unsightly property - (See Letter)

4. E-Mail from Robert Nefsky - RE: 48th & “O” Streets - (See E-Mail)

5. Letter from Dale Weber - RE: Concerning the issue of the smoking ban - (See Letter)


7. E-Mail from Gail Steen - RE: Parking enforcement - (See E-Mail)

8. E-Mail from Diane Schneider - RE: Townhomes-say ‘no’ to the high density townhomes - (See E-Mail)

9. E-Mail from Alan & Barb Schmidt - RE: Highlands Neighborhood - Opposed to the proposed development of townhouses in our neighborhood - (See E-Mail)

10. E-Mail from Stan Kuta - RE: Use Permit No. 04002 townhouses - (See E-Mail)

11. E-Mail from Larry & Angie Miller - RE: Opposed to the proposed townhouse development for the Highlands area - (See E-Mail)
12. E-Mail from Terry Nelson - RE: Use Permit No. 04002 - (See E-Mail)

13. E-Mail from Robert Bruegman - RE: Use Permit No. 04002 - (See E-Mail)

14. Summer 2004 June Newsletter from E&A Consulting Group, Inc., Amber Toppling - RE: The 56th Street and Pine Lake Road area roadway improvement projects - (Copy of this Newsletter is on file in the City Council Office.)

IV. DIRECTORS

V. CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

VI. ADJOURNMENT
August 12, 2004

Dr. Loy Watley
Mr. Mark Wilson
Lincoln Christian School
5801 S. 84th Street
Lincoln, NE 68516

Dear Sirs:

Thank you for your recent letter about the traffic at Lincoln Christian School. I understand that this is an area of concern for you.

I note that you have sent a copy of your letter to Roger Figard, City Engineer. A member of my staff spoke to Roger about your inquiry. Roger said he will review your requests with the City Traffic Engineer, Randy Hoskins. Roger or Randy will get back to you when they have had a chance to assess the situation around the school.

Thank you again for writing.

Sincerely,

Coleen J. Seng
Mayor of Lincoln

cc: Lincoln City Council
Roger Figard

CS/ah
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 441-7511, fax 441-7120

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: August 16, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 441-7831

MAYOR PRESENTS JULY AWARD OF EXCELLENCE

Mayor Colleen J. Seng today presented the Mayor’s Award of Excellence for July to Wesley Nelson of the Public Works and Utilities Department. The monthly award recognizes City employees who consistently provide exemplary service and work that demonstrates personal commitment to the City. The award was presented at the beginning of today’s City Council meeting.

Nelson was nominated in the category of productivity by Roger Tiedeman, a labor supervisor II in the Street Maintenance Operations Division. Nelson is a labor supervisor I and has worked for the City for nearly 34 years. He was nominated for his work last winter when Lincoln received large amounts of snow and ice. Tiedeman said Nelson would arrive early to help the morning shift supervisor coordinate and finalize the overnight activity. Nelson spent many hours with contractors, ensuring that they were accountable for their portion of snow removal activity. During his weekends off, Nelson came to work to stack and spray salt, and he did not charge any of this time to any activity card.

“Wes has always worked above and beyond any normal part of his job description, with emphasis on safety for himself and his men,” wrote Tiedeman.

The other categories in which employees can be nominated are customer relations, loss prevention, safety and valor. All City employees are eligible for the Mayor’s Award of Excellence except for elected officials and some managers. Individuals or teams can be nominated by supervisors, peers, subordinates and the general public. Nomination forms are available from department heads, employee bulletin boards or the Personnel Department, which oversees the awards program.

All nominations are reviewed by the Mayor’s Award of Excellence Committee, which includes a representative with each union and a non-union representative appointed by the Mayor. Award winners receive a $100 U.S. savings bond, a day off with pay and a plaque. Monthly winners are eligible to receive the annual award, which comes with a $500 U.S. savings bond, two days off with pay and a plaque.
August 17, 2004

Karin Fuog
Karin.Fuog@enlink.net
9200 Foxen Circle
Lincoln, Nebraska 68526

Dear Karin:

Thanks for writing about the proposed FY2004-05 City budget. I am glad to know you supported the addition of the Lux Bus Booster route that I had proposed.

After a series of exchanges and meetings between the City Council and me we reached an agreement that will balance the FY2004-05 City Budget without increasing the city property tax rate for the operating budget. The only change to the city property tax rate this year is the result of a voter approved bond for storm water improvements.

I had included adding a Lux Bus booster route in my original budget. The City Council amendments of July 19th cut the proposal. As you know the final adopted budget added the Lux Bus booster route back to the budget.

The amendment adding the Lux Bus route was approved 6-1 by the City Council and final approval of the FY2004-05 City Budget confirming the addition of the Lux Bus route was approved 6-1 by the City Council. Substantial cuts were made in the FY2004-05 City budget and new spending was held to minimum.

Please remember that the City government has a good record of reducing the city property tax rate. The city property tax rate has been cut from 51-cents in 1994 to it's current 29.5-cents. Just last summer the City lowered its property tax rate 2.4-cents.

Thank you again for writing.

Sincerely,
Coleen J. Seng
Mayor of Lincoln

F:\FILES\MAYOR\2004,MayorSeng,Email\Fuog,Karin,08-17-2004,LuxBusBudget.wpd

"Karin and Eric"
To: "Karin and Eric" <karinanderic9397@earthlink.net>
cc: council@ci.lincoln.ne.us
Subject: Re: Lux booster bus routes

routes

08/17/2004 12:13 PM

RECEIVED
AUG 17 2004
CITY COUNCIL OFFICE
Dear Council Members and Mayor:

Thank you very much for your attention during public hearing on the city of Lincoln budget last night. I appreciate the opportunity to address you directly.

I wanted to follow up with a letter and reiterate my request that the $17,000 for two booster bus routes from Lux Middle School to neighborhoods south and east of the school be reinstated in the city budget.

By its very name and nature, public transportation should be available to everyone. The opportunity to use public transportation should not be based on income or other considerations. The proposed Lux booster routes D and E service an area of Lincoln that currently has no bus routes at all. Those of us who would use these buses will not do so for free; we will help defray the cost of these routes by paying bus fares, in the same way that all other bus routes’ expenses are defrayed.

If public transportation is to live up to its name, it should be designed for maximum coverage of the city, to garner revenue from all neighborhoods and sectors of the population. Please do not make bus use a mark of wealth of the lack thereof. Provide a public transport system that serves all of the city of Lincoln.

Sincerely,
Karin Fuog
9200 Foxen Circle
486.0386
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 441-7511, fax 441-7120

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: August 18, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 441-7831
Chief Tom Casady, Police Department, 441-7237

MAYOR THANKS SENATOR NELSON FOR ASSISTANCE IN SECURING GRANTS FOR NEW POLICE OFFICERS

Mayor Coleen J. Seng today thanked U.S. Senator Ben Nelson for his work to secure federal grant funding for 10 new full-time officers in the Lincoln Police Department. Lincoln will receive an estimated $750,000 from the Office of Community Oriented Policing (COPS) in the U.S. Department of Justice.

“Public safety is one of the top priorities of local government, but with budget constraints, we have not had the funds to add as many officers as we would like,” said Mayor Seng. “Senator Nelson’s assistance in securing this grant will mean better protection for Lincoln citizens.”

Lincoln is one of 93 local law enforcement agencies to be awarded grants under the COPS Universal Hiring Program, which supports community policing and homeland security activities. The grants cover up to 75 percent of the salary and benefits of new officers over three years. Part of the funds will be used to help cover the cost of the two new police officers included in Mayor’s Seng’s budget for the 2004-2005 fiscal year. The rest of the grant funds will be available for future hiring.

More information on the COPS Universal Hiring Program is available from Tamara Lucas at 202-514-9079.
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OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 441-7511, fax 441-7120

DATE: August 18, 2004

FOR MORE INFORMATION: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 441-7831

Mayor Coleen J. Seng will have a news conference at 10 a.m. Thursday, August 19 to discuss traffic and parking information for the State Fair and the UNL Husker football season.

The Mayor also will announce formation of a Citizen Audit Review Committee to review the proceeds of the streets and trails bond issue, which is on the September 14th ballot.

The news conference will be in a turn-around area west of Devaney, just north of 14th and Court Streets. From the south: where 14th Street meets Court Street, do NOT take the new 14th Street curve to the east, but continue directly north. From the north: turn right off 14th immediately after the curve.

If the weather is bad, the news conference will take place in the City Council Chambers, first floor of the County-City Building, 555 South 10th.
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 441-7511, fax 441-7120

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: August 19, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 441-7831

MAYOR FORMS CITIZEN STREET AND TRAIL BOND
AUDIT REVIEW COMMITTEE

Mayor Coleen J. Seng today announced formation of the Citizen Street and Trail Bond Audit Review Committee that would review audits of the expenditures of the $75 million if the upcoming bond issue passes to ensure the funds are spent on the intended projects.

"Because there are so many projects, some tend to wonder if the funds are really spent for what was announced," said Mayor Seng. "This review committee would eliminate that doubt and reassure taxpayers that the funds will be spent as planned. This citizen committee would review the independent audits of the bond proceeds to ensure the funds are spent on the projects that have been announced and not used for any other purpose." The Committee will meet at least annually to review the independent audit that would be done on the street bond funds.

On September 14th, residents will vote on a bond proposal that would provide $75 million to construct streets and trails in Lincoln. The projects would be under construction by 2007. At least $70 million will be spent on street and road projects, $2.75 million will be designated for trail projects and the remaining $1.5 million will be available for sidewalk construction, but could also be spent on streets if other funds are used for sidewalks.

"The Streets, Roads and Trails Committee had extensive public involvement. I believe it is important to continue this open process by naming this Citizen Street and Trail Bond Audit Review Committee," Seng said. Seng noted that infrastructure is one of the most important issues affecting our community's growth and quality of life.

The committee members named by the Mayor include Dan Marvin, co-chair of the Street, Roads and Trails Committee and Planning Commission member; Linda Wilson, former City Council member; Carl Sjulin, President, West Gate Bank; and Annette McRoy, Lincoln City Council member.

Mayor Seng extended an invitation to Lincoln residents who want to be considered for appointment as the at-large representative to the committee to send her office a letter or e-mail stating their interest with a brief comment explaining why they would want to serve on the committee. The letters or e-mails must be received or postmarked no later than September 1st to be considered.
LINCOLN MAYOR
555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 441-7511, fax 441-7120

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: August 19, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Diane Gonzales, Citizen Information Center, 441-7831
                    Captain Joy Citta, Police Department, 441-7751
                    Scott Opfer, Public Works and Utilities, 441-7851

CITY PREPARED FOR STATE FAIR AND HUSKER TRAFFIC
Pre-paid parking and Big Red Express are great options for football fans.

Lincoln Mayor Coleen J. Seng today welcomed visitors to the Capital City for the upcoming State Fair and UNL Husker football games. The Mayor also issued traffic and parking reminders to help visitors reach their destinations safely. The State Fair runs from August 28 through September 6, and the Huskers’ home game opener is September 4.

“This is one of the most exciting times of the year in Lincoln, and it’s very important for the City to make visitors feel welcome,” said Mayor Seng. “Most major routes in the City are now open, but we continue to have several projects under way, including Antelope Valley. We encourage visitors to plan ahead to find the best routes and to allow a little extra time to reach their destinations.”

State Fair traffic should use the 27th Street entrance to fairgrounds. Work continues on State Fair Park’s 14th Street entrance, and there is no public parking on the west side of the fairgrounds. More information on the state fair is available at www.statefair.org.

The State Fair parking lots will not be available for football fans to use for the September 4 game. Those coming from out of town for the game are encouraged to use Highway 2, Highway 6, Highway 34, West “O” and the West Bypass. Those who intend to park in the lots at the Haymarket Park baseball and softball complex should use the airport exit, and those parking in downtown should use the 9th Street exit. Downtown parking meters are enforced from 8 a.m. until 6 p.m. Saturdays.

The City now offers pre-paid, reserved event parking in its garages through the City Web site, lincoln.ne.gov, or through parkitdowntown.org. Garage locations and fees are:
• City-County, 10th and "K" streets - $6
• Carriage Park, 1128 "L" Street; Center Park, 1120 "N" Street; and Cornhusker Square, 1220 "L" Street - $9
• Haymarket, 9th and "Q" streets; Iron Horse, 7th and "Q" streets; Market Place, 10th and "Q" streets, Que Place, 1111 "Q" Street; and University Square, 101 North 14th - $11.
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For those who do not pre-pay, game-day parking in City garages is $10, except for Center Park and Carriage Park ($8) and the new City-County garage ($5). Maps and other parking information are available at lincoln.ne.gov and www.parkitdowntown.org or by calling the parking office at 402-441-6472.

Parking also is available in the University facility at 17th and “R” streets for $10. Public parking on the UNL campus is available at 16th and Court streets, just south of the Devaney Sports Center for $10.

In addition to its regular routes, StarTran will offer its Big Red Express service on game days from seven locations:
- Westfield Shoppingtown (Gateway), 6100 “O” Street, south side between Steve & Barry’s and Granite City
- Home Depot, 27th and Cornhusker, northwest corner of lot
- SouthPointe Pavilions, 27th and Pine Lake Road, west side of Von Maur
- Holmes Lake, 70th and Normal, north side
- Southeast Community College, 8800 “O” Street, south side
- State Department of Roads, 14th and Burnham, north side
- North Star High School, 5801 North 33rd Circle, south and east lots

Buses begin operating two hours prior to kick-off. They will leave from the east side of the stadium immediately following the game. The cost is $3 each way for adults and $1 each way for children age 12 and under, and passengers will need exact change. Big Red Express season ticket packets, good for round-trip travel for all six home games, are available for $30, a savings of $6. They are available at StarTran, 710 “J” Street; Nebraska Bookstore, 1300 “Q” Street; Big Red Shop, Westfield Shoppingtown; and SouthPointe Pavilions office, just east of the south entrance to Barnes & Noble.

“For football games, we encourage residents and visitors to head for downtown early,” said Mayor Seng. “The Haymarket Farmers Market is open Saturday mornings through October, and there are many other great places to shop and eat before its time to head to the stadium.”
OTHER GAME DAY INFORMATION

STREET CLOSURES AND CHANGES

Stadium Drive west of the stadium will be closed to all vehicular traffic. Passenger drop-off and pick-up will not be allowed in front of the stadium before or after the game. Fans can be dropped off and picked up at 10th and Charleston streets and 12th and “R” streets.

Southbound traffic on 14th Street will be closed from “W” to Vine streets.

Only StarTran buses and those using lot 12 or handicapped parking will be allowed west of 16th Street on Vine.

Charter buses will park on “W” Street between 14th and 16th streets.

The following traffic patterns are in effect after the football games:

- 10th Street is one-way northbound from Military Avenue to Sun Valley Boulevard.
- 14th Street is one-way northbound from Avery to Cornhusker Highway.
- 8th Street is one-way southbound from “S” to “J” streets.

Motorists are also reminded that the Antelope Valley Project has resulted in changes to 16th and 17th Street near campus. North 17th Street from the new “Y” Street Connector (near Nebraska Hall) to “Y” Street is permanently closed. Traffic has switched from one-way to two-way on 17th from “Y” to Holdrege and on North 16th from “W” to Holdrege.

PARKING

Handicapped parking is available at:

- Mabel Lee Hall (vans only) - $10
- 17th and “R” - $10
- 19th and “R” - $10, with shuttle service to stadium

Wheelchair accessible parking is available at Stadium Drive and Holdrege lot 8 for $10 per vehicle.

Vehicles blocking drives, parked too close to the intersection, parked on public right of way or interfering with vehicle and pedestrian traffic will be towed.

The taxi stand will be in the UNL lot 8 on the northwest corner of the stadium.

REMINdERS

Alcohol is prohibited on City streets, parking lots, garages and sidewalks including the trail between Haymarket Park and 8th Street.

The sale of tickets, souvenirs or other items is not allowed on City streets or sidewalks. Officers will issue citations for violations that inhibit the use of the street or sidewalk.

Lock your vehicle and put valuable items out of sight to prevent theft.

Grills are not allowed in City parking garages.
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
COUNCIL OFFICE

Patte Newman - #26

By: __________________________   Date __________________________
(Council Member)

RE: Possible future source of funding called a worker tax
REQUEST:

Would you please respond to the attached E-Mail and send me a copy of the response. Thanks.

Patte Newman

cc: Mayor's Office

RESPONSE (Indicate action taken): By: Dana Roper   Date 8-17-04
See attached response

PLEASE RESPOND WITH 15 COPIES to the Council Office/sig
You have asked the following questions regarding a business occupation privilege tax:

1. Find out whether any further discussion has been or will be held on this issue.

2. Provide council with a copy of what was proposed (and withdrawn) in Omaha several years ago that they referred to as a commuter tax.

3. Explain the options that Lincoln might be legally authorized to take to implement this type of "worker" or "local income" or "commuter" tax.

**ANSWERS**

1. No discussions have been held since the SRT Report however there may be in depth discussions in September.

2. See attached copy of the Omaha ordinance. This ordinance was passed in 1983 and is still on the books. It is my understanding that the City has not collected one cent pursuant to this ordinance by choosing not to enforce it.

3. At this time, an occupation tax would seem to be the only realistic option short of toll booths or a change in the State law.

Sincerely yours,

Dana W. Roper
City Attorney

DWR/tb
Lincoln Fire & Rescue Memo

TO: Councilman Terry Werner
FROM: Fire Chief Mike Spadt
DATE: August 12, 2004
SUBJECT: RFI #136

Copies To:

Dear Councilman Werner;

Per your request RFI #136, Lincoln Fire & Rescue's response is contained in the following two (2) documents.

1.) Cover Memo from me.
2.) Account of our actions at the scene.

When we received your request it was forwarded to the fire companies associated with the incident. The response from the fire company has been attached. I believe it will satisfy your constituent's inquiry as well as your own.

The last paragraph in Chief Staberg's memo refers to our formal report of the incident. I have reviewed the formal report and it is consistent with what is described in your request for information. However, I am not able to enclose a copy of those documents with our response as they are protected instruments under the new HIPPA law which protects patient's medical records.

If you desire additional information please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Mike

Michael L. Spadt
Fire Chief
Lincoln Fire & Rescue
Lincoln Fire & Rescue Memo

TO: Fire Chief Spadt

FROM: Deputy Chief Staberg

DATE: August 12, 2004

SUBJECT: RFI from Terry Warner, City Council

TO

Chief, the four questions that Councilman Terry Werner asked I have responded to after interviewing Captain Tim Sherwood on a telephone at 1900 hours on August 11, 2004.

1. Yes, Lincoln Fire & Rescue units did respond to an incident at the Williamsburg Lake on August 2, 2004 at 1211. The Incident Number is 2004011180. Units initially responded to an alpha medical call - Engine 4, and Medic 6. Engine 4 was command and requested Truck 8's assistance but they had gotten the child out of the mud by the time Truck 8 got on location. Truck 8 was canceled and returned to service.

2. Captain Sherwood believed that the area surrounding the dredging/draining project did have hazards typical with construction areas and probably similar to other projects in town like Holmes Lake, or Capital Beach, where lakes are being drained and dredged. Apparently soft mud and silt around the edge had crusted over and the young man had wandered out on the crusted over mud and fallen through and become trapped by the sticky clay mud below. Parents were unable to rescue him. Engine 4 and Medic 6 were successful in getting him out. Captain Sherwood also believed that there is a danger to public safety. That danger being entrapment, a possible solution would be fencing around the construction project. One concern would be that if one project is required to fence then all projects with a similar nature including the City's project at Holmes Lake would also require fencing to keep children from playing in the construction area.

3. Lincoln Fire & Rescue responded a fire engine and a paramedic unit. Lincoln Police also responded one police officer and they should have a report regarding that.

As far as additional information, I suggest that you have Ken Joyce pull the files and reports from Engine 4 and Medic 6 on the incident. Again the incident number was 2004011180. Review the comments and report section and consider whether or not to send those along as additional information to Councilman Terry Werner.

If you have any further questions regarding this incident, please contact me Friday, August 13, 2004.

F:\FILES\RJMM\Misc\Staberg\081204.wpd
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
COUNCIL OFFICE

By: Terry Werner - #136                               August 11, 2004
  (Council Member)                                    Date

REQUEST: ____________________________________________

____________________________________________________

RE: Recent Emergency Call at Williamsburg Lake

____________________________________________________

Would you please respond to the attached E-Mail and send me a copy of the response. Please respond to Terry. Thanks.

____________________________________________________

-Terry Werner

cc: Mayor's Office

RESPONSE (Indicate action taken): By: ______________________  Date

____________________________________________________

PLEASE RESPOND WITH 15 COPIES to the Council Office/tig

____________________________________________________
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
CITY COUNCIL OFFICE

From: Terry Werner, City Council                                      Date: Aug. 10, 2004

RE: Recent Emergency Call at Williamsburg Lake

Area residents report that the Fire Dept. responded to an emergency call last week (exact date not known) at Williamsburg Lake. The call was placed because a young man had sunk into silt along the lake's edge, was unable to get himself out, nor could his parents extract him from the mire.

1. Did your department respond to a call at Williamsburg Lake in the recent past (perhaps last week)?

2. If so, could I please get an assessment from those responding to the call on the condition of the lake? In particular, do they deem the condition of the lake's edges to present a danger to public safety?

3. Were other emergency entities also called, i.e. paramedics, police?

Any information you can provide on the incident is most appreciated.

Thank you.

Please Respond To: Terry Werner

RESPONSE (Indicate action taken): By: __________________________ Date: ______________
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
COUNCIL OFFICE

BY: Glenn Friendt - #37 (Council Member)

August 12, 2004 CITY COUNCIL OFFICE

REQUEST: RE: Constituent inquiry regarding the bond issue

Would you please respond to the attached Letters and send me a copy of the response.

Thanks.

-Glenn Friendt

cc: Dalyce Ronnau
Chair of the Pedestrian/Bicycle Advisory Committee
2740 “A” Street (02) - FOR YOUR INFORMATION - COPY OF REQUEST SENT BY COUNCIL MEMBER, NO RESPONSE REQUIRED FROM YOU

Mayor’s Office

RESPONSE (Indicate action taken): By: ____________________________ Date

COMMENTS:

PLEASE RESPOND WITH 15 COPIES to the Council Office/
DATE: August 11, 2004

FROM: Glenn Friendt

TO: Don Herz
Dana Roper

RE: RFI / Constituent inquiry re the bond issue

Please respond to the attached letter from the Dalyce Ronnau, Chair of the Pedestrian / Bicycle Advisory Committee, inquiring of the use of funding from the bond issue scheduled for the September 14, 2004 special election. In your response please confirm what the specific commitments of the bond issue will be for streets, trails, roads and sidewalks.

Please indicate that you are responding at my request and, in consideration of the rapidly approaching election date, a timely response would be appreciated.

Thank you.
July 29, 2004

Mayor Coleen J. Seng
Mayor’s Office
555 So. 10th St., Suite 208
Lincoln, NE 68508

RE: Budget Proposals and SRT Bond Issue

Dear Mayor:

A working committee of the Pedestrian/Bicycle Advisory Committee met to review the recent budget proposals as presented by the City Council at their July 19th meeting, as well as the SRT Bond Issue that will be on a September ballot. We think it is important that we provide comments on both items.

Regarding the budget proposals, we think the $250,000 expenditure for bike lanes should be delayed because a plan is not in place for development of any bike lane at this time. We know bike lanes were discussed as part of the Multi-Modal Transportation Study, however not enough information is available to spend the funds in the foreseeable future. We are also recommending funding for Phase II of Antelope Valley Trails be included in the CIP budget and funded by General Revenue funds, not by the SRT Bond Issue.

We are recommending and have prioritized the following items be funded by the SRT Bond Issue:

- Billy Wolff Trail – Rehab 27th to “A” $595,000
- Billy Wolff Trail – Rehab “A” Street underpass $215,000
- Billy Wolff Trail – Rehab Capitol Parkway underpass $290,000

- North 27th St/Husker Link Bridge $1,000,000
  (Balance of $1,000,000 for the bridge will be raised in private donations and other funds)

- Jamaica North Trail – Calvert to J St. $150,000
  (Will be matched with an additional $495,000 in Enhancement Funds for total project cost of $660,000)
Rehabilitation/Update Trails  $ 500,000
(Paint Hwy 2 Bridge, Repair Superior St.
Trail and 70th St. Trails, construct Tierra
Park Connector, and Rehab Hwy 2 and
Murdock Trails)

TOTAL $2,750,000

We understand the budget issues, however our committee has helped develop a comprehensive trail plan over the last 20
years that we think has been well planned and thought out. We would hope that you would take our suggestions into
consideration.

Sincerely,

Dalyce Ronnau, Chair
Pedestrian/Bicycle Advisory Committee

Cc: City Council Members
Memo

To: Glenn Friendt, City Council

From: Don Herz, Finance Director

CC: City Council

Mayor's Office

Date: August 19, 2004

Re: RFI #37 – Street/Trail Bond Issue

This is in reply to your request of August 12, 2004, regarding the letter from the Pedestrian/Bicycle Advisory Committee.

I have attached a copy of the Mayor's response to the letter. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Encl.: RFI # 37
August 16, 2004

Dalyce Ronnau, Chair
Pedestrian Bicycle Advisory Committee
Lincoln City Parks & Recreation Department
2740 A Street
Lincoln, NE 68508

Dear Dalyce:

I received the July 29, 2004 letter asking about the Street/Trail Bond issue scheduled for a September 14, 2004 vote.

I appreciate the Advisory Committee working with the Parks & Recreation Department to compile a list of recommended projects for the Trails portion of the bond. I have talked with Lynn Johnson, Director, City Parks & Recreation Department who has worked with the Advisory Committee.

The list of recommended projects mirrors the recommendation from the Parks & Recreation Department. The one item on the list which I am advised cannot be included in the bond is the painting of the Highway 2 bridge. The City will consider the following to be the $2.75 million list of trail projects that the Parks & Recreation Department will construct if the Street/Trail Bond issue is passed by the voters.

The recommended list for the Trail Bond funds is:

$1,100,000   Billy Wolff Trail - Rehab 27th to A Street, Billy Wolff Trail - Rehab A Street underpass, Billy Wolff Trail - Rehab Capitol Parkway underpass

$150,000    Jamaica North Trail - Calvert Street to J Street
(Will be matched with additional $495,000 in federal enhancement funds for a total project cost of $660,000)

$500,000    Rehabilitation/Update Trails
Repair Superior Street Trail, Repair 70th Street Trail, Construct Tierra Williamsburg Park Connector, Rehab Highway 2 Trail, Murdock Trail

$1,000,000  North 27th Street Husker Link Bridge (construction would not begin until a $1,000,000 match of private donations and other funds is secured.)

Thank you for writing.

Sincerely,

Coleen J. Seng
Mayor of Lincoln

cc: Lynn Johnson, Director, City Parks & Recreation Department
City Council
--
Jon Camp
Lincoln City Council
City Council Office: 441-8793
Constituent representative: Darrell Podany

----- Message from swest@lps.org on Wed, 11 Aug 2004 08:52:36 -0500 -----

To: council@ci.lincoln.ne.us
cc: campjon@aol.com,
amcroy@ci.lincoln.ne.us

Subject: Proposed budget

:

Dear Council members,

I wish to thank you for the three new proposed fire fighter positions. My husband is a firefighter and I find it personally troubling to know that Lincoln is currently in excess of 30 positions short of NFPA standards. (Not to mention the fire stations.) The safety implications of being this short staffed are obvious. Thank you for taking steps to correct this, but I hope you will reconsider Terry Werner's original proposal of 6 new staff members. The firefighters I know wish to serve the citizens of this community the best way they can. They are not afraid of hard work, nor to put their life on the line when duty calls; but they should not be asked to continually work below safety standards to save the city money. Please consider changing the 3 positions back to the original 6.

Thanks you,

Sherry West
Sherry:

Thank you for your email and discussion on the number of firefighters needed.

As of now, the budget reflects the addition of three more firefighters. I had asked my colleagues to delete any additions, as you may have heard. I based this on two premises.

First, Lincoln is not meeting its obligation to the current firefighters. . .the Firefighters and Police Pension fund has a deficit of $9,000,000, which is a $23 million decline in the last six years from the $14.3 million surplus on August 31, 1998. Further, our annual contribution to the pension plan should be $1.7 million higher.

Second, we need a fullscale independent study of the fire and emergency medical system to determine the optimum way to deploy personnel and equipment. "Independent" is the key to this study. Times have changed. Lincoln's fire department has joined the ranks of many cities. . .namely we have become an "ambulance company that happens to fight a fire now and then."

Your points on firefighter safety are well taken. Updated design of our system and deployment of resources can very well ensure the safety of our firefighters yet do so with savings on equipment and personnel.

I hope you, your husband, and the citizens of Lincoln will help in promoting this independent study. . .one that is not conducted by parties with special interests. I also hope that your husband, David, and other firefighters and police officers will continue to remind Lincoln officials that they need to step up to the plate and fund the pension plan.

Please extend my thanks to David for his fine work.

Best regards,

Jon
--
Jon Camp
Lincoln City Council
City Council Office: 441-8793
Constituent representative: Darrell Podany
---

Jon Camp
Lincoln City Council
City Council Office: 441-8793
Constituent representative: Darrell Podany

----- Message from Huskervic on Tue, 10 Aug 2004 10:31:01 PM Eastern Daylight Time ------

To: CAMP JON

Subject: Paramedic/Firefighter
: Shortage

Mr. Camp,

As instructed by our union President but my own motivation I am writing to you to ask that you support the addition of firefighters specifically paramedic/firefighters with experience. I, with great interest in seeing your side of things invite you to spend the day at fire station #3 riding the ambulance to see just how understaffed we are in the city of Lincoln specifically ambulances working the streets. I came from a city 1/4 of the size of Lincoln and we ran three 911 ambulances 24/7 and were very busy with a call volume of about 9-11 thousand calls a year. Lincoln is doubling that and then some on call volume with only five 911 ambulances. I work all of the odd days starting tomorrow for two weeks, I would enjoy showing you what we do for the citizens of Lincoln with a limited staff. If you aren't available I wish you the best with your leadership in Lincoln. Thanks for your time, Victor Stava Paramedic/Firefighter Station #3 441-8373.
Victor:

Thank you for your email of August 10th. . .this has been a busy time and I just retrieved your message.

I understand your concerns on the paramedic situation. You may have heard me repeat the comment I have heard that "Lincoln Fire & Rescue is an ambulance company that happens to fight a fire now and then."

This is why I have asked for several years for an independent study to review our deployment of personnel and equipment. Because barely 11% of LFR activity last year was for fire runs, of which 2/3's were false alarms, and the vast majority of the remaining activity was medical emergencies, perhaps it is time to revise our methods of operation. One suggested solution is for "mega-fire stations" (4-6) supplemented by a system of ambulance pods that would be able to respond quickly to emergency medical needs.

Lincoln is facing a crisis. . .financially, the City is NOT meeting its commitment to its public safety officers. . .our Firefighters and Police Pension Plan is underfunded by $9 million. Only 6 years ago, there was a surplus of $14.3 million, which means the plan has had a decrease of $23 million in these 6 years.

Victor, I hope you will continue to actively participate in the dialogue. I also thank you for your offer to ride along. I would be glad to schedule such an activity but respectfully ask to wait till late September or October. My plate is a bit full right now.

Again, thank you!

Jon

cc: Lincoln City Council
--
Jon Camp
Lincoln City Council
City Council Office: 441-8793
Constituent representative: Darrell Podany
Jon Camp
Lincoln City Council
City Council Office: 441-8793
Constituent representative: Darrell Podany

--- Message from "Bob Goemann" <boggo24@catholic.org> on Tue, 10 Aug 2004 12:25:38 -0500 ---

To: <CAMPJON@aol.com>

Subject: Re: Fire Chief Spadt
Response

Jon,

Thank you for sticking to your guns on this issue. I am also really surprised that he, Chief Spadt, did not send his reply to you as well. I
behind you on this issue and as I talk to other voters and or tax payers of
the city in my comings and goings and they are not aware of the total facts
on this issue and what is really happening around their city.

I wish I could have made it down to the meeting last night on the mayors
budget as I was deeply upset and let down again. I noticed that there was 2
fire department personal that is going to be added and no police officers.
It was said that the school resource officers are being sent back out onto
the street. This is a huge and I mean a huge let down for the kids in our
public schools. These police officers are and soon to be what were keeping
our kids coming home safe and making our schools safe. Now they are being
tossed back onto the street like a common vagrant.

I love your ideas about the "magnet" or "quadrant" fire stations. Is that
not what most of your cities are turning to now a days? I will prove an
example on how this might help residents out. As of right now there is no
ambulance for the NW area except for the ones downtown. We have 3 fire
stations in the NW area of the city. Now if we had a pooling of these
resources you could I am sure have at least 2 or 3 ambulance in this area
and not have to wait for one to get from downtown to say air park or
autumnwood areas.

The fire department with its union has corrupted the whole vision of what
the word public servant is. I must and always applaud Chief Cassidy as he
never asks for more money at least in the public. He never wants more police
stations. He is a modest man in my eyes. Chief Spadt on the other hand wants
more money for doing less and less of a job.

I am going to be taking my personal 35mm camera along with me and take
pictures of every fire truck and or fire department unit that is at places
such as the Mill in the Haymarket or grocery store getting supplies at which
one of the units members could have picked up before his shift. I would be
willing to time and date these pictures so you and the other city council
members can see how much of an abuse the Lincoln Fire Department is on the
tax payers payroll.
---- Original Message ----
From: <CAMPJON@aol.com>
To: "Bob Goemann" <bobgo24@catholic.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 10, 2004 11:47 AM
Subject: Fire Chief Spadt Response

> Bob:
>
> Thank you for sharing the email correspondence between you and Chief Spadt. I was somewhat surprised that I had not received a copy of this previously.
>
> I stand firm in my conviction and request for an independent study of the fire department and emergency medical system. Lincoln has an "ambulance company that happens to fight a fire now and then". I personally agree that the deployment of personnel and equipment can be better managed. This may be a long-term process, but one that needs to be addressed before any additional equipment or firefighters are added.
>
> I think Lincoln would be better served with "magnet" or "quadrant" fire stations with several pieces of "fire fighting equipment" supplemented by a network of ambulance "pods" or even parked ambulances that are positioned in areas that have a history of higher demand at certain times. When one thinks about military deployment, this is the new trend. . .bigger central facilities supplemented by smaller, more nimble, and less expensive mobile units.
>
> "Hard" facilities like a fire station are very expensive to build, staff, equip and maintain.
>
> Also remember that we have much more strict and preventative building codes that have reduced tremendously the number of fires. Let's start realizing dollar savings by reducing the fire "responders" since fire "prevention" is doing its job.
>
> While response time for fires is important, the more important response time is for human medical emergencies. Thus, let's design our system accordingly.
>
> This is an uphill struggle and has been resisted repeatedly by the fire department and fire union. The studies Fire Chief Spadt has alluded do not support his statements. . .he has taken the reports out of context.

Further, the Homeland Security study was constituted to arrive at a desired conclusion, namely the need for more firefighters and police officers. The original concept of doing an independent study of the fire department was circumvented by Mayor Wesely. One merely need review the minutes of the meetings, the final report with its minority report, and most of all, who served on the committee/task force.
>
> Thank you for your concerns, Bob. In conclusion, I do want to reinforce that Lincoln has an excellent fire department and personnel, thanks in part to the fine people who serve. The time has come to do an entire review, to ensure the future thrust of our fire and ambulance system better meets the needs of those at risk and the taxpayers who foot the bill.
>
> Jon

>
Jon Camp
Lincoln City Council
City Council Office: 441-8793
Constituent representative: Darrell Podany
Bob:

Thank you...I just read your email. It has been a busy week.

I will continue to press for both (1) financial responsibility vis-a-vis the Police and Firefighters Pension Plan, and (2) an independent study of the Lincoln Fire and Rescue department.

I am disappointed that my colleagues on the City Council chose to add 3 more firefighters and 2 more police officers without funding the recommended pension plan contribution in the 2004-2005 city budget.

Jon

--

Jon Camp
Lincoln City Council
City Council Office: 441-8793
Constituent representative: Darrell Podany
CAMPJON@aol.com
08/13/2004 09:07 AM

To: dhartley@neb.rr.com ("Daryl Hartley")
c: jay@ci.lincoln.ne.us (City Council), dpodany@ci.lincoln.ne.us (Darrell Podany)
Subject: Re: Firefighter staffing

Daryl:

Thank you for your email supporting 6 additional firefighters. As you know by now, I asked my colleagues to defer any addition of firefighters and police officers because the City is not meeting its obligation to existing personnel. Lincoln is not meeting its obligation to the current firefighters. . . .the Firefighters and Police Pension fund has a deficit of $9,000,000, which is a $23 million decline in the last six years from the $14.3 million surplus on August 31, 1998. Further, our annual contribution to the pension plan should be $1.7 million higher.

Lincoln also needs a full-scale independent study of the fire and emergency medical system to determine the optimum way to deploy personnel and equipment. "Independent" is the key to this study. Times have changed. Lincoln's fire department has joined the ranks of many cities. . . .namely we have become an "ambulance company that happens to fight a fire now and then."

Often reference is made to "national standards" which generally relates back to the NFPA (National Fire Protection Association) standards. While NFPA is trying to promote the interests of safety, we must also recognize that in recent votes on new standards, 2500 of the 3500 votes cast came from union firefighters. I respectfully believe NFPA, to be more creditable, needs to broaden its membership and representation and decision-making base. The predominance of union members hurts NFPA's credibility, Daryl, regardless of whether its recommendations are valid or not.

I hope you and your colleagues in LFR will help the City conduct a truly independent study. In the end, I believe both the financial crisis facing the pension plan and the need for adequate staffing can be remedied through a change in our fire fighting and emergency medical response techniques. I have visited with Mark Munger and Chief Spadt in the past and suggested an 8-10 year approach that would transition such changes to avoid unnecessary disruptions in our current personnel.

Again, thank you for your email.

Jon

--
Jon Camp
Lincoln City Council
City Council Office: 441-8793
Constituent representative: Darrell Podany
August 19, 2004

Deb Weber  
Executive Director  
Lincoln Arts Council  
920 “O” Street  
Lincoln, NE 68508

Dear Deb:

Mayor Seng received a copy of the audit report for the Lincoln Arts Council for the year that ended August 31, 2003, along with a copy of the audit management letter. Mayor Seng has forwarded the letter to me for review.

The management letter from Grafton & Associates, P.C., included a number of internal control deficiencies that should be addressed as soon as possible.

The City would like to see an action plan that addresses these deficiencies before we process any further payments to the Lincoln Arts Council. If we see an action plan and progress in implementing the action plan, we will continue to honor the City’s funding commitments.

If needed, the City Finance Department is willing to provide technical assistance to the Arts Council to develop an action plan. You can contact our City Controller, Peggy Watchorn, at 441-8302 for this assistance.

Please call me at 441-7412, if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Don Herz  
Finance Director

Encl.: Management Letter

Cc: Coleen J. Seng, Mayor  
City Council
To the Board of Directors and Management  
Lincoln Arts Council

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of Lincoln Arts Council (the Organization) for the year ended August 31, 2003, we considered its internal control in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on internal control. However, we noted certain matters involving control and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control that, in our judgment, could adversely affect Lincoln Arts Council's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements.

Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99 (SAS No. 99)

In response to the Enron and WorldCom accounting and auditing failures, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants instituted SAS No. 99 effective for financial statement periods beginning in 2003. Along with major changes and additions in auditing procedures, the standard imposes additional responsibilities on the auditee's management to prevent and detect fraud. We recommend management to analyze SAS No. 99 and design and implement controls to comply with the standard.

Segregation of Duties

Typical of entities with limited number of staff, the Organization does not have adequate segregation of duties as to:

- Incoming mail and cash receipts
- Disbursement and payroll check custody before mailing/distribution
- Cash disbursements and purchases
- Bank reconciliations and cash receipts and disbursements
- General ledger and subsidiary ledger functions

The Board and management should be cognizant of these issues in performing their review and oversight responsibilities to the Organization.

Cash

Cash internal control could be improved by the following:

- Daily bank deposit of checks and cash received.
- Utilization of prenumbered receipts for cash received and a record of the daily amounts received totaled and initialed by two employees.
• For cash collected at special events, reconciliation of cash received to tickets sold and accounting for all unsold tickets.

• Obtain and review canceled disbursement checks for proper number, date, payee, endorsement, authorized signature, alterations, etc.

• Regular review of bank reconciliations by the Board treasurer for proper preparation, timely clearing of reconciling items, etc. and initialing and dating the reconciliation to document the review performed.

• Fidelity bonding of employees with cash handling responsibilities.

Information Systems

Internal control over information systems could be improved by the following:

• Regular changing of passwords for all users (typically 90 days.)

• Development and testing of contingency plans for alternative processing in the event of loss or interruption of the information system function includes rotation of computer file backups offsite for availability in the event of a disaster.

Employee Vacations

To improve basic internal control, during employee vacations other staff should perform the vacationing employee's accounting/record keeping functions while the employee is absent.

Board Minutes

As in the prior year, we recommend the minutes of the meetings of the Board of Directors should be signed by the secretary to serve as an official document of the Board's policy and decision making and approvals.

Executive Committee Minutes

The Organization has not kept minutes of the meetings of the executive committee. It is standard business practice to have the executive committee minutes prepared for these meetings and reviewed approved by the full Board. All minutes should be kept in a secure fireproof location since they are an important part of the Organization's records, which should be kept permanently.

Disbursement and Payroll Procedures

During the course of our audit, we noted that there were a number of errors in recording checks and deposits in the general ledger. These included duplicate check numbers, numerous voided checks for duplicate payments on disbursements, checks voided or not recorded but yet cleared and payrolls prepared and issued several days before or after scheduled pay periods. The Organization does not appear to have standardized disbursement and payroll processes in place to prevent these types of issues. We recommend that adequate disbursement and payroll procedures be formulated, documented and fully implemented by management.
Office Filing Procedures

During the course of our audit, we noted that management had difficulty finding articles of incorporation, grant documents, supporting check details, and other information. This was due to turnover in a relevant staff position. We recommend that management standardize the filing processes so when turnover does occur, management can still find basic documentation.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors, management, and others within the Organization and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

December 12, 2003
Attached are the text section and some of the financial schedules of the budget resolution for adoption on August 23rd. We received our valuations from the County Assessor Thursday morning (shown below) just prior to getting this to the Council office for your packets. We have not had enough time to incorporate this information into all of the budget schedules for the resolution but will have them ready for Monday’s meeting. Just as a reminder, it is important that the adoption actually take place on Monday because the City Charter requires the budget to be adopted no later than five days before the start of the new fiscal year.

One of item of interest to you is paragraph 38 of the text which establishes City Council salaries for the next term.

If you have any questions please call at 441-7698. I will also be available to answer questions at your meeting on Monday.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2004-2005 Final Valuation</th>
<th>% Change From 03-04</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Real Property</td>
<td>12,421,799,720</td>
<td>3.505%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal</td>
<td>560,657,306</td>
<td>-1.093%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrally Assessed</td>
<td>156,059,200</td>
<td>-11.361%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13,138,516,226</td>
<td>3.095%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Growth</td>
<td>433,811,415</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth as a percentage of total tax base</td>
<td>3.404%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth as a percentage of Real property</td>
<td>3.615%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESOLUTION NO. ____________________________

WHEREAS, under the provisions of Section 25 and 25a of Article IX of the Charter of the City of Lincoln, the proposed annual budget for the City of Lincoln was submitted on June 28, 2004; and

WHEREAS, under the provisions of Section 26 of Article IX of the City Charter, a public hearing on the proposed budget was held on August 9, 2004 notice thereof having been published in one issue of the Lincoln Journal Star, a newspaper of general circulation in the City, more than five days before such hearing; and

WHEREAS, all necessary changes have been made as to revenue estimates and appropriation items.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska;

1. That pursuant to the provisions of Article IX of the Charter of the City of Lincoln, the budget for the fiscal year beginning September 1, 2004, a copy of which is hereto attached and made a part of this resolution as fully as if set forth verbatim herein, is hereby adopted, and the several sums therein set forth to be raised by the levy of a tax upon all taxable property within the corporate limits of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska, the estimated balances on hand, the miscellaneous receipts and all other funds and receipts are hereby appropriated for the several purposes therein stated. That all money received in any of the aforesaid funds in excess of the estimated balances and receipts set forth in said budget shall be credited to the unappropriated surplus of such funds.

2. The City Council, by adoption of the Capital Improvement Program on Schedule 5 of this resolution, hereby authorizes the acquisition of all necessary right-of-way, easements, or other interest in land, by purchase if possible, by condemnation if necessary, for those projects included within the first year of said Capital Improvement Program.

3. Pursuant to Section 2 of L.B. 989 passed by the Second Session of the Ninety-Fifth Legislature, the City is authorized to increase budgeted restricted funds by the basic allowable growth percentage of the base (2.5%) and allowable growth due to improvements to real property as a result of new construction, additions to existing buildings, any improvements to real property which increase the value of such property, and any increase in valuation due to annexation and any personal property valuation over the prior year above 2.5% expressed in dollars and to utilize other lid exemptions authorized in L.B. 989. Such increase is hereby authorized to the extent necessary to generate the revenues to fund the budget, and any unused restricted funds authority is hereby authorized to be carried forward to future budget years.
4. Pursuant to the agreement for the provision of services related to the emergency medical care system in Lincoln, Nebraska, the annual budget for Emergency Medical Services, Inc. a copy of which is attached hereto, labeled Schedule 6, is hereby approved.

5. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or which will be credited to any Internal Service Fund, Trust Fund, Agency Fund, and Enterprise Fund, now or heretofore created, notwithstanding any sum limitations set forth in the budget attached hereto.

6. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or which will be credited to the Building and Safety Fund notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto.

7. There is hereby appropriated all money received or to be received from the County of Lancaster, the State of Nebraska, or the United States, as well as from any grants, donations, or contributions received for public purposes and the interest earned thereon, notwithstanding any sum limitations set forth in the budget attached hereto.

8. There is hereby appropriated all money received from the interest income on the investments of all authorized bond issue proceeds including bond anticipation notes for the purposes for which said bonds and notes have been authorized to be issued, notwithstanding any sum limitations set forth in the budget attached hereto.

9. There is hereby appropriated in the Worker's Compensation Loss Revolving Fund all amounts included in the budget for Worker's Compensation benefits, together with any recoveries on account of subrogation interests, from which fund all losses and costs incidental to the administration of such losses shall be paid, notwithstanding any sum limitations set forth in the budget attached hereto.

10. There is hereby appropriated to the credit of the Social Security Fund and Payroll Liability Account, in addition to the tax levied therefor, any money deducted from employees' salaries and wages together with any departmental contributions in non-tax supported funds, notwithstanding any sum limitations set forth in the budget attached hereto.

11. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or which will be credited to the Self-Insured Health Fund, notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto.

12. There is hereby appropriated cash balances credited to the Health Care Fund, notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto, for payment as needed to provide health care benefits to City employees.

13. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or which will be credited to the Snow Removal Fund, notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto.

14. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or which will be credited to the Vehicle Tax Construction Fund created by Section 3.20.220 of the L.M.C. (Vehicle Tax for street improvements) notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto.
15. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or which will be credited to the Unemployment Insurance Fund, notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto.

16. All receipts for on street parking meter collections are pledged toward the payment of bonds assigned for payment from the Parking Revenue Fund. Parking meter receipts in excess of those required to meet provisions of the bond covenants shall be credited to the General Fund up to the amounts budgeted.

17. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or which will be credited to the Parking Lot Revolving Fund to be used for designing, acquiring, constructing, maintaining, repairing, regulating, supervising and policing of City owned parking lots and facilities in accordance with L.M.C. Chapter 10.34 and Chapter 10.36, notwithstanding any sum limitations set forth in the budget attached hereto.

18. There is hereby appropriated to the Street Construction Fund all monies received as the City’s share of the Highway Allocation Fund and the Grade Crossing Protection Fund, together with any other Federal, State, and County funds, received for street construction purposes, any reimbursements and matching funds, including Federal Road Funds, all of which monies are hereby appropriated and reappropriated for all purposes as authorized by State laws pertaining to such funds, notwithstanding any sum limitations set forth in the budget attached hereto.

19. There is hereby appropriated in the Special Assessment Revolving Fund all monies in said fund for expenditures as authorized by Article VIII of the City Charter, Section 10a and all money received to the credit of the Special Assessment Revolving Fund including bond proceeds, grants, donations, special assessment collections, and City participation deemed necessary by the City Council, notwithstanding any sum limitations set forth in the budget attached hereto.

20. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or which will be credited in the Advance Acquisition Fund as provided in Article IX B, Section 12 of the City Charter, notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto.

21. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or which will be credited to the 911 Communication Fund, notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto.

22. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or which will be credited to the Auditorium Promotion Fund, notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto.

23. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or which will be credited to the Arbitrage Rebate Fund, notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto.

24. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or which will be credited to the Tax Sale Revolving Fund, notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto.
25. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or to be credited in the City Aviation Promotion Fund as provided in Neb. Rev. Stat. Section 3-504.02 (1991), notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto.

26. There is hereby appropriated KENO proceeds for mandated payments, and 1% for administrative fees.

27. There is hereby appropriated all money in the Cable Access Television Fund, notwithstanding any sum limitations set forth in the budget attached hereto.

28. There is hereby appropriated all monies now credited or which will be credited to the Community Improvement Financing Fund and all Tax Allocation Bond Debt Service funds, notwithstanding any sum limitations set forth in the budget attached hereto.

29. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or which will be credited to the Disaster Recovery Fund, notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto, for paying disaster related expenditures or for reimbursement to other City funds which have made disaster related expenditures for which reimbursement from outside sources has been received or as otherwise appropriated.

30. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or which will be credited to the Property Tax Refund Fund, notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto.

31. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or which will be credited to the Title V Clean Air fund notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto.

32. There is hereby appropriated all money now credited or which will be credited to the Impact Fees Fund notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto.

33. There is hereby levied upon all taxable property within the corporate limits of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska, upon each one hundred dollars of approximate market value thereof, taxes in an amount sufficient to generate funds for the purposes and in the amounts hereinafter named, to-wit:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appropriated Amount-90%</th>
<th>Total Tax As Levied-100%</th>
<th>Per $100 Market Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Library</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bond and Interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redemption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Police &amp; Fire Pension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

34. There is hereby authorized the transfer to the appropriate reserve accounts of all encumbrances, including accrued payroll, for the fiscal year ending August 31, 2004, together with any monies previously so reserved.
35. Any unexpended balance remaining in the Police and Fire Pension Fund is reappropriated pursuant to Lincoln Municipal Code, Chapters 2.62, 2.65 and 2.66, notwithstanding any sum limitation set forth in the budget attached hereto.

36. All previous capital appropriations are hereby continued and reappropriated pursuant to Section 27 of Article IX of the Charter of the City of Lincoln, except the unexpended balance remaining in any fully completed project shall be credited to the unappropriated surplus in the fund from which such project was funded.

37. There is hereby designated and appropriated General Fund cash balances in an amount equal to the debt service falling due during the fiscal year for the Golf Course Revenue Bonds issued in 2001 for the purpose of providing for the payment of the principal and interest on such bonds, as they become due, if and to the extent that amounts credited to the Golf Revenue Fund are insufficient and to the extent that such General Fund cash balances are available.

38. Funding is included in the General Fund, City Council personnel budget pursuant to the election of May 11, 2004 where the voters approved a charter amendment to establish a review and recommendation committee for City Council members compensation. The annual City Council salary of $24,000 as recommended by the committee is hereby approved with the passing of this resolution and is effective beginning May 16, 2005.

39. Per resolution A-82134 passed by the City Council on June 9, 2003 establishing an unreserved fund balance policy for the City, the General Fund unreserved fund balance as of the last audited financial statements as of August 31, 2003 was $35,987,302. This August 31, 2003 unreserved balance is 33.6% of the General Fund budget for 2004-2005 of $107,074,196 included in this resolution. The August 31, 2003 unreserved balance was 13.6% greater than the 20% goal for such balance set by Resolution A-82134.

40. That the appropriations for the following items be transferred effective August 31, 2004:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCOUNT NUMBER</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From: 18002.5655</td>
<td>General Expense/Work Study</td>
<td>$3,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 09009.5022</td>
<td>Parks/Parks Admin./Salaries</td>
<td>$3,840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 18002.5856</td>
<td>General Expense/City Share of Downtown Maint.</td>
<td>$79,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 413536.6137</td>
<td>Urb. Dev. Street Tree Replacement CIP Proj.</td>
<td>$79,210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(also increases 13001.9234 U.D. CIP Transf.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 18001.5859</td>
<td>Contingency/Other Serv. &amp; Charges</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 10001.5021</td>
<td>Personnel/Salaries</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

41. That the cash for the following items be transferred effective August 31, 2004:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCOUNT NUMBER</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From: 10005.5959</td>
<td>Personnel/Risk Mgmt./Workers Comp. Fund</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 10011.4533</td>
<td>Personnel/Risk Mgmt./Excess Self Ins. Fund</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: Fund 475</td>
<td>1999 G.O. Var. Purpose Bonds (Parks &amp; Libraries)</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: Fund 310</td>
<td>Bond Interest &amp; Redemption</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
42. That cash and appropriations up to the following amounts for the following items be transferred effective August 31, 2004:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCOUNT NUMBER</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From: 19001.9247</td>
<td>Inter-Fund Transfers/Wilderness Park Transfer</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 296015.6138</td>
<td>Wilderness Park</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 18002.5657</td>
<td>General Expense/Human Service Contracts</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Daywatch subledger 70076 A)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 79500.5763</td>
<td>StarTran/Printing</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 18002.5655</td>
<td>General Expense/Work Study</td>
<td>$2,283.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 10005.5021</td>
<td>Personnel/Risk Mgmt./Salaries</td>
<td>$2,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10005.5086</td>
<td>Personnel/Risk Mgmt./FICA</td>
<td>$133.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 18001.5989</td>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 406105.6072</td>
<td>911 Comm./Backup Comm. Ctr.</td>
<td>$524</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

43. That the following unexpended appropriations are reappropriated effective August 31, 2004 up to the following amounts:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCOUNT NUMBER</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01001.5989</td>
<td>City Council/Misc. Other Serv. &amp; Charges</td>
<td>$48,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02007.6064</td>
<td>Mayor/Aging Services/Sr. Ctr./Cars &amp; Trucks</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02002.5631</td>
<td>Mayor/Aging Services/Admin./Misc. Cont./data mgmt. sys.</td>
<td>$58,591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18002.5621</td>
<td>General Expense/Misc. Contractual (blight study)</td>
<td>$1,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18002.5856</td>
<td>General Expense/City Share of Downtown Maintenance</td>
<td>$17,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18002.5659</td>
<td>Peoplesoft Financial System</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05004.6071</td>
<td>Fire/Emergency Services/Fire Equipment</td>
<td>$285,039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06006.5761</td>
<td>Finance/City Clerk/Microfilming</td>
<td>$11,899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06009.6069</td>
<td>Finance/City Treasurer/Data Processing Equipment</td>
<td>$58,778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06065.6093</td>
<td>Finance/Radio Shop/Replacement Equipment</td>
<td>$77,255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09250.9246</td>
<td>Parks/Unprogrammed KENO Appropriations</td>
<td>$26.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79110.5621</td>
<td>Pub. Wks./Util/Drainage/Williamsburg Detention Pond</td>
<td>$194,988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04025.6076</td>
<td>Police Garage/Misc. Equip. (Gasboy card reader)</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13001.9220</td>
<td>U.D./Admin./Cash Transfers Out (Antelope Valley)</td>
<td>$106,511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09250.9220</td>
<td>Parks/KENO/Cash Transfers Out (Antelope Valley)</td>
<td>$311,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09002.9220</td>
<td>Parks/Parks Admin./Cash Transfers Out (Antelope Valley)</td>
<td>$490,541</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
44. That unencumbered appropriations from the budget for the fiscal year beginning September 1, 2003 be transferred and reappropriated up to the following amounts effective August 31, 2004:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACCOUNT NUMBER</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From: 18002.5966</td>
<td>Gen. Exp./Industrial Promotion (Pershing promotion)</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 19001.9243</td>
<td>Interfund Transfers/City Share of Auditorium</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 18002.5632</td>
<td>Gen. Exp./Sys. Dev. – I.S. (Parking Ticket System)</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 06009.6069</td>
<td>Finance/City Treasurer/Data Processing Equipment</td>
<td>$35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 02007.5021</td>
<td>Mayor/Aging Services/Sr. Ctrs./Salaries</td>
<td>$13,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 02002.5631</td>
<td>Mayor/Aging Services/Admin./Data Mgmt. Sys.</td>
<td>$13,884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 05004.5021</td>
<td>Fire/Emergency Services/Regular Salaries</td>
<td>$65,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 05004.9221</td>
<td>Fire/Emergency Services/City Match Federal Programs</td>
<td>$65,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 18001.5989</td>
<td>Contingency/Misc. Other Serv. &amp; Charges</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 06014.5021</td>
<td>Finance/911 Communications/Salaries</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 18001.5989</td>
<td>Contingency/Misc. Other Serv. &amp; Charges</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 18002.5962</td>
<td>General Expense/Election Expense</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 413104</td>
<td>U.D./ADA Compliance Bldgs.</td>
<td>$46,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(also reduces 13006.9234)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 413106</td>
<td>U.D./Willard School Roof Repair</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(also reduces 13006.9234)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 13001.5628</td>
<td>U.D./Consultant Services (Downtown Master Plan)</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 11001.6001</td>
<td>Planning/Reappropr. Funds</td>
<td>$28,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 11001.5628</td>
<td>Planning/Consultant Services (Downtown Master Plan)</td>
<td>$28,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 18001.5989</td>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 18002.55642</td>
<td>General Expense/Legal Services</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 18001.5989</td>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 18002.5628</td>
<td>General Expense/Consultant Services</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From: 18001.5989</td>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$44,883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To: 409318.6137</td>
<td>Parks/Master Street Trees</td>
<td>$44,883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(also increases 09002.9237)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
45. There is hereby appropriated to each departmental operating budget 100% of the unencumbered operating appropriations as shown in the final Appropriation Status Report as of August 31, 2004 up to the amount shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>$2,031,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health</td>
<td>$201,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Control</td>
<td>$51,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StarTran</td>
<td>$101,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aging</td>
<td>$122,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, any reimbursements received from FEMA related to expenses incurred for the Hallam tornado clean up are hereby reappropriated to the respective department budgets.

46. That the following amounts are hereby appropriated and cash transfers authorized as of August 31, 2004:

From: 09249.9220 Tennis Capital Improvements $25,000
To:  409382.6138 Mahoney Ballfield Renovation $25,000
(also increases 09002.9237)

47. There is hereby attached and made a part hereof a listing of all funds of the City of Lincoln which are hereby confirmed and approved.

Introduced by: Approved:

__________________________________________________________

Finance Director

Approved as to Form and Legality:

On this _____ day of ____________, 2004

__________________________________________________________

City Attorney

Mayor

8/19/04
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account</th>
<th>Operating Appropriations</th>
<th>Capital Improvements</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

2004-2006 Budget

Fiscal Year Beginning September 1, 2004

Council Adopted

Expenditure Summary

City of Lincoln, Nebraska
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line Item</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Budget Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.6565.152</td>
<td>2000-2001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.700.443</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.497.584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.000.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Special Revenue Funds:**

Control expenditures for the proper functioning and operation of the departments, agencies, and activities based on the detailed estimates that, which estimates are to be used to

Instructional funds for the proper functioning and operation of the departments, agencies, and activities based on the detailed estimates that, which estimates are to be used to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Line Item</th>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Budget Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.234.567</td>
<td>2001-2002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.345.678</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.456.789</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL AFTERTAX FUNDS**

**TOTAL NON-LIMITED TAX FUNDS**

**TOTAL LIMITED TAX FUNDS**

**TOTAL ESSER FUNDS**

**TOTAL INTERNAL COMPTOIR **

**SOCIAL SECURITY**

**FICA AND FIRE PEN SION**

**TOTAL LIBRARY**

**TOTAL SUPPORT SERVICES**

**INFORMATION SERVICES & TECHNOLOGY**

**BUILDING AND EQUIPMENT**

**LIBRARY**

**TOTAL GENERAL FUND**

**TOTAL URBAN DEPARTMENT**

**TOTAL REAL ESTATE AND RELOCATION**

**ADDITIONAL FUNDS**

**TOTAL ACTUAL**

**2004-2005 BUDGET**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUBTOTAL-BUILDING AND SAFETY</th>
<th>ACTUAL EXPENDITURES</th>
<th>TOTAL BUDGET</th>
<th>2004-2005 BUDGET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cable Access Television</td>
<td>4,191,635</td>
<td>4,500,921</td>
<td>4,860,591</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>1,395,197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental Health</td>
<td>373,697</td>
<td>404,198</td>
<td>459,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Director's Office</td>
<td>655,207</td>
<td>617,616</td>
<td>585,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Public Health</td>
<td>2,092,186</td>
<td>1,895,048</td>
<td>2,145,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Data &amp; Evaluation</td>
<td>314,578</td>
<td>428,259</td>
<td>404,706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Promotion &amp; Outreach</td>
<td>577,957</td>
<td>588,873</td>
<td>669,704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inform &amp; Fiscal Management</td>
<td>540,783</td>
<td>527,183</td>
<td>646,867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMM. Health Serv/Public Health Nursing</td>
<td>2,728,530</td>
<td>2,642,282</td>
<td>2,892,733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal-Health Fund</td>
<td>7,167,567</td>
<td>7,040,969</td>
<td>7,506,678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heritage Room (Donations Fund)</td>
<td>25,058</td>
<td>29,317</td>
<td>31,228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keno</td>
<td>4,128,745</td>
<td>2,711,632</td>
<td>1,966,125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lillian Polley Trust</td>
<td>124,347</td>
<td>132,216</td>
<td>138,755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincoln Area Agency on Aging</td>
<td>3,860,427</td>
<td>4,193,273</td>
<td>4,334,983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Infrastructure Redev.</td>
<td>2,341,467</td>
<td>115,974</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIRF Bond Debt Service</td>
<td>425,030</td>
<td>426,280</td>
<td>425,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snow Removal</td>
<td>1,616,852</td>
<td>2,070,400</td>
<td>2,175,823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Startran Acquisition</td>
<td>5,955,878</td>
<td>687,507</td>
<td>86,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Startran Operating</td>
<td>6,819,085</td>
<td>7,141,965</td>
<td>7,527,206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Construction</td>
<td>8,016,700</td>
<td>6,182,712</td>
<td>6,354,511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Sale Revolving</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title V Clean Air</td>
<td>457,884</td>
<td>479,780</td>
<td>537,432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-Special Revenue Funds</td>
<td>48,954,915</td>
<td>39,523,771</td>
<td>54,321,585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Funds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Health Endowment Fund</td>
<td>1,889,770</td>
<td>1,940,310</td>
<td>2,002,386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-Permanent Funds</td>
<td>1,889,770</td>
<td>1,940,310</td>
<td>2,002,386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Projects Funds:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Increment Financing C.I.P.</td>
<td>1,939,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Tax Construction</td>
<td>7,114,321</td>
<td>3,375,000</td>
<td>3,440,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Tax Residual</td>
<td>3,000,000</td>
<td>4,145,400</td>
<td>3,776,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Rehab</td>
<td>745,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Sale Proceeds</td>
<td>1,220,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impact Fees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Month</td>
<td>Approp</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Expenditure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9'01-4'02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9'02-10'03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9'03-10'04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9'04-10'05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9'05-10'06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9'06-10'07</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9'07-10'08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9'08-10'09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9'09-10'10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EMERGENCY OPERATING**

**ENTREPRENEURIAL FUND**

**GOLF CAPITAL IMPROVE DEPARTMENT**

**TAX INCENTIVE FINANCING DEPARTMENT**

**TOTAL CAPITAL PROJECTS FUNDS**

**State Revenue/AD**

**State Trial Milage Tax**

**Railroad Transportation Act**

**Other Funding - Urban Development**

**Other Funding - Public Works & Utilities**

**Other Funding - Parks & Recreation**

**Other Funding - Lower Plateau River**

**Other Funding - 9-1-1 Surge Charge**

**Federal Aid**

**Federal Aid - Urban Area Projects**

**Federal Aid - Station**

**Federal Aid - Not Classifiable Elsewhere**

**Federal Aid - National Highway System**

**Federal Aid - Hazard Elimination**

**Federal Aid - Enhanced Street**

**Federal Aid - Federal Funds**

**Federal Aid - Construction Management**

**Federal Aid - Bridge Replacement**

**Anticipated Contributions to Projects**

**Streets & Highways**

**Watershed Management**

**Fire Stations & Equipment**

**Trails & Maintenance**

**Community Recreation & Activity Centers**

**General Obligation Bonds**

**Highway Allocation Bonds**

**2002 Storm Sewer Construction**

**Tennis Pits**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ACTUAL EXPENDITURES</th>
<th>TOTAL BUDGET</th>
<th>2004-2005 BUDGET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOLF REVENUE</td>
<td>2,344,175</td>
<td>2,372,306</td>
<td>2,533,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT</td>
<td>490,834</td>
<td>336,558</td>
<td>210,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARKING FACILITIES</td>
<td>3,892,831</td>
<td>4,073,015</td>
<td>4,125,938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARKING FACILITIES C.I.P.</td>
<td>6,062,027</td>
<td>917,896</td>
<td>249,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARKING LOT REVOLVING</td>
<td>177,376</td>
<td>154,478</td>
<td>227,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARKING LOT REVOLVING C.I.P.</td>
<td>25,464</td>
<td>52,129</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999 PARKING FAC BOND SERIES</td>
<td>9,500</td>
<td>2,300</td>
<td>2,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001 PARKING FAC BOND SERIES</td>
<td>117,935</td>
<td>117,935</td>
<td>117,935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSHING AUDITORIUM</td>
<td>2,174,818</td>
<td>2,103,119</td>
<td>2,287,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANITARY LANDFILL REVENUE</td>
<td>3,867,303</td>
<td>3,959,733</td>
<td>4,762,564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANITARY LANDFILL C.I.P.</td>
<td>243,303</td>
<td>2,060,196</td>
<td>692,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASTEWATER</td>
<td>16,725,692</td>
<td>11,199,089</td>
<td>39,287,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WATER</td>
<td>32,674,535</td>
<td>20,133,760</td>
<td>35,813,444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL-ENTERPRISE FUNDS</td>
<td>72,365,673</td>
<td>51,248,515</td>
<td>95,480,654</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GRANTS-IN-AID FUNDS:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2003-2004</th>
<th>OPERATING</th>
<th>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS</th>
<th>TOTAL APPROPRIATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT</td>
<td>4,013,552</td>
<td>2,879,000</td>
<td>2,286,000</td>
<td>570,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - HOME</td>
<td>1,488,685</td>
<td>1,404,852</td>
<td>1,464,000</td>
<td>1,464,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URBAN DEV - WELFARE TO WORK</td>
<td>183,862</td>
<td>284,517</td>
<td>34,695</td>
<td>34,695</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUMAN RIGHTS</td>
<td>80,519</td>
<td>103,351</td>
<td>111,648</td>
<td>124,571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICE-VICTIM WITNESS</td>
<td>167,489</td>
<td>194,743</td>
<td>202,768</td>
<td>202,768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICE-NARCOTICS</td>
<td>146,257</td>
<td>159,343</td>
<td>157,054</td>
<td>157,054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICE-DOMESTIC VIOLENCE</td>
<td>55,635</td>
<td>55,635</td>
<td>55,635</td>
<td>55,635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOB TRAINING PROGRAM</td>
<td>929,674</td>
<td>849,517</td>
<td>879,860</td>
<td>973,676</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT</td>
<td>129,125</td>
<td>130,091</td>
<td>132,786</td>
<td>132,786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URBAN SEARCH &amp; RESCUE</td>
<td>151,690</td>
<td>117,823</td>
<td>123,942</td>
<td>123,942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL GRANTS-IN-AID FUNDS</td>
<td>7,864,339</td>
<td>8,074,082</td>
<td>7,231,322</td>
<td>6,921,787</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following funds do not have specific limitations established during the budget process. The text of this resolution appropriates all money in these funds and all money which will be received by these funds. By their nature, receipts and expenditures in these funds are unpredictable and an attempt to establish fixed sum limitations could result in misleading inaccuracies.

**FUNDS NOT BUDGETED CURRENT OR PRIOR YEAR:**

**1995 GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS:**

FIRE DEPARTMENT C.I.P & EQUIPMENT
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Revenue</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>Transfer</th>
<th>Net Change</th>
<th>Closing Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2004-2005</td>
<td>138,000</td>
<td>111,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>23,000</td>
<td>160,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-2006</td>
<td>376,122</td>
<td>291,676</td>
<td>42,454</td>
<td>42,454</td>
<td>477,804</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-2007</td>
<td>69,672</td>
<td>90,760</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>32,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-2008</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2009</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-2010</td>
<td>114,578</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>103,578</td>
<td>103,578</td>
<td>114,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>226,259</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>249,259</td>
<td>249,259</td>
<td>226,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-2012</td>
<td>69,672</td>
<td>90,760</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>32,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-2015</td>
<td>114,578</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>103,578</td>
<td>103,578</td>
<td>114,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015-2016</td>
<td>226,259</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>249,259</td>
<td>249,259</td>
<td>226,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016-2017</td>
<td>69,672</td>
<td>90,760</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>32,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017-2018</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018-2019</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019-2020</td>
<td>114,578</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>103,578</td>
<td>103,578</td>
<td>114,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020-2021</td>
<td>226,259</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>249,259</td>
<td>249,259</td>
<td>226,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021-2022</td>
<td>69,672</td>
<td>90,760</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>32,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022-2023</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023-2024</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024-2025</td>
<td>114,578</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>103,578</td>
<td>103,578</td>
<td>114,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025-2026</td>
<td>226,259</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>249,259</td>
<td>249,259</td>
<td>226,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026-2027</td>
<td>69,672</td>
<td>90,760</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>32,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027-2028</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028-2029</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029-2030</td>
<td>114,578</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>103,578</td>
<td>103,578</td>
<td>114,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030-2031</td>
<td>226,259</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>249,259</td>
<td>249,259</td>
<td>226,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2031-2032</td>
<td>69,672</td>
<td>90,760</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>32,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2032-2033</td>
<td>22,000</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2033-2034</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>90,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2034-2035</td>
<td>114,578</td>
<td>11,000</td>
<td>103,578</td>
<td>103,578</td>
<td>114,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2035-2036</td>
<td>226,259</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>249,259</td>
<td>249,259</td>
<td>226,259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** The above table represents the budget and actual expenditures for each fiscal year from 2004-2005 to 2024-2025.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ACTUAL EXPENDITURES</th>
<th>TOTAL BUDGET</th>
<th>2004-2005 BUDGET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIC REVOLVING</td>
<td>208,798</td>
<td>242,241</td>
<td>278,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COPY SERVICES</td>
<td>359,962</td>
<td>345,269</td>
<td>395,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENGINEERING REVOLVING</td>
<td>7,238,127</td>
<td>5,810,126</td>
<td>6,474,481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLEET SERVICES</td>
<td>3,587,057</td>
<td>3,784,375</td>
<td>4,409,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RADIO MAINTENANCE</td>
<td>1,066,564</td>
<td>951,003</td>
<td>623,775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEALTH CARE</td>
<td>5,827</td>
<td>5,299</td>
<td>5,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFORMATION SERVICES</td>
<td>5,522,969</td>
<td>5,890,506</td>
<td>5,100,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LONG TERM DISABILITY</td>
<td>68,262</td>
<td>61,926</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLICE GARAGE</td>
<td>2,287,457</td>
<td>2,741,536</td>
<td>2,812,097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SELF INSURED LOSS</td>
<td>1,533,997</td>
<td>2,068,896</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WORKERS COMPENSATION LOSS FUND</td>
<td>705,642</td>
<td>670,460</td>
<td>669,508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL INTERNAL SERVICE FUNDS</td>
<td>22,584,662</td>
<td>22,571,337</td>
<td>20,770,160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Schedule 4

#### FOR FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING SEPTEMBER 1, 2004
#### OF YEARS INDICATED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY OF LINCOLN, NEBRASKA</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenue Bonds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8% 1997 Bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,232,350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bonds and Notes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7% 1995 Bonds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,412,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,644,850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## CITY OF LINCOLN, NEBRASKA
### SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS
#### COUNCIL ADOPTED
##### FISCAL YEAR BEGINNING SEPTEMBER 1, 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPARTMENT/DIVISION</th>
<th>PROJECT TITLE AND DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>APPROPRIATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FINANCE</strong></td>
<td>Continued refurbishing and renovation of auditorium property.</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>$ 22,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PARKS &amp; RECREATION</strong></td>
<td><strong>NEW PARKS &amp; REC. FACILITIES &amp; CONSTRUCTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admin. Office Bldg. ADA Impr. &amp; Roof Repl.</td>
<td></td>
<td>KF</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belmont Park Play Court</td>
<td></td>
<td>OF</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holmes Park &amp; Lake Restoration</td>
<td></td>
<td>KF</td>
<td>152,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Acquisition/Easements</td>
<td></td>
<td>OF</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahoney Ballfields Renovation</td>
<td></td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KF</td>
<td>148,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>AF</td>
<td>72,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TF</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Country View Park (New Park Development)</td>
<td>OF</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New Park Land Acq. &amp; Development-Zone 7</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New Park Land Acq. &amp; Development-Zone 6</td>
<td>OF</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Park Area Alt. Irrigation Source Development (Lewis Ballfields &amp; Peter Pan Pk. Soccer Field)</td>
<td>KF</td>
<td>81,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parks &amp; Open Space Plan-Feasibility Study</td>
<td>KF</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pioneers Park Nature Center Improvements</td>
<td>KF</td>
<td>180,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Saline/Freshwater Wetlands Land Acquisition</td>
<td>KF</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Star City Shores Water Slides</td>
<td>OF</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sunken Gardens Renovation</td>
<td>KF</td>
<td>26,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liberty Bell Installation</td>
<td>OF</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acquisition of Open Space/Greenways</td>
<td>OF</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GO</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OF</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRAIL DEVELOPMENT &amp; CONSTRUCTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F - 1
APPLICATION SOURCE FUNDING

Phased 1 Channel
Phase 1 Channel
Anacapa Creek Watershed Landscape
Anacapa Valley design - Planning/Engineerin
ANTILLOPE VALLEY - PARK & TRAIL PROJECTS

Park Landscape Program
Master Street Tree Program

TREE PROJECTS

Rock Island Trail - Hwy 2 Bridge Replacement
Trail Modifications
Trail Maintenance & Repair
Selkirk Program
RooK Repairs & Replacements
Pool Maintenance & Repairs

Reifsnider
Property Protection
Property Safety Program

Park Area Lighting Repairs & Replacements

Head Surge Repairs & Painting
Alternate Field bleeders Replacement Program
Ballasted Repairs
Backflow Replacement/Compliance Program
ADA Compliance Program

Imagine Recreation Center - HVAC

ANNUAL MAINTENANCE/REPAIR PROGRAMS
New Trail Construction - Zone 6
New Trail Construction - Zone 7

Billy Wolfe - Anacapa Creek Extension (Yucca Heights/Pres Stree)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROJECT TITLE AND DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>FUNDING SOURCE</th>
<th>APPROPRIATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOLF PROGRAM-NEW FACILITIES &amp; CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highlands Golf Fencing</td>
<td>GF</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highlands Golf Sandtrap Rebuilds</td>
<td>GF</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holmes Golf Irrigation Source Development</td>
<td>GF</td>
<td>175,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioneers Golf Wet Well Pumphouse</td>
<td>GF</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLF PROGRAM-ANNUAL MAINT/REPAIR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf Course Cart Path Repairs &amp; Replacements</td>
<td>GF</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf Course Clubhouse Maint &amp; Repairs</td>
<td>GF</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golf Course Tree Replacements</td>
<td>GF</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Parks &amp; Recreation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$ 10,850,500</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES/PARKING

| Structural Repairs and Maintenance                |                |               |
| Center Park                                       | SC             | 55,000        |
| Cornhusker Square                                | SC             | 204,000       |
| East Downtown Garage (est. 600 spaces)           | RB             | 3,500,000     |
| Parking Lot Repairs/Improvements                  | SC             | 3,500,000     |
| **Total Public Works & Utilities/Parking**        |                | **$ 7,309,000** |

PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES/SANITARY LANDFILL

| Bluff Road Sanitary Landfill:                     |                |               |
| Landfill Expansions-Leachate Collection and Line Systems; Phase 10 & Phase 11 and Stormwater Control (#569279) | RB | $ 100,000    |
| Site Maintenance and Closure (#569139)           | RB             | 150,000       |
| Final Cover & Landfill Gas Control systems; Phase 3, Phase 4 and Air Quality Assessment (#569340 & #569309) | LF | 20,000       |
| North 48th Street Landfill and Transfer Station Site | RB             | 70,000        |
| Closure Costs for Landfill East (310 acres) and Landfill West (140 acres) - (#569205 & 569399)  | OT | 100,000      |
| Solid Waste Management System-Recycling, Composting & Volume Reduction | OT | 60,000       |
| Expansion of Recycling Drop-Off Program (#700942) | OT | 200,000      |
| Upgrades to Existing Composting Facility (#569249) | OT |              |
| **Total Public Works & Utilities/Sanitary Landfill** |                | **$ 700,000** |

PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES/STARTRAN

<p>| Purchase/financing of 12 full size buses          | FA             | $ 923,300     |
| Shop Tools/Equipment                              | SR             | 189,100       |
|                                                  | FA             | 21,200        |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project/Phase</th>
<th>Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal-Aid Highway/Channel Phase 2</td>
<td>$2,092,690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Title and Description</td>
<td>Funding Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Valley Phase 1 Project, Federal-Aid project. Channel Phase 3</td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Valley-Community Revitalization</td>
<td>GR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Administrative Costs</td>
<td>GR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Urban Development Planning/Coord</td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Public Works Planning/Coord</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Valley-Parks Department Project DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>GR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Valley Phase 1 Project, Federal-Aid project. &quot;P&quot; and &quot;Q&quot; Street Bridges</td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Valley Phase 1 Project, Federal-Aid project. &quot;N&quot;. (C.N.11215h)</td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Valley Phase 1 Project, Federal-Aid project. &quot;O&quot; Street Bridge. (C.N.11215i)</td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STPC-34-6(135)</td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Valley Phase 1 Project, Federal-Aid project. East Leg of Big &quot;X&quot;. (C.N.11215g)</td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Valley Phase 1 Project, Federal-Aid project. &quot;J&quot; Street Bridge. (C.N.11215j)</td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Valley Phase 1 Project, Federal-Aid project. South Street Bridge</td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Valley Phase 1 Project, Federal-Aid project. (C.N.11215A) STPAA-BR-TMT-5244(3). N/S Road, &quot;K&quot; to &quot;Q&quot; DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/S Road, &quot;K&quot; to &quot;Q&quot; DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Valley Phase 1 Project, Federal-Aid project. N/S Road, &quot;Q&quot; to &quot;Y&quot;</td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Beltway Project Design, ROW Acquisition and Construction DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>SO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harris Overpass Replacement, between 3rd St to 9th St. DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84th Street from Montello to Kathy Lane DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>HB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84th Street from Kathy to Cheney Ridge Rd. DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>HB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yankee Hill Rd.-27th St. to 40th Street DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>HB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine Lake Road-40th to 56th DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>HB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70th to 84th Capacity Enhancement Study DESIGN/R.O.W.</td>
<td>RT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14th Street/Old Cheney/Warlick Intersection DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>IF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pioneers Blvd. Antelope to Pagoda Lane DESIGN/R.O.W./CONST</td>
<td>HB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pine Lake Road-84th to east of 98th; S. 98th St. north of Pine Lake Rd. to HWY #2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Funding</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Public Works &amp; Utilities/Stormwater</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bike Lane Bike Projects, City Share of County Projects Built to City Standards (A)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROJECT TITLE AND DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>FUNDING SOURCE</td>
<td>APPROPRIATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extend Trunk Sewer-N 14th &amp; Humphrey to approx. 7th &amp; Alvo Rd.</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak Creek Basin-Relief Trunk #502940</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>So. Runway to NW 44th &amp; W. Mathis to Air Park Rd</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW 27th-Kawasaki to Hwy 34 and Airport Rd. NW 37th to NW 35th</td>
<td>UR</td>
<td>30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beals Slough Basin #70033</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>3,360,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relief Trunk, Ph 1-6th &amp; Pioneers to 27th &amp; Hwy 2</td>
<td>IF</td>
<td>240,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Beals-Extend Trunks-S 65th &amp; Pine Lake Rd. to . 56th &amp; S 70th &amp; Yankee Hill Rd</td>
<td>UR</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West &quot;O&quot; St. Basin (Cont. 701019)</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extend Trunk Sewer-Southwest 40th to near Northwest 48th &amp; I-80</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stevens Creek Basin-New Trunk Sewer Sys.</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1 Northeast Plant to 84th &amp; Fletcher</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Facilities</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theresa Street Improvements</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>3,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Process Capacity Improvements &amp; Upgrades (502420)-Add'l Nitrification</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>4,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary &amp; Final Clarifiers, Grit Basin</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odor &amp; Corrosion Control Facilities Solids Dewatering Bldg's (701289)</td>
<td>UR</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance Bldg. Structural Repairs &amp; Modifications</td>
<td>UR</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt Creek Bank Stabilization</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>2,560,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grit Removal-(700329) Multi-year funding</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>2,560,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeast Improvements</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>2,560,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment Process Capacity Improvements &amp; Upgrades (502510)-Additional Nitrification &amp; Capacity Improvements</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>10,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grit Removal Facilities Improvements</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>1,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Public Works &amp; Utilities/Wastewater</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>35,066,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PUBLICATION & UTILITIES/WATER

General System Improvements

Security Upgrade - 701222 | RB | $ 1,050,000 |
Data Link to Ashland - 700311 | UR | 200,000 |
Preliminary Design & Engineering Support - 506005 | UR | 30,000 |
UNL Master Plan Study | UR | 30,000 |
Water Supply - Wells, Treatment and Transmission

Well Replacement - Ashland - #701024 | RB | 730,000 |
Additional Supply - Ashland - 506010 | RB | 520,000 |
Infrastructure Rehab | UR | 30,000 |
Pump Stations |
**APPROPRIATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DEPARTMENT/DIVISION**

**PROJECT TITLE AND DESCRIPTION**

PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES/WATER/SEwer MANAGEMENT

Total Public Works & Utilities Water/Production & Distribution

- Subsidies To Stream Construction - 70690
- Subsidy System Capacity Cost - 500000
- Distribution Capicity/Subsidies To Stream Construction

- Rebuild, Replace, or Improve

- Replace Pump - NEPS - 702221

**PROJECT TITLE AND DESCRIPTION**

**APPROPRIATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### DEPARTMENT/DIVISION

#### PROJECT TITLE AND DESCRIPTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Appropriation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E) Little Salt Creek</td>
<td>OF</td>
<td>515,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary engineering and prioritization of urban drainage system deficiencies</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead man's Run Channel stabilizaion (LPSNRD Funding)</td>
<td>CO</td>
<td>420,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF 400,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Storm Drainage Projects to be identified prior to Bond Issues Projects (not in priority order)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A) 70th and Adams Basin</td>
<td>GO</td>
<td>3,300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B) 48th and Leighton Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C) 52nd and O Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D) 40th and L Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E) 44th and Van Dorn Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F) 36th and HWY 2 Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G) 30th and South Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H) 37th and South Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I) 20th and Van Dorn Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J) South and Park Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K) 33rd and Washington Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L) 33rd and HWY 2 Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M) 16th and Harrison Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N) 40th and Van Dorn Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O) 28th and Leighton Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P) 6th and N Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q) 48th and Pioneers Basin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Repairs and Rehabilitations to be identified prior to Bond Issue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Drainage Rehabilitation on Parks and Rec Property to be identified prior to Bond Issue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A) Memorial Park, B) Taylor Park, C) Herbert Park, D) Roper Park (LPSNRD Cost Share)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO 257,500</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO 365,700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO $ 11,237,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Public Works & Utilities $114,138,400

#### URBAN DEVELOPMENT/COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Appropriation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Street Tree Replacement</td>
<td>GR</td>
<td>$ 10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th and &quot;P&quot; Redevelopment (Former Salvation Army Building)</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13th &amp; &quot;P&quot; Redevelopment (1230 &quot;P&quot;, Cinema Twin and Douglass III)</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th St. Streetscape Redevelopment &quot;O&quot; to &quot;I&quot;</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entryway corridor Redevelopment, 9th, &quot;R&quot; to &quot;S&quot;</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Havelock Revitalization</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University Place Revitalization</td>
<td>CF</td>
<td>133,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Special Assessment Paving Program</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>987,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus Area Public Improvements</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North 27th Street Redevelopment</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48th &amp; &quot;O&quot; Street Redevelopment</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>561,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Street, 8th to 18th Streetscape</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Redevelopment &quot;F&quot; Street, 3rd-4th (Arck Foods)</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antelope Valley Community Revitalization Catalyst Projects</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE 200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA 1,330,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OF 210,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR 419,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appropriation</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,384,940.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total Net of Non-Appropriated Items**

| $1,384,940.00 |        |         |

**Grand Total Capital Projects**

| $1,302,720.00 |        |         |

**Total Urban Development**

| $25,000       |        |         |

**Project Title and Description**

Low-Rent Income Neighborhood Park Improvements
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>Athletic Fees</td>
<td>OT</td>
<td>Solid Waste Occupation Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Community Development Block Grant</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>Transportation Enhancement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Community Improvement Financing</td>
<td>PC</td>
<td>Federal Urban Area Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM</td>
<td>Congestion Management Air Quality</td>
<td>PP</td>
<td>STPP-Hazard Elimination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>Developer Contribution</td>
<td>RB</td>
<td>Revenue Bonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FA</td>
<td>Federal Aid</td>
<td>RR</td>
<td>Residential Rehab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF</td>
<td>Golf Capital Improvements</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>Railroad Transportation System District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td>General Obligation Bonds</td>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Service Charges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR</td>
<td>General Revenues</td>
<td>SF</td>
<td>State/Federal Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HB</td>
<td>Highway Allocation Bonds</td>
<td>SO</td>
<td>Highway Allocation Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IF</td>
<td>Impact Fee Revenues</td>
<td>SR</td>
<td>Special Reserves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KF</td>
<td>Keno Funds</td>
<td>TF</td>
<td>Tennis Fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA</td>
<td>Land Sale Proceeds</td>
<td>TM</td>
<td>State-Train Mile Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>Landfill Revenue</td>
<td>UR</td>
<td>Utility Revenues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NE</td>
<td>State Revenue or Aid</td>
<td>WC</td>
<td>City Wheel Tax, New Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT</td>
<td>Other Financing</td>
<td>WR</td>
<td>City Residual Wheel Tax</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Lincoln EMS Inc. - Proposed FY05 Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2004 Approved</th>
<th>FY 2005 Proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revenues:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryan/LGH West</td>
<td>$55,000.00</td>
<td>$55,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryan/LGH East</td>
<td>$55,000.00</td>
<td>$55,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Elizabeth</td>
<td>$55,000.00</td>
<td>$55,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Lincoln</td>
<td>$88,850.00</td>
<td>$88,850.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Fees</td>
<td>$2,200.00</td>
<td>$6,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carry Over</td>
<td>$13,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>$269,050.00</td>
<td>$260,350.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Expenses:**        |                   |                   |
| Wages/Benefits       | $227,150.00       | $217,050.00       |
| Office Expenses      | $13,600.00        | $12,100.00        |
| Administration       | $13,650.00        | $16,750.00        |
| Professional Develop.| $5,000.00         | $4,500.00         |
| Corporate Filings    | $1,950.00         | $1,950.00         |
| Medical Control      | $4,200.00         | $4,500.00         |
| Contingency          | $3,500.00         | $3,500.00         |
| **Total**            | $269,050.00       | $260,350.00       |

### City of Lincoln Contributions 1996-2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>$99,279.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>$85,972.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>$90,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>$88,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>$55,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>$66,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$79,454.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>$83,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$88,850.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00010</td>
<td>General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00020</td>
<td>Community Impr Financing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00030</td>
<td>Donations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00100</td>
<td>Advance Acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00105</td>
<td>Athletic Field &amp; Fac Improv</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00110</td>
<td>Tennis Capital Improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00115</td>
<td>Cable Access Television</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00120</td>
<td>Lincoln City Libraries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00125</td>
<td>Lincoln Area Agency On Aging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00130</td>
<td>Tax Sales Revolving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00135</td>
<td>Lincoln/Lancaster Co Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00140</td>
<td>Animal Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00145</td>
<td>Title V Clean Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00150</td>
<td>Snow Removal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00155</td>
<td>911 Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00160</td>
<td>Social Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00162</td>
<td>P &amp; F Pension Contributions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00165</td>
<td>Street Construction Revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00170</td>
<td>Unemployment Compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00175</td>
<td>Keno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00180</td>
<td>Community Devel Block Grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00185</td>
<td>Grants In Aid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00190</td>
<td>JTPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00191</td>
<td>Workforce Investment Act (WIA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00195</td>
<td>Disaster Recovery (FEMA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00200</td>
<td>Special Assessmt (Revenue only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00205</td>
<td>Aviation Promotion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00210</td>
<td>Northeast Radial Revolving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00215</td>
<td>Property Tax Refunds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00220</td>
<td>Building &amp; Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00225</td>
<td>Impact Fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00300</td>
<td>MIRF Bond Debt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00305</td>
<td>Antelope Valley/Cig Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00307</td>
<td>Highway User Allocation Bonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00310</td>
<td>Bond Interest &amp; Redemption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00311</td>
<td>2005-2006 Debt Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00320</td>
<td>Special Assment (Debt Service)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00330</td>
<td>1990 Tax Alloc - NE Radial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00331</td>
<td>1989 Tax Alloc - Golds Galeria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00332</td>
<td>1992 Tax Alloc - Eagle/Runza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00333</td>
<td>1992 Tax Alloc - Haymarket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00334</td>
<td>1992 Tax Alloc - 12th Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00335</td>
<td>1993 Tax Alloc - Cornhusker Sq</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00336</td>
<td>1994 Tax Alloc - Commerce Ct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00337</td>
<td>1995 Tax Alloc - N Haymkt/QOPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00338</td>
<td>1992 Tax Alloc - Apoth/Ridnour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00339</td>
<td>1998 Tax Alloc - Star Bldg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00340</td>
<td>2001 Tax Alloc - QOPR Bd Ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00341</td>
<td>2002 Tax Alloc - Megaplex/OF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00342</td>
<td>2003 Tax Alloc - Lincoln Mall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00343</td>
<td>2003 Tax Alloc - Lincoln Bldg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00400</td>
<td>Storm Sewer Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00405</td>
<td>Street Constr (CIP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00406</td>
<td>Joint Antelope Valley Auth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00407</td>
<td>Highway User Allocation Bonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00410</td>
<td>Vehicle Tax Residual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00415</td>
<td>Vehicle Tax Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00420</td>
<td>1991 G O Var Purpose Bonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00425</td>
<td>Downtown Redevelopment Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00430</td>
<td>1989 G O Var Purpose Bonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00435</td>
<td>Antelope Crk Storm Sewer Bonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00436</td>
<td>1997 Storm Sewer Constr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00437</td>
<td>2002 Storm Sewer Constr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00438</td>
<td>2003 Storm Sewer Constr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00440</td>
<td>1995 G O Var Purpose Bonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00441</td>
<td>12th St TIF Constr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00442</td>
<td>NE Radial TIF Constr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00443</td>
<td>Gold's (Blk 55) TIF Constr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00444</td>
<td>Haymarket Area TIF Constr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00445</td>
<td>QOPR/No Haymarket TIF Constr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00446</td>
<td>Star Bldg TIF Constr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00447</td>
<td>Journal Star TIF Constr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00448</td>
<td>2001 QOPR Bond Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00449</td>
<td>Lincoln Mall Revitalization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00450</td>
<td>Municipal Infrastructure Redev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00455</td>
<td>2000 MIRF Bonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00460</td>
<td>Arbitrage Rebate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00465</td>
<td>Capital Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00470</td>
<td>Special Assmts (CIP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00475</td>
<td>1999 G O Var Purpose Bonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00480</td>
<td>2002 Antelope Valley Bonds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00485</td>
<td>Megaplex/Old Federal Bldg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00500</td>
<td>Parking Lot Revolving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00505</td>
<td>Parking Lot Revolving Constr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00510</td>
<td>Golf Revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00515</td>
<td>Golf Capital Improvements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00516</td>
<td>2001 Golf Bond Issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00520</td>
<td>Parking Facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00525</td>
<td>Parking Facilities Constr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00526</td>
<td>Parking Fac Bond Series 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00527</td>
<td>Parking Fac Bond Series 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00530</td>
<td>Auditorium Operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00535</td>
<td>Auditorium Promotion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00540</td>
<td>Sanitary Landfill Revenue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00545</td>
<td>Sanitary Landfill CIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00550</td>
<td>EMS Enterprise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00555</td>
<td>Wastewater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00560</td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00579</td>
<td>LES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00585</td>
<td>Community Health Endowment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00586</td>
<td>LGH Escrow-Wrk Comp Reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00588</td>
<td>Aaron Buckstaff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund</td>
<td>Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00590</td>
<td>StarTran Operating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00595</td>
<td>StarTran Acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00600</td>
<td>Information Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00610</td>
<td>Engineering Revolving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00620</td>
<td>Self-Insured Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00621</td>
<td>Self-Insured Dental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00625</td>
<td>Health Care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00630</td>
<td>Worker's Compensation Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00631</td>
<td>Damaged Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00632</td>
<td>Property Self-Insured Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00633</td>
<td>Liability Self-Insured Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00634</td>
<td>Insurance Premiums</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00635</td>
<td>StarTran Self-Insured Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00636</td>
<td>Excess Self-Insured Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00637</td>
<td>Police Self-Insured Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00638</td>
<td>Auto Self-Insured Loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00640</td>
<td>Long Term Disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00650</td>
<td>Fleet Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00655</td>
<td>Radio Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00660</td>
<td>Police Garage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00670</td>
<td>Warehouse Revolving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00680</td>
<td>CIC Revolving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00685</td>
<td>Copy Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00705</td>
<td>Police &amp; Fire Pension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00710</td>
<td>Parks &amp; Rec Special Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00715</td>
<td>Linc/Lanc Seniors Foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00720</td>
<td>Joseph J Hompes Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00725</td>
<td>Charles Gere Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00730</td>
<td>Lillian Polley Trust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00735</td>
<td>Airport Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00740</td>
<td>Sales Tax Due State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00745</td>
<td>Lincoln Public Schools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00750</td>
<td>HUD Accounts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00755</td>
<td>Contractor Deposits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00760</td>
<td>FEBRA Reimbursement Account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00765</td>
<td>Payroll Withholding Liability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00770</td>
<td>Unemployment Comp Payments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00775</td>
<td>Earnings On Pooled Investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00780</td>
<td>Telephone Bill Suspense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00785</td>
<td>Undistributed Property Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00790</td>
<td>Defeased Bond Proceeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00795</td>
<td>Keno Escrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00800</td>
<td>Gateway Ctr Joint Venture Escr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00805</td>
<td>Deferred Compensation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00810</td>
<td>SID #2 Pine Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00815</td>
<td>Bldg Commission 2004 Bd Res</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00900</td>
<td>Governmental Full Accrual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00910</td>
<td>General Long Term Liabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00999</td>
<td>Treasurer's Group Of Accounts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TO: Mayor Colleen Seng
City Council Members: Jon Camp; Jonathan Cook; Glenn Friendt; Annette McRoy; Patte Newman; Ken Svoboda; Terry Werner
County Commissioners: Bernie Heier; Larry Hudkins; Deb Schorr; Ray Stevens; Bob Workman

FROM: Kit Boesch, Human Services Administrator

DATE: August 18, 2004

RE: August 17, 2004-Update
Keno Human Services Fund Requests

Yesterday I received a call from Chris Funk, Executive Director of Planned Parenthood, asking to withdraw their request. They have received a private donation to cover the cost. Based on this request, I am resubmitting to the City Council the original request, currently approved by the County Board, indicating an even split on all remaining requests forwarded by the Advisory Committee. I look forward to seeing this on your August 23, 2004, Council Agenda.

KB/rgs

cc: Joel McChesney, Chairman
Keno H.S. Prevention Fund Advisory Board

Chris Funk, CEO
Planned Parenthood of Nebraska

enclosure (1)
Proposed Keno Human Services Prevention Fun Allocations
Round 21-Revised

Date: August 17, 2004
Amount Requested: $89,000 (16 agencies)
Amount Recommended: $35,800 (eight agencies)

Equal Allocation from City and County

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency/Program Description</th>
<th>Total Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. MilkWorks, Inc. (continuation grant) Provides lactation assistance to 75 low-income</td>
<td>$ 1,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mothers and their babies. MilkWorks provides all supplies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. YMCA – Try Another Way (continuation grant) Provides training to 20 female offenders</td>
<td>$ 3,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ages 14-18, as part of survival skills training.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Kiwanis Club of Lincoln – Teddy Bear Cottage Offers a year-round incentive program to</td>
<td>$ 3,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minority pregnant teen/women (diapers, clothes, supplies) who seek healthier lifestyle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>practices.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Lincoln Action Program – CHIRP CHIRP language helpline is now receiving 1,200 calls a</td>
<td>$ 4,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>year. This will enhance the number of phone lines available.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Lincoln Interfaith Council – Faces of the Middle East Stop gap funding to maintain</td>
<td>$ 7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case management for Middle Eastern clients. Over three months they have served 720</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>adults, 15 seniors and 225 youth.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Lincoln Literacy Council This will add cultural sensitivity to the Lincoln Literacy</td>
<td>$ 5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council training packets, upgrade the ESL curriculum and enhance retention rates for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>volunteers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. People’s City Mission To assist feeding over 3,000 guests due to a 26% increase in</td>
<td>$ 6,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clients last year.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. KZUM – Sunrise Communications Provides public radio services on children’s health and</td>
<td>$ 3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>community health in six different languages. KZUM reaches 250,000 listeners.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL $35,800
DIRECTOR'S REPORT

July 2004

There was a slight increase in system wide circulation this month, 0.64%. Computer use and Web activity continue to increase.

On July 14th, the Library Board’s updated Internet Safety Policy was implemented when filtered Internet computers were made available at all public library buildings.

The One Book - One Lincoln 2004 selection “Peace Like a River” was announced on July 26th. By the end of the day most copies of the book were checked out. The Circulation Librarian at Bennett Martin Public Library reported, “Copies of Peace Like a River flew off the shelves and were all checked out within the first three hours.”

Robin Thompson began her new assignment as Heritage Room Curator on July 29th.

Pat Leach, Youth Services Supervisor, reported that the summer reading program is winding down and overall participation in “Discover New Trails @ your library” seems slightly up from last year. Another successful year of City/County Outreach Summer Reading was concluded. Summer reading continued strongly at the bookmobile’s school and county stops with registration at 246, nearly double the previous year.

The last week of summer reading at Eiseley Branch was exciting with over 300 children attending a magician program. At South Branch, the PawsUp! Read program was a very big success.

About 150 children participated in the “I Love to Write” Workshop held at Gere Branch Library the weeks of July 19th and 26th. This workshop is sponsored jointly by Lincoln City Libraries and the Lincoln Area Retired Teachers Association.

LIBRARY BOARD MEETING; Tuesday, August 17, 2004; 8:00 a.m.; Board Room, Bennett Martin Public Library, 136 S. 14th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska.
### Lincoln City Libraries - July 2004 Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Branch</th>
<th>Adult Print Loans</th>
<th>Adult NonPrint Loans</th>
<th>Youth Print Loans</th>
<th>Youth NonPrint Loans</th>
<th>Sub Total</th>
<th>TeleCirc Renewals</th>
<th>2004 Loan Total</th>
<th>2003 Loan Total</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMPL</td>
<td>23,120</td>
<td>9,970</td>
<td>7,652</td>
<td>6,718</td>
<td>43,483</td>
<td>4,886</td>
<td>48,369</td>
<td>46,577</td>
<td>3.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson</td>
<td>7,512</td>
<td>1,776</td>
<td>7,288</td>
<td>3,055</td>
<td>18,643</td>
<td>1,591</td>
<td>20,234</td>
<td>19,072</td>
<td>6.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arnold Heights</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1,011</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>1,570</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>1,675</td>
<td>1,665</td>
<td>0.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethany</td>
<td>3,417</td>
<td>583</td>
<td>3,687</td>
<td>1,097</td>
<td>8,794</td>
<td>1,060</td>
<td>9,854</td>
<td>9,684</td>
<td>1.76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eiseley</td>
<td>15,517</td>
<td>4,569</td>
<td>22,278</td>
<td>8,272</td>
<td>50,656</td>
<td>1,238</td>
<td>51,894</td>
<td>48,148</td>
<td>7.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gere</td>
<td>33,855</td>
<td>9,731</td>
<td>36,596</td>
<td>9,879</td>
<td>90,061</td>
<td>7,080</td>
<td>97,141</td>
<td>90,137</td>
<td>7.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>5,236</td>
<td>1,461</td>
<td>5,563</td>
<td>1,055</td>
<td>13,315</td>
<td>2,039</td>
<td>15,354</td>
<td>13,488</td>
<td>13.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walt</td>
<td>14,921</td>
<td>7,941</td>
<td>26,049</td>
<td>4,185</td>
<td>53,096</td>
<td>1,357</td>
<td>54,453</td>
<td>56,486</td>
<td>-3.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bookmobile</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>1,714</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>1,820</td>
<td>3,214</td>
<td>-40.26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outreach</td>
<td>1,528</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>1,149</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2,911</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>2,973</td>
<td>2,699</td>
<td>10.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>105,736</strong></td>
<td><strong>32,322</strong></td>
<td><strong>112,273</strong></td>
<td><strong>33,910</strong></td>
<td><strong>284,424</strong></td>
<td><strong>19,624</strong></td>
<td><strong>303,867</strong></td>
<td><strong>291,170</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OPAC</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10,756</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GRAND TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>303,867</strong></td>
<td>301,926</td>
<td><strong>0.64%</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Computer Use/Assist**: 20,209 / 18,530 (9.06%)
- **Reference Transactions**: 27,486 / 27,372 (0.42%)
- **Polley Music Loans**: 998 / 889 (12.26%)
- **Polley Music Reference**: 3,581 / 3,666 (-2.32%)
- **Youth Program Attendance**: 5,645 / 4,923 (14.67%)
- **Web Activity Successful Hits Entire Site**: 1,520,136 / 1,040,290 (46.13%)

### Registrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Registration Type</th>
<th>2004 Total</th>
<th>2003 Total</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>154,738</td>
<td>155,654</td>
<td>-0.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County</td>
<td>11,852</td>
<td>11,790</td>
<td>0.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Resident</td>
<td>2,557</td>
<td>2,735</td>
<td>-6.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILL</td>
<td>1,676</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td>-6.78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reciprocal</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>4.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited Use</td>
<td>4,922</td>
<td>4,094</td>
<td>20.22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>175,973</strong></td>
<td><strong>176,316</strong></td>
<td>-0.19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Holdings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2004 Total</th>
<th>2003 Total</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior Mont</td>
<td>894,163</td>
<td>894,163</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Added</td>
<td>3,556</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>13.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Withdrawn</td>
<td>7,560</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>150.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current</td>
<td>890,159</td>
<td>890,426</td>
<td>0.31%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sound

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Format</th>
<th>2004 Total</th>
<th>2003 Total</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Movies</td>
<td>52,156</td>
<td>52,156</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recordings</td>
<td>35,382</td>
<td>35,382</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videos</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>52,161</strong></td>
<td><strong>52,161</strong></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Verticle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>2004 Total</th>
<th>2003 Total</th>
<th>% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>File</td>
<td>1,051,381</td>
<td>1,051,381</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,051,381</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,051,381</strong></td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### LINCOLN CITY LIBRARIES - FUND BALANCES
### JULY 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GRANTS</th>
<th>Beginning Balance</th>
<th>Current Month Receipts</th>
<th>Current Month Expended</th>
<th>Ending Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Net Lender Fund</td>
<td>$ 261.85</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$ 40.91</td>
<td>$ 220.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s Services Grant</td>
<td>1,062.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>236.00</td>
<td>826.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Aid 2003</td>
<td>2,911.28</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>258.17</td>
<td>2,653.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Aid 2004</td>
<td>38,676.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38,676.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSTA 2004</td>
<td>(3,396.00)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,400.39</td>
<td>(4,796.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Library System 1994-95</td>
<td>6,233.27</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,233.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| OTHER FUNDS                    |                   |                        |                        |                 |
| Library Bond Issue 1999       | $ 753,634.43      | $ 991.16               | $ 54,110.00           | $ 700,515.59    |
| Keno Fund                      | 128,855.89        | -                      | 71,571.28             | 57,284.61       |
| Miscellaneous Library Donations | 69,836.93        | 5,094.17               | 4,953.84              | 69,977.26       |
| Alice Nielson Bequest         | 234,055.22        | 284.44                 | -                      | 234,339.66      |
| Charles H. Gere Library Fund  | 114,149.93        | 138.72                 | -                      | 114,288.65      |
| Joseph J. Hompes Trust        | 45,043.49         | 54.01                  | 16.95                  | 45,080.55       |
| Heritage Room Fund            | 48,150.39         | 62.24                  | 1,373.39               | 46,839.24       |
| Lillian Polley Trust Fund     | 356,394.58        | 412.22                 | 11,214.14             | 345,592.66      |

| HERITAGE ROOM                  |                   |                        |                        |                 |
| FY 2003-04 Operating Budget   |                   |                        |                        |                 |
| Budget                        | $ 30,828.00       | $ 1,373.39             | $ 26,027.23            | $ 4,800.77      |
| Media & Unclassified          | 400.00            |                        | -                      | 400.00          |
| TOTAL                         | $ 31,228.00       | $ 1,373.39             | $ 26,027.23            | $ 5,200.77      |

| LILLIAN POLLEY                |                   |                        |                        |                 |
| FY 2003-04 Operating Budget  |                   |                        |                        |                 |
| Personnel                     | $ 126,521.00      | $ 9,875.01             | $ 115,440.94           | $ 11,080.06     |
| Supplies                      | 300.00            | 24.17                  | 24.17                  | 275.83          |
| Other Services & Charges      | 1,934.00          | -                      | 500.35                 | 1,433.65        |
| Capital Outlay                | 10,000.00         | 1,314.96               | 8,778.30               | 1,221.70        |
| TOTAL                         | $ 138,755.00      | $ 11,214.14            | $ 124,743.76           | $ 14,011.24     |
## Monthly Categorical Report
### July 31, 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Administration</th>
<th>Budgeted Amount</th>
<th>Expended Year-to-Date</th>
<th>平衡</th>
<th>Current Month Expended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>$549,836.00</td>
<td>$499,689.14</td>
<td>$49,948.86</td>
<td>$39,630.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>42,900.00</td>
<td>43,248.60</td>
<td>(348.60)</td>
<td>4,570.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Services &amp; Charges</td>
<td>67,634.00</td>
<td>64,756.97</td>
<td>2,675.03</td>
<td>4,352.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$660,170.00</td>
<td>$607,696.71</td>
<td>$52,473.29</td>
<td>$48,553.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Expended</td>
<td>91.67%</td>
<td>92.05%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Buildings &amp; Grounds</th>
<th>Budgeted Amount</th>
<th>Expended Year-to-Date</th>
<th>Balance</th>
<th>Current Month Expended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>$234,213.00</td>
<td>$215,144.14</td>
<td>$19,068.66</td>
<td>$18,954.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>28,320.00</td>
<td>24,456.28</td>
<td>3,863.72</td>
<td>2,638.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Services &amp; Charges</td>
<td>642,838.00</td>
<td>496,835.30</td>
<td>146,002.70</td>
<td>40,076.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>20,000.00</td>
<td>15,447.00</td>
<td>4,553.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$925,371.00</td>
<td>$751,852.72</td>
<td>$173,498.28</td>
<td>$61,569.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Expended</td>
<td>91.67%</td>
<td>81.25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Service</th>
<th>Budgeted Amount</th>
<th>Expended Year-to-Date</th>
<th>Balance</th>
<th>Current Month Expended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>$2,779,547.00</td>
<td>$2,512,787.96</td>
<td>$266,759.04</td>
<td>$215,273.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>97,430.00</td>
<td>62,788.77</td>
<td>4,641.23</td>
<td>963.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Services &amp; Charges</td>
<td>46,200.00</td>
<td>28,447.75</td>
<td>17,752.25</td>
<td>2,028.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$2,893,177.00</td>
<td>$2,652,078.92</td>
<td>$208,525.2</td>
<td>$218,263.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Expended</td>
<td>91.67%</td>
<td>90.01%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support Services</th>
<th>Budgeted Amount</th>
<th>Expended Year-to-Date</th>
<th>Balance</th>
<th>Current Month Expended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>$656,579.00</td>
<td>$611,390.67</td>
<td>$45,188.33</td>
<td>$52,254.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>26,000.00</td>
<td>21,777.42</td>
<td>4,222.58</td>
<td>1,463.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Services &amp; Charges</td>
<td>83,904.00</td>
<td>58,196.70</td>
<td>25,707.30</td>
<td>1,193.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>770,000.00</td>
<td>766,359.98</td>
<td>3,640.02</td>
<td>31,207.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,536,483.00</td>
<td>$1,457,724.77</td>
<td>$78,758.23</td>
<td>$86,118.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Expended</td>
<td>91.67%</td>
<td>94.87%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Int. Svcs. &amp; Technology</th>
<th>Budgeted Amount</th>
<th>Expended Year-to-Date</th>
<th>Balance</th>
<th>Current Month Expended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>$865,350.00</td>
<td>$776,653.51</td>
<td>$88,696.49</td>
<td>$67,161.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
<td>33,464.20</td>
<td>6,535.80</td>
<td>2,409.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Services &amp; Charges</td>
<td>144,402.00</td>
<td>118,324.29</td>
<td>26,077.71</td>
<td>4,226.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$1,049,752.00</td>
<td>$928,442.00</td>
<td>$121,310.00</td>
<td>$73,798.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Expended</td>
<td>91.67%</td>
<td>88.44%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Library Operational</th>
<th>Budgeted Amount</th>
<th>Expended Year-to-Date</th>
<th>Balance</th>
<th>Current Month Expended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>$5,085,325.00</td>
<td>$4,615,665.42</td>
<td>$469,659.58</td>
<td>$393,175.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td>204,650.00</td>
<td>185,735.27</td>
<td>18,914.73</td>
<td>12,045.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Services &amp; Charges</td>
<td>984,976.00</td>
<td>766,563.01</td>
<td>218,414.99</td>
<td>51,875.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Outlay</td>
<td>750,000.00</td>
<td>781,806.98</td>
<td>6,193.02</td>
<td>31,207.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$7,064,953.00</td>
<td>$6,349,770.68</td>
<td>$715,182.32</td>
<td>$488,394.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent Expended</td>
<td>91.67%</td>
<td>89.68%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Encumbered &amp; Reappropriated</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Expended Year-to-Date</th>
<th>Balance</th>
<th>Current Month Expended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2002-03 Encumbered</td>
<td>236,961.71</td>
<td>217,419.18</td>
<td>19,542.53</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION
NOTIFICATION

TO : Mayor Coleen Seng
     Lincoln City Council

FROM : Jean Walker, Planning

DATE : August 19, 2004

RE : Special Permit No. 04037
     (Health Care Facility - 47th & J Streets)
     Resolution No. PC-00886

The Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission took the following action at their regular meeting on Wednesday, August 18, 2004:

Motion made by Taylor, seconded by Sunderman, to approve Special Permit No. 04037, with conditions, requested by Tabitha, Inc., for authority to construct a health care facility for nine residents, on property located at South 47th and J Streets. Motion for approval, with conditions, carried 8-0: Marvin, Pearson, Krieser, Sunderman, Carlson, Carroll, Taylor and Bills-Strand voting 'yes'.

The Planning Commission's action is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a Letter of Appeal with the City Clerk within 14 days of the date of the action by the Planning Commission.

Attachment

cc: Building & Safety
    Rick Pec, City Attorney
    Public Works
    Joe Hakenkamp, Tabitha, Inc., 4720 Randolph, 68510
    Richard Bergt, Architectural Design Assoc., 7501 O St., Suite 105, 68510
    40th & A Neighborhood (2)
    South 48th Street N.A. (1)
    Witherbee N.A. (1)

i:\shared\wpj\l\2004 ccnotice.sp\SP.04037
RESOLUTION NO. PC-00886

SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 04037

WHEREAS, Tabitha Inc. has submitted an application designated as Special Permit No. 04037 for authority to construct a health care facility for nine residents on property located at South 47th and J Streets, and legally described to wit:

The south 60 feet of Lot 101, and the north 75 feet of Lot 115, Witherbee Gardens, located in the Northwest Quarter of Section 29, Township 10 North, Range 7 East of the 6th P.M., Lincoln, Lancaster County, Nebraska;

WHEREAS, the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission has held a public hearing on said application; and

WHEREAS, the community as a whole, the surrounding neighborhood, and the real property adjacent to the area included within the site plan for this health care facility will not be adversely affected by granting such a permit; and

WHEREAS, said site plan together with the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth are consistent with the comprehensive plan of the City of Lincoln and with the intent and purpose of Title 27 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to promote the public health, safety, and general welfare.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission of Lincoln, Nebraska:
That the application of Tabitha Inc., hereinafter referred to as "Permittee",

to construct a health care facility for nine residents be and the same is hereby granted
under the provisions of Section 27.63.080 the Lincoln Municipal Code upon condition
that construction of said nursing home be in strict compliance with said application, the
site plan, and the following additional express terms, conditions, and requirements:

1. This permit approves a health care facility to provide nursing care

for up to nine residents.

2. Before receiving building permits:

a. The Permittee must complete the following instruction and

   submit five copies of the revised plans to the Planning

       Department for review and approval

   i. Add a calculation to the site plan showing the

      required number of parking stalls.

   ii. Add a calculation to the site plan showing the

       percentage of lot covered by the proposed buildings.

   iii. Revise the parking layout to better reflect the

        character of this block.

   iv. Indicate the height of the building, and revise the

       setbacks to conform to the special permit

       requirements.

   v. Provide a landscape screen plan indicating required

       screening of the parking area, and required front and

       side yard landscaping, or add notes to the site plan
indicating these requirements will be met during the building permit review process.

b. The construction plans must conform to the approved plans.

c. The required easements as shown on the site plan must be recorded with the Register of Deeds.

d. The operation and the premises shall meet appropriate local and state licensing requirements, including compliance with health codes.

3. Before occupying this health care facility all development and construction must conform to the approved plans.

4. All privately-owned improvements, including landscaping, must be permanently maintained by the Permittee.

5. The site plan approved by this permit shall be the basis for all interpretations of setbacks, yards, locations of buildings, location of parking and circulation elements, and similar matters.

6. The terms, conditions, and requirements of this resolution shall be binding and obligatory upon the Permittee and the Permittee's successors and assigns. The building official shall report violations to the City Council which may revoke the special permit or take such other action as may be necessary to gain compliance.

7. The Permittee shall sign and return the City's letter of acceptance to the City Clerk within 30 days following approval of the special permit, provided, however, said 30-day period may be extended up to six months by administrative amendment. The City Clerk shall file a copy of the resolution approving the special
permit and the letter of acceptance with the Register of Deeds, filing fees therefor to be
paid in advance by the Permittee.

The foregoing Resolution was approved by the Lincoln City-Lancaster
County Planning Commission on this 18th day of August, 2004.

ATTEST:

[Signature]
Chair

Approved as to Form & Legality:

[Signature]
Chief Assistant City Attorney
PUBLIC WORKS AND UTILITIES DEPARTMENT
Engineering Services, 531 Westgate Blvd., Lincoln, NE 68528, 441-7711, fax 441-6576

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: August 16, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Kelly Sieckmeyer, Engineering Services, 441-7454
Wayne Teten, Antelope Valley Manager, 441-4939

SECTION OF NORTH 16TH STREET WILL BE TWO-WAY

Beginning early afternoon Tuesday, August 17, a one-block section of North 16th Street will shift from one-way south to two-way traffic. The section is from the new “Y” Street Connector on the north side of Nebraska Hall south to “W” Street. The change will make it easier for those headed east on “W” to go north to reach Holdrege Street.

Traffic patterns on North 16 and North 17th have been changing over the past few months due to construction of the Antelope Valley Project, which includes transportation improvements, stormwater management and community revitalization. A map and other information are available on the City Web site at lincoln.ne.gov. Information can also be obtained by leaving a message at the Antelope Valley Hotline at 402-458-5999.

- 30 -

RECEIVED
AUG 16 2004
CITY COUNCIL
OFFICE
The City of Lincoln, Department of Public Works would like to take this opportunity to invite you to a public information meeting regarding the rehabilitation of:

Arterial Rehabilitation Project 701753
Cornhusker Hwy., from 13th to 20th
August 13, 2004

On Thursday, August 19, 2004 from 1:30 to 3:00 p.m. at Belmont Rec Center, 1234 Judson, Engineers from the Public Works Department will be available to answer questions about the proposed project. A brief description of the work is:

→ Mill & Overlay Cornhusker leaving one lane open in each direction at all times.
→ Close eastbound turn lane at 17th.

If you cannot attend and have questions, please feel free to call me at 441-8413.

Steven Faust
Project Manager
The City of Lincoln, Department of Public Works would like to take this opportunity to invite you to a public information meeting regarding the rehabilitation of:

**Arterial Rehabilitation Project 701753**

Cornhusker Hwy; 35th - 44th
and
48th; Cornhusker Hwy - Superior

August 17, 2004

On Friday, August 20, 2004 from 1:30 to 3:00 p.m. at Dawes Middle School, 5130 Colfax, Engineers from the Public Works Department will be available to answer questions about the proposed project. A brief description of the work is:

♦ Mill and overlay Cornhusker leaving one lane open in each direction at all times.

♦ Close 48th Street, widen 10' west and install center common turn lane.

If you cannot attend and have questions, please feel free to call me at 441-8413.

Steven Faust
Project Manager
531 Westgate Blvd., Suite 100
Lincoln, NE 68528
(402) 441-8413
SIDEWALK REPAIR ADVISORY
Near South Neighborhood Area
13th - 21st; South - A
August 17, 2004

The City of Lincoln has awarded a contract for sidewalk repair in an area of the Near South Neighborhood. This repair contract encompasses the area from 13th Street to 21st Street, South Street to ‘A’ Street. The contract for this work has been awarded to Constructors Inc. of Lincoln.

The contractor has indicated work will begin within the next several days. It is expected the sidewalk repairs in this neighborhood will take 60 to 90 days to complete. The repair work will involve removal and replacement of marked sections of sidewalk. Due to limited sidewalk repair funds, our focus is upon repairing significant sidewalk defects where the sidewalk has separated or shifted 2 inches or more. This work will also include construction of curb access ramps at intersections where ramps do not currently exist. There will be some inconvenience to residents in this area as sidewalk sections are removed and replaced and sidewalk locations are closed and barricaded to complete this work. Driveways may also be closed for short durations to complete work on sidewalk through driveways.

We request the patience and cooperation of residents in this area as the contractor progresses with this work. If you have a sprinkler system, it is advisable that you mark the location of the sprinkler lines and heads.

This project is funded with funds budgeted in the City’s General Fund sidewalk repair account as well as Street Construction Funds. The Public Works and Utilities Department will administer this contract and you may contact the Sidewalk Section at 441-7541 with any questions. The office number for Constructors Inc. is 434-1764 if you have any questions of the contractor.

Harry Kroos
Engineering Services
441-7541
August 13, 2004

Mr. Joe Hampton
Hampton Enterprises
1660 So. 70th, Suite 203
Lincoln, NE 68506

RE: Letter dated 8/13/04 from Mark Hunzeker to Allan Abbott and various neighborhood inquiries
   Williamsburg North Pond Sediment Removal
   Project No. 700034

Dear Joe:

As we discussed on the project site yesterday afternoon the City’s contractor, Leslie Construction, has not completed the terms of their contract. The City's contract was set up to overlap with the association’s contractor installing the retaining walls. To date this is what is occurring. We both agree there is additional sediment yet to be removed from the west side north and south of the culvert outlet and the south end. We both agreed that other than the aforementioned locations the depth of the pond was reasonably close to the elevations as built. I have enclosed a copy of the survey completed by Olsson Associates for your review.

Additional contract work Leslie Construction still needs to accomplish is shoreline grading outside the retaining walls and re-seeding disturbed areas. The seed mix called for in the plans is Stock Seed Farms “Roadside Mix” which is their lower height native grasses with wildflowers. This work should alleviate concerns of weeds and final appearance.

We also discussed the progress of Leslie Construction in completing the work. This is a different issue from whether the City intends to finish what we have designed or agreed to under the PUD. Please forward this information to the others in the neighborhood who are concerned about this project.

Sincerely,

Karl Fredrickson
Assistant City Engineer

cc: Allan Abbott
    Ann Harrell
    John Jackson, Williamsburg Homeowners Association
    Davis Swartz, Cape Charles Square Homeowners Association
    Mr. and Mrs. Dawson Dowty
    Daryoush Razavian, Olsson Associates
    Leslie Construction
    Mark Hunzeker
    Project File
FYI

Karen Sieckmeyer
Executive Secretary
Public Works/Utilities
555 South 10th
402-441-7566
----- Forwarded by Karen K Sieckmeyer/Notes on 08/19/2004 08:05 AM -----

Nicole Tooze
08/18/2004 12:32 PM
To: Nick W McElvain/Notes@Notes
cc: Karen K Sieckmeyer/Notes@Notes
Subject: Re: COUNCIL RESPONSE FOR UP 04002

Nick, thanks for the good response.

Karen, please transmit to the Council office for packets indicating that we are providing comments per the Council's request regarding Item 04R-200.

Thank you, N>

Nick W McElvain

Nick W McElvain
08/18/2004 09:30 AM
To: Karen K Sieckmeyer/Notes@Notes
cc: Allan L Abbott/Notes@Notes, Dennis D Bartels/Notes@Notes, Steve Masters/Notes@Notes, jobrist@notes@Notes, Nicole Tooze/Notes@Notes
Subject: Re: COUNCIL RESPONSE FOR UP 04002

Response to COUNCIL RESPONSE FOR UP 04002 -

The requested waiver is to locate a public water main in a private street on the south side of the street rather than as our design standards call for on the north side. The south side is usually reserved for sanitary sewer. In this case there is no sanitary sewer on the south, and all of the properties that need to tap the water main are on the south side, so it would be closer for them, and they would not need to bore under the paving for those services. The segment in question is less than a block long. This waiver will have no effect on water pressure.

The pressures for any particular customer in our system is determined by the difference in elevation between their property and the elevation of water in the reservoir. If the difference in elevation is 100 feet, the customer would have 43 pounds per square inch (psi), if it was 200 feet, they would have 86 psi.

Most of the western half of the Highlands has relatively low pressures, ranging between 35 and 50 psi. We will be looking at options for increasing these pressures in conjunction with the design of several water main projects in the Hwy 34 area. This may be feasible by transferring these customers from the Belmont Pressure District to the Northwest Pressure District, which is served by the new booster pumping station that currently serves the Fallbrook area. They would have an approximate 40 psi increase in pressure if it can be accomplished. This would be comparable to the recent increase of pressures for customers in the Coddington area between "A" and Van Dorn, as the brand new Pioneers Pumping Station was brought on line in the past few weeks.

If you have questions, please contact me at 441-7571.
Nicole Tooze

08/17/2004 08:21 AM

To: Nick W McElvain/Notes@Notes
cc: Steve Masters/Notes@Notes, Karen K Sieckmeyer/Notes@Notes, Dennis D Bartels/Notes@Notes, Allan L Abbott/Notes@Notes
Subject: COUNCIL RESPONSE FOR UP 04002

Nick, yesterday at the City Council meeting there was a question regarding the waiver for the location of the water main on Use Permit No. 04002 for a townhome development in the Highlands at W. Fletcher & NW 12/13th. The Council asked for a written response regarding whether the waiver would have any adverse impact on water pressure. They have requested that a response be in their Thursday packet this week.

Could you please get a written response to Karen for the packet by Wednesday (tomorrow) afternoon so that she can get it to the Council office.

Please give me a call if you have any questions. Thanks, Nicole.
Response to COUNCIL RESPONSE FOR UP 04002 -

The requested waiver is to locate a public water main in a private street on the south side of the street rather than as our design standards call for on the north side. The south side is usually reserved for sanitary sewer. In this case there is no sanitary sewer on the south, and all of the properties that need to tap the water main are on the south side, so it would be closer for them, and they would not need to bore under the paving for those services. The segment in question is less than a block long. This waiver will have no effect on water pressure.

The pressures for any particular customer in our system is determined by the difference in elevation between their property and the elevation of water in the reservoir. If the difference in elevation is 100 feet, the customer would have 43 pounds per square inch (psi), if it was 200 feet, they would have 86 psi.

Most of the western half of the Highlands has relatively low pressures, ranging between 35 and 50 psi. We will be looking at options for increasing these pressures in conjunction with the design of several water main projects in the Hwy 34 area. This may be feasible by transferring these customers from the Belmont Pressure District to the Northwest Pressure District, which is served by the new booster pumping station that currently serves the Fallbrook area. They would have an approximate 40 psi increase in pressure if it can be accomplished. This would be comparable to the recent increase of pressures for customers in the Coddington area between "A" and Van Dorn, as the brand new Pioneers Pumping Station was brought on line in the past few weeks.

If you have questions, please contact me at 441-7571.

Nicole Tooze

Nick, yesterday at the City Council meeting there was a question regarding the waiver for the location of the water main on Use Permit No. 04002 for a townhome development in the Highlands at W. Fletcher & NW 12/13th. The Council asked for a written response regarding whether the waiver would have any adverse impact on water pressure. They have requested that a response be in their Thursday packet this week.

Please give me a call if you have any questions. Thanks, Nicole.
PUBLIC WORKS AND UTILITIES DEPARTMENT
Engineering Services, 531 Westgate Blvd., Lincoln, NE 68528, 441-7711, fax 441-6576

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: August 18, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Sergeant Dan Schmidt, Police Department, 441-6587
Shane Dostal, Randy Hoskins, Scott Opfer, Public Works, 441-7711

SAFE WALK AND SAFE RIDE TO SCHOOL SET FOR THURSDAY

The City of Lincoln’s Public Works and Utilities Department and Police Department and the Lincoln Public Schools will again sponsor the annual “Safe Walk and Safe Ride to School Night” from 6 to 7:30 p.m. Thursday, August 19. All 25 mph school speed zones will be in operation and enforced for this event to remind residents that schools are about to open.

Getting children to and from school safely is primarily a parental responsibility, with support and cooperation from drivers, schools and City officials. All drivers are asked to pay special attention to the increased pedestrian traffic around school areas. Pedestrians are encouraged to walk, not run, when crossing streets. Motorists are also encouraged to pay attention to the signage in school areas. A list is attached to this release.

The City has worked with public and parochial schools to develop voluntary one-way pick-up and drop-off driving plans and recommended walking routes. The goal is to keep students safe by establishing an orderly traffic flow around the school and encouraging students to use walking routes with traffic signals and marked crosswalks. Plans are available from school principals or from the City of Lincoln Web site at lincoln.ne.gov (go to Public Works and Utilities, Engineering Services, School Traffic Information). If a plan has not been developed for your school, please contact the principal.

The Lincoln Police Department has a “no tolerance” policy for enforcing the 25 mph school speed zones. About seven years ago, the City Council passed an ordinance that doubled fines for speeding in school speed zones. The fines (not including court costs) for speeding in a 25 mph school speed zone are:
- $20 for traveling 1 to 5 mph over the speed limit
- $50 for traveling 6 to 10 mph over the speed limit
- $150 for traveling 11 to 15 mph over the speed limit
- $250 for traveling 16 to 20 mph over the speed limit
- $400 for traveling 21 mph or more over the speed limit
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SCHOOL AREA SIGNAGE

No Parking 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. School Days Loading Zone
These signs are installed in areas designated for the pick-up and drop-off of students. Drivers can legally park in these areas for 10 minutes as long as they remain in their vehicles.

No Parking 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. School Days Bus Zone
These signs are installed in areas designated for school bus pick-up and drop-off. Drivers should avoid using these areas when they are picking up or dropping off students.

No Stopping Anytime
These signs are installed in areas for safety reasons, and drivers should not stop in these areas to pick up or drop off students.

No Stopping 2 p.m. to 4 p.m. School Days or No Stopping 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. School Days
These signs are similar to the No Stopping Anytime signs except that are only in effect during the times stated.

School Crossing
These signs are installed at locations where drivers should expect to see concentrations of children crossing the street. Crossings adjacent to schools also usually have No Stopping Anytime signs nearby to allow drivers to better see the children approaching or standing at the curb.
NEWS RELEASE

PUBLIC WORKS AND UTILITIES DEPARTMENT
Wastewater and Solid Waste, 2400 Theresa Street, Lincoln, NE 68521, 441-7961, fax 441-8735

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: August 18, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Brian Kramer, Wastewater Collection, 441-7987

CONSTRUCTION TO BEGIN ON SALT VALLEY SEWER PROJECT

Construction will begin soon on the next phase of the Salt Valley relief trunk sewer project in southeast Lincoln. The project extends from Rose Street south to Pioneers Boulevard along the abandoned Union Pacific Railroad corridors in the vicinity of 4th and 6th streets. The project will provide additional sanitary sewer capacity for current and future growth.

Construction will begin with clearing the project area. The City has worked with many community groups and environmental organizations throughout the planning process in an effort to minimize the impact to the area adjacent to the construction corridor. Some tree removal will be necessary. Sensitive areas and the most valuable trees have been identified, and every effort will be made to protect them. Native grasses will be planted in the areas that are disturbed, and wildflowers also will be planted in the non-urban areas. Trees and shrubs will be allowed to restore on their own.

During construction, some of the project equipment will be parked in Standing Bear Park and Wilderness Park. The trees and playground equipment in Standing Bear will be protected.

The sewer will be built through a process of trenching and tunneling. The anticipated completion date is early 2006. Garney Construction is the private contractor for this phase. Olsson Associates of Lincoln and Black & Veatch provided the design.
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Dear Mr. Tonner: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.
Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

"I DEAL WHEELS" <idealwheels@neb.rr.com>

"I DEAL WHEELS" <idealwheels@neb.rr.com> To: <council@ci.lincoln.ne.us> cc: <council@ci.lincoln.ne.us>
Subject: Re: Townhouse Project(NW 12th & Fletcher Ave)

08/16/2004 09:56 AM

Dear Council members,

My name is Tim Tonner I reside at 5721 NW 12th Street Lincoln NE, 68521. I want it on record that I strongly oppose the TOWNHOUSE PROJECT proposed for NW 12th & Fletcher Ave. First and foremost I oppose the project for the majestic view that will be lost to the west of our homes (back yard). I along with my neighbors bought our homes and paid top dollar for the location adjacent to the golf course. Secondly the project if allowed would have a huge huge negative impact on the value of our homes. In Sept 2000 when my family and I purchased our home we were told that if the land was developed it would be upscale single family dwellings. We in the Highlands do not want to impede the progress of the City of Lincoln but at the same time we do not expect to go backwards. There maybe a need for Townhomes in NW Lincoln but there is alot of other places in the area where they could be built that would not have a negative impact on families that have invested for years in single family dwellings.

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Council if you were in this situation how would you fell and what would you do?

Sincerely, Tim Tonner

RECEIVED
AUG 16 2004
CITY COUNCIL OFFICE
Dear Ms. Hart: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

Barbara H Hart <chasb@juno.com>

Barbara H Hart <chasb@juno.com>
To: council@ci.lincoln.ne.us
cc: 48 & O
08/16/2004 10:01 AM
Subject: 48 & O

I hope when you reach a decision to declare this a blighted area that it will not require a cost to the taxpayer, either locally or through federal funds. Seems to me that with a value of property such as this, the owners should be required to sustain the cost. Isn't that what happens when a home property owner does not meet required upkeep?

Will be looking forward to your decision. Barbara Hart
August 17, 2004

To: The Members of the Lincoln City Council and
Mayor Seng

Greetings!

I am writing in regard to the area around 48th & O Streets and would like you to consider my ideas to improve this unsightly property. The area looks dreadful and quite frankly, I no longer put guests up at the nearby motels (Hawthorn & Villager) because it is so ugly and embarrassing.

Instead of the usual practice of building new structures to generate income, I would like our city to be daring and turn this into a green space. Just think what an effect such an attractive, inviting green space could have right in the middle of the city. I believe that it would encourage a sense of community and provide a positive welcoming place for people to interact.

Put a band shell there and allow year around musical entertainment like they have during the summer at South Pointe and the Farmer’s Market. Have a winter market, put on plays, show outdoor movies, have an art fair, kite flying, jugglers, dog shows, yoga demonstrations, dancing, children’s activities, etc.

When you think about the huge attendance at events such as the Farmer’s Market, the South Pointe Art Fair, July Jamm and Jazz in June, it’s quite clear that people want a unique place to gather, people want to be entertained and need the stimulation of nature and the arts – it’s not all about sports and shopping. The possibilities are endless. The main idea would be to give it to the people and encourage them to use and enjoy the space. And of course, to make our city more attractive.

Thank you for your consideration. I am requesting that you include my opinion into the record and public hearing process on this matter.

Patti Hoage
1650 Arapahoe Street
Lincoln, NE 68502
423-5271
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for General Council

Name: Robert Nefsky
Address: 2140 Sheridan Blvd.
City: Lincoln, NE 68502
Phone: 402-475-5100
Fax:
Email: rnefsky@remlud.com

Comment or Question:
Dear Council Members,
I own property at 48th and O Streets. I am writing to encourage you to vote in favor of the blight study at 48th and O Streets. Even though my particular property is not blighted (it is nearly new), the public benefits of blight designation and ability to use tax increment financing are significant, including drainage and traffic circulation. Also, kick-starting private redevelopment of the larger parcels is appropriate as the increased tax revenues will ultimately more than justify the initial investment of public funds. Thank you. Please contact me if you have questions.

RECEIVED
AUG 18 2004
CITY COUNCIL OFFICE
August 12, 2004

Terry Werner
Chairperson City Council
555 So 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508

Dear Mr. Terry Werner:

My reason for writing is on behalf of the Fraternal Order of Eagles #147, here in Lincoln (for 104 years) concerning the issue of the smoking ban. It should NOT be implemented at all nor dictated that a parent can not take their children under 18 years of age to a club with them where smoking is allowed. That will be devastating to Fraternal and private clubs and/or non-profit organizations such as: The Fraternal Order of Eagles, Moose Lodge, Elks, Legion Clubs and VFW, just naming a few.

Some of the fraternal, veterans and NON-profit groups as well as private clubs have lounges (bars) for their members. The non-profit and/or private clubs have contributed and have been an asset to the Lincoln Community for years. They have contributed thousands of hours of volunteer work and thousands of dollars to charities both local and nationally.

The Fraternal Order of Eagles Club #147 has been a family club for 104 years. Now you are trying to say the families can not come to the club with their children because smoking is allowed in our club. We encourage family participation to keep families together and a place to go together. It appears you don’t feel that way. We have annual Christmas, Easter, and other parties for the children and grandchildren, as well as other family activities through the year. We have to have something for the adult member and their families or we will not be able to exist as a club because revenues are very limited in membership clubs any way, because of guidelines placed on non-profit groups and private clubs.

Financially, many of the non-profit organization and/or clubs are not able nor have the space to provide smoking rooms. The cost is totally prohibitive because many clubs are struggling to maintain membership. Take away family privileges will take clubs down.

If the Health Department and/or city council want to insist that we post a warning that second hand smoke is detrimental to one’s health, is again acceptable and would be done, but to dictate is totally un-American and unreasonable as to how people should live their lives. By placing warnings, the Health Department and the city council along with the local do-gooders, have met your efforts of making people aware of a possible danger. You were not voted into office to dictate personal life styles.
I personally don’t feel any political person or body should have the authority or take it upon themselves to demand by law how people should live their lives. If that is the case, than the public should have the authority to put more demands (not just requests) on the political people or bodies of people whom they vote into office.

A comment was made by a council member before the decision was made to implement the smoking ban that you feel you are obligated to think for people 18 years of age and under, as you don’t feel they could think for themselves. With this comment, you feel you are more qualified to raise children under 18 years of age than their own parents. If you are demanding parental responsibilities, than will you also be financially responsible for the expenses of raising the children if you don’t feel the parents are qualified to make decisions for their own children?

Help us save our clubs that have existed in Lincoln for many years and helping countless people through charities (fund raising) and efforts by the members, volunteer work for research of life threatening disease such as but not limited to, heart and cancer, as well as money to help people. When we (clubs) go out of existence, who will compensate the thousands of dollars to replace the loss the clubs contribute locally and helping research and people in need?

Instead of following other cities, let the world know you can come to Lincoln, Nebraska and live your life by FREEDOM OF CHOICE.

Yours truly,

Dale Weber
2810 Garfield Apt. 1
Lincoln, NE 68502

Pc: Patte Newman
    Glenn Friendt
    Jon Camp
    Annette McRoy
    Ken Svoboda
    Jonathan Cook
Dear Officer Hurley: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Your concerns are timely ones which the City will need to address. Thank you for bringing the issue to the Council’s attention.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us
"Jennifer Hurley" <jhurley@neb.rr.com>

Dear City Council Members,

My name is Jennifer Hurley and my husband, Robert, and I have been Lincoln Police Officers for 13 years. We begin our 14th year in September. Robert is also a Major in the Army Reserves and, as of August 6th, has been activated with the 561st Corp Support Group out of Omaha in support of Enduring Freedom. His unit leaves for training at Fort Riley, Kansas, on August 23rd. A tentative date of October 20th has been set for his deployment to Iraq. They will have at least 12 months “boots in country,” and they face the possibility of being extended an additional 6 months making their deployment as long as 2 years.

On July 23rd I sent a request to Chief Casady and Mr. Don Taute, City Personnel Director, asking for a leave of absence for one year. Coming to this decision was very difficult. I spent much time debating what was best for me, professionally and personally. It was, and still is, the best decision for my family. Bob and I have been married over ten years and we have three children, ages 9, 7, and 4. We have always managed to work our schedules around our kids. Bob is an integral part of the children’s lives and the primary caregiver on the weekends. For several years he worked 2nd shift, sacrificing his evenings with the family. We did this so that he could be home with the kids on Saturdays and Sundays. We usually scramble to find childcare on Bob’s ONE monthly weekend drill. Now, I will be trying to find childcare for EVERY weekend while he’s gone. Not to mention having to potentially pay $120-$150 per weekend for childcare. I made the request because I believe my children should come first.

Unfortunately, on August 10th, I received notice from Chief Casady that my request was denied because, ‘...{it} is not one of the possibilities authorized in the code.” According to Municipal Code, 2.76.400, requests of one year leave CAN be approved for “travel or study.” Please explain to me...
why my situation would not merit more consideration than "travel or study." I am asking the Council to take a long, hard look at the Municipal Code and either change it completely or include an amendment to cover city employees who have spouses ordered to active duty during wartime. I am not the first spouse, nor will I be the last, to deal with this type of situation. I believe the ordinance is unfair and a disservice to the families of those who serve their country. My husband has been ordered to active duty and I am proud of him for his dedication and willingness to sacrifice for all of us. It would be a comfort to him knowing his family was okay.

I knew when I began my career as a police officer that police work was a 24 hour a day, 7 day a week position. THAT is what makes being an officer different than many other positions throughout the city. If I was in a position that included weekends and holidays off, my situation would be much different. As it is now, I work every weekend and every holiday. I never know when I will be getting off on time or having to stay for overtime. My children will be in school and daycare more than they will be with me. I am already feeling very stressed and overwhelmed by how much my responsibilities will grow becoming a single parent for the first time in ten years. My children are also very stressed. I'm already being asked, "What if daddy doesn't come home?" Our children need structure, consistency, and the comfort of knowing I am there for them when they need me. With my job, I can't guarantee that. Please know that Capt. Koluch and my Sergeants have been wonderful! An Officer is switching hours with me and he will be taking my early shift (0630-1430) so that I'll be able to come in from 0800-1600 starting on Thursday, August 19th. That will help with my immediate daycare needs. However, even with the change in hours, my days off remain Tuesday and Wednesday. If I continue with this working schedule I will see my children only 4 hours a day...between 4:30 (if I'm off work on time) and 8:30pm. The quantity and quality of the time spent with my children will be sub-standard. I feel they deserve more, especially during this stressful time.

I do realize one year is a long time, however in the bigger picture, I have invested 13 years of my life with the City. In turn, the City has also invested in me. In my 13 years as an officer, I had three children. With each of the pregnancies, between light-duty and maternity leave, I was off the streets for an average of eight months. Upon returning from maternity leave, I was allowed back in my cruiser taking calls for service without needing any re-training. I have been a good employee and Chief Casady indicated that I am, "...a valuable member of the Department..." and he hopes to retain me, "...as an excellent police officer for the City of Lincoln."

I am trying to come up with the best solution possible for all parties involved. Instead of simply quitting my job as a police officer, I am requesting an exception be made, or a change to the Municipal Code be considered.

Thank you for your careful consideration in this matter. If you have any questions or wish that I address the members in person, please reply to: jhurley@nec.rr.com or by phone at 423-2057.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Hurley
Dear Ms. Steen: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us
"Kent and Gail Steen" <steen@neb.rr.com>

"Kent and Gail Steen"
<steen@neb.rr.com>
08/18/2004 05:15 PM
Please respond to steen

I am an attorney who works downtown. I go to court frequently, so am quite familiar with the city's parking enforcement. I have noticed lately that my car tires has small, perfectly formed pink ink spots on them after I have parked downtown. I am assuming these marks are coming from the enforcement team. I am requesting the team switch devices for marking tires.

After I have been downtown I have tried to remove these lovely spots from my tires, with no success. They do not easily come off. While I understand the enforcement's desire to "chalk" tires, by using the ink they are actually vandalizing my tires. I am sure the city does not want to pay for my new, non-inked tires, nor anyone else's tires. I also wonder the effectiveness of the marks for someone like me, as I will park in a variety of different locations, and if the ink does not come off readily the marks mean nothing, as my car may or may not have moved in the interim. I am not receiving tickets after these pink marks appear, so clearly am complying with the law, but still have the negative effects of the attempted enforcement.

I realize this isn't the biggest issue the council has to deal with this year, but it is a constant annoyance and I am offended the police are defacing my property for such a limited benefit. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Gail Steen
Dear Ms. Schneider: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6856
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

dschnel@lps.org

I am writing to you to let you know that my husband and I as well as many in our THE NW 12TH HIGHLANDS Association disapprove of the incoming townhomes on NW 13th!!! This will bring in some much traffic for such a small area and the quality of the homes being built does not fit with the neighborhood. I foresee traffic congestion and an unsafe area for the children in this area; especially those heading to the pool, golf course and using the bike path. Please consider our neighborhoods concerns and SAY NO TO THE HIGH DENSITY TOWNHOMES!!!!
Thank you for your time!!
Diane Schneider
Dear Mr. & Ms. Schmidt:

Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray  
City Council Office  
555 South 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE - 68508  
Phone: 402-441-6866  
Fax: 402-441-6533  
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

---

Dear Council Members,

We are homeowners in the Highlands Neighborhood and are writing in opposition to the proposed development of townhouses in our neighborhood. This is project USE PERMIT 04002.

There are numerous reasons in which this development is a bad idea for our neighborhood:

1. Both, the parochial and public schools in the area are at capacity NOW.
2. The added traffic in the area will cause a HUGE SAFETY CONCERN for our children going to school or the swimming pool.
3. The water pressure in the neighborhood is barely acceptable now.
4. Property values will decrease because of how this housing will change the scenery and view to the street.
5. The proposed NET to be placed in front of the townhouses will also be an eyesore.
6. The density of the units is unacceptable for the area.

Please consider the sacrifice in the quality of life the existing homeowners will have to endure when deciding on this proposal !!!!!

Sincerely,

Alan and Barb Schmidt  
1130 NW Gary Street  
Lincoln, NE  68521
Dear Mr. Kuta: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

DO NOT REPLY to this- InterLinc <none@lincoln.ne.gov>

InterLinc: City Council Feedback for
General Council

Name: Stan Kuta
Address: 5733 nw 12th
City: Lincoln, NE 68521
Phone: 402-435-0854
Fax:
Email: stankutaman@aol.com

Comment or Question:
On USE PERMIT 04002. Our association is perplexed. All of Our values and concerns seem meaningless. The lower quality of the townhouses and the high density design effects the value of OUR Homes and quality of OUR lifestyle. Not to mention the traffic congestion and safety of all. The builder stresses they are supplying affordable housing, BS, they found a very picturesque, buyer desireable atmosphere, an easy sell surrounding area and will make a killing at our expense, and We will be left holding WHAT?
It is very interesting that in the Comprehensive Plan, paragraph F-69 that new development be compatible with the character of existing neighborhoods and adjacent uses, this was never brought up at any of the meetings. Right at 97% of the neighbors and 85% of Our Association feel that this project meets that criteria. The schools are at full capacity, plus we know the water pressure will worsen ,which now just meets city requirements. I guess We just want to know, what else can we do to alter this project?
Dear Mr. & Ms. Miller  Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us
DO NOT REPLY to this- InterLinc <none@lincoln.ne.gov>

InterLinc: City Council Feedback for
General Council

Name: Larry and Angie Miller
Address: 5747 NW 11th Street
City: Lincoln, NE 68521
Phone: 402.438.7939
Fax: 402.458.2299
Email: Larry.Miller@Neinet.net

Comment or Question:
RB: Urging the City Council to Deny Use Permit 04002, to be considered August 23, 2004

Dear Council Members:

We are writing to express our strong opposition to the proposed townhouse development for the Highlands area. We have reviewed the fact sheet compiled for your consideration (displayed on the City Council’s website) and have determined that the conditions agreed upon for the recommendation to conditionally approve the permit request remain grossly insufficient. Our primary concerns are as follows:

Safety: The proposed plan calls for 112 units. Assuming an average of 1.5 cars per unit, that would add 168 drivers to a road that has no stop sign or traffic signal in the immediate area. The round about being developed at NW 13th and Fletcher will have little impact on the speed of traffic at this location. As you know, the primary access road for this proposed development would be immediately adjacent to the Highlands Pool and Golf Course, which is frequented by many neighborhood residents, primarily our children.

Schools: The public schools serving the Highlands Neighborhood are already at
maximum capacity.

Like so many other elementary schools in Lincoln, Fredstrom Elementary has been forced to encroach upon common areas, such as the library, in order to accommodate the number of students, even though Fredstrom no longer serves a sixth grade class and already uses several, satellite facilities.

Goodrich Middle school is so much over capacity that students from the Highlands cannot attend, and must be bussed to and accommodated by a temporary middle school housed at Lincoln NorthStar. A long-term solution for Highlands students has yet to be determined.

While we understand that Lincoln Public Schools is primarily responsible for addressing issues such as overcrowding, the City Council has a responsibility to ensure that it does not approve proposals such as this that will compound the problem.

Infrastructure: The Highlands Northwest development is already plagued by extremely low water pressure, yet neither the city nor the developer has addressed the impact of the proposed development or proposed a solution.

Aesthetics: Even with the alterations requested by the city, (and it seems that the developer would not be contractually required to comply with all of them), the proposed development will greatly detract from the surrounding residences and park facilities.

Affordable housing: The proponents for this proposal imply that the Highlands neighborhood is lacking available homes priced less than $130,000. This is overwhelmingly incorrect. While the homes immediately adjacent to the proposed development do generally sell for more than $130,000 there are a great many homes for sale for less than $130,000, as well as many homes and apartments for rent, in the Highlands neighborhood.

In closing, we want to emphasize that we would welcome a development that addresses these concerns in responsible and sensible manner. Our strong opposition to this particular proposal is based on the fact that it creates several new areas of concern. The only advantage that we can see for this proposal would be the profit made by the developer.

Please feel free to contact us if you would like to discuss our concerns further.

Sincerely,

Larry and Angie Miller
458.2252 (days)
438.7939 (evenings and weekends)
Dear Mr. Nelson: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

"TERRY NELSON" <TERRY@BRSTORES.COM>

TO ALL COUNCIL MEMBERS

FIRST LET SAY THANK YOU FOR TAKING TIME TO READ THIS E-MAIL.

IN REGARDS TO USE PERMIT 04002

I AM AGAINST THE PLAN IN IT'S CURRENT FORM.

THERE ARE WAY TO MANY UNITS FOR THE SPACE COMPARED TO THE REST OF THE SURROUNDING AREA.

THE WATER PRESSURE IN THE HIGHLAND IS TO LOW ALREADY AND ADDING MORE USER WILL ONLY MAKE IT WORSE. AS FAR AS I KNOW THERE IS NO PLAN TO FIX THIS PROBLEM

THE SCHOOLS IN THE AREA ARE ALREADY FULL AND OUR MIDDLE SCHOOL KIDS ARE GOING TO NORTH STAR NOT A MIDDLE SCHOOL.

I BACK TO THE NW12th STREET THIS PLAN WILL EFFECT THE RESALE VALUE OF MY HOME. NO ONE WANT TO LOOK ALL THESE UNITS SO CLOSE TOGETHER. THE NET PROPOSED IN FRONT OF THE TOWNHOMES WILL ONLY ADD TO THE EYE SORE OF THE TOWNHOMES. I HAVE BEEN BY THE BUILDERS OTHER ON GOING PROJECTS. WHEN BUILDING OUR HOME WE NEVER THOUGHT WHAT EVER WENT BACK THERE WOULD BE A SET DOWN, BUT THIS IS SEVERAL STEP THE WRONG WAY. I MOVE TO THIS HOUSE FROM ANOTHER HOME IN THE HIGHLANDS AND PLANNED TO STAY HERE TILL THEY HAD TO CARRY ME OUT. IF THE GOES THROUGH I WILL STRONGLY CONSIDER MOVING.

THE INCREASE IN TRAFFIC IS A HUGE PROBLEM THERE IS A PUBLIC POOL NEXT DOOR THAT THE KIDS HAVE TO CROSS THE STREET TO GET TO NOT FAR FROM THE ENTRANCE TO THE
TOWNHOMES.

THEY ALSO WANT WAIVE FRONT, REAR, AND SIDE YARDS SETBACKS AMONG OTHER ITEMS. WE TRIED TO GET SOME OF THESE CHANGED ALSO WHEN WE BUILT OUR HOUSE SO IT WOULD SIT ON THE LOT STRAIGHTER, AND SO THE DECK COULD BE BIGGER. WE WERE TURNED DOWN ON BOTH. WHY THEN SHOULD THEY BE OKAYED FOR OVER 100 WHEN WE COULD GET 2 MINOR CHANGES ON ONE HOUSE.

THANKS AGAIN FOR YOUR TIME

TERRY NELSON
5607 NW 11TH CIRCLE
terry@brstores.com
Dear Mr. Bruegman: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us
NEROCKSTAR@aol.com

To: Council@ci.lincoln.ne.us
cc: amcroy@ci.lincoln.ne.us
Subject: USE PERMIT 04002

NEROCKSTAR@aol.com
08/19/2004 11:47 AM

Attn: All Council Members.

As a home owner in the Highlands Northwest Homeowners Association. I would like to express my concerns over building of the town homes next to the swimming pool and golf course. This will decrease the value of the homes in our neighborhood, I moved here for the fact that it is quiet and away from all the fast paced life of in town. Not only are the schools at capacity but this will be a safety issue for our children due to the increased traffic flow caused by these units.

Please do not allow this to happen to our neighborhood.

Further more I was told that if this City owned land was ever to be developed that it would be sold as 1 acre lots for higher end homes to be built on, was this option ever considered.

Thank You
Robert Bruegman
1123 West Keating Drive
Lincoln, NE 68521
August 18, 2004

City Council Office
County-City Building
Attn: City Council Members
555 S. 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508

To All City Council Members –

Enclosed you will find a copy of the newsletter that was sent out in early June regarding the 56th Street and Pine Lake Road area roadway improvement projects. This newsletter is one piece of the many that will make up the public participation aspect of these projects. You may also find useful information at www.56pinelake.com. As additional mailings, communications, and other information are composed and sent out to the public, I will send you copies of each piece.

If you have any questions or should you like any additional information, please don't hesitate to contact me at 420-7217 or via e-mail at aopping@eacg.com.

Thank you,

[Signature]
E&A Consulting Group, Inc.
Amber Topping

Enclosures
Introduction

The City of Lincoln is very excited about the growth in Southeast Lincoln. In order to facilitate this expansion, Public Works & Utilities recognizes the need for improved roadway infrastructure. Public Works & Utilities currently has four roadway widening projects centered on the South 56th Street and Pine Lake Road intersection.

In order to provide a clear and informative message to the impacted residents of these projects, as well as the City as a whole, it was decided that the public participation phase of these four projects would be combined into one effort. This will combine multiple neighborhood open house meetings and provide for single-point contact for all projects.

The four projects are as follows:

NORTH
56th Street from Old Cheney Road to Shadow Pines Drive is just getting started with survey activities. This project is funded for design only; Right of Way and Construction funding is not yet determined.

EAST
Pine Lake Road from 59th to Nebraska Highway 2 is just getting started with survey activities. This project is funded for design only; Right of Way and Construction funding is not yet determined.

SOUTH
56th Street from Thompson Creek Blvd to Rebel Drive, including the intersection of Yankee Hill and 56th Street is just getting started with survey activities. This project is funded for design only; Right of Way and Construction funding is not yet determined.

WEST
Pine Lake Road from South 40th Street to South 59th Street and 56th Street from Shadow Pines Drive to Thompson Creek Blvd is currently 95 percent designed and is planned to begin construction in 2005. This project will be funded through Highway Allocation Bonds.

For more in-depth project descriptions, see the individual project sections of our web site and newsletter. Public Works & Utilities encourages you to continue to access our website, read our newsletters, respond to our questionnaires, and attend the informal open house meetings.

With all of us working together, we can build better roadways that will serve our community needs into the future.
Background - South Area Fringe Study

The City of Lincoln and Lancaster County have had a long sustained history of population expansion with an average increase of around 1.4 percent per year over the past ten decades. Based on 2000 census information, the metropolitan area of Lincoln has a population of approximately 250,000 people. Some of the highest rates of growth have been on the south and east fringes of the city. This continued growth and population increase necessitated planning ahead for future roadway improvements.

In 1995, the City of Lincoln commissioned the South Area Fringe Study to identify needed improvements for approximately ten (10) miles of roadway. Figure 1 is an illustration of roadways studied. The work included the preparation of an Environmental Assessment and preliminary roadway design.

At the time the study began several developments were either underway or in the planning stages:
- Southpointe Pavilions at 27th Street and Pine Lake Road.
- Scott Middle School at approximately 22nd Street and Pine Lake Road.
- Commercial development in the northeast quadrant of 40th and Pine Lake Road.
- Major residential developments south of Pine Lake Road and directly east and west of 40th Street and southwest of 56th Street and Pine Lake Road.
- Continued residential development north of Pine Lake Road between 27th and 40th Streets and south of Pine Lake Road between 40th Street and Beaver Creek Lane.

Figure 1.

The South Area Fringe Study examined a number of alternatives for improving the existing two-lane roads so that they would have sufficient capacity to handle expected growth and development. This study concluded that the roadways should be reconstructed to an urban design (curb, gutter, and storm sewer rather than shoulders and ditches) and should be widened to provide at least two through lanes in each direction and a center left turn lane. The study further recommended the roadway be constructed with a raised median. This type of roadway provides higher capacity and better safety than roadways designed with a painted median. In addition to documenting the environmental impacts of the various roadway alternatives investigated, the study produced drawings that provide a basis for how each street would be designed in the future. This information was presented at a public hearing in 1997 and has been available from the City since the completion of the Environmental Assessment the same year.
NORTH
56th Street From Old Cheney to Shadow Pines Drive

Description:

E&A Consulting Group, Inc. has been retained by the City of Lincoln to provide design services for the reconstruction of South 56th Street from Old Cheney to Shadow Pines Drive.

The improvements at the intersection of Pine Lake Road and South 56th Street are included in HWS Consulting Group's project.

The number of turn lanes and traffic control applications will be determined through a traffic study, which will be performed as part of the project. The traffic study will assess the potential needs for traffic signals. In general, the roadway will be designed as a four-lane paved section with a raised median.

E&A will need to acquire topographic survey data to properly design this street. Recently, survey notifications were delivered to property owners abutting this roadway. This letter lets the property owners know that the land surveyors may require access to their property. Once survey information is obtained, technical design drawings can begin to be prepared.

The Team: The individuals assigned to this project and their team organization structure are shown below.

City of Lincoln

Vice President — E&A
Greg Wood, P.E.

Project Manager — E&A
Fred Beck, P.E.

President — E&A
Jeff Elliott, P.E.

Environmental Studies
Brian Mulinix, P.E.
Daren Jack, P.E.
Jacobson Helgoth Consultants

Roadway Design
Angela Schmidt, P.E.
Steve Irons, E.I
Tim Carlson, E.I
E&A Consulting Group, Inc.

Wetland Engineering
Mark Mainelli, P.E.
Jeff Wagner, P.E.
Mainelli Wagner & Associates

Public Involvement
Greg Wood, P.E.
Amber Topping
E&A Consulting Group, Inc.

Plan Development
Kevin McGinness
Karrie Rosa
E&A Consulting Group, Inc.

Survey Services
R. Vance Clark, R.L.S.
Kevin Hicks, L.S.I.T.
KayCee Agena
E&A Consulting Group, Inc.

Traffic Signal Design
Mark Lutjeharms
The Schemmer Associates

Geotechnical Engineering
Jeffery McEnaney
PSI

NORTH Project Contact:
Amber Topping, E&A Consulting Group, Inc., (402) 420-7217
atopping@eacg.com
EAST
Pine Lake Road from 900' East of South 59th Street to Hwy 2

Description:

The Schemmer Associates has been retained by the City of Lincoln to provide design services for the reconstruction of Pine Lake Road to Nebraska Highway 2.

The improvements at the intersection of Pine Lake Road and South 56th Street are included in HWS Consulting Group’s project.

The number of turn lanes and traffic control applications will be determined through a traffic study, which will be performed as part of the project. The traffic study will assess the potential needs for traffic signals. In general, the roadway will be designed as a four-lane paved section with a raised median.

The Schemmer Associates will need to acquire topographic survey data to properly design this street. Recently, survey notifications were delivered to property owners abutting this roadway. This letter lets the property owners know that the land surveyors may require access to their property. Once survey information is obtained, technical design drawings can begin to be prepared.

The Team: The individuals assigned to this project and their team organization structure are shown below.

**CITY OF LINCOLN**
Thomas Shafer
Project Manager

Linda Weaver Beacham, P.E.
Principal in Charge
QA/QC

Mike Michaelson, P.E.
Project Manager

---

**Hydraulics/Right-of-Way Design**
Doug Holle, P.E.

**Traffic/Transportation Engineering**
Mark Lutjeharms, P.E., PTOE
Mark Pohlmann, P.E., PTOE

**Structural**
Steve Kathol, P.E., S.E.
Lindsay Madsen, E.I.

Environmental & Soils
(Terracon)
David Jordan
Brad Levich

---

**Roadway/Right-of-Way Design**
Todd Cochran, P.E.,
Jennifer Thompson, E.I.,
Don Barry, Megan Starnes

**Public Participation**
Andrea Bopp

**Survey**
Mark Fredrickson R.L.S.
Dean Wilke R.L.S.

EAST Project Contact:
Andrea Bopp, The Schemmer Associates Inc., (402) 488-2500
abopp@schemmer.com
SOUTH
56th Street from Thompson Creek Blvd to Rebel Drive

Description:
HWS Consulting Group has been retained by the City of Lincoln to provide design services for the reconstruction of South 56th Street from Thompson Creek Blvd to Rebel Drive. The improvements at the intersection of Yankee Hill Road and South 56th Street will include left and right turn lanes and traffic control measures.

The number of turn lanes and traffic control applications will be determined through a traffic study, which will be performed as part of the project. The traffic study will assess the potential needs for traffic signals. In general, the roadway will be designed as a four-lane paved section with a raised median.

HWS will need to acquire topographic survey data to properly design this street. Recently, survey notifications were mailed out to property owners abutting this roadway. This letter lets the property owners know that the land surveyors may require access to their property.

HWS began the survey work in April. Once the survey information is obtained technical design drawings can begin to be prepared.

The Team: The individuals assigned to this project and their team organization structure are shown below.

SOUTH Project Contact:
Lauren Tice, HWS Consulting Group Inc., (402) 479-2499
ltice@hws.com

56PINELAKENews Summer 2004
WEST
Pine Lake Road from South 40th to 900' East of South 59th Street and 56th Street from Shadow Pines Drive to Thompson Creek Blvd.

Description:

HWS Consulting Group has been working on the design of Pine Lake Road from South 40th Street to South 59th Street for the past year. The project also includes the design of South 56th Street from Shadow Pines Drive South to Red Rock Lane. A public open house was held on June 25, 2003 to inform the public about these planned improvements.

The improvements to Pine Lake Road and South 56th Street will include replacing the existing two lane rural section (shoulders and ditches) with a new four-lane divided roadway (curbs, gutters, and storm sewers) with raised median. The design includes turning lanes and traffic signal upgrades to the intersections of South 40th Street, Beaver Creek Lane and South 56th Street.

Currently the design is 95 percent complete and the process of purchasing necessary Right-Of-Way and easements has begun. Construction of these improvements is planned for the spring of 2005. Construction will be phased to facilitate access to bordering properties and subdivisions at all times.

The Team: The individuals assigned to this project and their team organization structure are shown below.

WEST Project Contact:
Lauren Tice, HWS Consulting Group Inc., (402) 479-2499
ltice@hws.com
Allen F. Jambor, PE, Project Manager

Mr. Jambor is Project Manager for Design Services for the South 56th Street Roadway Project from Red Rock Lane to Yankee Hill Road. He is a registered professional engineer in Nebraska and very familiar with City of Lincoln expectations, standards, and requirements. Mr. Jambor has served as Project Manager or Project Coordinator for City of Lincoln projects, including the South Area Fringe Environmental Assessment and Roadway Improvements project, Pine Lake Road projects, South 27th and South 91st Street projects, and other infrastructure projects associated with the Appian Way Regional Commercial Development in southeast Lincoln.

Mr. Jambor has been managing transportation projects since 1989 and has more than 30 year’s experience in all project capacities including quality assurance/quality control, project coordination, project management, project engineering, and project design. He is Manager of the Lincoln Transportation Group, a Vice President, and serves on the HWS Board of Directors.

Public Participation Plan Highlights:

Informal Public Open House Meetings
First - August 2004 (Tentative)
Second - January 2005 (Tentative)
Third - Spring 2005 (Tentative)

Public Information Packet
Public Information Packet Introduction
Public Participation Program Outline
Comment Form
Summer 2004 edition of the 56 Pine Lake newsletter
Refrigerator magnet with hotline phone number, web site address, and E-mail contact info.
Copy of the American Consulting Engineers Council (ACEC) informational brochure

Newsletters
Spring 2004
Fall 2004 (Tentative)
Winter 2005 (Tentative)
Spring 2005 (Tentative)

Signage
Stationary Meeting Announcement Signs

Web Site
Four Project Web Pages with Project Status
Project Photos and Project Contacts
General Public Participation Description
Key Contacts
Newsletters / Notices
Frequently Asked Questions
Informal Open Houses
Comments
Work Zone Safety
Privacy Policy
Links

Dedicated Hotline Phone with Voice Mail

Dedicated E-mail Address

Conventional Phone / Fax / E-mail Project Contacts

Fun Fact! Currently, more than 15,250 vehicles enter the intersection every day. That number is expected to grow so that in 2025, more than 45,500 vehicles are expected to enter this same intersection every day.
Frequently Asked Question's (FAQ'S)

Q: If I have a question, whom should I contact?
A: Identify which project you have the question on, go to the page for that project within this newsletter and then contact that person by phone or by E-mail.

Q: When will the projects be constructed?
A: The project identified as WEST: Pine Lake Road from South 40th Street to South 59th Street and 56th Street from Madalyn Road to Red Rock Lane is planned to be started in the 2005 construction season. The remaining three projects: NORTH, EAST, and SOUTH are funded for design only, a proposed construction schedule will not be determined until right of way and construction funding is determined.

Q: What is the “Capital Improvement Program” and where do I find a copy of it?
A: A capital improvement program or “CIP” is a blueprint for planning a community’s public capital spending and is one of the most important responsibilities of municipal government. Additional information and a copy of the City's CIP can be viewed and downloaded by going to our web site and accessing the City CIP web page through the “Links” page of our web site.

Q: Will the roadway be closed during construction or will traffic be allowed through the construction projects?
A: Several factors are considered before a decision is made to close a roadway or leave it open to traffic during construction. Some of the factors that are considered are: the amount of utility work required, access to adjacent properties, ability to provide a suitable detour, potential to get the project completed in less time, potential to save construction dollars as well as others. Information on each of the specific roadway projects will be communicated through this newsletter, our web site and at the informal public open house preceding the construction of that respective project.

Q: What is public participation?
A: Public participation is a formalized process whereby the City proactively provides information on capital projects, the public reviews and considers the information, the City listens to public comments, the City considers public comments as appropriate design solutions are developed and then the City communicates updated information to the public. The process takes the City and the public through several cycles of “back and forth” communications before the proposed solutions are finalized.

Q: Will I have the opportunity to speak with the engineers before the plans are prepared?
A: Yes, representatives of the NORTH, EAST, and SOUTH project are available to speak with you via phone, E-mail, scheduled personal visits and the informal public open houses. Choose whichever option is most convenient for you. At this particular time, the WEST project is 95 percent designed. This was necessary so that representatives of the City of Lincoln could obtain required right of way and easements prior to the scheduled 2005 construction on this project. Representatives of the WEST project are also available to speak with you about this important project via any of the options mentioned above. Changes to the WEST project design would be more difficult to implement at this time.
ADDENDUM TO DIRECTORS’ AGENDA
MONDAY, AUGUST 23, 2004

I. MAYOR - NONE

II. CITY CLERK - NONE

III. CORRESPONDENCE

A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE

JON CAMP

1. 2 - E-Mail’s from Robin Eschliman, NAI FMA Realty; and Fred & Lori Zarate to Jon Camp - RE: 48th & “O” Street area - (See E-Mail’s)

B. DIRECTORS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS

PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT

1. Correspondence - RE: Lincoln Municipal Code Title 17 regarding water services.

C. MISCELLANEOUS

1. E-Mail from Stan Kuta - RE: Townhouse project - (See E-Mail)

2. E-Mail from Marilyn Lockard - RE: 48th & “O” Street - (See E-Mail)

3. Letter from John Kubicek - RE: City Budget - (See Letter)

4. E-Mail from Myron & Helen Munderloh - RE: Highland Townhouses NW 13th Street - (See E-Mail)

5. E-Mail from Brian Kitten - RE: Alternate ballot language for the smoking ban ballot issue - (See E-Mail)

6. E-Mail from Jan & Dennis Long - RE: Use Permit #04002 - (See E-Mail)
7. 3 -Letters from Michael Wetzel; Cheyenne DeSautell; Jennifer Johnson; - RE: The new smoking ban - (See Letters)

8. E-Mail from Kent Thompson - RE: 48th & “O” Blight - (See E-Mail)

9. 3 E-Mail’s - 1 from Keith Lockard; 2 from Marilee Johnson; - RE: In Support-48th & “O” Street - Blight Designation - (See E-Mail’s)

10. E-Mail from Craig & Andrea Price - RE: Northwest Highlands Addition - (See E-Mail)

11. Letter from Fred Zarate with 27 signatures - RE: In Support of the 48th & “O” Streets Redevelopment Plan - (See Material)
Jon Camp  
Lincoln City Council  
City Council Office: 441-8793  
Constituent representative: Darrell Podany

-------- Message from “Robin Eschliman” <robin@neb.rr.com> on Wed, 18 Aug 2004 14:16:51 -0500 --------

To: <campjon@aol.com>

Subject: Commercial Real Estate Agent Perspective-48th & O

Jon, I would like to register my opinions of the blighting issue at 48th & O from the perspective of a commercial real estate agent.

I can personally testify to the problem due to the neglect of this area. Recently I worked with a business owner who was looking for office space. I presented a very nice property to her at 52nd and R Streets, and she refused, saying “I don’t want to be near that car lot mess. That makes me nervous to put my business there.”

I do representation work for both Westfield Shoppingtown Gateway and East Park Plaza. You would not believe the imaginative lengths these owners must go to in order to pick up clients at the airport and take to their properties—while skirting the shame and disgrace we have at 48th & O.

The declining demographics in the area are attracting less than quality retailers, too. Rather than upscale department stores and shops, I am seeing a trend towards lower-end discount stores. They fill a need in our community, but they don’t pay high rents. Over the next few years, this is going to affect property taxes. But improvement at 48th & “O” could tilt this teetering balance into a better direction.

Sales tax accounts for about 40% of our city budget. Property tax, I believe, accounts for a third of it. Retailers who pay property taxes and sales tax are carrying the freight for our city. I can’t think of a more appropriate investment for our city budget than into something with a guaranteed return of sales tax and property tax.

I don’t like government interference into people’s private lives and businesses. But I do think there is an unfortunate collection of owners involved here who are mostly absentee and who have never had a track record of being interested in economic development or the better welfare of our city. There are not enough laws on the books to force them to paint the rust, straighten tilted light poles, install glass instead of boards into windows, or remove the six inches of weeds once they have finally been sprayed. I believe the City is acting appropriately by taken the action it
has, and I support any decision by the Council to invest in this crucial intersection of our community.

Robin Eschliman  
NAI FMA Realty  
402/441-5811

Robin Eschliman, CCIM  
NAI FMA Realty  
238 S. 13th  
Lincoln, NE 68508  
402/441-5811  
Fax 402/441-5805  
www.NAIFMAREalty.com
--
Jon Camp
Lincoln City Council
City Council Office: 441-8793
Constituent representative: Darrell Podany

----- Message from "Fred Zarate" <fzarate@alttel.net> on Tue, 17 Aug 2004 10:04:09 -0500 -----

To: <jcamp@ci.lincoln.ne.us>

Subject: 48th & O Street area

: 

Dear Councilman Camp:

I'm writing to urge you to vote for declaring the 48th & O Street corner a blighted area. The property owners have had ample time to develop the area, but they've chosen not to. The residents of this area, and the residents of Lincoln take pride in the upkeep of our properties, and the way the owners of this property have neglected its upkeep and development is simply an insult to the city and its residents. This corner is an eyesore and does not speak well of Lincoln's progressive attitude. The area must be revitalized immediately; and the best way to do it is to have the city take matters into its own hand. A vote in favor of this action will send the message to these property owners that Lincoln will not sit idle and let them destroy our image of a vibrant city, economically and socially.

Sincerely,

Fred & Lori Zarate
5110 L Street
Lincoln NE 68510-1932
August 16, 2004

RE: Lincoln Municipal Code Title 17 regarding water services

Dear Commercial, Industrial or Institutional Water Customer:

This correspondence regards important information about your water service. In order to better serve our water customers, please take a few minutes to complete the enclosed customer contact information card. This will provide the Lincoln Water System necessary information for future mailings and notifications to your business.

Specifically this information informs customers of changes made to the Lincoln Municipal Code Title 17 related to backflow prevention devices and testing, water meters and premise surveys.

BACKFLOW PREVENTION DEVICES AND TESTING

Your business likely has backflow prevention devices, which are required by state regulations, to protect against contamination caused from cross connections within your plumbing system. These devices protect your internal water system as well as the City’s public water supply if water pressure is reduced or lost. The State of Nebraska also requires backflow devices to be tested annually.

Most commercial water customers recognize their obligation in protecting cross connections and the importance of proper backflow prevention. The number of these devices have increased to the point that the Lincoln Water System is no longer able to test and maintain these devices for customers. Owners of backflow devices must now retain qualified private contractors to perform annual testing and maintenance services. Businesses should contact their local plumbing contractor to check if they are qualified to perform this service. Owners of backflow devices should expect to pay a fee for these services.

If you own a backflow device, a notice from the Lincoln Water System will be sent to you, annually, informing you to have your device tested by a State of Nebraska Grade 6 Water Operator registered with Lincoln Water System. A list of registered contractors will be provided at that time to assist you in procuring these services.

WATER METERS

The Lincoln Municipal Code (LMC), Title 17 now requires the City of Lincoln to own and maintain all water meters including those that are three inches and larger. The City’s ownership of these meters becomes a cost savings to your business since the city, not the business, is responsible for meter replacement and maintenance. If your water meter needs to be replaced, you are entitled to retain the existing water meter; however, these old water meters have little or no value. When replacing meters, our service technician will ask if you wish to keep your old meter or have LWS recycle it. The frequency of meter maintenance work will depend on the size of the meter and replacement is based on condition and industry standards for meter accuracy.
PREMISE SURVEYS

State regulations require a premise survey be conducted every five years for customers served by a public water system. The purpose of these surveys is to inspect for potential cross connections and for the proper installation/use of backflow prevention devices. If your business is interested in having the Lincoln Water System conduct a survey of your facility, please indicate such on the enclosed customer information card or contact our office at 441-5940. If deficiencies are found to exist, you are responsible for correcting them. However, you will have taken an important step in safeguarding water quality within your facility as well as Lincoln’s public water supply.

Please take a few minutes to complete the customer information card and return it to us. This will provide LWS with valuable contact information to better serve you in the future.

If you have any questions or would like additional information, please log on to our website at http://interline/city/pworks/water/index.htm or call 441-5912.

Lincoln Water System
Public Works & Utilities Department

cc: Mayor Coleen Seng
Lincoln City Council Members
Allan Abbott
Mike Merwick
Jerry Obrist
Steve Owen
Lonnie Rech
Connie Taylor
Robin Williams (NE Dept. of Health and Human Services)
Dear Mr. Kuta: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members who have received your previous e-mail. Thank you for the clarification.
Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us
Stankutaman@aol.com

Stankutaman@aol.com
08/19/2004 10:29 PM
To: JRay@ci.lincoln.ne.us
cc: InterLinc: Council Feedback
Subject: Re: InterLinc: Council Feedback

After rereading my own e-mail I realize I wanted to say this townhouse project DOES NOT comply to paragraph F-69 that new development be compatible with the character of existing neighborhoods ans adjacent uses, also I can't see how the storage areas are going to enhance the neighborhood either. thank you.

sincerely, Stan Kuta
Dear Ms. Lockard: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us
Kmlockard@aol.com

---

To each City Council member from Marilyn Lockard, 5031 M Street:

I support the City Council’s designation of 48 and O property as blighted. This is a time to develop a significant area of Lincoln's commercial land into an attractive, appealing location. Building architecture, landscape design, and environmental quality of this property can make it a place of beauty.

Though not in the blighted area, Target, on north 48th, has enhanced its facade and its grounds with a handsome finish on its building, along with perennials, trees, and shrubs planted in the earth not paved in the parking lot. This beautification has not gone unnoticed.

Lincoln is our home, a place to be developed with care and respect.

Thank you for reading my statement.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Lockard
To the members of the Lincoln City Council:

My name is John Kubicek; I have been employed in the Technical Processes Division at Bennett Martin Library for over 20 years. I am writing to you because I am tired of seeing my tax dollars being wasted. If it is not too late, I would like to make two suggestions to trim the City budget.

First, there is an open cataloging position in the Library. Eliminating that position would save at least $20,000 per year, plus benefits. The impact to the Library would be minimal. It is a part time position (0.75 FTE) and it is redundant; two other full time catalogers remain in the budget. Even with the increased workload, they would still need to catalog an average of only 5 items/hour/cataloger. Given that about 75% of the new materials are works of fiction – which is the easiest format to catalog and the easiest process to automate – that would not be a great burden.

It is important to remember that items are cataloged by downloading a fully cataloged bibliographic record from a database, such as the Library of Congress, and then modifying it. Most of the modifications can be automated.

Second, delay the release of the Library’s portion of Keno money until March, and then release it in six equal, monthly portions over the remainder of the fiscal year. How would that save money?

Several years ago, the City had a budget shortfall and the Council withheld the Keno money until almost the end of the fiscal year. Since then, the Library has adopted the strategy of “spend the money as soon as possible.” Thousands of boxes of new materials cannot be stored, and processing them artificially inflates the workflow.

To meet the demand:

- Two part time employees are given extra hours: 20 hours/week for barcoding, 10 hours/week for bindery processing – a total of 30 hours per week.
- Two temporary part time employees are added to the Bindery – an additional 25 hours per week.
- The cataloging position mentioned above is also used to handle the increased workflow.

Consequently, thousands of dollars are lost because 55 extra hours/week (1.375 FTE) are added to the budget for much of the year. 20 hours/week for barcoding adds about $7,000 to the budget, the other 35 hours/week add over $5,000.

The need for additional employees can be eliminated, or greatly reduced, by spending the Keno money over the entire year, instead of spending it all at once.
I believe that at least $30,000/year could be saved by closing the cataloging position and reducing the need for extra Bindery personnel. Because all of the positions I have mentioned are currently vacant, no one would lose their job.

I also believe that $30,000 would be sufficient to hire a part time independent auditor, who could uncover the kind of budgetary shell game described above.

Finally, I would appreciate it if you would allow me to give you a demonstration of how cataloging can be automated. I can demonstrate it on my laptop computer and it would take about 30 minutes.

Sincerely,

John Kubicek
5330 R St., Apt. 12
Lincoln, Nebraska, 68504

Home: 467-3294
Work: 441-8578
Dear Mr. & Ms. Munderloh: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

munderlohh@aol.com

Council Members,

We are writing you with concern about the new TownHouses to be built here.

A. We are not opposed to building there but the Density of these units concerns us, could they at reduce the number they are considering.

B. Both the Public and Parochial Schools are at maximum right now. We are already busing some children up to North Star. Where do we go when they can no longer take anymore.

C. Safety for the kids going to school or swimming with the added traffic plus the traffic from Kawasaki road has to be a major concern.

D. Water pressure is barely acceptable now.

Please take some of these reasons into consideration. We are not as concerned about the fence around the golf course as we are about the above reasons.

Concerned citizens from the NW 12th Highlands Association
Myron & Helen Munderloh
5730 NW 12th St.
Dear Mr. Kitten: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.
Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

"Brian Kitten" <briankitten@hotmail.com>

From: "Justin Brady" <jjbrady@alltel.net>
To: <briankitten@hotmail.com>
Date: Thu, 19 Aug 2004 16:34:17 -0500
I vote FOR adopting Ordinance No. 18396, which prohibits smoking in indoor public places and places of employment.
I vote AGAINST adopting Ordinance No. 18396, which prohibits smoking in indoor public places and places of employment and would leave the Lincoln Smoke Free Air Act in Place

Check out Election 2004 for up-to-date election news, plus voter tools and more!
Dear Mr. & Mrs. Long: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

"Jan Long" <jlong@acton.com>

Attention ALL Council Members:

I am writing to let you know I am in agreement with the other families in the Highlands area with great opposition to the proposed townhouses to be built. I realize Lincoln is growing and that new homes are necessary, but I would ask the homes to be built were less dense and more in complicity with the current Highlands neighborhood.

Currently, when we water our lawn, we cannot water in the back and the front at the same time. We had our water pressure checked three years ago and it is not close to optimal. We have learned to live with it, but wonder what will happen if this new development is added.

I attended the last Council Meeting but cannot take off work again to attend the one on August 23rd. I know you have heard all of our arguments ..., but we do love our area, and would like to keep it as is it is. If that is not possible, please help make it a place we can still enjoy when we come home at night.

I appreciate you listening to my appeal.

Thank you.

Jan and Dennis Long
5769 N.W. 12th St.
(402) 435-0858
August 3, 2004

Cheyenne DeSautell  
c/o Lincoln Northeast High School  
2635 N. 63rd Street  
Lincoln, NE 68507

Lincoln City Council Members  
555 S. 10th Street Room 111  
Lincoln, NE 68508

Dear Council Members:

I teach civics to high school students. During the summer school sessions students were asked to come up with an issue that is important to them and to write a government official about that issue. This activity was to teach students how to be active in the democracy in which they live. Enclosed are letters students have written to you regarding varying issues. I hope that you and your staff will take the time to read the letters from my students and respond to some. Thank you for your time. It is a joy to have students- the future of our great nation- participating in our government.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Cheyenne DeSautell

enclosures
Dear City Council Members

My name is Michael Wetzel. I am a 15 year old student a Northstar High School. I support the decision to ban smoking in all restaurants and bars in Lincoln, Nebraska. My family and I frequently go to many of the city’s restaurants and always ask for a nonsmoking table. Even when we are in these areas, we are still exposed to the chemical from the individuals who are smoking. the servers in these restaurants do not have a choice to serve patrons smoking and nonsmoking. I currently work part time in a family establishment that allows the children, the public and the employees to be exposed to second hand smoke. Medical research has proven that second hand smoke causes health problems as much as an individual who smokes first hand.

In the near future I would like to see a law passed to ban smoking in all restaurants and bars in Lincoln Nebraska.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Michael Wetzel
Jennifer Johnson  
3011 Starr St  
Lincoln, NE 68503  

July 20, 2004  

Patte Newman  
935 Robert Rd  
Lincoln, NE 68510  

Dear Council Member Newman,  
I am writing in regards to the new smoking ban that will be taking affect. It is my belief that if smoking is banned in public places, we will just have more people chewing tobacco instead of smoking it and we will have never really accomplished anything. When people chew, there is a great chance of gum disease, so instead of having people with lung cancer, we will have a bunch of people with rotting gums. I am confused as to what Lincoln believes will be accomplished by the smoking ban. I myself am a smoker and like most people I don’t like a bunch of smoke lingering around my head when I am eating but it is my belief that you will be taking part of the freedom that we think is ours. I am aware that is doesn’t say anywhere in the amendments that we have the freedom to smoke but isn’t the smoking ban sort of like taking away our freedom of choice. I understand that non-smokers do not want to be blessed with the deadly affects that smoking may give you but that is no reason for smokers not to be able to smoke on the street. I am 17 years old and I smoke. The habit is a hard one to break but a smoking ban will not change my ways or my beliefs when it comes to smoking. The smoking ban, in some way shape or form, affects everyone. The purpose of my letter is to ask why the Council is going to ban it in all public areas and not just restaurants. I know a lot of people who are going to stop going to bars and dance clubs because they cannot smoke. I feel that the smoking ban is also taking away from businesses. I propose that smoking only be ban in restaurants and not in all public places. Thank you for your time and I hope I get a response to my questions.  

Sincerely,  

Jennifer Johnson
Dear Mr. Thompson:

Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

---

Dear Councilpersons,

Blight at 48th and O? Not in the traditional sense of the word. My investment experience strongly indicates a serious problem. As you may already know I own an office building that we renovated at 620 N. 48 (Best Buy Shopping Center), Kinko’s at 48th and Vine, Big Red Self Storage at 46th and R and most importantly the South side of O Street from 50th to 52nd Street (Play it Again Sports to Pier 1). We also operate the Texaco Xpress Lube in this shopping area as well as Big Red Self Storage - so we are intimately familiar with this market from several direction.

Last year we had a vacancy in the Pier 1 building that took over a year to fill. One of the major problems was the severe revenue losses that businesses in the area incurred with the O Street widening project. The number one question to battle with credit worthy innovative retailers was “what’s happening across the street?” 48 and 0 was the epicenter for retail concepts looking to come to Lincoln. You need to understand that Lincoln is 3rd level market for all retailers – meaning that we are a “fill-in” market for all national retail concepts. If they have concerns about Lincoln they do NOT come here because they need to be assured of a return on their investment – which includes their perception of whether or not the area is progressing. This is a major reason Westfield is reasonably concerned about their ability to attract great new retail concepts to Lincoln because 48 and O gives a very negative perception of the quality of the area – which is what potential regional shoppers seeing when weighing going to Westfield or SouthPointe.

I am troubled by Public interference with private investment process. However the irresponsibility of the current ownership structure is equally troublesome and the negative impact on this vital area of Lincoln is very significant. Retail growth has moved South and it is easy to understand why. First of all, over 80% of Lincoln’s disposable income is South of A street, second street infrastructure makes going thru this area ridiculous, and third most of the buildings in the area are starting to look “tired” and finally the better quality stores moving South has taken this area from prime to slipping down to a lower investment grade property (with landowners who still have inflated expectations of their property).

We need retail concepts that appeal to the buying segment of this part of Lincoln with the possibility of
broader market appeal. The way retail has changed over the last 6 years makes the way these properties are held unfortunately impossible to compete now and will drag this part of town down. The best possible scenario would be for the City to facilitate an arbitrator to work with all the various ownership interests on the North side of O Street and East side of 48th between O and R Streets. Good luck putting together all the land for redevelopment. What I think you will find is that some of the landowners would prefer to be condemned by the City due to the favorable Federal tax treatment. The biggest problem in getting all these landowners to work together is the false expectations of ever increasing returns on their initial investments. They also lack of control because of their minority interests. And, with their ability to litigate at every turn nothing positive will happen for this area for at least another generation.

With the land assembled a great retail developer would be able to attract at least one anchor tenant (i.e. Wal-mart, Costco, Lowe's Home Store) which would drive traffic for smaller shops. I am very disappointed to hear the rumors that the current anchor tenant is a Super Target which would create competition for Super-Saver (a great Lincoln company) and leave a huge hole (empty big box). Redevelopment driven by a developer – not public officials – would assure Lincoln of a very well conceived project that would have a useful life of at least 25 years, attracting great retail venues for years while stimulating other retail development in the area – similar to what is happening at 27th and Pine Lake Road. Get TIFF to the developer.

The need to improve streets and sewers in this area are well over due. TIFF would allow the City to shoulder a responsibility it has failed to address for the last 10 years. Creating a redevelopment district in the area would also force several other owners to upgrade their properties, such as the former Branker sites, Risk Gayed Building, Egan Building or Centro Plaza. The use this area for a central bus station would be a complete waste of valuable land area to make a development like this work due to the fact that buses don't have rider ship to support.

I would encourage you to act proactively, with the market forces, the keep this vital area from becoming the next North 27th area and hopefully keep the Bryan East neighborhoods from continuing to slip or slide down.

Thank for taking time to ponder the right move for Lincoln.

Kent Thompson
Dear Mr. Lockard: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

Kmlockard@aol.com

---

To: Council Members
From: Keith Lockard, 5031 M Street

As a resident in the area southeast of 48th and O, I support the resolution to declare the area blighted. The former Misile automotive buildings are outdated and rundown. The parking lots are an eyesore.

Planned redevelopment, rather than piecemeal, could provide a positive, productive, and attractive area.

I support the resolution to carry out urban redevelopment in the 48th and O area.

Sincerely,

Keith Lockard
Dear Ms. Johnson: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray  
City Council Office  
555 South 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE - 68508  
Phone: 402-441-6866  
Fax: 402-441-6533  
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

"thejohnsonfamily" <shjohns@inebraska.com>

August 21, 2004

To: Lincoln City Council  
From: Marlee Johnson  
240 South 50th Street  
Lincoln, NE 68510

Subject: BLIGHT DESIGNATION

I support the Council’s approval of the blight designation of the 49th & O Street area included in the recent study. The approval would be an opportunity for the city to create an area that would enhance the "main street" of the city of Lincoln located at one of the most highly visible areas.

An example of the type of development that has occurred in the area is the former Blockbuster Music Store. A private enterprise purchased the motel that had been there forever. It built an ill conceived building that did not even face O Street and after being open only 2-3 years, it closed and has remained unoccupied for years. The exercise added another vacant building to that stretch of Main Street.

This neighborhood experienced, for many years, the inconsiderate behavior shown by the car dealership owned by the Misle family operating in that location. The problems increased twofold when both family operations were co-located at 50th and O after vacating the 70th and O location. The council is probably aware of past difficulties including, but not limited to: automobile transports unloading in front of our homes; employees parking on both sides of the street throughout the neighborhood even though space was available on lots owned by the employer; loud speakers that could be heard several blocks away; testing cars down the streets; and certainly the increased traffic. While it has been a blessing that the car dealership is gone, the present state of the buildings and property is not a good situation for the city or for
the neighborhood.

If this designation provides the city with some control of the development of the area, it would be preferable to having the area developed piecemeal. We hope that if this project is under city direction, it would provide those living in the surrounding neighborhoods a voice in the planning process.

Thank you for your consideration.
August 21, 2004

To: Lincoln City Council

From: Marilee Johnson
240 South 50th Street
Lincoln, NE 68510

Subject: BLIGHT DESIGNATION

I support the Council's approval of the blight designation of the 48th & O Street area included in the recent study. The approval would be an opportunity for the city to create an area that would enhance the "main street" of the city of Lincoln located at one of the most highly visible areas.

An example of the type of development that has occurred in the area is the former Blockbuster Music Store. A private enterprise purchased the motel that had been there forever. It built an ill conceived building that did not even face O Street and after being open only 2-3 years, it closed and has remained unoccupied for years. The exercise added another vacant building to that stretch of Main Street.

This neighborhood experienced, for many years, the inconsiderate behavior shown by the car dealership owned by the Misle family operating in that location. The problems increased twofold when both family operations were co-located at 50th and O after vacating the 70th and O location. The council is probably aware of past difficulties including, but not limited to: automobile transports unloading in front of our homes; employees parking on both sides of the
street throughout the neighborhood even though space was available on lots owned by the employer; loud speakers that could be heard several blocks away; testing cars down the streets; and certainly the increased traffic. While it has been a blessing that the car dealership is gone, the present state of the buildings and property is not a good situation for the city or for the neighborhood.

If this designation provides the city with some control of the development of the area, it would be preferable to having the area developed piecemeal. We hope that if this project is under city direction, it would provide those living in the surrounding neighborhoods a voice in the planning process.

Thank you for your consideration.

Do you Yahoo!? Yahoo! Mail - 50x more storage than other providers! http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail
My name is Craig Price. I live at 5750 NW 12th and my wife, Andrea and I represent the Highlands Neighborhood Association.

We understand the community seeks to increase houses per acre. Whether it's mentioned or not, the community should also seek to increase "quality" living in the neighborhoods. Quality produces good families thus good children, which leads to a better neighborhood for all.

In my opinion, the townhomes that have been planned (and voted down by the planning commission as I understand) will reach one goal of the city to increase houses per acre. However, I don't feel this is the "quality" of living that would properly represent the Highlands Association and surrounding areas.

At the last meeting, I heard the representative of the builder discuss the "affordability" of the townhomes. In my ears that means "investment property" which leads to rentals and downhill from there. There are plenty of houses for sale here in the Highlands that need a homeowner's touch, and that can be bought for around the same price as what these townhomes are expected to be sold for.

My fear with the building of the townhomes is the quality of life here in the Highlands will decrease. And I mean by traffic congestion, the eyesore and problems of multi-housing, a large increase in population of the schools, etc. Why not increase or at least maintain the quality of life here by restricting this area along NW 12th/13th and Fletcher to single family homes that will bring in and further represent what the Highlands area has been for so many years. Please, let's keep the Highlands what it is, and what it has been, and not allow this townhome project to be approved.

Thank You for your time and consideration.
Sincerely
Craig and Andrea Price
5750 NW 12th
August 23, 2004

Lincoln City Council
555 S 10th Street
Lincoln NE 68508

RE: 48th & O Streets Redevelopment Plan

Dear Council Members:

I am presenting to you a statement regarding the proposal to declare the area surrounding the 48th & O Street intersection a blighted area.

There are 27 signatures on this statement, expressing support for the blighted designation for this area. The greatest majority of these signatures were collected at the 1st annual "Celebrate Neighborhoods" held on Sunday, August 22, 2004 at Antelope Park.

Several of the persons signing this statement, including myself, are residents of the Witherbee Neighborhood, which is the neighborhood where part of this area is located, and the residential neighborhood which is most affected by the use of the properties included in the blight designation study.

Please consider the recommendations to approve this designation submitted to you by Mayor Coleen Seng, the Planning Commission and the Urban Development Department, and the opinions of these residents as you vote on this very important neighborhood issue.

Respectfully submitted,

Fred Zarate
5110 L Street
Lincoln NE 68510-1932
402-486-3448
fzarate@alltel.net
We, the undersigned, being registered voters and residents of Lincoln, NE, would like to ask the Lincoln, NE City Council to support the proposed designation of “blighted” to the area between 48th, 52nd, M and R Streets, the city blocks adjacent to the corner of 48th & O Streets in Lincoln as presented to the Council by the Department of Urban Development on Monday, August 16, 2004.

Submitted to the Lincoln City Council on this date, August 23, 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>TELEPHONE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dan Marvin</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>2523 Wood Blvd</td>
<td>421-1213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karin Hotscharan</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>5000 W. Leigh</td>
<td>432-2172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Bartlett</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>338 N 28th St</td>
<td>474-2667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shirley Simsek</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>340 N. 28th</td>
<td>474-2667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Stones</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td></td>
<td>243-5244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracy Lines</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>1001 S. 37th</td>
<td>316-7069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary J. Volkmann</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>1315 S. 37th</td>
<td>489-7915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheppy Freytag</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>2100 Gladstone</td>
<td>325-9997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danielle Natoles</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>730 S. 11th #A1</td>
<td>432-3394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenneth E. Gabor</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>1202 E. A2 6850</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ank Bucovce</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>8111 Marx St</td>
<td>488-0085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Jeter</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>6717 Denman Rd</td>
<td>423-1910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keith Lehnard</td>
<td>[Signature]</td>
<td>5031 M St</td>
<td>494-4744</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We, the undersigned, being registered voters and residents of Lincoln, NE, would like to ask the Lincoln, NE City Council to support the proposed designation of "blighted" to the area between 48th, 52nd, M and R Streets, the city blocks adjacent to the corner of 48th & O Streets in Lincoln as presented to the Council by the Department of Urban Development on Monday, August 16, 2004.

Submitted to the Lincoln City Council on this date, August 23, 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>TELEPHONE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FRED ZARATE</td>
<td>Fred Javile</td>
<td>5110 &quot;L&quot; ST. (63510)</td>
<td>486-3448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lori Zarate</td>
<td>Lori Zarate</td>
<td>5110 L St (10)</td>
<td>486-3448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Fitzgerald</td>
<td>Mike Fitzgerald</td>
<td>3794 # 31</td>
<td>476-4973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Brown</td>
<td>Carol Brown</td>
<td>2201 Elm Circle</td>
<td>435-8932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janet E. Daniels</td>
<td>Janet E. Daniels</td>
<td>210 Bruce Dr (10)</td>
<td>482-7333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Dallas</td>
<td>Robert Dallas</td>
<td>4320 Summer St.</td>
<td>467-2820 (cell)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Randy G. Stramel</td>
<td>Randy G. Stramel</td>
<td>4200 S. 37th</td>
<td>483-576-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terry Uland</td>
<td>Jerry Uland</td>
<td>430 W. 26th</td>
<td>438-2777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beverly Malone</td>
<td>Beverly Malone</td>
<td>1402 W. 26th</td>
<td>438-2777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Douglas Deal</td>
<td>Douglas Deal</td>
<td>2029 Franklin</td>
<td>476-7282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Richards</td>
<td>Ann Richards</td>
<td>3815 N. St.</td>
<td>486-0880</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fred Fref</td>
<td>Fred Fref</td>
<td>300 S. 30th/1</td>
<td>435-3405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Carlson</td>
<td>Jon Carlson</td>
<td>1445 N. 30th</td>
<td>474-7368</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We, the undersigned, being registered voters and residents of Lincoln, NE, would like to ask the Lincoln, NE City Council to support the proposed designation of “blighted” to the area between 48th, 52nd, M and R Streets, the city blocks adjacent to the corner of 48th & O Streets in Lincoln as presented to the Council by the Department of Urban Development on Monday, August 16, 2004.

Submitted to the Lincoln City Council on this date, August 23, 2004

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>TELEPHONE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MARILYN A. LOCKARD</td>
<td>Marilyn A. Lockard 5031 M</td>
<td>489-4944</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DIRECTORS’ MEETING
MINUTES
MONDAY, AUGUST 23, 2004
CONFERENCE ROOM 113

Council Members Present: Terry Werner, Chair; Ken Svoboda, Vice-Chair; Jon Camp, Jonathan Cook, Patte Newman, Glenn Friendt, Annette McRoy.

Others Present: Mayor Coleen Seng, Mark Bowen, Ann Harrell, Darl Naumann, Corrie Kielty, Lin Quenzer, Mayor’s Office; City Clerk, Joan Ross; Dana Roper, City Attorney; Directors and Department Heads; Darrell Podany, Aide to Council Members Camp, Friendt, & Svoboda; Tammy Grammer, City Council Staff and Nate Jenkins, Lincoln Journal Star Representative.

I. MAYOR

Mayor Coleen Seng stated to Council that she thinks they probably all know about two of the things that they might want to talk about. One was the rescue over at Star City Shores and she thinks they were all called or talked to about it and then there was a fire in the Hartley Neighborhood and all these things were happening Saturday evening.

Mayor Seng commented to Council that she really doesn’t have anything else to tell them about other than she wishes them well on the blight study and they’ve got a few other ones like the Highlands issue and all that. Mr. Werner ‘thanked’ Mayor Seng.

1. Letter from Mayor Coleen Seng to Dr. Loy Watley; Mr. Mark Wilson, Lincoln Christian School - RE: Your recent letter about the traffic at Lincoln Christian School. — NO COMMENTS

2. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Mayor Presents July Award Of Excellence. — NO COMMENTS

3. Response E-Mail from Mayor Coleen Seng to Karin Fuog - RE: Lux booster bus routes. — NO COMMENTS

4. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Mayor Thanks Senator Nelson For Assistance In Securing Grants For New Police Officers. — NO COMMENTS
5. NEWS ADVISORY - RE: Mayor Seng will have a news conference at 10:00 a.m., Thursday, August 19th to discuss traffic and parking information for the State Fair and the UNL Husker football season and also will announce formation of a Citizen Audit Review Committee. — NO COMMENTS

6. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Mayor Forms Citizen Street and Trail Bond Audit Review Committee. — NO COMMENTS

7. NEWS RELEASE - RE: City Prepared For State Fair And Husker Traffic - Pre-paid parking and Big Red Express are great options for football fans. — NO COMMENTS

II. CITY CLERK

City Clerk Joan Ross stated to Council on their Agenda today under “Public Hearing-Liquor Resolutions” Items 1 through 4 all relate to ‘The Green Gateau’ or ‘The Windsor Stables’. All the same applicant with same address, so she’ll call these four items together. Mr. Werner stated okay. [Application of Gateau Enterprises, LLC dba The Green Gateau Café & Patisserie for a Class J liquor license at 330 S. 10th Street; Manager application of Eric A. Lemke for Gateau Enterprises, LLC dba The Green Gateau Café & Patisserie at 330 S. 10th Street; Application of Gateau Enterprises, LLC dba Windsor Stables Reception Centre for a Class I liquor license at 1024 L Street; and Manager application of Eric A. Lemke for Gateau Enterprises, LLC dba Windsor Stables Reception Centre at 1024 L Street.]

Items 6 & 7, she’ll call together as one. Mr. Werner stated okay. [Application of Remlo Enterprises, LLC dba Hepburn’s Ville Grille & Pub for a Class J liquor license at 2701 N. 48th Street, #4; and Manager application of Kayla J. Olmer for Remlo Enterprises, LLC dba Hepburn’s Ville Grille & Pub at 2701 N. 48th Street, #4.]

Items 8, 9 & 10 is one project and then Items 9 & 10 being Resolutions they’ll have a motion to delay just the Action on these two items. Mr. Werner stated okay. [Change of Zone 04017-Application of Glen Herbert for a change of zone from AGR Agricultural Residential to R-3 Residential on property generally located at S. 84th Street and Pine Lake Road; Special Permit 04011-Application of Glen Herbert to develop Boulder Ridge Community Unit Plan for 189 single family units, 48 single family attached units, 224 multiple family units, and an additional 240 unassigned units on property generally located at S. 84th Street and Pine Lake Road; and]
Appeal of Glen Herbert from the Planning Commission conditional approval of Boulder Ridge Preliminary Plat, condition 1.1.14 requiring corrections to the preliminary plat in accordance with the Public Works and Utilities Department memo, on property located at S. 84th Street and Pine Lake Road.

For Item 11, they’ll have a Motion-To-Amend. [Use Permit 04002-Application of Cameron Corporation to develop 112 dwelling units and two outlots in the O-3 Office Park District with requested waivers of the Land Subdivision Ordinance and Zoning Code to waive front, side and rear yard setbacks, location of sanitary sewer main, location of water main, lot depth to width ratio, to allow sanitary sewer flowing opposite street grades, and to waive the preliminary plat process, on property generally located at W. Fletcher Avenue and N.W. 12th/13th Streets.]

Under the “Miscellaneous Referrals” just a Clerk’s Letter and Ordinances and Resolutions passed; Report from City Treasurer Telecommunications; and Referrals to Planning, so very routine items. Mr. Werner stated okay.

### III. CORRESPONDENCE

#### A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE

**PATTE NEWMAN**

1. Request to Dana Roper, Law Department/Don Herz, Finance Director - RE: Possible future source of funding called a worker tax (RFI#26 - 8/09/04). — 1.) **SEE RESPONSE FROM DANA ROPER, CITY ATTORNEY RECEIVED ON RFI#26 - 8/17/04.** — Ms. Newman stated this item can be removed from the Agenda. Tammy Grammer stated to Ms. Newman okay.

**TERRY WERNER**

1. OUTSTANDING Request to PW/Planning - RE: Inquiry from Jay Petersen on Kajan Drive - Public or Private Roadway, plus Surface Rehabilitation Process (RFI #130-6-15-04). — 1.) **SEE RESPONSE FROM THOMAS SHAFER, PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT RECEIVED ON RFI#130-8/03/04.** — Mr. Werner stated this item can be removed from the Agenda.
2. OUTSTANDING Request to **Vince Mejer, Purchasing Agent** - RE: Notice to Bidders #04-110 – Television Equipment (RFI#132 - 6/16/04). — NO COMMENTS


4. Request to Fire Chief Mike Spadt - RE: Recent Emergency Call at Williamsburg Lake (RFI#136 - 8/11/04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM FIRE CHIEF MIKE SPADT RECEIVED ON RFI#136 - 8/12/04. — Mr. Werner stated this item can be removed from the Agenda.

**GLENN FRIENDT**

1. Request to Don Herz, Finance/Dana Roper, Law - RE: Constituent inquiry regarding the bond issue (RFI#37 - 8/12/04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM DON HERZ, FINANCE DIRECTOR RECEIVED ON RFI#37 - 8/19/04. — Mr. Friendt stated he needs to follow up with Don Herz on this RFI.

2. Request to Public Works/Law/Urban Development - RE: Alley improvements (RFI#38 - 8/16/04). — NO COMMENTS


**ANNETTE McROY**

1. Request to Dennis Bartels, Public Works & Utilities Department - RE: Request-Gap Paving (RFI#153 - 8/12/04). — NO COMMENTS

**JON CAMP**

1. E-Mail from Sherry West with response from Jon Camp - RE: Proposed Budget. — NO COMMENTS

2. E-Mail from Victor Stava with response from Jon Camp - RE: Paramedic/Firefighter Shortage. — NO COMMENTS

3. E-Mail from Bob Goemann with response from Jon Camp - RE: Fire Chief Spadt Response. — NO COMMENTS
4. E-Mail from Jon Camp to Daryl - RE: Firefighter staffing. — NO COMMENTS

JONATHAN COOK

1. Request to Harry Kroos, Public Works & Utilities Department - RE: Sidewalks (RFI#117 - 8/17/04). — NO COMMENTS

B. DIRECTORS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS

FINANCE


FINANCE/BUDGET

1. Inter-Department Communication from Steve Hubka - RE: Budget Resolution - (See Material). — NO COMMENTS

HUMAN SERVICES

1. Memo from Kit Boesch - RE: August 17, 2004-Update - Keno Human Services Fund Requests. — NO COMMENTS

LIBRARY


PLANNING

PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION .....

1. Special Permit No. 04037 (Health Care Facility - 47th & J Streets) Resolution No. PC-00886. — NO COMMENTS
PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT

1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Section Of North 16th Street Will Be Two-Way. — NO COMMENTS

2. Public Works & Utilities ADVISORY - RE: Arterial Rehabilitation Project 701753-Cornhusker Hwy., from 13th to 20th. — NO COMMENTS

3. Public Works & Utilities ADVISORY - RE: Arterial Rehabilitation Project 701753-Cornhusker Hwy; 35th-44th and 48th; Cornhusker Hwy-Superior. — NO COMMENTS


5. Response Letter from Karl Fredrickson to Joe Hampton, Hampton Enterprises - RE: Letter dated 8/13/04 from Mark Hunzeker to Allan Abbott and various neighborhood inquiries - Williamsburg North Pond Sediment Removal - Project No. 700034. — NO COMMENTS

6. Response E-Mail from Nick W. McElvain - RE: Council Response for Use Permit No. 04002. — NO COMMENTS

7. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Safe Walk And Safe Ride To School Set For Thursday & School Area Signage. — NO COMMENTS

8. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Construction To Begin On Salt Valley Sewer Project. — NO COMMENTS

C. MISCELLANEOUS

1. E-Mail from Tim Tonner - RE: Townhouse Project-NW 12th & Fletcher Ave. -(Council & City Clerk received this E-Mail at the Directors’ Meeting on 8/16/04). — NO COMMENTS

2. E-Mail from Barbara Hart - RE: 48th & “O” Street - (Council & City Clerk received this E-Mail on 8/16/04, before Council Meeting). — NO COMMENTS
3. Letter from Patti Hoage - RE: The area around 48th & “O” Streets - would like you to consider her ideas to improve this unsightly property. — NO COMMENTS


5. Letter from Dale Weber - RE: Concerning the issue of the smoking ban. — NO COMMENTS

6. E-Mail from Jennifer Hurley - RE: Municipal Code ref Leaves of Absence without pay. — [Mr. Camp mentioned this E-Mail under his comments. - See that discussion under “V. City Council Members-Jon Camp”.]

7. E-Mail from Gail Steen - RE: Parking enforcement. — [Ms. Newman mentioned this E-Mail under her comments. - See that discussion under “V. City Council Members-Patte Newman”.

8. E-Mail from Diane Schneider - RE: Townhomes-say ‘no’ to the high density townhomes. — NO COMMENTS

9. E-Mail from Alan & Barb Schmidt - RE: Highlands Neighborhood - Opposed to the proposed development of townhouses in our neighborhood. — NO COMMENTS

10. E-Mail from Stan Kuta - RE: Use Permit No. 04002 townhouses. — NO COMMENTS

11. E-Mail from Larry & Angie Miller - RE: Opposed to the proposed townhouse development for the Highlands area. — NO COMMENTS

12. E-Mail from Terry Nelson - RE: Use Permit No. 04002. — NO COMMENTS

13. E-Mail from Robert Bruegman - RE: Use Permit No. 04002. — NO COMMENTS

14. Summer 2004 June Newsletter from E&A Consulting Group, Inc., Amber Topping - RE: The 56th Street and Pine Lake Road area roadway improvement projects - (Copy of this Newsletter is on file in the City Council Office.). — NO COMMENTS
IV. DIRECTORS

PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT - Nicole Fleck-Tooze stated to Council that they’ve got Boulder Ridge on their Agenda today and they’ve got the appeal for the condition or the full median of not having full access at S. 86th Street. Ms. Tooze commented just a reminder they’ve got design standards that talk about quarter mile intersection for a full access and this isn’t any where near the quarter mile, it’s about 600 feet. There is full access provided further to the east a couple of blocks at 88th Street, there’s been a lot of traffic in this area and then with the Shopping Center. So, they’ll be ready to answer questions if they’ve got them today, but their position is still that they do not have full access at that location.

V. CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

ANNETTE McROY - NO COMMENTS

JON CAMP

Mr. Camp stated to Assistant Chief Jim Peschong there was an inquiry that Jennifer Hurley had brought up about her husband being a Major in the Army Reserves and he’s been called up for Iraq and she had put in for a leave of absence without pay for a year. It was denied and she’s asking for a little clarification and asked if he is able to comment on it. Mr. Peschong stated that Chief Casady, Chief Becker and I discussed the request and it’s his understanding that Chief Casady had responded to her indicating that he would allow her to have what the City Ordinance allows and that would not meet all the time that she was requesting, but that he would be agreeable to give her the time that he could according to the City Ordinance. Mr. Camp asked what does that boil down to. Mr. Peschong indicated that Chief Casady has the ability to give her up to 30 days according to the City Ordinance. Mr. Camp commented that’s been communicated to her. Mr. Peschong stated that from his understanding it had. Mr. Camp stated okay. Ms. Newman commented she has a follow up because she noticed that to and asked Don [Taute] if this is a problem, do they have other departments who are saying the same thing. It says that a leave of absence can be taken for study or travel and it just seems a little...’well’ she doesn’t know if unfair is the word. But, if someone is in an exceptional situation that someone’s spouse is going off to war is that something that’s acceptable to change the City Ordinance or not, how does he feel as the Personnel Director. Don Taute stated right now it says that you can have 30 days Director/ Department Director approval and then up to an additional 60 days with his approval so a 90 day total. The only
exception in the code that same section also says that you have to exhaust all pay
leave prior to any unpaid leave of absence with the exception of jury-duty. But, they
did amend it to provide for military leave for an employee, but this would not relate
to it because in this unique circumstance they’ve got two Police Officers that are
employed by the City and the husband being called up for duty. He thinks it would
be rather unusual circumstance because the City is obligated to hold the spot open for
the employee who’s called to the military service and if it would be in the best interest
of the City, if you read that whole language, it says that if it’s not just for the interest
of the employee, but would be in the best interest of the City to allow that one year
leave of absence for travel or study then you’re looking at holding another spot open.
In particularly with the Police Department when you’re down and they hear about not
having enough coverage and couple of things of that nature. He’d think it would be
rather an unusual circumstance because then you’re holding police spots open rather
than just one and particularly were talking of public safety contacts and Fire as well.
Mr. Taute commented this is the first time that a request of this has ever come forward
and it did not meet the requirements of the code and he has communicated with Chief
Casady and to put an exception as such as this in it, he thinks would also be unduly
burdensome. Mr. Taute commented his understanding was that the Chief had
communicated to her what authority he had as well as what the code provided for her.
Mr. Taute indicated he received the initial request from Jennifer Hurley as did Chief
Casady asking for one year, but he has not seen any additional request, if she wants
the additional 60 days beyond the 30 days that the Chief can grant. Mr. Peschong
commented that’s his understanding, she initially asked for that and the Chief has
responded back telling her what it is that can be done by City Ordinance and he’s not
aware that she has actually asked for it. Mr. Taute indicated she did call him as well
and asked him about the travel or study element of the code and what kind of study
that would involve, but that was the extent of it. Mayor Seng commented this is an
unusual situation, but she does think that they just need to deal with it, let Personnel
and the Chief as they move through this. Ms. Newman commented as a follow up
they have leave of absence for study or travel are there a lot of them. Mr. Taute
replied very few. Ms. Newman stated okay. Mr. Camp ‘thanked’ everyone, he just
wanted to clarify and he appreciates all they’re doing in that regard.

Mr. Camp asked Marvin Krout if he got a copy of the letter from Lois Hartzell
about housing costs? She’s concerned that there might be a destination where Lincoln
considered only detached single homes and where as Omaha is detached townhomes
or visa-versa. Mr. Camp noted the Omaha and Lincoln comparison and she had
followed up by phone with him. Mr. Krout stated they’re going to do a follow up
response to her and they’ll send him a copy of it. Mr. Camp said ‘great’, he
appreciates it.
Mr. Camp stated he would like to see Mike Merwick after the Directors’ Meeting today.

KEN SVOBODA - NO COMMENTS

PATTE NEWMAN

Ms. Newman stated they also received an E-Mail from Gail Steen, who’s annoyed that the Police are marking tires with little pink things that don’t come off and she’s calling it vandalizing her tires. Ms. Newman asked if that’s something you can’t get off your tires. Assistant Chief Jim Peschong stated he’s not aware of them marking tires other than with a chalk stick and he doesn’t even think they do that much anymore, it’s usually all with the hand held computers.

JONATHAN COOK

Mr. Cook stated he wants to know what the deal is with these billboards in Omaha and does this effect them that Omaha is allowing billboards along the interstate. He also read another article which said they won’t get the additional Federal funding that they would have gotten, but “Lamar’s” is making up the difference. So, they get no additional money for doing this, but now they have billboards along the way and asked does this effect any policy they have along the interstate near Lincoln. Allan Abbott stated he hasn’t seen it, but he doesn’t think that it would apply to Lincoln, unless, Lincoln decided to give up the dollars and get something else in. The Federal law is pretty clear that you can only have advertisement within ‘X’ number of feet, which is a long way, unless, it’s got certain conditions meet. So, he doesn’t know of anything that’s happening in Omaha that would effect Lincoln. Mr. Cook commented he guess the discussion was should the three mile zoning jurisdiction be what is applied or not in deciding whether or not billboards should be allowed. Mr. Cook stated to Mr. Abbott that he will give him the article, he’d like to know more about what happened and could it effect them. Will “Lamar’s” be coming to them asking them for billboards along the interstate in their zoning jurisdiction and what’s the state role in it. Mr. Abbott commented that “Lamar’s” use to have the advertisement at the intersections, he doesn’t know if they still do with the Department of Roads or not. Mr. Abbott stated to Mr. Cook give him the article and he’ll take a look at it. Mr. Cook stated okay.
Mr. Cook asked if anybody knows just off hand what the regulations are about parking in front yards, can they have a certain percentage of their front yard paved for parking. Mr. Cook noted parking in someone’s front yard in a residential lot, what’s the percentage that can be paved or how ...what are the restrictions on it. Ray Hill commented nothing other than the fact that you can count one of the parking spaces to meet the requirements in a driveway, but there’s nothing that prevents from paving your whole front yard. Mr. Cook stated ‘oh’ okay, thanks.

GLENN FRIENDT - NO COMMENTS

TERRY WERNER

Mr. Werner stated he would like to talk to Don Taute and Marvin Krout after the Directors’ Meeting today.

Mr. Werner mentioned that they have an Addendum, there’s not much different on it a lot of the correspondences are about the blighted study at 48th & “O” Street. No Comments from anybody regarding the Addendum.

Mr. Camp noted on that, Marc [Wullschleger] he had left a message for Wynn [Hjermstad] this morning to make sure that they get a good map of the blighted area. There’s been confusion or something, so he just wants to make sure they can identify the areas. Mayor Seng commented ‘yes’, they need to make sure people understand there’s nothing in residential. Mr. Camp commented to Mr. Wullschleger that he assumes that Wynn [Hjermstad] will be at the meeting. Mr. Wullschleger stated okay and ‘yes’, they will.

ADDENDUM - (For August 23rd)

I. MAYOR - NONE

II. CITY CLERK - NONE

III. CORRESPONDENCE

A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE

JON CAMP

1. 2 - E-Mail’s from Robin Eschliman, NAI FMA Realty; and Fred & Lori Zarate to Jon Camp - RE: 48th & “O” Street area. — NO COMMENTS
B. DIRECTORS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS

PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT

1. Correspondence - RE: Lincoln Municipal Code Title 17 regarding water services. — NO COMMENTS

C. MISCELLANEOUS

1. E-Mail from Stan Kuta - RE: Townhouse project. — NO COMMENTS
2. E-Mail from Marilyn Lockard - RE: 48th & “O” Street. — NO COMMENTS
3. Letter from John Kubicek - RE: City Budget. — NO COMMENTS
4. E-Mail from Myron & Helen Munderloh - RE: Highland Townhouses NW 13th Street. — NO COMMENTS
5. E-Mail from Brian Kitten - RE: Alternate ballot language for the smoking ban ballot issue. — NO COMMENTS
6. E-Mail from Jan & Dennis Long - RE: Use Permit #04002. — NO COMMENTS
7. 3 -Letters from Michael Wetzel; Cheyenne DeSautell; Jennifer Johnson; - RE: The new smoking ban. — NO COMMENTS
9. 3 E-Mail’s - 1 from Keith Lockard; 2 from Marilee Johnson; - RE: In Support-48th & “O” Street - Blight Designation. — NO COMMENTS
10. E-Mail from Craig & Andrea Price - RE: Northwest Highlands Addition. — NO COMMENTS
11. Letter from Fred Zarate with 27 signatures - RE: In Support of the 48th & “O” Streets Redevelopment Plan. — NO COMMENTS

VI. MEETING ADJOURNED - Approximately at 11:18 a.m.