DIRECTORS’ MEETING
MONDAY, AUGUST 16, 2004 - 11:00 A.M.
CONFERENCE ROOM 113

I. MAYOR

*1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Public Invited To Dedication Of Kontras Park (See Release)

2. NEWS RELEASE - RE: City Plans Patriot Day Event for September 10th (See Release)

3. NEWS ADVISORY - RE: Dedication of Kontras Park (10:00 a.m. August 12th at South Cotner Boulevard and Aldrich Road; and Near South Neighborhood Associations’ dedication of new park at 19th & “A” Streets (1:00 p.m. August 15th - at 19th & “A” Streets - (See Advisory)

II. CITY CLERK

*1. Faxed Letter from Bill Whitley, Vincenzo’s ristorante brought into Council Office by City Clerk Joan Ross - RE: Effective immediately, please withdraw the application for use of right of way for Vincenzo’s Restaurant at 808 “P” Street in Lincoln - Item #13, 04R-187. (See Letter)

III. CORRESPONDENCE

A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE

PATTE NEWMAN

1. OUTSTANDING Request to Ernie Castillo, Wynn Hjermstad, Marc Wullschleger, Urban Development Department/ Terry Bundy, LES/ Allan Abbott, Public Works & Utilities Director/Mike DeKalb, Marvin Krout, Planning Department/ Lynn Johnson, Parks & Recreation Director - RE: Signs or banners identifying individual neighborhoods - (For Witherbee and Eastridge area) - (RFI#20 - 3/24/04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM TERRY BUNDY, LES RECEIVED ON RFI#20 - 4/12/04. — 2.) SEE RESPONSE FROM LYNN JOHNSON, PARKS & RECREATION DIRECTOR RECEIVED ON RFI#20 - 8/11/04.
2. Request to Dana Roper, Law Department/Don Herz, Finance Director - RE: Possible future source of funding called a worker tax (RFI#26 - 8/09/04)

TERRY WERNER

1. OUTSTANDING Request to PW/Planning - RE: Inquiry from Jay Petersen on Kajan Drive - Public or Private Roadway, plus Surface Rehabilitation Process (RFI #130-6-15-04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM THOMAS SHAFER, PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT RECEIVED ON RFI#130-8/03/04.

2. OUTSTANDING Request to Vince Mejer, Purchasing Agent - RE: Notice to Bidders #04-110 – Television Equipment (RFI#132 - 6/16/04).


4. Request to Fire Chief Mike Spadt - RE: Recent Emergency Call at Williamsburg Lake (RFI#136 - 8/11/04)

GLENN FRIENDT

1. OUTSTANDING Request to Lynn Johnson, Parks & Rec. Director - RE: South Salt Creek Community Organization concerns (RFI#33-5/25/04).

2. OUTSTANDING Request to Don Herz, Finance Director/Steve Hubka, City Budget Officer - RE: Fire Equipment Lease-Purchase (RFI#35 - 7/19/04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM DON HERZ, FINANCE DIRECTOR RECEIVED ON RFI#35 - 7/22/04.

3. OUTSTANDING Request to Allan Abbott, Public Works - RE: Accident Data on Intersection of W. Van Dorn and South Coddington for 5-year period (RFI #36-07/28/04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM SCOTT OPFER, PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT RECEIVED ON RFI#36-8/06/04.

4. E-Mail from Glenn Friendt to Council - RE: Wanted to share what Gallup/SRI, the world leader in leadership development, has learned about “authentic leadership” in American organizations - (See E-Mail)
5. Request to Don Herz, Finance/Dana Roper, Law - RE: Constituent inquiry regarding the bond issue (RFI#37 - 8/12/04)

JONATHAN COOK

1. OUTSTANDING Request to Weed Control/Public Works & Utilities Department/Parks & Recreation Department - RE: Maintaining of ROW along W Van Dorn - (RFI#114 - 6/14/04).—RESPONSE RECEIVED FROM RUSS SCHULTZ (INTEGRATING RESPONSES FROM PW AND FROM P&R) ON 07-29-04.

JON CAMP

*1. E-mail from Jan Weyhrauch to Jon Camp RE: Special Election and Raising Taxes

*2. E-Mail from Jan Karst with response from Jon Camp - RE: Today’s article in LJS on Smoking Ban - (See E-Mail)

*3. E-Mail from Sue Quambusch with response from Jon Camp - RE: Budget Cuts - (See E-Mail)

*4. Faxed Letter from Jeff Riekenberg to Jon Camp - RE: ‘Thank-you’ very much for supporting the proposed StarTran bus routes between Lux Middle School and neighborhoods south and east of the school in the 2004-2005 Lincoln City Budget - (See Letter)

*5. E-Mail from Dan Mook with response from Jon Camp - RE: Addition of apartments west 88th St. - (See E-Mail)

*6. E-Mail from Kevin & Ruth Mattson with response from Jon Camp - RE: Lux School StarTran Bus Service - (See E-Mail)

7. E-Mail from Tom Green, Corresponding Secretary, Lancaster County Democratic Party with response from Jon Camp - RE: The Patriot Act - (See E-Mail)

8. E-Mail from Dan Mook with response from Jon Camp - RE: Addition of apartments west 88th Street - (See E-Mail)
ANNETTE McROY

1. Request to Dennis Bartels, Public Works & Utilities Department - RE: Request-Gap Paving (RFI#153 - 8/12/04)

B. DIRECTORS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS

BUDGET OFFICE

*1. Inter-Department Communication from Jan Bolin - RE: Corrected Budget Book Pages for PW/U - (See Material)

FINANCE DEPARTMENT/CITY TREASURER


HEALTH

*1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Confirmatory Test Finds Earlier Lancaster County Case Is Not West Nile - (See Release)

*2. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Partnering To Prevent Family Swimming Pool Drownings - (See Release)

3. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Special Opportunity For Computer And Electronics Recycling - (See Release)

PLANNING

*1. Memo from Marvin Krout - RE: Lincoln Housing Costs - (See Memo)

*2. Memo from Marvin Krout - RE: Residential Land Inventory & Single Family Lots - (See Memo)


5. Letter from Becky Horner to Michael Johnson, Olsson Associates -RE: North Hills 5th Addition Final Plat #04018 - (See Letter)


PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION ......

1. Special Permit No. 04038 (Broadcast Tower - N. 31st Street and North View Drive) Resolution No. PC-00883.

2. Preliminary Plat No. 04016 - Whispering Creek (S. 112th Street and Old Cheney Road) Resolution No. PC-00884.

PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT

*1. Public Works & Utilities ADVISORY - RE: South 14th Street; Old Cheney - Pine Lake - Project No. 701306. - (See Advisory)

2. E-Mail Material from Karen Sieckmeyer - RE: Impact Fee Administrator Report & Recommendation on the Agenda for Monday, August 16th - (See Material)

3. Public Works & Utilities ADVISORY - RE: 30th Street; Randolph - Mohawk - Residential Rehabilitation Project #701752 - (See Advisory)

4. REVISED Public Works & Utilities ADVISORY - RE: 30th Street; Randolph - Mohawk - Residential Rehabilitation Project #701752 - (See REVISED Advisory)

5. Public Works & Utilities ADVISORY - RE: 30th Street; Vine - W Street - Residential Rehabilitation Project #701752 - (See Advisory)

REAL ESTATE DIVISION

*1. Interoffice Memo from Clinton W. Thomas - RE: Street & Alley Vacation No. 03017 West 20 feet of 24th Street; U Street to Vine Street; and the North 15 feet of U Street; 23rd to 24th Street - (See Memo)
*2.  Interoffice Memo from Clinton W. Thomas - RE: Street & Alley Vacation No. 04009 North 58th Street; Colfax Avenue to Murdock Trail - (See Memo)

URBAN DEVELOPMENT


2.  Material- updated pages - RE: Replacement changes on 48th & “O” Study, per Joel Pedersen, Assistant City Attorney - (See attachment)

WEED CONTROL AUTHORITY


C.  MISCELLANEOUS

*1.  E-mail from John Losito of Sun Valley Lanes RE: Boweling Center Legislation.

*2.  Note Card from Norma Fleisher - RE: Please adopt the Defense of Liberty Resolution - (See Note Card)

*3.  E-Mail from Peggy Struwe - RE: Information on Amendment One - (See E-Mail)

*4.  Letter from Rick G. Carter, Executive Director, The Lincoln/Lancaster County Human Services Federation - RE: Public transportation -Supports all efforts to increase access and availability on StarTran for low-income members of our community - (See Letter)

*5.  E-Mail from Terry Jarosz, Midlands Plastics, Tool Designer - RE: Country View Park Fund Cut Again - (See E-Mail)

*6.  E-Mail from Dave Brady to Mayor Seng - RE: Bond Issue - (See E-Mail)
7. E-Mail from Jim Johnson to Mr. Jenkins, Lincoln Star Journal - RE: Correction to article on Council Salaries - (See E-Mail)

8. E-Mail from Michelle Crawford - RE: Concerns about the infrastructure bond issue - (See E-Mail)

9. Letter & Material from Herbert J. Friedman, Chair, Cable Advisory Board to Ms. Beth Scarborough, Time Warner Cable - RE: Cable Advisory Board - (See Material)

10. Letter from Elizabeth H. Scarborough, Time Warner Cable - RE: It has come to our attention by a review of the City Council August 9 Agenda that you will be briefed during Pre-Council and asked during the regular Council Meeting to adopt a report of the Cable Television Advisory Board related to the cable television franchise renewal proceedings and the Request for Renewal Proposal - (Item 19 Public Hearings-Resolutions)(See Letter)

11. Letter from Paul L. Sweene, ATV Sport Parts Inc., Mid-Atlantic Rep. - RE: The majority of large cities in the United States are having a complicated and serious problem as what to do with the ever-increasing number of non-title vehicles, dirt bikes, ATV’s. The administrative, accounting and storage costs are very expensive. There also appears to be an environmental and public relations problems - (See Letter)

12. E-Mail from Kent Stauffer - RE: The bond issue - (See E-Mail)

13. E-Mail from Carol Brown - RE: The budget public hearing meeting on 8/09/04. -(See E-Mail)


15. E-Mail from Beth Thacker, President, Great Plains Trails Network - RE: SRT bond issue - (See E-Mail)

16. Letter from Beverly Sapp - RE: The way the Auto Salvage Yards are making our neighborhood look so bad by having old junk cars in view where they are to have a tall closed in fence, so we don’t have to look at them - (See Letter)
17. E-Mail from Karin Fuog - RE: Lux booster bus routes - (See E-Mail)

18. Letter from Dalyce Ronnau, Chairman, Pedestrian/Bicycle Advisory Committee - RE: The Bond Issue - (See Letter)

19. Letter from Dr. Loy Watley, Lincoln Christian School Board President & Mr. Mark Wilson, Lincoln Christian School Superintendent - RE: The 84th Street improvement project - requesting your help and support for a stop light at the future entrance to Lincoln Christian School on 84th Street - (See Letter)

20. E-Mail from Sherry West - RE: Proposed budget - (See E-Mail)


22. E-Mail from Don Tilley - RE: Wall of Remembrance coming to Lincoln - (See E-Mail)

23. E-Mail from Karin Fuog - RE: Lux Booster Bus Routes - (See E-Mail)

24. Faxed Letter from David L. Swartz, President, Cape Charles Square Homeowners’ Association - RE: Williamsburg Village Lake Dredging - (See Letter)

IV. DIRECTORS

V. CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

VI. ADJOURNMENT

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 441-7511, fax 441-7120

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: August 11, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Diane Gonzolas, 441-7831

CITY PLANS PATRIOT DAY EVENT FOR SEPTEMBER 10

Mayor Coleen J. Seng invited Lincoln area residents to observe the third anniversary of the terrorist attacks on America by attending “Patriot Day – Lincoln Remembers,” a community memorial ceremony the evening of Friday, September 10 at the Veterans Memorial Garden in Antelope Park. The U.S. Congress designated September 11 as “Patriot Day” and asked local governments to observe the day with appropriate programs. The program is being organized by the City of Lincoln and the Mayor’s Advisory Council for the Veterans Memorial Garden. In case of rain the event will move inside the Auld Recreation Center. More details will be announced later this month.

- 30 -
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 441-7511, fax 441-7120

DATE: August 11, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 441-7831

Mayor Coleen J. Seng will attend two park events this week:

- The dedication of Kontras Park is set for 10 a.m. Thursday, August 12 at South Cotner Boulevard and Aldrich Road. Parking is available on Aldrich Road. In case of rain, the event will be postponed to 10:30 a.m. Tuesday, August 17.

- The Near South Neighborhood Association will dedicate a new park at 19th and “A” streets at 1 p.m. Sunday, August 15. The Association purchased the site in 1993 and worked with the City’s Urban Development and Parks and Recreation Departments to develop the park.
Memo

To: Patte Newman, City Council
From: Lynn Johnson, Parks & Recreation
Date: August 10, 2004
Subject: RFI #20 - Neighborhood Signs
cc: City Council Members
    Mayor Coleen Seng
    Stacey Ault, Parks & Recreation
    Mike DeKalb, Planning
    Randy Hoskins, Public Works/Utilities
    Scott Opfer, Public Works/Utilities
    Ernie Castillo, Urban Development
    Wynn Hjermstad, Urban Development

The purpose of this memo is to respond to your request for information regarding development of a neighborhood sign program.

Representatives from Planning, Public Works, Urban Development, and Parks and Recreation met to discuss existing neighborhood signs and the potential of developing a neighborhood sign program. The following is a summary of this discussion.

Funding:

Existing neighborhood signs have been funded by Urban Development Department administered funding sources. Community Block Development Grant (CDBG) funds and Tax Increment Financing (TIF) funds have been utilized. CDBG funds must be used to support projects and programs in designated low/moderate income areas. TIF funds must be used in an area that has been determined to be blighted and is subject to an approved revitalization plan.

Neighborhood Self-Help Program funds administered by the Parks & Recreation Department could be used for neighborhood signs. Program funds are typically used to purchase materials with labor of funding for construction provided by a neighborhood group or civic organization. Improvements must be on public property. Funds are available annually and are awarded on a competitive basis.

Maintenance:

Some neighborhood signs are freestanding. Others are placed within landscape plantings. Some older neighborhood signs have been constructed of wood, and therefore have a limited lifespan. More recently neighborhood signs have been constructed of metal and/or masonry. These signs have a longer useful lifespan and require minimal maintenance. Landscape planting beds associated with neighborhood signs are generally maintained by a neighborhood association or
civic group. There are varying degrees of success depending on the enthusiasm and expertise of volunteers involved. Staff recommend that future neighborhood signs be constructed of metal, or a similar material. Landscape plantings should be simple groupings of durable plants, and an irrigation water source should be provided to assist in establishing and sustaining plantings during drought periods.

**Design:**

Currently design of neighborhood signs occurs on a case-by-case basis. The City does not have guidelines for design of neighborhood signs. Staff suggest development of design guidelines if a neighborhood signage program is initiated.
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
COUNCIL OFFICE

Glenn Friendt - #36

By: (Council Member) Date

REQUEST:

RE: Accident Data on Intersection of W. Van Dorn and S. Coddington

Would you please respond to Mr. Olson regarding the concerns expressed in the attached Memo and send me a copy of your response. Thanks.

Glenn Friendt

cc: Leon Olson c/o JB-Equestrian Academy 2209 W. Van Dorn (22) Mayor's Office

FOR YOUR INFORMATION - This is a copy of a request for information sent by a Council Member to a City Department - No Response from you is necessary

RESPONSE (Indicate action taken):

By: Scott Opfer Date

Responded by letter

COMMENTS:

PLEASE RESPOND WITH 10 COPIES to the Council Office/tjg/jvr
DATE: July 27, 2004
FROM: Glenn Friendt
TO: Allan Abbott
RE: RFI / Intersection of W Van Dorn and South Coddington

Please provide accident data for the intersection of W Van Dorn and South Coddington for the past 5 years. Please indicate what traffic studies have been done to determine the feasibility of installing an electronic traffic signal at this intersection and what any future plans may be for the installation of an electronic traffic signal at this intersection.

Please submit your response to:

Leon Olson
% JB Equestrian Academy
2209 W. Van Dorn
Lincoln, NE 68522

In your response to Mr Olson please indicate you are doing so at my request.

Thanks.
August 4, 2004

Leon Olson
JB Equestrian Academy
2209 W. Van Dorn Street
Lincoln, NE 68522

Dear Mr. Olson:

This letter is in response to your recent inquiry to City Councilperson Glenn Friendt regarding the potential for installation of a traffic signal at the intersection of W. Van Dorn Street and Coddington Avenue. For any intersection to be considered for the installation of a traffic signal, it must first be evaluated to determine if the current vehicular and/or pedestrian activity taking place at the location meets minimum requirements as spelled out in the Federal Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices. The use of this nationally accepted manual for installation of traffic control devices is mandated by State Law and is intended to assist in a process which is consistent no matter where you are in the country. The requirements contained in this manual take into account vehicle, pedestrian and bicycle volumes, crash history, sight distance, vehicle speeds, delay and other engineering considerations. If a location meets these requirements, we must then determine if the installation of a signal will improve the overall safety and operations of traffic in the area. A final determination is then made on whether or not to install a new traffic signal.

This location was evaluated during the fall of 2003. The results of this evaluation indicate that the above mention minimum requirements necessary for traffic signal control are not present at this time. To give you an idea of the minimum numbers of vehicles necessary to begin meeting these minimum requirements. Currently during the hour with the highest traffic volumes at this intersection, there are approximately 470 vehicles which approach the intersection of S. Coddington Avenue on W. Van Dorn Street and approximately 270 vehicles which approach W. Van Dorn on S. Coddington. In order for this location to meet the bare minimum requirement for number of vehicles, or what is termed the “Peak Hour Warrant”, the volumes on W. Van Dorn would need to be around 700 vehicles during that hour or the volumes on S. Coddington would need to be approximately 370 vehicles during that hour. Again, these are minimum numbers and typically, we would be looking to see if the location being evaluated has these type numbers for at least 4 hours of the day, not just one.

Also, as mentioned above, we do consider the crash history at the intersection being evaluated. Typically, we look at a 3 year history, but since you requested a 5 year history, we did look at the past 5 years. We found that there have been 16 reported crashes at this intersection during the most recent 5 years (for an average of 3.2 crashes/year). A look at the average number of crashes we see at other intersections...
of two major streets with “Stop” sign control, indicates that we typically see 3.7 crashes/year. So as you can see, the crash numbers are not out of line with other similar locations in Lincoln. As a side note, if we were to signalize this location and again, based upon averages of other similar locations across our City, we could expect that the number of crashes per year would increase to between 11 and 12 crashes/year. This is one of the primary reasons we want to make sure that the location warrants a traffic signal, before we install one.

What does all this mean? Again, it means that at the present time, the intersection of W. Van Dorn Street and S. Coddington Avenue does not meet minimum requirements necessary to warrant installation of a traffic signal. Having stated this, I will assure you that we intend on watching this location very closely over the next several years. With the continued growth of our City, we know conditions can and do change very quickly.

I would like to thank you for taking the time to contact us. If you have any further questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact me at 441-7711. Again, thanks for your interest in traffic safety.

Sincerely,

Scott A. Opfer, Manager of Traffic Operations
Public Works & Utilities Department

cc: City Council,
    Ann Harrell
    Allan Abbott
    Roger Figard
    Randy Huskins
    Nicole Fleck-Tooze
    Karen Stackmeyer
    Maggie Keliner
Council,

FYI From Glenn Friendt. Thanks.

Tammy
----- Forwarded by Joan V Ray/Notes on 08/10/2004 03:06 PM ----- 

GFriendt@ci.lincoln.ne.us
08/10/2004 11:21 AM

To: council@ci.lincoln.ne.us, Commish@co.lancaster.ne.us
To: amcroy@lincoln.ne.gov, newman2003@neb.rr.com, ksvoboda@alltel.net, joncampcc@aol.com, twernerink@aol.com, JCookcc@aol.com, glenn@friendt.com
cc: to all city council members and county commissioner / Fwd: Avolio - Authentic Leaders
Subject: to all city council members and county commissioner / Fwd: Avolio - Authentic Leaders

I wanted to share what Gallup/SRI, the world leader in leadership development, has learned about "authentic leadership" in American organizations. Pay close attention to the characteristics that define authentic leadership.

Something to ponder.

Glenn

----- Forwarded by Glenn A Friendt/Notes on 08/10/2004 11:15 AM ----- 

Begin forwarded message:

> From: UNL CBA Gallup Leadership Institute <gli@unlnotes.unl.edu>
> Date: Fri Aug 6, 2004 10:28:49 AM US/Central
> Subject: Avolio - Authentic Leaders
> 
> ATTENTION: News, Education, Business Editors
> CONTACT: Bruce J. Avolio, Director, Gallup Leadership Institute, (402) 472-2331 (bavolio2@unl.edu)
> 
> Lincoln, Neb., Aug. 4, 2004 -- How authentic do Americans perceive the leadership of their organizations?
> A first-ever poll by the University of Nebraska-Lincoln revealed that many believe their leaders are doing quite well.
> "The results are actually more positive than I expected," said Bruce Avolio, director of the Gallup Leadership Institute at UNL and an expert in leadership. "Being seen as an authentic leader is a pretty high standard, and we found that a considerable number of American workers are reporting evidence of authentic leadership in their organizations."
> The nationwide telephone poll of 1,008 workers over age 18 was conducted in May and June by The Gallup Organization for the UNL College of Business Administration. Responses to the items comprising
the poll were collected from respondents judging on a 5-point scale
how frequently the leaders of their respective organizations displayed
specific actions and behaviors.

Some of the findings:
Approximately one-third of U.S. working adults indicated the
leadership in their organizations exhibited authentic leadership
behaviors "frequently, if not always." Thirty-nine percent of workers
surveyed reported their leaders "frequently, if not always" "act in
the best interest of the organization" and "are genuine people."
The lowest-rated items were leaders who "make personal sacrifices
for the benefit of the organization." With only 22 percent rating that
item "frequently, if not always," and "admits when mistakes are made,"
with only 23 percent reporting that type of leadership "frequently, if
not always."

Avolio said authentic leaders are "transparent" about their
intentions and desires. They say exactly what they mean, go beyond
self-interest for the good of the organization and act in the
organization's best interest. They consider moral and ethical
consequences of their decisions, and admit when mistakes are made.

"Most organizational leaders have to operate on trust to a very
significant extent with their employees, and have to create the
conditions for trust to flourish to build a sustainable organization,"
Avolio said. "More Americans these days are thinking about leadership
and how to best assess and develop leadership, whether selecting
project leaders or CEOs. There will be increasingly greater attention
paid to placing leaders impositions of power that are authentic."

Avolio and his colleagues at the Gallup Leadership Institute
developed the first-ever U.S. poll on authentic leadership as a
benchmark for further research and to highlight the need for further
attention to this basic form of leadership. The intent is to conduct
the poll on a biannual basis to track how leadership or American
organizations change over time.

Avolio said much attention appears today to be paid to bad
leadership.

"It's probably harder in this day and age to be an authentic
leader," Avolio said. "There's more noise. There's more scrutiny from
all levels. The media is smarter and much more aggressive and everyone
is much more open now for review.

"Many leaders from the past may have operated with a more
guarded, top-down decision-making style, which is simply not acceptable
today in many organizations. The standard should be that anything one
does in a leadership role should be justifiable and open to public
scrutiny." Over time, Avolio said, leaders who continually pass "the
test" will accrue enormous good will and trust.

"If leaders aren't transparent and trustworthy, they're eventually
going to get caught," he said. "Very few will get away with what we
have seen with past unethical behavior. The odds are in favor of being
transparent."

Avolio said Warren Buffett of Berkshire-Hathaway is an excellent
every of a leader who does what he says he will do, and has for
years operated in the best interest of his organization and those he
derson on the corporate board, which has proven to be in his best
interest as well.

The survey also separated responses of genders and white vs.
non-white, and size of organizations. Avolio said in general, women
typically have more positive views of their organization's leadership,
and non-whites had somewhat of a less positive view than whites. The
survey also revealed that smaller organizations (of fewer than 100)
are more likely to rate their leaders as displaying authentic
leadership.

"In larger organizations it may be more difficult to sustain
authentic leadership because people are less likely to know the leader or CEO personally. They may know only what they’ve read about him or her,” Avolio said.

Among other results in the poll:
* 25 percent of women versus 16 percent of men felt their organizations picked the best people for leadership roles 'frequently, if not always'.
* Approximately 46 percent of men and women indicated that their organization gives them significant freedom to do their jobs 'frequently, if not always'.
* 39 percent of women versus 27 percent men indicated that their leaders highlighted the advantages of working with people from different backgrounds 'frequently, if not always'.
* 50 percent of the men and 57 percent of the women indicated 'frequently, if not always' that they felt their work made an important contribution to the mission of the organization.
* 26 percent of white Americans versus 20 percent of non-white Americans indicated 'frequently, if not always' that their organization's leadership made them feel like an owner in their organization.
* 48 percent of the white Americans indicated that their leadership gave them significant freedom to do their job 'frequently, if not always' versus 35 percent for non-white Americans.
* Approximately 34 percent of Americans from small organizations (fewer than 100) indicated that their leaders made them feel like an owner in their organization 'frequently, if not always' versus approximately 18 percent in medium to larger organizations.
* Approximately 31 percent of Americans from small organizations indicated their leaders pick the best people for leadership roles 'frequently, if not always' versus approximately 14 percent in medium to larger organizations.
* Approximately 56 percent of Americans from small organizations indicated that their leaders give them significant freedom to do their jobs 'frequently, if not always' versus approximately 38 percent in medium to larger organizations.
* Approximately 38 percent of Americans from small organizations indicated that their leaders highlight the advantages of working with people from different backgrounds 'frequently, if not always' versus approximately 30 percent in medium to larger organizations.
* Approximately 63 percent of Americans from small organizations indicated their leaders make them feel their work makes an important contribution to the mission of their organization 'frequently, if not always' versus approximately 45 percent in medium to larger organizations.

The Gallup Leadership Institute conducts basic and applied research on what constitutes authentic leadership development. The GLI mission focuses on researching the following areas: What are the life events that positively develop authentic leaders? What constitutes authentic leadership, followership and organizations? What interventions (training, educational, or otherwise) facilitate the development of authentic leadership?
Mr. Green:

Thank you for your communication on the Patriot’s Act.

I respectfully disagree with your assessment. The Patriot’s Act, as recently highlighted in an article in the Wall Street Journal, has been instrumental in reinforcing many of the tools that intelligence gathering agencies have previously had, but lacked coordination.

In light of 9-11 and the continued terrorism in our society, our country must protect its citizens. The Patriot’s Act was a bi-partisan effort to do just this.

I hope the Lancaster County Democrat Party will reconsider its position statement. Hidden within your letter and the recent flurry of comments from certain of your central committee individuals is an attack on President Bush, especially as we approach the November general election.

If you wish to conduct a "non-political" dialogue, then perhaps you would like to refrain from pursuing this issue until after the election.

Finally, I hope that none of my colleagues on the City Council will introduce this resolution.

Jon

Jon Camp
Lincoln City Council
City Council Office: 441-8793
Constituent representative: Darrell Pohany

---

Email from Tom Green:

Subj: Patriot Act
Date: 8/4/2004 3:15:34 PM Eastern Daylight Time
From: Tom Green <thomasallangreen@yahoo.com>
To: Jon Camp <jcamp@ci.lincoln.ne.us>, Jonathan Cook <jcook@ci.lincoln.ne.us>, Glenn Friendt <gfriendt@ci.lincoln.ne.us>, Annette McRory <amcor@gmail.com>, Patte Newman <pnewman@ci.lincoln.ne.us>, Ken Svoboda <ksvoboda@ci.lincoln.ne.us>, Terry Werner <twerner@ci.lincoln.ne.us>

Dear City Council Member,

The Lancaster County Democratic Party has voted to support a resolution to make Lincoln a Patriot act free zone. We believe that parts of the patriot act violate important civil rights and the city of Lincoln
should oppose implementation of the act. We would urge you to vote for the resolution when it is introduced before the city council.

Thank you,
Tom Green
Corresponding Secretary, Lancaster County Democratic Party
--
Jon Camp
Lincoln City Council
City Council Office: 441-8793
Constituent representative: Darrell Podany

----- Message from dan mook <dkmook@unl.nebraska.com> on Thu, 05 Aug 2004 11:39:58 -0700 -----
To: <CAMPJON@aol.com>
Subject: Re: addition of apartments west 88th street.

on 8/5/04 5:39 AM, CAMPJON@aol.com at CAMPJON@aol.com wrote:

> Dan:
> > Thanks for the explanation of the VH situation. I will check into the zoning
> > requests and density elements.
> > Jon
> thanks Jon:

please do not expend a lot of your valuable time on this. I am fairly sure that it is a done deal. It does make me very sad for not only what is happening to our neighborhood but the way the citizens of Lincoln are treated. The idea of a democracy is a farce in this country. It is obvious that majority rules when the majority means those with the financial power. In any case, we have begun to diligently work toward the goal of leaving Nebraska and settle somewhere in the northern Ozarks.

I just puzzle why a city such as Springfield MO can have drastically lower property taxes and other costs, still have a beautiful, progressive city and an adequate education and medical city.

Again thanks for your efforts.

Dan
RESOLUTION NO. A-________

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL of the City of Lincoln, Nebraska:

That the attached list of investments be confirmed and approved, and the City Treasurer is hereby directed to hold said investments until maturity unless otherwise directed by the City Council.

INTRODUCED BY:

________________________________________

Approved:

________________________________________

Don Herz, Finance Director

Approved this ___ day of _____________, 2004

________________________________________

Mayor
We cashed a $1,380,000 First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank out of the Short Term Pool on July 27, 2004. We then invested $120,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Investment Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$20,000</td>
<td>Nebraska Public Agency Investment Trust at Union Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Treasury Cash Management Fund at Wells Fargo Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An investment of $3,000,000 matured July 28, 2004, and we immediately cashed and reinvested $3,112,000 as follows in the Short Term Pool:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Investment Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>Treasury Cash Management Fund at Wells Fargo Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Nebraska Public Agency Investment Trust at Union Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3,052,000</td>
<td>First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

July 29, 2004, we cashed $168,000.00 out of the Short Term Pool. We then invested in a $20,000 Nebraska Public Agency Investment Trust at Union Bank.

We cashed a $1,827,000 First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank out of the Short Term Pool on July 30, 2004. We then invested in a $195,000 Treasury Cash Management Fund at Wells Fargo Bank.

A $5,000,000 investment matured August 2, 2004, and we immediately cashed along with a $672,000 investment in the Short Term Pool. We then reinvested $5,195,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Investment Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>Nebraska Public Agency Investment Trust at Union Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5,125,000</td>
<td>Treasury Cash Management Fund at Wells Fargo Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Due to a surplus of funds in the Short Term Pool, we invested $654,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Nebraska Public Agency Investment Trust at Union Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$175,000</td>
<td>Treasury Cash Management Fund at Wells Fargo Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$454,000</td>
<td>First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Due to a surplus of funds in the Short Term Pool August 4, 2004, we invested $297,000 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>Treasury Cash Management Fund at Wells Fargo Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$197,000</td>
<td>First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

August 5, 2004, we cashed a $5,400,000 Treasury Cash Management Fund at Wells Fargo Bank out of the Short Term Pool. We then invested in a $181,000 First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank.

August 6, 2004, we cashed a $675,000 Treasury Cash Management Fund at Wells Fargo Bank out of the Short Term Pool. We then invested in a $800,000 First American Government Obligation Fund at US Bank.

We respectfully request approval of our actions.

Don Herz, Finance Director

Melinda J. Jones, City Treasurer
FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: August 4, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Nancy Clark, Waste Section Supervisor 441-8021

SPECIAL OPPORTUNITY FOR COMPUTER AND ELECTRONICS RECYCLING

The Lincoln-Lancaster County Health Department (LLCHD) encourages private residents to take advantage of a free electronic devices recycling program being offered by the Lincoln Office Depot store at 333 N 50th Street until September 6, 2001. Electronic devices contain several different hazardous chemicals, notably lead and mercury. Computer components especially CRT monitors and televisions are among the most hazardous. Recycling these types of items is the option recommended by LLCHD. “We are excited to see Office Depot and Hewlett Packard (HP) partnering to help with local environmental issues like managing electronic waste.” said Beth Mann the Health Department’s Household Hazardous Waste Program Coordinator. “Electronics waste is one of the most difficult local waste management issues. We really appreciate their efforts to protect public health and the environment.”

In a special program running July 8 through September 6, 2004, Office Depot, in cooperation with HP, will collect electronic devices for recycling. Amounts are limited to one item per person per day. The following items and only these items are eligible for recycling; computers, keyboards, mice, printers, scanners, handhelds, monitors, TV’s (27" or smaller, measured diagonally), cell phones, TV/VCR Combos (27" or smaller, measured diagonally), fax machines, desktop copiers, digital cameras & batteries, and all in ones, cell phone chargers,
cradles and Laptop batteries according to Office Depot’s press release. Items that are not accepted for recycling include; small appliances, DVD players or stand-alone VCR’s, TV’s larger than 27" measured diagonally, radios, batteries, stereo equipment, console TV’s furniture, cassette recorders, non-cellular telephones, answering machines, calculators, adding machines, monitors or LCD monitors with broken glass screens.

Office Depot reports that it has partnered with HP to develop the country’s first free, nationwide, in-store electronics recycling program in which customers can drop off any brand of unwanted electronics at any of Office Depot’s more than 850 retail locations in the continental United States. All products collected from Office Depot stores will be recycled at HP’s U.S. recycling facilities in Roseville, Calif. and near Nashville, Tenn. For more information about this program contact Office Depot in Lincoln at 466-3443 or www.officedepot.com/recycle.
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DOWNTOWN DIAGNOSTIC

A consultant team headed by Crandall-Arambula of Portland, Oregon has begun working with the Mayor’s Downtown Action Team to update the City’s 30-year-old plan. The consultants specialize in downtown revitalization, with recent successful efforts in Portland, Oregon; Knoxville, Tennessee; and Racine, Wisconsin. Community workshops are scheduled over the rest of this year, with the first one scheduled for 5:30 p.m. on August 10th at the Cornhusker Hotel. You can follow the progress of this planning effort under Downtown Master Plan on Planning’s web page.

COMP PLAN CHANGES

The City Council and County Board met together on June 15 to hear public comments on 14 proposed amendments to the 2025 Comprehensive Plan. Both boards voted to follow the Planning Commission recommendations on all the proposals.

LOT SUPPLY AND DEMAND

The latest information tabulated by the Planning Department shows a continued reduction in the supply of lots for new residential construction. The number of vacant single-family lots in approved and submitted plats stood at 5,316 as of July 1, 2004. This compares to 5,729 lots available three months ago and 6,002 lots available a year ago. Building permits for new single-family homes continue to be strong, with 628 permits issued in the first six months of this year.

Lincoln v. Omaha

The Planning Department is also keeping tabs on housing costs for Lincoln compared to its big sister to the east. Using information compiled by the two Realtor associations,* the average cost of new homes sold in Lincoln in 2003 was $194,024 compared to $248,469 in Omaha. The average cost of existing homes in Lincoln last year was $138,319 compared to $144,266 in Omaha. The data also shows that housing costs have climbed faster in Omaha than in Lincoln over the past five years.

* REALTORS Association of Lincoln and Great Plains REALTORS
Code Amendments Lined Up

The Planning Department is working on amendments to development regulations that should streamline processes and improve our standards. Below are tentative Planning Commission hearing dates and contacts for more information; draft amendments will be:

- Changes to Planned Unit Development provisions (Becky Horner): **August 18**
- Changes to Community Unit Plan, Special Permit and Use Permit provisions (Becky Horner): **September 1**
- “Build-through” provisions and new street standards for acreages in the City’s three-mile jurisdiction (Mike Dekalb): **September 15**
- Shortening timeframes for plats and simplifying bonding requirements for sidewalks and street trees (Tom Cajka): **October 1**
- Amendments to Neighborhood Design Standards (Ed Zimmer): **October 13**
- New standards for Antelope Valley redevelopment area (Steve Henrichsen): **October 13**

Neighborhood Workshop
Airport West Subarea Plan

The Planning Department is conducting a workshop and meeting about the future of Northwest Lincoln. This workshop will take place at the Carol Yoakim Center, 4621 N.W. 48th Street on Wednesday, August 25th beginning at 6:00 p.m. and concluding by 7:30 p.m. Please come join us and provide your thoughts.

If you have any questions, please contact Duncan Ross at 441-7603 or at dross@lincoln ne.gov

FAQs from A to Z

Answers to 16 questions that are most frequently asked of the Planning Department are now posted on Planning’s web page. The subjects of the FAQs range from annexation to zoning, with short answers to each question plus links to more detailed information. Please take a look at this new feature, and let us know if we missed your pet question, or if you have any other suggestions for improving this information.

Revenues from Impact Fees

Last month, the impact fee ordinance passed its first year of collections. Public Works and Utilities reports that over that year, a total of just under $2.5 million was collected with building permits for new construction. Of that amount, just under 80 percent was collected from residential projects and the remainder from commercial projects. About 60 percent of the revenue was directed to the fund for arterial street construction, with smaller amounts going to funds for water, sewer and neighborhood parks and trails. The impact fee ordinance was upheld by the District Court in a challenge to its validity, but that decision is being appealed to higher courts.

Want to Opt Out?

We hope you are finding this newsletter on current planning issues, now over a year old, to be of sufficient interest to keep receiving it. If not, don’t worry about telling us – we are accustomed to a certain amount of rejection and promise not to hold it against you when you file your next application with us. So if you want to unsubscribe, please feel free to reply to this newsletter with that request. Of course, if you have any suggestions for improving the newsletter, we want to hear from you also.
August 5, 2004

Michael Johnson
Olsson Associates
1111 Lincoln Mall
Lincoln, NE 68508

RE: North Hills 5th Addition Final Plat #04018

Dear Mr. Johnson,

North Hills 5th Addition was approved by the Planning Director on July 29, 2004. The plat and the subdivision agreement must be recorded in the Register of Deeds. The fee is determined at $.50 per existing lot and per new lot and $20.00 per plat sheet for the plat, and $.50 per new lot and $5.00 per page for associated documents such as the subdivision agreement. If you have a question about the fees, please contact the Register of Deeds. Please make check payable to the Lancaster County Register of Deeds. The Register of Deeds requests a list of all new lots and blocks created by the plat be attached to the subdivision agreement so the agreement can be recorded on each new lot.

Pursuant to § 26.11.060(d) of the Lincoln Municipal Code, this approval may be appealed to the Planning Commission and any decision of the Planning Commission to the City Council by filing a letter of appeal within 14 days of the action being appealed. The plat will be recorded with the Register of Deeds after the appeal period has lapsed (date + 14 days), and the recording fee and signed subdivision agreement have been received.

Sincerely,

Becky Horner, 441-6373, rhorner@lincoln.ne.gov
Planner

CC: Joan Ray, City Council (14)
    Dennis Bartels, Public Works & Utilities
    Terry Kathe, Building & Safety
    Sharon Theobald, Lincoln Electric
    Jean Walker, Planning
    File

F:\FILES\PLANNING\PC\FP\Approval.wpd
August 11, 2004

Michael Johnson
Olsson Associates
1111 Lincoln Mall
Lincoln, NE 68508

RE: Highlands Business Park 4th Addition Final Plat

Dear

Highlands Business Park 4th Addition was approved by the Planning Director on August 11, 2004. The plat and the subdivision agreement must be recorded in the Register of Deeds. The fee is determined at $0.50 per existing lot and per new lot and $20.00 per plat sheet for the plat, and $0.50 per new lot and $5.00 per page for associated documents such as the subdivision agreement. If you have a question about the fees, please contact the Register of Deeds. Please make check payable to the Lancaster County Register of Deeds. The Register of Deeds requests a list of all new lots and blocks created by the plat be attached to the subdivision agreement so the agreement can be recorded on each new lot.

Pursuant to § 26.11.060(d) of the Lincoln Municipal Code, this approval may be appealed to the Planning Commission and any decision of the Planning Commission to the City Council by filing a letter of appeal within 14 days of the action being appealed. The plat will be recorded with the Register of Deeds after the appeal period has lapsed (date + 14 days), and the recording fee and signed subdivision agreement have been received.

Sincerely,

Becky Horner, 441-6373, rhorner@lincoln.ne.gov
Planner

CC: Joan Ray, City Council (14)
    Dennis Bartels, Public Works & Utilities
    Terry Kathe, Building & Safety
    Sharon Theobald, Lincoln Electric
    Jean Walker, Planning
    File

F:\FILES\PLANNING\PC\FP\Approval.wpd
PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION
NOTIFICATION

TO : Mayor Coleen Seng
     Lincoln City Council

FROM : Jean Walker, Planning

DATE : August 10, 2004

RE : Special Permit No. 04038
     (Broadcast Tower - N. 31st Street and North View Drive)
     Resolution No. PC-00883

The Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission took the following action at their regular meeting on Wednesday, August 4, 2004:

Motion made by Krieser, seconded by Larson, to approve Special Permit No. 04038, with conditions, requested by Edward Rose Properties, for authority to construct a 100' tall broadcast tower for a satellite master antenna television system, on property generally located northeast of the intersection of N. 31st Street and North View Drive. Motion for approval, with conditions, carried 9-0: Larson, Carroll, Sunderman, Carlson, Taylor, Marvin, Pearson, Krieser and Bills-Strand voting 'yes'.

The Planning Commission's action is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a Letter of Appeal with the City Clerk within 14 days of the date of the action by the Planning Commission.

Attachment

cc: Building & Safety
    Rick Peo, City Attorney
    Public Works
    James Hall, Edward Rose Properties, Inc., P.O. Box 3015, Kalamazoo, MI 49003
    Arthur Knox, 920 Pine Tree Lane, 68521
RESOLUTION NO. PC-00883

SPECIAL PERMIT NO. 04038

WHEREAS, Edward Rose Properties has submitted an application designated as Special Permit No. 04038 for authority to construct a 100' tall broadcast tower for satellite master antenna television system on property generally located northeast of the intersection of N. 31st Street and North View Drive, and legally described as:

Lot 82 I.T., in the South Half of Section 6, and Lot 62 I.T., in the Southwest Quarter of Section 6, Township 10 North, Range 7 East of the 6th P.M., Lancaster County, Nebraska;

WHEREAS, the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission has held a public hearing on said application; and

WHEREAS, the community as a whole, the surrounding neighborhood, and the real property adjacent to the area included within the site plan for this broadcast tower will not be adversely affected by granting such a permit; and

WHEREAS, said site plan together with the terms and conditions hereinafter set forth are consistent with the comprehensive plan of the City of Lincoln and with the intent and purpose of Title 27 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to promote the public health, safety, and general welfare.
NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission of Lincoln, Nebraska:

That the application of Edward Rose Properties, hereinafter referred to as "Permittee", to construct a 100' tall broadcast tower for satellite master antenna television system be and the same is hereby granted under the provisions of Section 27.63.150 the Lincoln Municipal Code upon condition that construction of said tower be in strict compliance with said application, the site plan, and the following additional express terms, conditions, and requirements:

1. This permit approves a 100' tall broadcast tower and accessory building for SMATV ground equipment.

2. Before receiving building permits:
   a. The Permittee must submit a revised site plan and five copies showing the required number of trees to provide screening consistent with Design Standards.
   b. The construction plans must conform to the approved plans.

3. Before use of the facility all development and construction must be completed in conformance with the approved plans.

4. All privately-owned improvements must be permanently maintained by the Owner or an appropriately established homeowners association approved by the City Attorney.

5. The site plan approved with this permit shall be the basis for all interpretations of setbacks, yards, locations of buildings, location of parking and circulation elements, and similar matters.
6. The terms, conditions, and requirements of this resolution shall be binding and obligatory upon the Permittee and the Permittee's successors and assigns. The building official shall report violations to the City Council which may revoke the special permit or take such other action as may be necessary to gain compliance.

7. The Permittee shall sign and return the City's letter of acceptance to the City Clerk within 30 days following approval of the special permit, provided, however, said 30-day period may be extended up to six months by administrative amendment. The City Clerk shall file a copy of the resolution approving the special permit and the letter of acceptance with the Register of Deeds, filing fees therefor to be paid in advance by the Permittee.

The foregoing Resolution was approved by the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission on this 4th day of August, 2004.

ATTEST:

[Signature]
Chair

Approved as to Form & Legality:

[Signature]
Chief Assistant City Attorney
PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION
NOTIFICATION

TO : Mayor Coleen Seng
Lincoln City Council

FROM : Jean Walker, Planning

DATE : August 10, 2004

RE : Preliminary Plat No. 04016 - Whispering Creek
(S. 112th Street and Old Cheney Road)
Resolution No. PC-00884

The Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission took the following action at their
regular meeting on Wednesday, August 4, 2004:

Motion made by Larson, seconded by Krieser, to approve Preliminary Plat No. 040106,
Whispering Creek, with conditions, as amended at the request of the applicant,
requested by Brian D. Carstens & Associates on behalf of Connie Heier and Patricia
Slaughter, for 50 residential acreage lots, including approval of the waiver requests for
ornamental street lighting, sidewalks, street trees, landscape screens, block length and
stormwater detention, on property generally located southeast of the intersection of
South 112th Street and Old Cheney Road. Motion for approval with conditions, as
amended, carried 6-3: Carroll, Taylor, Sunderman, Larson, Krieser and Bills-Strand
voting ‘yes’; Carlson, Marvin and Pearson voting ‘no’.

The Planning Commission action is final, unless appealed to the City Council by filing a Letter
of Appeal with the City Clerk within 14 days of the date of the action by the Planning
Commission.

Attachment

cc: Building & Safety
Rick Pec, City Attorney
Public Works
Brian D. Carstens & Associates, 601 Old Cheney Road, Suite C, 68512
Kent Seacrest, Attorney at Law, 1111 Lincoln Mall, Suite 350, 68508
Patricia Slaughter, 507 Redbird Lane, South Sioux City, NE 68776
Connie Heier, 2600 S. 120th Street, Walton, NE 68461
PRELIMINARY PLAT 04016

RESOLUTION NO. PC-00884

WHEREAS, Connie Heier and Patricia Slaughter have submitted the preliminary plat of Whispering Creek Addition for acceptance and approval together with a request pursuant to Section 26.31.010 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to waive Land Subdivision Ordinance requirements regarding ornamental lighting, sidewalks, street trees, landscape screens, block lengths, and storm water detention; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Director has recommended conditional approval of said preliminary plat as contained in pages 5 through 9 of the staff report prepared by Mike DeKalb, Planner, dated July 9, 2004, which pages are attached hereto as Exhibit "A"; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Director has recommended approval of the requested waivers; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission that the preliminary plat of Whispering Creek Addition, located generally southeast of the intersection of S. 112th Street and Old Cheney Road as submitted by Connie Heier and Patricia Slaughter is hereby accepted and approved, subject to the terms and conditions set forth in Exhibit "A", which is attached hereto and made a part of this resolution as though fully set forth verbatim.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Lincoln City-Lancaster County Planning Commission finds that the tract to be subdivided is surrounded by such development or unusual conditions that strict application of the subdivision requirements would result in actual difficulties or substantial hardship and the following modifications to the Land Subdivision Ordinance requirements are therefore approved:

1. The requirements of Sections 26.27.020, 26.27.070, 26.27.080, and 26.27.090 of the Lincoln Municipal Code regarding the installation of sidewalks, ornamental
street lights, landscape screens, and the planting of street trees are waived pursuant to Section 26.31.010 based upon the rural nature of the proposed development and its location outside the corporate limits.

2. The requirement of Section 26.23.130 of the Lincoln Municipal Code that block lengths shall not exceed 1,320 feet is waived to allow the block length to exceed 1,320 feet for those streets shown on the preliminary plat which exceed 1,320 feet between intersections.


ATTEST:

Mary J. Bills-Steak
Chair

Approved as to Form & Legality:

Chief Assistant City Attorney
CONDITIONS:

Site Specific:

1. After the subdivider completes the following instructions and submits the documents and plans and 6 copies to the Planning Department office, the preliminary plat will be signed by the Chair of the Planning Commission certifying approval: (NOTE: These documents and plans are required by ordinance or design standards.)

1.1 Revise the preliminary plat to show:

1.1.1 Remove the City Clerk approval block.

1.1.2 Show the location and pipe size of the water system.

1.1.3 Revise the street curves to the satisfaction of Public Works.

1.1.4 Revise the 100 pool elevations to the satisfaction of Public Works.

1.1.5 Show the 100 year flood easements.

1.1.6 Show grading for 120th Street, 112th Street and Old Cheney Road as requested by Public Works.

1.1.7 Show that Lot 1, Blk 3; Lot 16 Blk 2 and Lots 1 and 2 Blk 1 are buildable with individual waste disposal and the gas line easement or revise accordingly.

1.1.8 Remove note #16.

1.1.9 Revise the conflict of the surveyors area and Note # 1.
1.1.10 Show the flood pool setback easements. Since this is not a CUP, yard line setbacks should not be shown.

1.1.11 Show the revisions required in the County Engineers memo dated March 26, 2004:

1) Right-of-way dedication along South 120th Street, South 112th Street and Old Cheney Road that equals 60 feet from the section line.

2) General Note #12 revised to “only one residential access permitted per lot” and to be noted on all Final Plats.

3) Developer shall be responsible for installation of all traffic control devices and street identification signs.

4) General Note #7 needs to be revised to reflect conditions and requirements for paving of South 120th Street.

5) General Note #10 needs to be revised to reflect temporary dead ends of Whispering Creek Drive and Deerfield Trail. Work with the Lancaster County Engineer to show the connections of these streets with South 112th and South 120th Streets. The County will revise the intersection after county improvements if required. Also, only one access to Outlot “A” will be allowed which would be onto South 120th Street (Revised after conversation with Don Thomas, County Engineer, 7-9-04).

6) Developer shall be responsible for grading and paving, to County Standards, South 120th Street from Old Cheney Road to Waters Edge Drive. Developer shall develop plans for this work to be approved by this office. Lancaster County will obtain necessary right-of-way on the east side of South 120th Street.

7) General Note #7 needs to be revised to reflect the 50 feet radius for intersections with the section line roads.

8) A culvert is needed under Deerfield Trail west of South 120th Street.

9) The cul-de-sac of Greyhawk Circle should be graded to match the existing ground without a steep peak in the center.
10) The details on the grading plan for “G” do not match the culvert analysis data.

11) On culvert “D” the headwater for the 10 year storm is over the road. The culvert calculations show no roadway overlapping.

1.1.12 Show sanitary sewer easements to the satisfaction of Public Works which would allow future urban sanitary sewer service to (i) the lots within the Preliminary Plat and (ii) to the upstream neighboring properties abutting the Preliminary Plat. (**Per Planning Commission at the request of the applicant, 08/04/04**)

1.1.13 Add a note to the Preliminary Plat stating: “In the future, the final platted area may be annexed and become part of the City of Lincoln. The City may desire to extend urban sanitary sewer and/or water service into or through the final platted area and specially assess the benefited properties. The lot owners agree not to object to the levying of reasonable assessments against their respective lots located within the sanitary sewer and/or water district or districts and further agree to pay all assessments so levied as they become due and payable.” (**Per Planning Commission at the request of the applicant, 08/04/04**)

2. The Planning Commission approves associated requests:

2.1 A waiver to the sidewalk, street lighting, landscape screen, and street tree requirements since the area of each lot exceeds 1 acre and the subdivision will not be annexed

2.2 A waiver to the stormwater detention requirements since the density of the development and the NRD pond accomplish the same objective.

2.3 A modification to the requirements of the land subdivision ordinance to permit block length exceeding 1320 feet on the boundary of the subdivision and on S 114th Street and S. 117/Waters Edge Drive.

General:

3. Final Plats will be approved by the Planning Director after:
3.1 You have completed or posted a surety to guarantee the completion of the public streets, water system, drainage facilities, land preparation and grading, sediment and erosions control measures, drainageway improvements, temporary turnaround and barricades, and street name signs.

3.2 The subdivider has signed an agreement that binds the subdivider, its successors and assigns:

You must agree:

to complete the street paving of streets, and temporary turnarounds and barricades located at the temporary dead-end of the streets shown on the final plat within two (2) years following the approval of the final plat.

to complete the public water distribution system to serve this plat within two (2) years following the approval of the final plat.

to complete the enclosed drainage facilities shown on the approved drainage study to serve this plat within two (2) years following the approval of the final plat.

to complete land preparation including storm water detention/retention facilities and open drainageway improvements to serve this plat prior to the installation of utilities and improvements but not more than two (2) years following the approval of the final plat.

to complete the installation of the street name signs within two (2) years following the approval of the final plat.

to complete the installation of temporary turnarounds and barricades located at the temporary dead-end of the street or post a surety to guarantee the installation. The installation is to be completed within two years following the Planning Commission’s approval of this final plat.

to complete any other public or private improvement or facility required by Chapter 26.23 (Development Standards) of the Land Subdivision Ordinance in a timely manner which inadvertently may have been omitted from the above list of required improvements.

to submit to the Director of Public Works a plan showing proposed measures to control sedimentation and erosion and the proposed method to temporarily stabilize all graded land for approval.
to complete the public and private improvements shown on the preliminary plat.

to retain ownership of or the right of entry to the outlots in order to maintain the outlots and private improvements on a permanent and continuous basis and to maintain the plants in the medians and islands on a permanent and continuous basis. However, the subdivider may be relieved and discharged of this maintenance obligation upon creating, in writing, a permanent and continuous association of property owners who would be responsible for said permanent and continuous maintenance. The subdivider shall not be relieved of such maintenance obligation until the private improvements have been satisfactorily installed and the documents creating the association have been reviewed and approved by the City Attorney and filed of record with the Register of Deeds.

to submit to the lot buyers and home builders a copy of the soil analysis.

to pay all design, engineering, labor, material, inspection, and other improvement costs.

to comply with the provisions of the Land Preparation and Grading requirements of the Land Subdivision Ordinance.

to protect the trees that are indicated to remain during construction and development.

to properly and continuously maintain and supervise the private facilities which have common use or benefit, and to recognize that there may be additional maintenance issues or costs associated with providing for the proper functioning of storm water detention/retention facilities as they were designed and constructed within the development, and that these are the responsibility of the land owner.

to relinquish the right of direct vehicular access to 120th Street except at Deerfield Trail and Waters Edge Drive and one limited access to the Outlot "A". To relinquish direct access to Old Cheney Road. To relinquish access to 112th Street except at Whispering Creek Drive and Aspen Canyon Road.

to maintain County roads until the County Board specifically accepts the maintenance.
to timely complete the public and private improvements and facilities required by Chapter 26.23 of the Land Subdivision Ordinance which have not been waived including but not limited to the list of improvements described above.

to post the required security to guarantee completion of the required improvements if the improvements are not competed prior to approval of the final plat.

Prepared by:

Mike DeKalb
441-6370, mdekalb@ci.lincoln.ne.us
Planner

July 9, 2004

APPLICANT/OWNER:

Connie Heier
2600 South 13th Street
Walton, NE 68461
(402)

Patricia Slaughter
507 Red Bird Lane
South Sioux City, NE 68776

CONTACT:

Brian Carstens
Carstens and Associates
601 Old Cheney Road, Suite
Lincoln, NE 68512
474-6311
Karen,
Okay, Thanks.

Tammy
Karen K Sieckmeyer

Karen K Sieckmeyer
To: Tammy J Grammer/Notes@Notes
cc: Tammy J Grammer/Notes@Notes
Subject: Appeal

Tammy,

Attached is the report and recommendation that needs to go in the council packet for the impact fee appeal on the agenda for Monday, August 16th.

Thanks

Impact Fee Appeal - IFA-04005.w
IMPACT FEE ADMINISTRATOR REPORT & RECOMMENDATION

IMPACT FEE APPEAL - IFA-004005:

APPELLANT:  Berean Fundamental Church of Lincoln, Nebraska
            6400 S. 70th Street
            Lincoln, NE 68516
            (402) 483-6512

PROPERTY:  6400 South 70th Street

REQUEST:  The appellant is appealing from the Impact Fees Determination dated May 4, 2004 (#IF0406982) on the basis that (1) the determination failed to consider appropriate credits which would have decreased the fee; (2) the determination was improperly computed and determined in that the formula set forth in the ordinance and regulations was not correctly followed; and (3) the imposition of the “Impact Fee” is in fact a tax which Berean Church is exempt from paying under Nebraska law.

RECOMMENDATION:  Denial

REASONS:

(1)  The appellant failed to timely request an exemption from arterial street impact fees pursuant to Lincoln Municipal Code §27.82.060(c) as the exemption was not applied for on or before the date the application for the building permit was made.

(2)  The appellant failed to timely appeal from the May 4, 2004 determination pursuant to Lincoln Municipal Code §27.82.110(i) as the appeal was not filed with the City Clerk within ten days after the May 4, 2004 determination.

(3)  The development for which the building permit was requested does not meet any of the stipulations or conditions required for reduction in or exemption from arterial street impact fees.

(4)  The arterial street impact fees were correctly calculated pursuant to Lincoln Municipal Code §27.82.050(c)(1) through the use of the arterial impact fee schedule adopted by the City Council as appellant did not request that the City determine the amount of such impact fees pursuant to an independent fee calculation study.

(5)  The appellant is not exempt from paying the arterial impact fees under Nebraska law as the fees, if determined to be a tax, would be an excise tax and not a property tax.
FACTS:

(1) On April 27, 2004, Sampson Construction Company, on behalf of the appellant, applied for a building permit to construct a 30,325 square foot new structure on the church property located at 6400 South 70th Street. Building Permit No. B0401352 was issued for this new structure on June 25, 2004.

(2) On April 27, 2004, Sampson Construction Company submitted on behalf of the appellant a request for an Impact Fees Determination for the new structure, identified as Impact Permit No. IF040692 for Building Permit No. B0401392. Under paragraph (c) of the Impact Fees Determination form entitled Exemptions/Exclusions Claim, Sampson Construction Company only requested exemption for water system, water distribution, and wastewater impact fees on the basis that the new building would be connected to the existing water service and without any increase in the size of the water meter. The requested exemptions from water system, water distribution and wastewater impact fees were granted and the Impact Fee Administrator determined that the total amount of impact fees due for the project was $19,529.30, all attributable to arterial street impact fees. The $19,529.30 was calculated using the Arterial Street Impact Fee Schedule beginning January 1, 2004 for church use ($644/1,000 square feet).

(3) The $19,529.30 impact fees payment was paid to and received by the Department of Building and Safety on June 25, 2004.

(4) The Impact Fee Notice of Appeal was received in the City Clerk’s Office on July 21, 2004.

DISCUSSION:

Lincoln Municipal Code §27.82.050(a) provides that “any person who applies for a building permit for a development . . . shall pay a water system impact fee, water distribution impact fee, wastewater impact fee, arterial street impact fee, and neighborhood park and trail impact fee unless the type of development described in the permit or to be engaged in is specifically exempted, waived or subsidized by this ordinance [Impact Fee Ordinance]. . .

Lincoln Municipal Code §27.82.060(c) provides that if a permit is required for the proposed development, any claim for exemption must be made no later than the date of the application for the permit for the proposed development. No claim for an exemption from arterial street impact fees was made on or before June 25, 2004 when the building permit was issued. The only request for exemption made on or before June 25, 2004 was the request for the approved exemptions from water system, water distribution, and wastewater impact fees.

Lincoln Municipal Code §27.82.110(i) provides that “[a]ny determination made by any official of the City charged with the administration of any part of this ordinance may be appealed
by the aggrieved party to the City Council by filing (1) a written Notice of Appeal on a form provided by the City, and (2) a written explanation of why the appellant feels that a determination was in error. Subsection (i) further provides that appeals must be filed with the City Clerk within ten days after the determination for which the appeal is being filed.” The notice of appeal in this matter was not filed until June 21, 2004, which date is well beyond the ten-day period in which to file the notice of appeal from the May 4, 2004 Impact Fees Determination.

Lincoln Municipal Code §27.82.050(c) provides that the Impact Fee Administrator shall determine the amount of each required impact fee through the use of impact fee schedules adopted by the City Council unless the person applying for the permit for development requests that the City determine the amount of such fee pursuant to an independent fee calculation study. Subsection (c)(4) provides that if a person is applying for a permit for the expansion, redevelopment, or modification of an existing development, the fee shall be based on the net increase in the fee for the new use as compared to the previous use, and subsection (c)(5) provides that if no use was in operation on the site within the last fifteen years, the redevelopment shall be treated the same as a new development. In the present matter, whether the construction of the new building was deemed to be an expansion of the existing church use or a new church use, the calculation of the impact fee due under the impact fee schedule would be the same as the unit of measure for a church is per 1,000 square and the fee per unit is $644.

Article VIII, Section 2 of the Constitution of the State of Nebraska provides in part that the Legislature by general law may classify an exemption from taxation property owned by and used exclusively for religious purposes, when such property is not owned or used for financial gain or profit to either the owner or user. This section of the state constitution authorizing tax exemption for property owned by churches is not self-executing but requires action by the Legislature to carry the constitutional provision into effect. Indian Hills Comm. Ch. v. Bd. of Equal., 226 Neb. 510, 412 N.W.2d 459 (1987). That legislation is found in Neb. Rev. Stat. § 77-202 (Reissue 2003) which provides that (1) the following properties shall be exempt from property taxes: . . . (d) property owned by educational, religious, charitable or cemetery organizations. . . and used exclusively for educational, religious, charitable, or cemetery purposes when such property is not (i) owned or used for financial gain or profit to either the owner or the use. However, this exemption is not applicable to excise taxes as excise taxes are not a tax upon property but rather a tax upon a privilege. In re Estate of Rudge, 114 Neb. 335, 207 N.W. 520 (1926). Here, the impact fees are not based on property value and thus cannot be classified as a tax on property. State v. Galyen, 221 Neb. 497, 378 N.W.2d 182 (1985). Rather, if said fees constitute a tax, they are a tax on the privilege of developing property and thus constitute an excise tax. However, the issue as to whether the impact fees are a regulation or a tax has not been finally determined as the decision of the district court holding said fees to be a valid excise tax is on appeal to the Nebraska Court of Appeals.
30th Street; Randolph - Mohawk  
Residential Rehabilitation Project #701752  
August 12, 2004

The City of Lincoln, Department of Public Works would like to take this opportunity to inform you of the removal of your street from the 2004 Residential Rehabilitation Program. The Storm Sewer Project 701-681 in your area has been delayed to the extent that we cannot complete the pavement repair within this construction season. Our intention was to rehabilitate the street after the storm sewer project had been completed. We are keeping this area as a high priority and it will be completed as soon as our budget allows. If I can answer any further questions about this project, please feel free to call me.

Steven Faust  
Rehabilitation Coordinator  
City of Lincoln Engineering Services  
531 Westgate Blvd., Suite 100  
Lincoln, NE 68528  
(402) 441-8413
30th Street; Randolph - Mohawk
Residential Rehabilitation Project #701752
August 12, 2004

The City of Lincoln, Department of Public Works would like to take this opportunity to inform you of the removal of your street from the 2004 Residential Rehabilitation Program. The Storm Sewer Project 701-681 in your area has been delayed to the extent that we cannot complete the pavement repair within this construction season. Our intention is to rehabilitate the street after the storm sewer project has been completed in the 2005 construction season. If I can answer any further questions about the project, please feel free to call me.

Steven Faust
Rehabilitation Coordinator
City of Lincoln Engineering Services
531 Westgate Blvd., Suite 100
Lincoln, NE 68528
(402) 441-8413
30th Street; Vine - W Street
Residential Rehabilitation Project #701752
August 12, 2004

The City of Lincoln, Department of Public Works would like to take this opportunity to inform you of Street Rehabilitation in your area. The Storm Sewer Project 701-318 being constructed in your area has prompted us to include your street in the 2004 Residential Rehabilitation work. We are including an information handout with this notice. The street work, which will follow soon after the storm drainage work, should take approximately two additional weeks. If you are interested in knowing more about the project or access during construction, please feel free to call me.

Steven Faust
Rehabilitation Coordinator
City of Lincoln Engineering Services
531 Westgate Blvd., Suite 100
Lincoln, NE 68528
(402) 441-8413
Date: August 6, 2004

To: Diana

RE: Replacement changes on 48th & "O" Study, per Joel P. City Attorney

Sender: Lonnie Dickson

Project No: 757

Diana:

The single sided sheets are the replacement pages for you master copy of the document.

The stapled pages are the changes (yellow highlight) that Joel P., of the City Attorneys Office, requested.

Thank you again for your assistance.

Lonnie Dickson, AICP
Hanna:Keelan Associates, P.C.
ldickson@hannakeelan.com


**Inspection Activity**

3,436 inspections on 1,733 sites have been made to date. 929 inspections were made during the month.

**Noxious Weeds**
- Made 895 inspections on 483 sites on 1,858 acres.
- Found 399 violations on 322 acres.

**Weed Abatement**
- Made 2,541 inspections on 1,250 sites on 842 acres.
- Found 992 violations on 557 acres.
- Found no violations on 261 sites.
- Sent 308 notices, 711 letters, published 81 notifications and made 42 personal contacts.
- 767 sites cut by landowners.
- 45 force cut by contractors.
- Cutting is pending on 206 sites.

- 1,255 complaints received on 1,013 sites.

**Purple Loosestrife**
Purple loosestrife has been found in over 200 homeowner yards. Escaped plants have been found in 24 drainageway and wetland sites.

**JUNE Activities**
1. Budget hearing 9:30 Rm 113
4. Environmental Trust 10:00 Kearney
12. Mgt Team Mtg 7:30
30. Monthly activity report

**Planned JULY Activities**
8. Mgt Team Mtg
26. LPWMA Tour
29. LPWMA Meeting
31. Monthly activity report
- State fair committee
Proposal

Date
June 19, 2004

Mayor

Director of Transportation

Supervisor of Impound

President of City Council

The majority of large cities in the United States are having a complicated and serious problem as what to do with the ever-increasing number of non-title vehicles, dirt bikes, ATVs. The administrative, accounting and storage costs are very expensive. There also appears to be an environmental and public relations problems.

We had a well established law firm investigate and research this matter with city attorneys, police departments and impound officials. They concluded that legality and liability considerations were the defining issues. They absolutely assured us that our approach to this purchase is a corrected legal transfer of property.

ATV Sport Parts is convinced we have addressed these issues in a proper and comprehensive manner with this proposal.

1. ATV Sport Parts Inc. would purchase all non-title vehicles, (dirt bikes, ATVs) currently in storage at the city impound.

2. These machines would be purchased and paid for in lots of ten units per month. ATV Sport Parts Inc. would sort, load, and transport these units to Indianapolis, Indiana, where they would be dismantled for parts and accessories.

3. ATV Sport Parts Inc. will provide absolute identification and notarized proof of ownership. Provide paid receipts for any outstanding citations against these vehicles, and advertise each for 21 days in a local newspaper.

4. The purchase price would be two hundred fifty dollars ($250) per unit. This price is based on the following conditions and considerations.

   1. We have the expense of tracing and determining the rightful owner, in most cases paying him for a notarized bill of sale and clearing outstanding citations and debts.

   2. Machines have been stored for 1-4 years and subject to extremes of weather and total neglect.

   3. None of these units were drained, winterized or covered.

   4. The condition can only be described as poor, 95% of the engines have corroded and seized tight, being aluminum, high RPM, with close tolerances. The result is stuck valves, frozen pistons and scored cylinder walls. In the case of liquid cooled engines, crank cases, radiators, and batteries have frozen, cracked, and burst.
5. Crank case oil was not drained which contains harmful acids and other contaminants, causing crankshaft and bearing pitting and scoring.

6. Seats, tires, hoses, cables and other rubber and poly parts are seriously damaged.

ATV Sport Parts Inc. estimates that the proceeds from this sale would net the city $25,000 in the first year alone, reduce administrative and accounting costs, free up much needed storage space and resolve an ongoing public relations problem. Since these machines are being shipped out of state it would not effect local bike and ATV retailers.

ATV Sport Parts Inc. would advance a $2500.00 payment as a confirmation of our intent and resolve. We also agree to accept all responsibility and liability for any units purchased.

If there are any problems, objections or legalities not covered in this proposal, please advise and we will address those issues.

Please contact us for any further details, information or to discuss this matter.

ATV Sport Parts Inc,
Paul L. Sweene

Mid-Atlantic Rep.
3001 Edmondson Ave.
Baltimore, Md. 21223
410-624-4608
InterLinc: City Council Feedback for General Council

Name: Kent
Address: Stauffer
City: Lincoln, NE 68516

Phone: [redacted]
Fax: [redacted]
Email: kentstauffer@cornhusker.net

Comment or Question:
Jon,

Question?

Can you please tell me if the $75 million bond issue being proposed in September will include a round-about AROUND this city so as to reduce the traffic on Hiway 2? Or is it just for "in house" improvements within Lincoln as we see/know it today?

Pending your response on that issue, if the issue would REMOVE TRAILS, I'd likely for FOR. If not, I'll vote AGAINST!

Streets are a MUST!!! Trails? A luxury!!

Kent

Thank you,

Kent
Dear Carol Brown: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members. Thanks.

Tammy Grammer  
City Council Office  
555 S. 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE 68508  
Phone: 402-441-6867  
Fax: 402-441-6533  
"Carol B" <carolserv@hotmail.com>

"Carol B"  
To: council@ci.lincoln.ne.us  
cc:  
Subject: my sincere apologizes!!!!

08/09/2004 10:03 PM

Council members and in particular Councilman Camp,  
My sincere apologizes!!! I did say raided when I looked over my testimony on the budget this evening. I have attached my testimony for your review. The comment of raided was however explained that I was referring to Mr. Abbott cutting our sidewalk repair monies and street rehab monies to accomplish his list of road projects.  
Humbly yours,  
Carol Brown

Don’t just search. Find. Check out the new MSN Search!  
http://search.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200636ave/direct/01/  
budget testimony.doc
I thank the members of the city council for challenging the inner workings of this budget. I also thank those for making public safety a number one priority. I was on the hometown security committee. Councilpersons McRoy and Suroboda you also were members of this committee. (Refer to committee report) It was balanced, it was not a rubber stamp committee as characterized by some council members. Many hours were spent analyzing the needs of the city/county, both because of the growth the city has experienced and because of the concerns of terrorism in the world. Some of the recommendations are as follows: Fire depart establish a 10 year goal of 1.3 firefighter per 1000 residents, Police depart establish 1.5 officers per 1000 residents over the next decade. There were also recommendations of updating equipment and civilian support staff. This report is not bogus, we put in a lot of time and consideration of budget into this process. In the continuing needs of a rapidly growing community, safety should be our top priority. Again if you believe that growth pays for itself we should have no trouble paying for the services so drastically needed for safety.

It was a real eye popper to listen to the budget hearings. Designating monies for special purposes like street tree replacement and then we never see the trees replaced. Asking for monies for a one project and then those monies being shifted over for another project is just wrong. It is deceptive to think that we as taxpayers will not pay attention to the intended purpose of these funds.

An Intelligent Transportation system was purchased several years ago to synchronize our street lights to promote smoother traffic flow. Why do we now need to hire someone to run the system after the fact? No one in the department knows how to run the system why did they purchase it in the first place? How do we even know if it will work? Why not buy a product with a support system attached to the product in the purchase agreement?

I get the feeling PW thinks it is a burden for them to maintain the existing community. Hitting the sidewalk funds or the street rehab funds is a low blow to the residents that live in the existing community. They pay taxes on their homes thinking all along these taxes are being used to maintain what we have.

If public works is looking for savings it should not be at the expense of the existing neighborhoods. My suggestion would be that PW starts at the bottom of their ‘new road project priority list’ and cut there. We need to take care of what we have FIRST! For too long existing neighborhoods have had to scrape the bottom of the bucket for funds.

If building new streets are such a high priority in this community then I advise the homebuilders drop their impact fee lawsuit against the city and free up the impact fee monies so PW can get busy on building these new roads they need for new development. It is time the building industry carries some of the burden of this growth they are promoting as being good for this city. We hear growth pays for itself. If you believe that growth pays for itself then why are we in the mess we are and why are our funds to maintain our city being raided to continue growth.
I have concerns that projects the city contracts out are not reflecting quality workmanship. For one instance our sidewalks recently repaired are not up to the standards that we have expected in past years. Where are the responsible parties when these sidewalks start to crack in just a short period of time? Where are our warranties? Where are our guarantees so we won’t be in the same mess we are today, in 5 years, trying to fight for funds to rehab our sidewalks and roads? We have had some sidewalks in this community over 50 years, which are in better shape than our replacement sidewalks.

Another example is poor design of the new city parking garage area. Many of the posts at the exits have been hit by vehicles. When you do pull out to exit you cannot see the traffic flow past the wall and have to pull way out onto the sidewalk. Hopefully you do not hit someone or have a bicycle run into your vehicle. We expect our tax dollars to be used wisely.

Coordinating projects were also a mandate in the infrastructure finance committee report and yet we still see projects being done when another project for the same area is slatted that will disrupt work already finished.

Coordinating studies with other city entities should also be routine. A recent study, that the Chamber conducted, was done to see where to place an event center. Maybe this study could have been coordinated with the Downtown study that is now in place, saving a substantial amount of money.

How much money does growth take? It is not just the bond for the new streets we will need to grow it is fire stations, police substations, sewer, electrical substations, water, trails and finally new schools.

The piggy banks in many homes in this community are empty. Residents expect the city to use their tax monies in a very frugal manner, spending the resources wisely and fairly. Not too long ago you debated the living wage. In the testimony and the exploration of wages in Lincoln you exposed a very poor segment of the community. These are the members of the community that you need to keep in mind when you are about to raise taxes.

I think you and the city employees work very hard. I am just asking that we incorporate creativity into how we are running this city. Look around every corner for savings. Dump over the budget basket and ask what is this used for? Is it a want or a necessity? Have we taken care of what we have.....
Dear Beth Thacker: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Tammy Grammer
City Council Office
555 S. 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508
Phone: 402-441-6867
Fax: 402-441-6533

Dnthlynn@aol.com

Please find attached a copy of the GPTN press release from Friday's press conference. We're hoping for some concessions from the city council re. the Parks and Rec budget revisions.

Thank you for your consideration.

Beth Thacker, President
Great Plains Trails Network

press rel-news conf8-5.do
Date: August 6, 2004
For More Information Contact: Beth Thacker, GPTN President, 483-0514 or dhthlynn@aol.com

TRAILS ADVOCATES SPEAK OUT AGAINST COUNCIL’S BUDGET REVISIONS
Public Hearing Set for Monday, Aug. 9

Trails advocates today voiced strong concerns about the Lincoln City Council’s budget revisions and the impact on the city’s trails’ system, future trails projects and the city Parks and Recreation Department.

“We stand together, united as citizen volunteers in our community, to urge the City Council members to restore the Mayor’s original budget proposal,” said Beth Thacker, president of the Great Plains Trails Network, a 15-year-old organization of more than 1,000 Lincoln member families.

“We believe that the Mayor’s original budget proposal presents a more balanced approach to the budget, in which all departments share the burden of overall reductions. The revised proposal, now on the table, takes direct aim at the Lincoln Parks and Recreation Department, and eliminates $203,000 from its operating budget and $644,000 from its Capital Improvement Program (CIP) for a total overall reduction of $847,000. With the exception of the Public Works and Utilities Department, other Departments’ budgets were generally reduced by less than $20,000,” she said.

“While we all understand the need to ‘tighten our belts’, this is a dramatic and unnecessary hit to one department that will severely impact the quality of life for all of our citizens,” she said.

Thacker said that many trails in Lincoln are constructed with the help from Federal Transportation Enhancement Program funds, made possible each year through the federal gas tax. To date, the city parks and recreation department estimates that Lincoln has received more than $2 million in federal enhancement funds for trails such as the Bison Trail that leads to Pioneers Park. These trail projects serve as transportation corridors and trail connections throughout Lincoln.

“One of the conditions of this program is that the City agrees to ongoing maintenance of new trails built with enhancement funds. As part of the application process, the City Council has to approve a resolution agreeing to provide for maintenance of new trails, which it has done many times. Eliminating maintenance funding, as proposed in the revised budget, places Lincoln at great risk for securing future funds for trail projects,” Thacker said.

The Great Plains Trails Network also expressed concerns that the City Council, in its revised proposal, moved maintenance costs for parks and trails from the General Operating Budget and placed them into the Proposed Sidewalks, Roads and Trails Bond Issue, that will come before voters this fall.

“Our organization believes that bonds are to be issued only when it becomes necessary to build and/or rehabilitate capital projects that are not included in the routine budget process. We firmly believe that routine general parks and trails maintenance issues should not be dependent upon the passage of a bond,” Thacker said.

“The Great Plains Trails Network encourages the Lincoln City Council to take a serious look at our concerns and their impact on our trails system, which ranks as one of the best per capita of comparable cities across the country. We strongly advise you to reconsider the Mayor’s first proposal as one that best serves the needs of all of our citizens,” Thacker said.

Also making statements in support of reinstating the Mayor’s original budget proposal were Bob Ripley, President of the Lincoln Parks and Recreation Advisory Board and Mary Arth of the Lincoln Parks and Recreation Foundation.

Arth said the Lincoln Parks and Recreation Foundation was formed in 1993 to accept tax-deductible
donations from citizens who want to support Lincoln’s parks system.

“We are here to support special projects for the parks system, like the Sunken Gardens renovation, and not to raise private dollars for the parks’ ongoing programs, maintenance or staff. This should be the taxpayers’ obligation,” Arth said.

The Great Plains Trails Network (GPTN) was established in 1989 to help support the bond issue that developed the city’s Rock Island and MoPac Trails. Through the years, GPTN has worked closely with Lincoln’s citizenry and the city’s administration to leverage more than $1.5 million in private donations to build nearly 100 miles of trails.

###
Dear Beth Thacker:

Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Tammy Grammer
City Council Office
555 S. 10th Street
Lincoln, NE 68508
Phone: 402-4416867
Fax: 402-441-6533

Dnthlynn@aol.com

---

Dear Council members,

Please find attached a document regarding the GPTN Board’s unanimous endorsement of the Bond Issue recommendations submitted to you by the Pedestrian/Bicycle Advisory Board on July 29th regarding the trails portion of the proposed SRT bond. We would lend our full support to a bond that addressed these items.

Respectfully submitted,

Beth Thacker, President

Great Plains Trails Network CityCouncil stmt.doc
“The City of Lincoln has developed a very good multi-use path system over the past several decades serving pedestrians, bicyclists, runners, and other users. Having such an existing system is a strength our community must build off of in order to improve the pedestrian and bicycle system in the future. However, a lack of adequate funding for path rehabilitation, general maintenance, new path construction, and needed grade separations threatens the viability of our existing path system as well as our ability to expand the system as the community grows in the future.” This is a quote from the draft of the Multi-Modal Transportation Study commissioned last year, the purpose of which was to develop a plan for a pedestrian and bicycle system in Lincoln that is truly multi-modal in nature.

The following comments are addressed to the recommendations made to you by the Pedestrian/Bicycle Advisory Board that you received on or around the 29th of July as regards items identified in the proposed bond issue. The Board of Directors of the Great Plains Trails Network would like to go on record as supporting the PBAC recommendations.

The comments will fall under 2 categories: First, the items that PBAC recommended be included in the bond issue; and second, those items that they recommended for removal. This document goes into more detail as to why certain items should/should not be included in the bond issue.

1. The North 27th & X St pedestrian/bicycle bridge, a crucial link in the Husker Link Trail, has been needed for years. It would span an intersection that has over 40,000 vehicles per day pass through it and yet is also a heavily-used crossing for pedestrians. This is a dangerous situation. To quote from the Multi-modal transportation report: “There are numerous planned but un-built grade separations along both the planned and existing path system. They are identified in the Comprehensive Plan…They can cost well over $1 million, especially if the project involves a completely new bridge structure that spans a multi-lane roadway. Such challenges that come with estimating these costs do not alter the fact that funding for these structures is needed in order to build and complete the type of path system that our community needs and deserves.” This project, which will cost in excess of $1 million dollars, is included in both the PBAC’s and the City Council’s suggested projects for the bond issue.

2. Item number 2 is the rehabilitation of the Billy Wolff Trail, which parallels Normal Blvd and is one of Lincoln’s more heavily-used and visible trails. It was built in the late 70’s as a demonstration project when Helen Boosalis was Mayor. It has 2 underpasses—one at 27th and one at A St—and neither of these underpasses meet ADA standards. In addition, its surface is narrow, and rough and dangerous in some areas. The PBAC has recognized for some time that this trail is in need of major rehabilitation. Again, to quote from the MM report, “In addition, many miles of path are in need of major rehabilitative work, or even replacement, as are existing grade separations along the system. Such major rehabilitative work has not been adequately funded in the past. A $4 million backlog of immediate needs exists.” This is a priority project both from PBAC and the Multi-modal report.
3 Along with the Billy Wolff rehabilitation, there is also $500,000 included that would provide for other less major—but no less important—trail rehabilitation projects. There are several pieces of our trails system which are in need of immediate rehabilitation, including the Superior St Trail, the Tierra Park Connector, the Murdock Trail and the Highway 2 Trail. This is just a small piece of the $4 million dollar total identified in the Multi-modal study.

4 Lastly, the Calvert to J St section of the Jamaica North Trail, when completed, will finally bring trail connections to one of Lincoln’s most diverse and needy neighborhoods. This is major goal of our Comprehensive Plan. For this reason we are recommending it be bumped up the trail priority list. This is a neighborhood, close to downtown, which, if it had an adequate corridor, could use it to commute to work and/or school by bicycle or otherwise. If this project has to wait on routine timing, this neighborhood, which has for so long been controlled by railroad tracks, will have to live with an undeveloped corridor for several years. Therefore, the GPTN and the PBAC recommends that it be included in the bond issue.

We will address our comments now to those items that PBAC recommended NOT be included in the bond issue.

First, while GPTN recognizes the need for downtown Lincoln to be more bicycle-friendly, and has put considerable thought into making those downtown connections, we concur with PBAC that the decision to have, and the plan for implementation of, bicycle lanes at this time, is not in place. We believe that any expenditure towards that end should be deferred until that decision to have bike lanes is made and a specific plan is in hand.

Secondly, we object to the Phase II Antelope Valley trail being placed in a bond issue, but instead recommend that it remain in the CIP and be funded by General Revenue next year. City officials, going back 3 Mayoral terms, made a pledge to citizens to keep funding for Antelope Valley in the General Operating Budget. By placing it in the bond issue would be a betrayal of that pledge.

The Great Plains Trails Network was founded, essentially, to support the planning and implementation of a Master Plan for Trails. It has campaigned hard for previous bond issues, and has worked diligently to raise funds to support the system, raising between 1.5 and $2.0 million dollars for trails in this area. GPTN is committed to being a partner in this effort. However, it is hesitant to support projects that are not the result of a consistent planning process and are not deemed appropriate for such a bond issue.

Therefore, our Board of Directors asks that you adopt the recommendations as put forth by the Pedestrian/Bicycle Advisory Committee.

Thank you.
DEAR SIR,

I am writing to you in regard the way the AUTO SALVAGE YARDS are making our neighborhood look so bad by having, old junk cars in view where they are to have a tall closed in fence, so we do not have to look at them.

I live at 1436 south second street Lincoln, Nebraska, and there is the AECONOMY NSELF STORAGE BUSINESS AT 1440 SOUTH SECOND SREET on the south side of my home and I am very upset about the way the owner of the storage business it has been there for years and I have and I have no complaints about this place until now.

AECONOMY SELF STORAGE 1440 South Street Lincoln Nebraska 68502, has been bring in junk cars in the to the storage business parking them along the chain fence. These cars are a sore to my property. Some of the cars have no doors, no motors, some just a shells of the cars. SO I went to the bldg. and safety office to what I can do about this matter. At that time I left because there was on one I could talk to about this matter. Then I called the bldg. and safety office 441-7521 and talk to the office and a man came out to look at the storage place and told me that had to be cleaned up. NOTHING WAS DONE my sister and I went to the bldg. and safety office to see what would tell this time, this time we meet with another man, this man told us that this owner of the storage business could also park the junk in their. Also told us the owner and to put up a fence. I don’t understand the city ordinance ALL AOUTO SALAGE YARDS must have a high closed in fence. Witch there is one business on third and Garfield street and the city rode that man got a fence up. Like Rick’s 1511 south second street Lincoln, Nebraska 68202, this man has cars on the street and on the city property. Never nothing done about it and there has been complaints turn in. Another one is KENDLE’S AUTO SALAVGE & SALES 100 WEST SUMNER STREET. 477-9702.

This business has cars parked that where not in a fence also parked on the city property along first street. And complaints going in never nothing done about it.

Our neighbor used to look good, but now it looks really bad. This is bad enough the fire department took over our city park by hauling junk in the park, loads of broken up concrete with just a chain fence.

Old box cars, why can’t this been put at HOLMES PARK, SEACREST PARK. Our Neighbor hood has been that way over the years. All I ask is for the city to do their job in
making the auto salvage hide the tore up cars behind the closed in fence first street was looking nice after the paved street but the auto salvage yard of Kendle's is a eye sore to our neighbor hood please help and get us get our neighbor hood cleaned up. I can not look out my window without seeing junk cars in my view.

SINCERELY YOURS

THANK YOU

Beverly Sapp

438-10-17

city & 8502 = 438-10-17

There are so short of money on school etc.

How come the Mayor gave the city counsellor double wages?

Rich get richer & the poor get poorer.

want the answer

Beverly Sapp
Dear Ms. Fuog: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

"Karin and Eric" <karinanderic9397@earthlink.net>

"Karin and Eric" <karinanderic9397@earthlink.net>
06/10/2004 09:08 PM
To: <council@ci.lincoln.ne.us>, <mayor@ci.lincoln.ne.us>
cc: <karinanderic9397@earthlink.net>
Subject: Lux booster bus routes

Dear Council Members and Mayor:

Thank you very much for your attention during public hearing on the city of Lincoln budget last night. I appreciate the opportunity to address you directly.

I wanted to follow up with a letter and reiterate my request that the $17,000 for two booster bus routes from Lux Middle School to neighborhoods south and east of the school be reinstated in the city budget.

By its very name and nature, public transportation should be available to everyone. The opportunity to use public transportation should not be based on income or other considerations. The proposed Lux booster routes D and E service an area of Lincoln that currently has no bus routes at all. Those of us who would use these buses will not do so for free; we will help defray the cost of these routes by paying bus fares, in the same way that all other bus routes’ expenses are defrayed.

If public transportation is to live up to its name, it should be designed for maximum coverage of the city, to garner revenue from all neighborhoods and sectors of the population. Please do not make bus use a mark of wealth of the lack thereof. Provide a public transport system that serves all of the city of Lincoln.

Sincerely,

Karin Fuog
9200 Foxen Circle
486.0386
August 10, 2004

Mayor Coleen J. Seng
555 So. 10th St., Suite 208
Lincoln, NE 68508

Dear Mayor:

On behalf of the Pedestrian/Bicycle Advisory Committee, I would like to thank you for all of your efforts to provide a balanced budget this year.

We know there have been several changes to the budget and we would like to once again endorse the letter we sent to you and the City Council on July 29, 2004 regarding the Bond Issue and our priorities for those expenditures. We also think it is important to let you and the City Council know that we support your revised budget that was presented at the City Council meeting on Monday, August 9, 2004 and that our concerns have been addressed.

Sincerely,

Dalyce Ronnau, Chairman
Pedestrian/Bicycle Advisory Committee

Cc: City Council
August 10, 2004

Lincoln City Council
555 South 10th St.
Room #111
Lincoln, NE 68508

Dear City Council Members,

The 84th Street improvement project is exciting as we envision the future and excruciating as we endure the construction process. As a school, we are concentrating on the future.

I am writing to request your help and support for a stop light at the future entrance to Lincoln Christian School on 84th Street. This will be a serious safety issue that needs to be addressed proactively. Hundreds of vehicles turn into and out of the Lincoln Christian School parking lot on 84th Street every day. The drivers and occupants of these vehicles are elementary students, teen drivers, parents, teachers, staff, administration, grandparents and visitors of all ages. The busiest time is during the morning rush-hour traffic. With 84th Street’s improvement to four or more lanes and the resulting change of the entrance location to the south, we must have a stop light to ensure the safety of those coming to and going from our school. Now is the time to make sure that the stop light is included in the overall plan. It will be more efficient and cost-effective to put the stop light in the plan now than to install it after the street has been widened and the concrete poured.

Secondly, I would also like to request a school zone speed limit be put into place for Lincoln Christian School on both 84th Street and Old Cheney. There are many examples throughout the city of similar school situations where a light and/or school speed zones are enforced. This an important matter of safety for both children and parents.

Thank you for your consideration and support of this matter. I trust that you will find that these ongoing safety issues override the one-time monetary considerations. I hope you will agree that a light will help insure against any grave safety incident happening at that intersection. I would be delighted to discuss these matters with you at your convenience.

Sincerely,

Mark Wilson
Dr. Loy Watley, Lincoln Christian School Board President
Mr. Mark Wilson, Lincoln Christian School Superintendent

Cc: Mayor Coleen Seng, Roger Figard, city engineer
Dear Ms. West:
Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

swest@lps.org

Dear Council members,

I wish to thank you for the three new proposed fire fighter positions. My husband is a firefighter and I find it personally troubling to know that Lincoln is currently in excess of 30 positions short of NFPA standards. (Not to mention the fire stations)
The safety implications of being this short staffed are obvious. Thank you for taking steps to correct this, but I hope you will reconsider Terry Werner’s original proposal of 6 new staff members.
The firefighters I know wish to serve the citizens of this community the best way they can. They are not afraid of hard work, nor to put their life on the line when duty calls; but they should not be asked to continually work below safety standards to save the city money. Please consider changing the 3 positions back to the original 6.

Thanks you,
Sherry West
Dear Dr. Rau and Dr. Subramanian: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

---

Rauav@aol.com
08/11/2004 09:47 AM
To: council@ci.lincoln.ne.us, mayor@ci.lincoln.ne.us
cc: Rauav@aol.com
Subject: Lux Booster Bus Routes

August 11, 2004

Hon’ble Members of the Lincoln City Concil and Hon’ble Mayor:

I am writing in support of the Lux Booster Bus Route. My wife and I request that the funding for the proposed bus routes may please be reinstated. We request on behalf of our son who will be attending Lux Middle School this year, and I am sure many more parents from this part of town would concur.

I had the opportunity to listen to the public response on Monday evening (August 9th). A common theme that I heard was on transportation issue - various aspects of the issue, from different segments of the PUBLIC. I also heard Mrs. Karin Fuog’s eloquent address to you and I supported the views she articulated.

The present request is important in several ways.

(a) It is a request for an enabling mode of transport for our child and other children like him who shall be attending Lux, some for the first time this academic year and some others who are already doing so. In the years to come, there will be more who will avail of this mode of transport when it indeed becomes available.

Simply put: The students who shall be attending Lux Middle School are too young to drive, and walking to school is not feasible (My son walked to Maxey Elementary as it was feasible). The only option is for parents to drop them at school, a task/chore that we gladly do. However, the school timing/schedule, especially the ending time is particularly inconvenient for many parents. Car pooling is another option that is exercised, but one that may not be suitable or available to every one.
Therefore, the availability of public transport would immensely alleviate this problem for us and for the many who live in this part of Lincoln.

(b) As Karin referred to in her address, there are safety and congestion issues involved at these schools. More the number of vehicles, the higher the probability of occurrence of safety events. These may be considered positive side benefits.

Further, in general, given the option we, as a family, prefer riding a bus when/where available. During our residence in Seattle, we routinely rode the bus to Downtown. Some day, we hope we can do the same here in Lincoln... especially to the Farmer’s market on Saturdays in the months they exist.

In closing we wish to thank all of you for all the good work you do and for your public service. We also wish to thank The Mayor for including the bus proposal in the budget.

We hope you will reinstate the funding and provide the StarTran routes to Lux Middle School from the South/SouthEast Neighborhoods.

Thanking you,
Sincerely,
Anand V. Rau, Ph.D.
Anu Subramanian, Ph.D.
5510 South 77th Street
Lincoln, NE 68516
(402)327-2047
The Coalition for Peace will be sponsoring the Wall of Remembrance the last of August through early part of September. Phil will transport & possibly store it in his large van. Faye is lining up sites. Don is taking responsibility for its care and overall coordination. Share your ideas for its use while in Lincoln. Josh or Becca, will you please send this to email to all members of the peace coalition. Thanks.

By this wall we remember and honor our soldiers who lost their lives in Iraq.
On March 21, 2003, the first day of invasion, six young Marines lost their lives.
We do not know when the last life will be lost, nor who that person will be.

We believe the deaths of these young men and women need to be acknowledged and mourned, not just by their loved ones

Incidentally, the last American death will be the one just before the government was turned over to the Iraqis.
(this is the narrative on the exhibit)

from Don Tilley
To: "Fuog, Karin" <Karin.Fuog@nelnet.net>  
cc: "council@ci.lincoln.ne.us" <council@ci.lincoln.ne.us>,  
     "mayor@ci.lincoln.ne.us" <mayor@ci.lincoln.ne.us>  
Subject: Re: Lux Booster Bus Routes

Dear Ms. Fuog: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their review. It certainly is a pleasure to hear of a young person taking an active interest in our governmental processes - especially with everyone's hard work resulting in a happy ending! Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray  
City Council Office  
555 South 10th Street  
Lincoln, NE - 68508  
Phone: 402-441-6886  
Fax: 402-441-6533  
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us  
"Fuog, Karin" <Karin.Fuog@nelnet.net>

"Fuog, Karin" <Karin.Fuog@nelnet.net>  
08/12/2004 08:38 AM  
To: "council@ci.lincoln.ne.us" <council@ci.lincoln.ne.us>,  
     "mayor@ci.lincoln.ne.us" <mayor@ci.lincoln.ne.us>  
cc:  
Subject: Lux Booster Bus Routes

Honorable Mayor and City Council Members:

Thank you very much for reinstating the Lux Middle School booster bus routes in the city budget. I have been struggling with alternatives, all of which would negatively impact either my employment or my family's quality of life. It is with a big smile that I will be purchasing bus passes for my son at Lux's open house. He has been following the budget procedure with me and is very excited to be riding the bus home from school. How often does one get either of those reactions from an eleven year-old?

I know these bus routes will be a great boon to the working parents in the neighborhoods they service, and I believe they will positively impact not only these neighborhoods but the city of Lincoln as a whole.

Thank you for making StarTran a public transit system and for listening to the parents in our community.

Karin Fuog  
402.458.3031  
Nelnet

The information contained in this message is confidential proprietary property of Nelnet, Inc. and its affiliated companies (Nelnet) and is intended for the recipient only. Any reproduction, forwarding, or copying without the express permission of Nelnet is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by replying to this e-mail.
TO: All City Council Members
FAX # 402-6533
DATE: 8/12/04

FROM: Cape Charles Square Corp (Member of Williamsburg Home Owners Assn)

A TOTAL OF 1 PAGES, INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET, ARE BEING SENT TO YOU. If you did not receive all of the pages, or the transmission is not clear, please call 402-421-8488.

Message: Please give a copy to each Council member
Cape Charles Square Corporation
3410 Cape Charles Road West, Lincoln, NE 68516-5446

To: Alan Abbott, Director of Public Works, City of Lincoln
From: David L. Swartz, President, Cape Charles Square Homeowners' Association
Date: Thursday, August 12, 2004
Re: Williamsburg Village Lake Dredging
Cc: Mayor Coleen Seng
     City of Lincoln City Council
     Jon Jackson, President, Williamsburg Village Homeowners' Association

The purpose of this memo is to officially notify you of actions taken by the Cape Charles Square Board of Directors regarding the dredging of the north lake located in Williamsburg Village.

The Cape Charles Square Board of Directors has voted unanimously directing me to contact officials of the City of Lincoln and urge them to maintain their original commitment to restore the north lake to its original silt storage capacity. At this point in time, they are half way to accomplishing this directive. There needs to be immediate attention to this situation and time is crucial. The uncertainty regarding completion of the lake dredging project has caused great problems with the shoreline stabilization phase that must be coordinated with the dredging project.

I am aware of two documents that reference the commitment of the City of Lincoln to restoring the north lake to its original condition by the end of the year 2002:

One document is a letter dated December 26, 1997 from Roger Figard, City Engineer, to Robert Hampton in care of the Williamsburg Village Residential Homeowners Association. The opening paragraph of the letter states, "The Public Works Department has reviewed the condition of the detention pond south of Browning Street as you requested. We recognize that the City is responsible for maintaining this pond to the year 2002 and turning it over to the association in good condition. " (Emphasis added)

The second document references Resolution No. A-73615 of the Williamsburg P.U.D. which indicates that the City of Lincoln entered into a contract in which condition 5.2.6 calls for the City to remove the silt from the north lake before or at the term of ten years. Our understanding is that the 10-year term ended during the year 2002 and was extended to the present time. The City remains responsible for removing all the silt from the lake and restoring the lake to its original condition.

I believe the actions taken by our Board of Directors indicate our concern for the urgency of this matter and the two documents referenced above provide clear direction as to how this issue should be resolved. Please contact me (phone 421-2116) or Joe Hampton (420-2187) should you have questions about any of the above.

[Signature]

David L. Swartz
ADDENDUM
TO
DIRECTORS' AGENDA
MONDAY, AUGUST 16, 2004

I. MAYOR

1. NEWS ADVISORY - RE: 5 City-TV To Re-Air Show Featuring Ted Kooser - (See Release)

2. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Public Invited To Open House On North 14th Street Project - (See Release)

II. CITY CLERK - NONE

III. CORRESPONDENCE

A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE

GLENN FRIENDT

1. Memo & attachment from Glenn Friendt - RE: Lincoln Arts Council audit letter - (See Material)

JON CAMP

1. E-Mail from Troy Henggeler to Jon Camp - RE: Pioneers street improvement project - (See E-Mail)

B. DIRECTORS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS - NONE

C. MISCELLANEOUS

1. E-Mail from Stan Kuta - RE: Use Permit No. 04002 - Item #13, on the Agenda for 8/16/04 - (See E-Mail)

2. E-Mail written by Joan Ray for Ms. Gail Hiskey - RE: Use Permit 04002 - #13 on 8/16/04 Agenda - Opposed - (See E-Mail)

3. E-Mail from Bruce Meyer - RE: Deny use permit #04002 - (See E-Mail)

daadd081604/tig
OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
Citizen Information Center, 555 South 10th Street, Lincoln, NE 68508, 441-7375, fax 441-7120

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: August 13, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Diane Gonzolas, Citizen Information Center, 441-7831

5 CITY-TV TO RE-AIR SHOW FEATURING TED KOOSER

A program featuring Nebraska poet Ted Kooser will be re-aired five times on the City's government access channel, 5 CITY-TV (cable channel 5). Kooser was named this week as U.S. Poet Laureate by the Library of Congress.

The hour-long show was taped about two years ago as part of the John H. Ames Reading Series, "Beyond Books Special Edition." The program also features poets Twyla Hansen, Marge Saiser and Roy Scheele. It will air at these times:

- Saturday, August 14 at noon
- Sunday, August 15 at 3 p.m. and 6:30 p.m.
- Monday, August 16 at 10 a.m. and 5:30 p.m.

The John H. Ames Reading Series is taped at the Jane Pope Geske Heritage Room of Nebraska Authors in the Bennett Martin Public Library in Lincoln. The program is produced by 5 CITY-TV for the Lincoln City Libraries. Anyone with programming questions or viewer comments is urged to call the 5 CITY-TV feed back line at 441-6688.
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PUBLIC WORKS AND UTILITIES DEPARTMENT  
Engineering Services, 531 Westgate Blvd., Lincoln, NE 68528, 441-7711, fax 441-6576

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: August 13, 2004
FOR MORE INFORMATION: Kent Evans, Engineering Services, 441-7583

PUBLIC INVITED TO OPEN HOUSE  
ON NORTH 14TH STREET PROJECT

The public is invited to an open house on improvements to North 14th Street from 5:30 to 7:30 p.m. Tuesday, August 17 at Goodrich Middle School, 4600 Lewis Avenue. Design work is under way on the project, which will widen North 14th to four lanes from Superior Street to Alvo Road to accommodate growth in the area. Improvements are also planned for the intersection of North 14th and Superior.

At the open house, citizens will have the opportunity to meet with project team members, learn more about the project and give feedback. Formal presentations providing an overview and update of the project will start at 5:45 p.m. and 6:45 p.m.

Those with questions on the project can contact Kent Evans (441-7583) with the City Public Works and Utilities Department or Duane Eitel (458-5000) or Jon Olsen (458-5614) with Olsson Associates.

Additional information is available on the City Web site at lincoln.ne.gov and on the project Web site at www.oaprojects.com/north14th.
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MEMORANDUM

DATE: August 13, 2004

TO: Mayor Coleen Seng
Lincoln City Council
Lincoln Arts Council, Board Chair
Lincoln Arts Council, Executive Director

FROM: Glenn Friendt

RE: Lincoln Arts Council audit letter

I am providing to you a copy of a letter I received anonymously at my home regarding the Lincoln Arts Council. The letter was accompanied with a note warning the city to watch its money going to the Lincoln Arts Council.

Since I received this in my capacity as an elected official and this is a public document regarding an agency receiving tax dollars I am providing it to you and making it part of the public record.

As we have learned so painfully in the last few years, conditions described in this letter need immediate attention. As a community we care about the arts and the Lincoln Arts Council.

Attachment: Lincoln Arts Council audit letter
To the Board of Directors and Management
Lincoln Arts Council

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of Lincoln Arts Council (the Organization) for the year ended August 31, 2003, we considered its internal control in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on internal control. However, we noted certain matters involving control and its operation that we consider to be reportable conditions under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control that, in our judgment, could adversely affect Lincoln Arts Council's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the financial statements.

Statement on Auditing Standards No. 99 (SAS No. 99)

In response to the Enron and WorldCom accounting and auditing failures, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants instituted SAS No. 99 effective for financial statement periods beginning in 2003. Along with major changes and additions in auditing procedures, the standard imposes additional responsibilities on the auditee's management to prevent and detect fraud. We recommend management to analyze SAS No. 99 and design and implement controls to comply with the standard.

Segregation of Duties

Typical of entities with limited number of staff, the Organization does not have adequate segregation of duties as to:

- Incoming mail and cash receipts
- Disbursement and payroll check custody before mailing/distribution
- Cash disbursements and purchases
- Bank reconciliations and cash receipts and disbursements
- General ledger and subsidiary ledger functions

The Board and management should be cognizant of these issues in performing their review and oversight responsibilities to the Organization.

Cash

Cash internal control could be improved by the following:

- Daily bank deposit of checks and cash received.
- Utilization of prenumbered receipts for cash received and a record of the daily amounts received totaled and initialed by two employees.
- For cash collected at special events, reconciliation of cash received to tickets sold and accounting for all unsold tickets.
- Obtain and review canceled disbursement checks for proper number, date, payee, endorsement, authorized signature, alterations, etc.
- Regular review of bank reconciliations by the Board treasurer for proper preparation, timely clearing of reconciling items, etc. and initialing and dating the reconciliation to document the review performed.
- Fidelity bonding of employees with cash handling responsibilities.

Information Systems

Internal control over information systems could be improved by the following:
- Regular changing of passwords for all users (typically 90 days.)
- Development and testing of contingency plans for alternative processing in the event of loss or interruption of the information system function includes rotation of computer file backups offsite for availability in the event of a disaster.

Employee Vacations

To improve basic internal control, during employee vacations other staff should perform the vacationing employee’s accounting/record keeping functions while the employee is absent.

Board Minutes

As in the prior year, we recommend the minutes of the meetings of the Board of Directors should be signed by the secretary to serve as an official document of the Board’s policy and decision making and approvals.

Executive Committee Minutes

The Organization has not kept minutes of the meetings of the executive committee. It is standard business practice to have the executive committee minutes prepared for these meetings and reviewed approved by the full Board. All minutes should be kept in a secure fireproof location since they are an important part of the Organization’s records, which should be kept permanently.

Disbursement and Payroll Procedures

During the course of our audit, we noted that there were a number of errors in recording checks and deposits in the general ledger. These included duplicate check numbers, numerous voided checks for duplicate payments on disbursements, checks voided or not recorded but yet cleared and payrolls prepared and issued several days before or after scheduled pay periods. The Organization does not appear to have standardized disbursement and payroll processes in place to prevent these types of issues. We recommend that adequate disbursement and payroll procedures be formulated, documented and fully implemented by management.
Office Filing Procedures

During the course of our audit, we noted that management had difficulty finding articles of incorporation, grant documents, supporting check details, and other information. This was due to turnover in a relevant staff position. We recommend that management standardize the filing processes so when turnover does occur, management can still find basic documentation.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Directors, management, and others within the Organization and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

December 12, 2003
---

Jon Camp
Lincoln City Council
City Council Office: 441-8793
Constituent representative: Darrell Podany

----- Message from THengg@aol.com on Thu, 12 Aug 2004 16:38:34 EDT -----

To: jcamp@ci.lincoln.ne.us

Subject: Pioneers street improvement

: project

Jon, my name is Troy Henggeler and I live at 3920 S. 78th St. I read about the plan for the improvement of Pioneers St. and read that there will be no raised median's on this plan. Last year I went down to Public Works and the plan that they should me did have a median located at the Pioneers and 78th St. intersection. I was all for this due to the heavy amount of traffic that uses 78th to cut through or to go to Lux School. 78th St., in my opinion, seems to have a very high traffic flow for a residential street. The traffic is bad enough, but you know unfortunately this day and age speeding comes with that also. I have some reasons why this might be, which I would be happy to discuss with you. By Having this median on Pioneers it would help in relieving some of the traffic from 78th to other streets in the neighborhood. When a school is located in the middle of a neighborhood there should be certain streets that should be allocated for the traffic for the school, and those streets should be designed and constructed for the higher volume of traffic. Is it possible to put a traffic counter on 78th St. to get the number of vehicles and is there any city ordinance that comes up with a plan when there is a high volume of traffic on a residential street? I would be very happy to discuss this matter privately with you at your convenience. Thanks for you time on this matter.

Regards, Troy

402-499-2610

---
Dear Mr. Kuta: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us

Stankutaman@aol.com

Dear City Council Members:
I probably have no idea how many phone calls, e-mails, nasty letters and decisions You Folks encounter each week, but it is encouraging to know that the average peon-property owner can have a sayso and or at least know Our opinion does get recognized.

Here are a few reasons Our association opposes the townhouse development. When We first met with the developers, we were basically told they would put in apartments if the townhouses weren’t accepted. What also agitated us was the builders put advertising signs on the property 3-4 months ago making it appear it was a done deal and collected $1000 in advanced without owning the property. All of the Houses on 12th and 13th street were designed with all the windows and frontages facing the golf course and with an open view, which the builders used as their selling points, and we were all told there wasn’t any plan for the next ten years to build anything on this plot of land.

Our biggest concerns are:
a. 250 cars or more added to 13th street, with kids trying to cross 13th to go to the swimming pool, plus the noise and congestion.
b. Our property values plummeting, as will the water pressure which is low now.
c. The Parochial and Public schools are now at capacity, and the Parochial having to add 2 more classes next year.
d. The sunsets and open view of the golf course and airport will be gone forever, having to digest the backsides of compressed, low to medium priced townhouses.

At the City Planning Commissioners Meeting it was obvious the builders were seeking a lot of waivers, and never was it mentioned they were putting in a storage area, which would account for several acres of property being used up, which makes the townhouses more congested.

At last, why couldn’t this property have been considered for a Junior or Executive Golf course, or a city park which we really don’t have, other than the school playground.

All I can hope for is Your decision is made very thoughtfully, as I have to live with IT the rest of my life, and hopefully MY Dreamhome won’t end up being like a tree buried in a forrest.

Sincerely,
Stan Kuta 5733 NW 12th
Dear Council Members: Ms. Gail Hiskey, (whose computer was down and she was therefore unable to contact Council Members personally), telephoned Jon Camp who suggested she contact me to forward her message to each Council Member.

Ms. Hiskey lives at 5727 NW 12th Street - right behind the golf course where the Cameron Corp has made application to develop 112 dwelling units and two outlots (O-3 Office Park) - with multiple waivers. The community, in discussions, agrees that it would be futile to oppose development, but they would hope that Council listen to their concerns as to what development is allowed. Currently the area schools are at and exceeding capacity. Goodrich students are being bused to the new NorthStar High School facility where they are already using portables to accommodate this influx of students. More family housing in the area can only worsen a situation that is already at emergency solution levels.

The area is Prime Land. Homes there are selling in the $200-250,000 range. The community would not object to an up-grade of the development. Ms. Hiskey noted that since that land was zoned Commercial when they bought their homes there, the community members would prefer and agree to a Commercial development over the one currently proposed. The increased population in an already over-crowded school situation, the increased traffic, the blighting of the landscape and view -which had been offered as selling points to those who purchased homes in the area- would be detrimental to the community. They would like to see a development go in that would compliment the community and not one that only adds to already existing problem issues.

I'm cc's this message to Mr. Hiskey's e-mail address.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us
Dear Mr. Meyer: Your message has been received in the Council Office and will be forwarded to the Council Members for their consideration. Thank you for your input on this issue.

Joan V. Ray
City Council Office
555 South 10th Street
Lincoln, NE - 68508
Phone: 402-441-6866
Fax: 402-441-6533
e-mail: jray@ci.lincoln.ne.us
"Bruce Meyer" <phloyd@earthlink.net>

"Bruce Meyer" <phloyd@earthlink.net>
08/13/2004 12:56 PM
Please respond to phloyd

To: <phloyd@earthlink.net>
cc: <council@ci.lincoln.ne.us>
Subject: Re: Deny use permit # 04002

Hello-

I am a homeowner in the West Highlands area of Lincoln. My house is directly across NW 13th street from the site where developers are attempting to get approval to build approximately 112 town homes. I am writing this e-mail to urge you to follow the recommendations of the Lincoln Planning Commission (when they voted 6-1) to recommend denial of the use permit #04002.

This proposed development will have severe negative impacts on our neighborhood. The president of our homeowners association, Gordon Bjorman, summarized our concerns very well for the planning commission. In addition to concerns he expressed regarding increased traffic and children needing to cross NW 13th street to access the municipal pool, the impact to our already overcrowded neighborhood elementary school, and the sheer density of placing 112 living units on such a small tract of land, I have an additional concern.

I, and many of my neighbors, purchased our homes with the understanding that the land now being considered for high density town homes was zoned such that it would be used for professional offices for doctors, insurance agents, etc. If approved and built, in addition to reducing the quality of life in our neighborhood, this development will reduce the value of my and my neighbor’s properties. I do not believe that the financial gains of the developers proposing this project should outweigh the financial losses and reduced quality of life of the homeowners in my neighborhood who will have to suffer the consequences long after the developer has made his profit and moved on to other projects.

I was able to attend the Lincoln Planning Commission Hearing in person to help represent the opposition to this project. I doubt that I will be able to take time from my job to attend the City Council Meeting on Monday so I
am writing this e-mail to ensure that my voice is counted among those who oppose this project.

Please do not allow this project to move ahead.

Thank you for your consideration.

Bruce Meyer
5739 NW 12th Street
Lincoln, NE 68521
DIRECTORS’ MEETING
MINUTES
MONDAY, AUGUST 16, 2004
CONFERENCE ROOM 113


Council Member Absent: Terry Werner, Chair

Others Present: Mark Bowen, Ann Harrell, Lin Quenzer, Mayor’s Office; City Clerk, Joan Ross; Dana Roper, City Attorney; Directors and Department Heads; Darrell Podany, Aide to Council Members Camp, Friendt, & Svoboda; Tammy Grammer, City Council Staff and Nate Jenkins, Lincoln Journal Star Representative.

I. MAYOR

[Mayor Coleen Seng not present.] Mark Bowen stated to Council the Mayor is at a press conference at the Airport to announce the new direct flights to Detroit and so she’ll be back by 11:30 a.m. or 11:45 a.m. Mr. Camp asked what airline? Mr. Bowen replied Northwest Airline expansion to the service, so they’re pleased to hear about that and she’s out there.

Mr. Bowen stated he’s got a couple of things, they probably saw the story yesterday in the newspaper about [inaudible].

Mr. Bowen stated they had a very successful ‘Ribfest’ event that went on and Diane [Gonzolas] is trying to find out who actually won the contest on ribs.

Mr. Bowen commented to Council that they probably saw the article from the weekend regarding Family Services and Lynn Johnson works with him and asked Lynn [Johnson] to tell them about the change going on there and how it will have an effect on them. Lynn Johnson stated they’ve done a little bit of checking on it and as they probably know Family Services provides before and after school programs in 23 schools and they also have two of the Community Learning Centers site supervisor. They’ve talked to them and it seems like all of our programs are a go at this point. They were a little concerned about if they weren’t going to have those programs available that they might have to scramble and see if they could put something together. But, the information they have from them indicates that they’re a go at this point and they’re planning on providing those services approaching.
*1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Public Invited To Dedication Of Kontras Park. — NO COMMENTS

2. NEWS RELEASE - RE: City Plans Patriot Day Event for September 10th. — NO COMMENTS

3. NEWS ADVISORY - RE: Dedication of Kontras Park (10:00 a.m. August 12th at South Cotner Boulevard and Aldrich Road; and Near South Neighborhood Associations’ dedication of new park at 19th & “A” Streets (1:00 p.m. August 15th - at 19th & “A” Streets. — NO COMMENTS

II. CITY CLERK

City Clerk Joan Ross stated to Council on their Agenda today under “Public Hearing-Liquor” Items 2, 3, 4 & 5 can be called together because they’re all for the Shell Food Mart-Lichti Bros. and same manager. [Application of Lichti Bros. Oil Co., Inc. dba Shell Food Mart for a Class B liquor license at 1101 Belmont Street; Manager application of Timothy C. Lichti for Lichti Bros. Oil Co., Inc. dba Shell Food Mart at 1101 Belmont Street; Application of Lichti Bros. Oil Co., Inc. dba Shell Food Mart for a Class B liquor license at 6000 Havelock Avenue; and Manager application of Timothy C. Lichti for Lichti Bros. Oil Co., Inc. dba Shell Food Mart at 6000 Havelock Avenue.]

For Item 20, it is a Public Hearing and what she would do after everything has been stated than during the vote session she would just ask does someone wish to move approval or denial and noted they have two Resolutions for it. Mr. Svoboda stated okay. [Appeal of Impact Fee Determination on behalf of Berean Fundamental Church of Lincoln, Nebraska, covering Building Permit Application No. B0401352, on property located at 6400 South 70th Street.]

There is a “Miscellaneous Referral”, which is very routine. City Clerk Joan Ross stated to Council that she can get copies for them, if they don’t want the paper copy because they’re included as part of their ‘Action Sheet’ later, she can stop photocopying. She asked Council what’s their desire, do they want her to keep handing these out to them, she sure can. Mr. Svoboda stated if it’s more than routine, he would say hand it to them and if it’s just those normal items on there, he doesn’t see why. City Clerk Joan Ross noted that they do show up on the ‘Action Sheet’ once they get it. Mr. Svoboda stated okay.
*1.  Faxed Letter from Bill Whitley, Vincenzo’s ristorante brought into Council Office by City Clerk Joan Ross - RE: Effective immediately, please withdraw the application for use of right of way for Vincenzo’s Restaurant at 808 “P” Street in Lincoln - Item #13, 04R-187. — NO COMMENTS

III. CORRESPONDENCE

A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE

PATTE NEWMAN

1. OUTSTANDING Request to Ernie Castillo, Wynn Hjermstad, Marc Wullschleger, Urban Development Department/ Terry Bundy, LES/ Allan Abbott, Public Works & Utilities Director/ Mike DeKalb, Marvin Krout, Planning Department/ Lynn Johnson, Parks & Recreation Director - RE: Signs or banners identifying individual neighborhoods - (For Witherbee and Eastridge area) - (RFI#20 - 3/24/04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM TERRY BUNDY, LES RECEIVED ON RFI#20 - 4/12/04. — 2.) SEE RESPONSE FROM LYNN JOHNSON, PARKS & RECREATION DIRECTOR RECEIVED ON RFI#20 - 8/11/04. — Ms. Newman stated this item can be removed from the Agenda and she thinks they’ll be working with Lynn Johnson on it later.

2.  Request to Dana Roper, Law Department/ Don Herz, Finance Director - RE: Possible future source of funding called a worker tax (RFI#26 - 8/09/04). — NO COMMENTS

TERRY WERNER

1. OUTSTANDING Request to PW/ Planning - RE: Inquiry from Jay Petersen on Kajan Drive - Public or Private Roadway, plus Surface Rehabilitation Process (RFI #130-6-15-04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM THOMAS SHAFER, PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT RECEIVED ON RFI#130-8/03/04. — NO COMMENTS - TERRY WERNER ABSENT

2. OUTSTANDING Request to Vince Mejer, Purchasing Agent - RE: Notice to Bidders #04-110 – Television Equipment (RFI#132 - 6/16/04). — NO COMMENTS - TERRY WERNER ABSENT
3. Request to Allan Abbott, Public Works & Utilities Director - RE: Dredging of Williamsburg Lake (RFI#135 - 8/11/04). — TERRY WERNER ABSENT - NO COMMENTS - [Allan Abbott mentioned this RFI later under “IV. Directors-Public Works & Utilities Department”.] (See that discussion)

4. Request to Fire Chief Mike Spadt - RE: Recent Emergency Call at Williamsburg Lake (RFI#136 - 8/11/04). — NO COMMENTS - TERRY WERNER ABSENT

GLENN FRIENDT

1. OUTSTANDING Request to Lynn Johnson, Parks & Rec. Director - RE: South Salt Creek Community Organization concerns (RFI#33-5/25/04). — Mr. Friendt stated this item can be removed from the Agenda. Tammy Grammer stated to Mr. Friendt okay.

2. OUTSTANDING Request to Don Herz, Finance Director/Steve Hubka, City Budget Officer - RE: Fire Equipment Lease-Purchase (RFI#35 - 7/19/04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM DON HERZ, FINANCE DIRECTOR RECEIVED ON RFI#35 - 7/22/04. — Mr. Friendt stated this item can be removed from the Agenda. Tammy Grammer stated to Mr. Friendt okay.

3. OUTSTANDING Request to Allan Abbott, Public Works - RE: Accident Data on Intersection of W. Van Dorn and South Coddington for 5-year period (RFI #36-07/28/04). — 1.) SEE RESPONSE FROM SCOTT OPFER, PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT RECEIVED ON RFI#36-8/06/04. — NO COMMENTS

4. E-Mail from Glenn Friendt to Council - RE: Wanted to share what Gallup/SRI, the world leader in leadership development, has learned about “authentic leadership” in American organizations. — Mr. Friendt stated this item can be removed from the Agenda. Tammy Grammer stated to Mr. Friendt okay.

5. Request to Don Herz, Finance/Dana Roper, Law - RE: Constituent inquiry regarding the bond issue (RFI#37 - 8/12/04). — NO COMMENTS
JONATHAN COOK

1. OUTSTANDING Request to Weed Control/Public Works & Utilities Department/ Parks & Recreation Department - RE: Maintaining of ROW along W Van Dorn - (RFI#114 - 6/14/04).—RESPONSE RECEIVED FROM RUSS SCHULTZ (INCORPORATING RESPONSES FROM PW AND FROM P&R) ON 07-29-04. — Mr. Cook stated this item can be removed from the Agenda.

JON CAMP

Mr. Camp stated his question is to his colleagues is he the only one getting this many E-Mail’s and after they had that discussion a month or two ago on public records, he wants to make sure things are out there. Mr. Svoboda commented they’re getting them as well, but you put them on there. Mr. Cook commented to Mr. Camp you’re taking care of them. Mr. Camp stated ‘yes’ a lot of them are to all of them that he has. Mr. Camp stated if they have suggestions let him know, he just wants to make sure they’re being open about it. Mr. Svoboda stated okay.

*1. E-mail from Jan Weyhrauch to Jon Camp RE: Special Election and Raising Taxes. — NO COMMENTS

*2. E-Mail from Jan Karst with response from Jon Camp - RE: Today’s article in LJS on Smoking Ban. — NO COMMENTS

*3. E-Mail from Sue Quambusch with response from Jon Camp - RE: Budget Cuts. — NO COMMENTS

*4. Faxed Letter from Jeff Riekenberg to Jon Camp - RE: ‘Thank-you’ very much for supporting the proposed StarTran bus routes between Lux Middle School and neighborhoods south and east of the school in the 2004-2005 Lincoln City Budget. — NO COMMENTS

*5. E-Mail from Dan Mook with response from Jon Camp - RE: Addition of apartments west 88th St. — NO COMMENTS

*6. E-Mail from Kevin & Ruth Mattson with response from Jon Camp - RE: Lux School StarTran Bus Service. — NO COMMENTS
7. E-Mail from Tom Green, Corresponding Secretary, Lancaster County Democratic Party with response from Jon Camp - RE: The Patriot Act. — NO COMMENTS

8. E-Mail from Dan Mook with response from Jon Camp - RE: Addition of apartments west 88th Street. — NO COMMENTS

ANNETTE McROY

1. Request to Dennis Bartels, Public Works & Utilities Department - RE: Request-Gap Paving (RFI#153 - 8/12/04). — NO COMMENTS

B. DIRECTORS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS

BUDGET OFFICE

*1. Inter-Department Communication from Jan Bolin - RE: Corrected Budget Book Pages for PW/U - (See Material). — NO COMMENTS

FINANCE DEPARTMENT/CITY TREASURER

1. Material from Don Herz, Finance Director & Melinda J. Jones, City Treasurer - RE: Resolution & Finance Department Treasurer of Lincoln, Nebraska - Investments Purchased July 26 thru August 6, 2004. — NO COMMENTS

HEALTH

*1. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Confirmatory Test Finds Earlier Lancaster County Case Is Not West Nile. — NO COMMENTS

*2. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Partnering To Prevent Family Swimming Pool Drownings. — NO COMMENTS

3. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Special Opportunity For Computer And Electronics Recycling. — NO COMMENTS
PLANNING

*1. Memo from Marvin Krout - RE: Lincoln Housing Costs. — NO COMMENTS

*2. Memo from Marvin Krout - RE: Residential Land Inventory & Single Family Lots. — NO COMMENTS

*3. Annexation by Ordinance - Ordinance No. 18400 - Effective: July 27, 2004 - 20.9 Acres. — NO COMMENTS

4. Planning Department Newsletter - Issue #10 - August 2004. — NO COMMENTS


PLANNING COMMISSION FINAL ACTION . . . . .

1. Special Permit No. 04038 (Broadcast Tower - N. 31st Street and North View Drive) Resolution No. PC-00883. — NO COMMENTS

2. Preliminary Plat No. 04016 - Whispering Creek (S. 112th Street and Old Cheney Road) Resolution No. PC-00884. — NO COMMENTS

PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT

*1. Public Works & Utilities ADVISORY - RE: South 14th Street; Old Cheney - Pine Lake - Project No. 701306. — NO COMMENTS

2. E-Mail Material from Karen Sieckmeyer - RE: Impact Fee Administrator Report & Recommendation on the Agenda for Monday, August 16th. — NO COMMENTS

3. Public Works & Utilities ADVISORY - RE: 30th Street; Randolph - Mohawk - Residential Rehabilitation Project #701752. — NO COMMENTS
4. REVISED Public Works & Utilities ADVISORY - RE: 30th Street; Randolph - Mohawk - Residential Rehabilitation Project #701752. — NO COMMENTS

5. Public Works & Utilities ADVISORY - RE: 30th Street; Vine - W Street - Residential Rehabilitation Project #701752. — NO COMMENTS

REAL ESTATE DIVISION

*1. Interoffice Memo from Clinton W. Thomas - RE: Street & Alley Vacation No. 03017 West 20 feet of 24th Street; U Street to Vine Street; and the North 15 feet of U Street; 23rd to 24th Street. — NO COMMENTS

*2. Interoffice Memo from Clinton W. Thomas - RE: Street & Alley Vacation No. 04009 North 58th Street; Colfax Avenue to Murdock Trail. — NO COMMENTS

URBAN DEVELOPMENT

*1. Report - RE: 48th & “O” Streets Redevelopment Area Lincoln, Nebraska - Blight and Substandard Determination Study-May 2004 - Prepared For: City of Lincoln, Nebraska By: Hanna:Keelan Associates, P.C.-(Copy of this Report on file in the City Council Office). — [This Report was mentioned under “V. City Council Members-Jonathan Cook”.] (See that discussion)


WEED CONTROL AUTHORITY


C. MISCELLANEOUS

*1. E-mail from John Losito of Sun Valley Lanes RE: Bowling Center Legislation. — NO COMMENTS

*2. Note Card from Norma Fleisher - RE: Please adopt the Defense of Liberty Resolution. — NO COMMENTS
*3. E-Mail from Peggy Struwe - RE: Information on Amendment One. — NO COMMENTS

*4. Letter from Rick G. Carter, Executive Director, The Lincoln/Lancaster County Human Services Federation - RE: Public transportation -Supports all efforts to increase access and availability on StarTran for low-income members of our community. — NO COMMENTS

*5. E-Mail from Terry Jarosz, Midlands Plastics, Tool Designer - RE: Country View Park Fund Cut Again. — NO COMMENTS

*6. E-Mail from Dave Brady to Mayor Seng - RE: Bond Issue. — NO COMMENTS

*7. E-Mail from Jim Johnson to Mr. Jenkins, Lincoln Star Journal - RE: Correction to article on Council Salaries. — NO COMMENTS

*8. E-Mail from Michelle Crawford - RE: Concerns about the infrastructure bond issue. — NO COMMENTS

*9. Letter & Material from Herbert J. Friedman, Chair, Cable Advisory Board to Ms. Beth Scarborough, Time Warner Cable - RE: Cable Advisory Board. — NO COMMENTS

*10. Letter from Elizabeth H. Scarborough, Time Warner Cable - RE: It has come to our attention by a review of the City Council August 9 Agenda that you will be briefed during Pre-Council and asked during the regular Council Meeting to adopt a report of the Cable Television Advisory Board related to the cable television franchise renewal proceedings and the Request for Renewal Proposal - (Item 19 Public Hearings-Resolutions). — NO COMMENTS

11. Letter from Paul L. Sweene, ATV Sport Parts Inc., Mid-Atlantic Rep. - RE: The majority of large cities in the United States are having a complicated and serious problem as what to do with the ever-increasing number of non-title vehicles, dirt bikes, ATV’s. The administrative, accounting and storage costs are very expensive. There also appears to be an environmental and public relations problems. — NO COMMENTS

12. E-Mail from Kent Stauffer - RE: The bond issue. — NO COMMENTS
13. E-Mail from Carol Brown - RE: The budget public hearing meeting on 8/09/04. — NO COMMENTS


15. E-Mail from Beth Thacker, President, Great Plains Trails Network - RE: SRT bond issue. — NO COMMENTS

16. Letter from Beverly Sapp - RE: The way the Auto Salvage Yards are making our neighborhood look so bad by having old junk cars in view where they are to have a tall closed in fence, so we don’t have to look at them. — NO COMMENTS

17. E-Mail from Karin Fuog - RE: Lux booster bus routes. — NO COMMENTS

18. Letter from Dalyce Ronnau, Chairman, Pedestrian/Bicycle Advisory Committee - RE: The Bond Issue. — NO COMMENTS

19. Letter from Dr. Loy Watley, Lincoln Christian School Board President & Mr. Mark Wilson, Lincoln Christian School Superintendent - RE: The 84th Street improvement project - requesting your help and support for a stop light at the future entrance to Lincoln Christian School on 84th Street. — NO COMMENTS

20. E-Mail from Sherry West - RE: Proposed budget. — NO COMMENTS


22. E-Mail from Don Tilley - RE: Wall of Remembrance coming to Lincoln. — NO COMMENTS

23. E-Mail from Karin Fuog - RE: Lux Booster Bus Routes. — NO COMMENTS

24. Faxed Letter from David L. Swartz, President, Cape Charles Square Homeowners’ Association - RE: Williamsburg Village Lake Dredging. — NO COMMENTS
IV. DIRECTORS

BUILDING & SAFETY DEPARTMENT - Mike Merwick stated to Council just to let them know for Item 9 today, Ron Peery, will be at the Council meeting to answer any questions. [04-155 --Amending Chapter 24.01 of the Lincoln Municipal Code to change the definition of Director to refer to the Director of Building and Safety, to increase the schedule of fees, and to impose a minimum fine for violating the provisions of this Chapter.]

PUBLIC WORKS & UTILITIES DEPARTMENT - Allan Abbott stated to Council under Terry’s [Werner] there was a Request For Information (RFI#135) for the dredging of Williamsburg Lake, he’s sure each of them have received many phone calls about it. Mr. Abbott indicated he has personally talked to RoseAnn Dowty about 3 or 4 times and thinks Jon [Camp] has talked to her, the bottom line is they are not walking away from the contract until it’s completed correctly. Karl Fredrickson met with Joe Hampton last Friday, they’re meeting tomorrow to have the contractor out there to touch it up, so it should be finished. Mr. Abbott commented he can’t believe that this became the most important thing on earth, they’ve spent more time answering the phone to inquiries than they did trying to get the job done, so for those of them who didn’t send it forward, he appreciates it. Mr. Friendt commented to Mr. Abbott that he’s going to follow up with one thing and he apologizes because he thinks communication probably is the critical part. Mr. Friendt commented you’ve got two Neighborhood Associations, he’s been inundated since he’s one of the neighbors now with questions and why as a City Councilperson he can’t make this happen this week. So, he’s offering to host a little luncheon meeting because they’ve got a number of committee leaders who’ve changed or traveled, so all of these communications have been going around the circle and man you can not believe the variance in what you hear. Mr. Abbott stated to Mr. Friendt ‘right’ and if you want Karl [Fredrickson] or Joe [Hampton] to be there let them know they’ll do it. Mr. Abbott commented he doesn’t know how many hours have been spent on this, but they will do what they were contracted to do, they will not walk off and leave it in the shape it’s in the contractor moved out all these things that happened, but it’s under control. Mr. Friendt ‘thanked’ Allan Abbott. Ms. Newman said the question she’s receiving from other communities is why the City is not dredging out their retention why on earth was this agreed to in the first place when they have to maintain their own. Mr. Abbott commented he has asked that question many times and it was a deal that was cut 10 years ago that’s all he can say. Ms. Newman commented no one knows why. Mr. Abbott replied there’s about 14 different reasons why, but the City did agree to maintain it and dredge it as needed until 2002, again for a multitude of excuses they didn’t get started until February of this year. Mr. Abbott commented if anything
could have gone wrong it did on this project. Ms. Newman commented but they’re not agreeing to maintain any of these. Mr. Abbott replied ‘no’ this is it this is the end of the agreement. Ms. Newman stated okay. Mr. Friendt commented he must admit when this committee came forward to him and said ‘well’ they got this actual contract or written agreement, he said no... you can’t that’s not possible we don’t do things like that and they said well in this case ‘wow’. Mr. Abbott commented he said Dick Erickson agreed to what, but he’s sure he had his reasons at the time.

Nicole Fleck-Tooze stated to Council that it didn’t look like this meeting notice made it into their packets, so she thought she would just hand it out to them now. This is an Informational Meeting on the Beal Slough Streambank Stabilization for tomorrow evening. Ms. Tooze handed out the meeting notice to Council. [Meeting on August 17th at 6:00 p.m. at Bess Dodson Walt Branch Library.] [NOTE: This meeting notice is listed on the “Noon” Agenda for August 16th under “V. Meetings/Invitations-#7”.

AGING SERVICES - June Pederson stated to Council that Item 15 is approving an Interlocal Agreement with Polk County, Denise Boyd who is her Envision Administrator for that area is going to come and give them a couple of explanatory paragraphs so they’ll understand why they’re doing it. Mr. Svoboda stated okay. [Approving an Interlocal Agreement between the City of Lincoln Aging Services and Polk County to provide planning and coordination of services for the development, management, and operation of the County’s aging programs, and for information and referral services of older citizens within Polk County.]

V. CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

PATTE NEWMAN

Ms. Newman stated she’s concerned and she thinks all of them got an E-Mail over the weekend about the language for the smoking ban and asked if they’re going to discuss it later or is it something they should bring up now. Ms. Newman commented to Dana [Roper] that she assumes he’s comfortable with it, she thinks it’s very confusing. Mr. Roper commented it’s very confusing, really. Mr. Roper commented to Ms. Newman do you want to keep the ordinance or don’t you want to keep the ordinance and that’s confusing. Ms. Newman replied that’s confusing to people who have followed what’s going on and aren’t quite sure what that means. Mr. Roper stated to Ms. Newman what’s her suggestion. Ms. Newman replied to put in the language of the..I’m against or I am for that this would include some exemptions for certain businesses. Mr. Roper commented I’m sorry, but it doesn’t,
it’s a 100% ban, the referendum is on the ordinance that was last passed. Mr. Cook suggested that they discuss this issue at the “Noon” Meeting today. Ms. Newman stated she thinks they probably should. Mr. Svoboda said ‘yes’ that’s fine, if it’s going to get that detailed. Mr. Roper stated okay.

Ms. Newman stated to Bruce Dart that he fielded a phone call for her this week from a lady who was very concerned about mosquito spraying and asked if he would like to tell them all in case anyone else gets a similar phone call. Bruce Dart stated the lady was actually just calling to see if they had sprayed her neighborhood, he explained to her that they have an environmental criteria and a clinical criteria that they use to help them make judges on where to spray and that criteria had not happen this year so they had not sprayed yet. So, she was very happy about it that was just really the text of what she called him for. Ms. Newman asked if it’s going to happen are they safe this summer. Mr. Dart reported they do have some in Lancaster County, they have one positive mosquito pool, they don’t have any human cases as previously thought. They take all of those elements in consideration before they decide where and when to spray and so far they’ve got two to three criteria, but not enough to make them go out and spray the environment because the activity is extremely low and mosquito activity is extremely low, so it’s been a good summer for that. Mr. Svoboda asked how many more weeks of the mosquito season will they be having? Mr. Dart replied they’ll have mosquito season until the first freeze and they’ll continue doing surveillance up until that point. Mr. Dart stated that last year at this time they had so many positive dead birds that they couldn’t even count, this year they’ve got a total of three, they’ve only had one positive mosquito pool and last year they had three or four times that. The environmental condition and what’s different they think they have much more [inaudible] reservoirs in our pool that actually results in exposure and infection, which is what happened on the east coast and they were going to find out this year if they were going to mirror what takes place in other parts of the country. They’ll continue to do surveillance for the next few years because there’s been rebound to West Nile and hopefully not miss anything, but that’s why their surveillance system is in place and so far he thinks it was accurate of what’s happening in the community. Ms. Newman noted to Mr. Dart the lady was very happy afterwards, she called her back and said he was wonderful he said all the right things, so, thanks.

JONATHAN COOK

Mr. Cook stated regarding the item in the Highlands, he knows it’s very controversial with Planning Commission and it was turned down overwhelmingly despite the fact that it’s a use permit and asked if there’s anything Planning wants to tell them know vs. later. Marvin Krout commented if they’ve read the Planning
Commission minutes he guess it would be helpful for him to just repeat. Mr. Krout stated when Rick Peo got up before the hearing began he described the purpose of an use permit and that an use permit is really a site plan review procedure. The purpose of it is that in our newer districts were interested in the overall arrangement of buildings and circulation and access and setbacks and so on, but that the purpose is not to have a zoning hearing on whether or not to allow a particular use that’s otherwise permitted in the zone. The O-3 zone is at the corner of NW. 12th & Fletcher and they also have O-3 zones in other parts of the community and the O-3 zone allows for office uses and that’s why it’s called ‘O’ for office that’s the main use. The highest use in terms of value normally that a property has, but the O-3 use also allows for residential uses and in this particular case it would allow for a townhouse or apartment uses. In this case the applicant is asking to approve a site plan for a townhouse use, which would generate less traffic, it would have less density than permitted uses on traffic there is for office or apartment and so Rick [Peo] tried to direct the attention of the Planning Commission to looking at the site plan and dealing with the issue of the site plan. There were waivers requested on some of those in order to change the orientation of the building and from staff stand point to help with the overall appearance of the project. Mr. Krout indicated that Mr. Peo was trying to tell the Planning Commission and would tell you here this morning if he was here, the purpose of an use permit isn’t to deny an use and basically that’s exactly what the Planning Commission did. Mr. Cook stated what about waivers, if there are waivers involved could the Planning Commission have removed those waivers instead of saying no and what’s the ability they have in it. Mr. Krout replied ‘yes’, he thinks that they can always vote against waivers and in this particular case he thinks they have to be careful not arbitrarily, there are waivers that have been used as almost standard in some cases like they’ve had, but he doesn’t know that there were any in this case, but he thinks yes they could. The applicant did indicate as he was making his presentation that they can lay out a plan, he knows that he saw a draft, he thinks that he showed it to the Planning Commission, but ‘yes’ he can lay out a plan that would not require the waivers and it would end up with all the buildings lining up in the same row and it would have more visual impact. But, yes they do have the discretion to not approve waivers or to make responsible changes in the conditions of approval in the site plan as it has been submitted. Further discussion continued with Council, Marvin Krout, Lynn Johnson and Dana Roper on this issue with comments and questions from Council. Following discussion, Mr. Cook commented so if they need to hold this item up because some of those issues to have something checked on, there’s no reason that they have to act on it if they have something special to decide on.
Mr. Cook commented on the Blight Study today is their expectation that they
would act on it or was there actually a request to delay because he knows last week
there was a discussion of the delay and asked what became of it. City Clerk Joan
Ross stated that they were waiting on the report, which was delivered to the wrong
Joan, once they finally figured it all out. Mr. Cook stated okay, so the expectation is
that they would act on the Blight Study today. City Clerk Joan Ross replied ‘yes’
they have all their information. Mr. Cook stated okay.

Mr. Cook asked if anybody ever found out who put the signs on the poles.
Dallas McGee stated that it was Archrival who was working with Clark Enersen and
they won’t do it again. Mr. Cook commented okay, ‘thanks’, he appreciates it.

Mr. Cook stated he just got a call this morning, he hasn’t called this guy back
yet, but maybe somebody can check on this, Bill Hilton at 2132 W. Mill Stone Road
said he was notified about the water pressure increase in his neighborhood and then
he was notified that it had happened. This gentleman has been calling Public Works
because he thinks ..., he doesn’t remember what his concerns were up until today, but
today he had a flooded basement and thinks it’s related to the water pressure increase
and he’s upset because the City isn’t responding some how as he expects. Allan
Abbott stated that was the area where they told them that if they’ve got old plumbing
and they increase the pressure watch for it because they might blow their water heater
or some other stuff that may have been what occurred. Mr. Abbott noted there is a
pressure release valve that you get to put on because the pressure did increase
significantly, again, this is primarily at their request that they increase pressure. Mr.
Abbott stated to Mr. Cook give him the information. Mr. Cook stated okay.

Mr. Cook stated to Lin Quenzer regarding the Woodsdale Lighting District.
Lin Quenzer stated that she’s waiting for a response on it, she had to call him back yet
this morning. Mr. Cook commented okay, if he could get something today or
tomorrow. Ms. Quenzer stated she’s hoping today that she’ll have something back on
it. Mr. Cook stated okay. Mr. Cook noted apparently ‘ABC Electric’ doing the
construction has gone off to other jobs or something and not finishing it. The
neighbors can’t seem to get ‘ABC Electric’ to come back and finish, they keep saying
they’ll be back soon, they’ll be back in a few days and then they don’t show up, so
they just need to find out why that’s being delayed.

Mr. Cook stated in speaking of delayed type things, did anyone see the article
in the World Herald the first of a four part section on slow motion road work. Mr.
Cook commented they’re in good shape by comparison it seems to him and he was
going to ask when 40th Street and South Street will be done. Mr. Cook commented
South Street will be done any day now. Allan Abbott replied he hopes so. Mr. Cook
stated okay, it looks like they’re pretty close. Mr. Abbott commented any day now is a good answer. Mr. Cook asked about 40th Street in the roundabout? Mr. Abbott stated he hasn’t been down there in the last week, but it seems to be running [inaudible]. Mr. Abbott stated to Mr. Cook that he can get those dates for him, they were supposed to be open before school started, school is going to start, so they’ll see.

Mr. Abbott commented anything else Jonathan [Cook]. Mr. Cook stated ‘no’, he just thought he would say something nice about Public Works, he thinks that they do a much better job here of scheduling projects and getting things done and wouldn’t expect that.

GLENN FRIENDT

Mr. Friendt stated he would like to see Allan Abbott after the Directors’ Meeting today.

JON CAMP

Mr. Camp commented he already covered it.

ANNETTE McROY

Ms. McRoy stated that she would like to see Ray Hill after the Directors’ Meeting today.

TERRY WERNER - ABSENT

KEN SVOBODA

Mr. Svoboda adjourned the meeting at this time. - [Approximately at 11:33 a.m.]

ADDENDUM - (For August 16th)

I. MAYOR

1. NEWS ADVISORY - RE: 5 City-TV To Re-Air Show Featuring Ted Kooser. — NO COMMENTS

2. NEWS RELEASE - RE: Public Invited To Open House On North 14th Street Project. — NO COMMENTS

II. CITY CLERK - NONE
III. CORRESPONDENCE

A. COUNCIL REQUESTS/CORRESPONDENCE

GLENN FRIENDT

1. Memo & attachment from Glenn Friendt - RE: Lincoln Arts Council audit letter. — NO COMMENTS

JON CAMP

1. E-Mail from Troy Henggeler to Jon Camp - RE: Pioneers street improvement project. — NO COMMENTS

B. DIRECTORS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS - NONE

C. MISCELLANEOUS

1. E-Mail from Stan Kuta - RE: Use Permit No. 04002 - Item #13, on the Agenda for 8/16/04. — NO COMMENTS

2. E-Mail written by Joan Ray for Ms. Gail Hiskey - RE: Use Permit 04002 - #13 on 8/16/04 Agenda - Opposed. — NO COMMENTS

3. E-Mail from Bruce Meyer - RE: Deny use permit #04002. — NO COMMENTS

[End of Addendum]

VI. MEETING ADJOURNED - Approximately at 11:33 a.m.

*HELD OVER FROM AUGUST 9, 2004.*
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