AGENDA FOR
CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS’ “NOON” MEETING
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 2001
Immediately Following Director’s Meeting
CONFERENCE ROOM 113

I. MINUTES


II. COUNCIL REPORTS ON BOARDS, COMMITTEES, COMMISSIONS AND CONFERENCES

*1. Downtown Entryways Briefing (Fortenberry)
*2. DEC Meeting (Fortenberry) - RESCHEDULED TO FEBRUARY 15TH
*3. Wilderness Park Committee (Fortenberry)
*4. Entertainment Study Advisory Committee (McRoy)
*5. Star City Holiday Festival Board Meeting (McRoy)
*6. Downtown Lincoln BID Association Meeting (Seng)
*7. PRT Meeting (Shoecraft)
8. Community Development Task Force Meeting (Cook)
9. Internal Liquor Committee (Johnson/McRoy/Shoecraft) - CANCELLED
10. Joint Budget Committee (McRoy/Seng)
11. Mayor’s Downtown Action Team Meeting (Seng) - CANCELLED

OTHER MEETINGS REPORTS:

III. APPOINTMENTS/REAPPOINTMENTS

IV. MEETINGS/INVITATIONS

1. Brown Bag Luncheon - “Access To Caring Services” - Crisis Lines/Emergency Services - on Wednesday, February 14, 2001 from Noon to 1:00 p.m. - at the Lancaster County Extension Office, 444 Cherrycreek Road - No Charge for this workshop - Please bring your own lunch! Coffee will be provided. – RSVP by February 8th to Sheryl Haas at 471-4515, X147 (See Invitation).

2. Nebraskans for Peace and the UNO School of Social Work present the 2001 Annual Peace Conference on Saturday, March 3, 2001 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at Trinity United Methodist Church, 5th & Elm Streets, Grand Island, Nebraska - Early Registration Deadline-February 24, 2001 (SEE BROCHURE FOR MORE DETAILS ON COST).

3. Please Join Us ..... The Lincoln Chamber of Commerce requests the honor of your presence at a reception to welcome Todd Turner, Goodyear’s new plant manager, to the City of Lincoln - On Wednesday, February 7, 2001 from 4:00 p.m. to 5:30 p.m. - At the Top of the First North Reception Room, US Bank Building at 13th & “M” Streets - Please RSVP to Tera Pugh at 436-2355 by Monday, February 5th (See Invitation).

V. COUNCIL MEMBERS

VI. REQUESTS OF COUNCIL FROM MAYOR - NONE

VII. MISCELLANEOUS

1. Discussion on the Common Agenda (Requested by Jon Camp).

2. Discussion on the disk CD distribution to Council (Requested by Jerry Shoecraft).

3. Discussion on rescheduling the I.S. Training that was cancelled on January 29th due to the weather.

VIII. ADJOURNMENT


CA20501/tjb
MINUTES
CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS’ “NOON” MEETING
MONDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 2001
CONFERENCE ROOM 113

Council Members Present: Jerry Shoecraft, Chair; Jeff Fortenberry, Vice-Chair; Jon Camp, Jonathan Cook, Cindy Johnson, Coleen Seng. ABSENT: Annette McRoy.

Others Present: Mark Bowen, Ann Harrell, Mayor’s Office; Dana Roper, City Attorney; Mike Merwick, Building & Safety Department; Don Herz, Finance Director; Darrell Podany, Aide to Council Members Camp and Johnson; Karen Shinn, Aide to Council Member Fortenberry; Joan Ray, Council Secretary; Chris Hain, Journal Star representative.

Prior to the scheduled meeting agenda items, Mr. Shoecraft invited Mr. Merwick to give a brief update on the Duplex Licensing Task Force. Mr. Merwick informed Council that there had been, over the course of 15 meetings, a lot of discussion on a variety of issues on Duplex Licensing. He reported that through the many opinions and disagreements, after it was all over, the Task Force looked at what could and could not be agreed upon. In that process, there were some things that the Task Force believed could be moved forward. One of the things on Duplex Licensing was that detailed statistics on the number of complaints had never been kept which specifically identified Duplex Licensing.

Mr. Merwick stated that one initiative that had been agreed upon was a mailing to between 20- and 50% of all the duplexes in town. He explained that they would provide in that mailing, brochures on the minimum housing code, the landlord tenant act, and the [inaudible] guide from the Lincoln Action Program. He explained that they were doing this to provide information to the public so that if there are problems, people will be able to call in and get some service from the City of Lincoln.

He noted that they’re streamlining the process of handling code violations by landlords. Instead of, as they have been in the past, going out to check it, then taking action with the landlord, what several people on the Task Force felt would be a better course of action would be to mail a letter and give the landlord a chance to correct it. So, when we get a violation, before we go out, we’ll mail the letter of warning for correction. They’ll have seven days to make the correction, then Building and Safety will come out and make an inspection and issue a letter with a time period to correct. This will be done on most complaints, but not with anything that is life threatening. If there is no hot water in the house, it’s going to be fixed immediately and we’ll make sure that it is fixed. In just corrective or cosmetic concerns, they might have a little more time for correcting it.
He explained that they have initiated a question process in collecting data on specific rental properties to determine how many complaints they’re receiving on the duplexes; and also what the complaints are about.

The Law Department is looking for some possible changes in the Housing Code to allow for some re-inspection fees. Most of the people on the Committee said “Hey, get the ones that are really causing the problems.” Well, we’re not sure those ten landlords are really out there. The problem may be more widespread throughout the City. One of the things they want to do is set up some type of graduated scale on the ‘fee’ with the first time being $10.00, the next time $100.00, $200.00. He noted that that might be a little bit of a problem because the would be a punitive action against somebody. So, the Law Department is looking to see what we can do on that.

Another thing Mr. Merwick explained that they were doing, since many of the complaints involve low-income people, was initiating an outreach program with the Hispanic, Indian and Asian Centers to discuss with them housing codes. We’ll be going to their places and talking to them about minimum housing. They will be helping us with the translation with the different groups of people. We have translated the minimum housing code into Spanish. It’s ready to be printed now. Just before we got ready to print it, we realized if anyone calls the number on the information, there would be no one in the office to speak to them in Spanish. Now, we are working with the Hispanic Community to provide translators for anyone who calls.

The Board of Realtors wanted to set up a meeting with the Police Department to discuss prosecution of vandalism on rental properties and we’ve taken care of those meetings.

Those are all things everybody feels comfortable with moving on. There are a lot of feelings about different prioritization of the issues, so we’re trying to address all of these issues and then move on. Most of the people are happy with the results the Task Force has achieved.

Ms. Johnson asked if all the members of the Duplex Licencing group had been contacted? Mr. Merwick noted that they had wanted to give the report to Council first and then this afternoon, they will be sent out to the rest of the members of the Board. Ms. Johnson asked about the time-tables on this. Mr. Merwick answered that it would 12-18 months.

Mr. Camp asked about the increasing inspection fees, if there was a way that Law could justify saying that the 1st inspection is part of the license fee and the 2nd then covers more of the cost of inspection...that way it’s not a punitive type assessment. Mr. Merwick stated that the Law Department is investigating those things now.

Ms. Harrell thanked all of Mr. Merwick’s Staff, the Task Force and Council Members Johnson and McRoy for taking part in the efforts.
I. MINUTES


Mr. Shoecraft, Council Chair, requested a motion to approve the above-listed minutes. Cindy Johnson moved approval of the minutes as presented. The motion was seconded by Coleen Seng. Mr. Jeff Fortenberry requested amendment of the January 22nd, 2000 Directors’ Minutes under his remarks. Change the sentence “Mr. Fortenberry asked Ray Hill what is the process again on the Comprehensive Plan asked if this was the initial goals to discuss the boarder outline of it.” to read: “Mr. Fortenberry asked Ray Hill what is the process again on the Comprehensive Plan. Then he asked if this was to discuss the initial goals, or to discuss the broader outline.” With this amendment, the minutes were approved by the following vote: AYES: Jonathan Cook, Cindy Johnson, Jerry Shoecraft, Jeff Fortenberry, Coleen Seng, Jon Camp; ABSENT: Annette McRoy; NAYS: None.

II. COUNCIL REPORTS ON BOARDS, COMMITTEES, COMMISSIONS AND CONFERENCES

*1. DOWNTOWN ENTRYWAYS BRIEFING (Fortenberry) Mr. Fortenberry reported that he wanted to reiterate that there were a couple of things to keep in mind regarding this project. The term “entryway” is an umbrella term that talks about some concepts, now we’re talking about implementing some of the concepts through various mechanisms. The Downtown Corridor, now in the initial phase, looks like we’ll be able to do planting and lighting amenities utilizing T.I.F. monies. Then when the garage comes on to the west, there will be [inaudible] through the same mechanism. So, it’s a public process going on right now - that is meeting with the property owners as well as downtown representatives to get input into the project. It has gone very well.

He noted that anytime he has been there, there has been some concern about the signage and landscaping. Those meetings are an attempt to try to get that on the small scale level; but overall, the concept implementation seems to be moving on very well. He noted that there were other phasing elements that will potentially evolve
there, such as having Rotary again wanting to partner with the City [inaudible] some art work that we discussed and recommended. But, those things are down the line. Those initial plans for plantings and lighting will greatly enhance that corridor.

Mr. Fortenberry noted that he would be forwarding a Comprehensive Plan Amendment on the Airport Entryway. He had been discussing with the Planning Department whether it would be appropriate. It would promote the use of Business Improvement District in a more aggressive fashion that would allow the City to beautify, more aggressively, spots along the entryway, if the business owners and property owners adjacent to that wanted it -if they would assess themselves for the expense. That’s another element that is [inaudible].

The [inaudible] before Planning Commission right now is some of the Overlay District design criteria. This is design criteria that acts as an overlay in areas, such as past the Interstate. This is a mechanism that is put in place to try to regulate some of the activities along the Interstate such as signage and building locations [inaudible], with the intent of preserving and enhancing the corridor there. Mr. Fortenberry felt that as long as we try to monitor the distinct aspects of the project, because there may be some concerns expressed about those regulatory aspects, but monitor them as independent and distinct from the other aspects of the project.

Mr. Fortenberry was hopeful that some of the concerns can be worked through, though he could not promise that all would be happiness and smiles. The Planning Commission Hearings on these things have been pulled back in order to hold an open house so that, in addition to the 500 letter mailing asking for input on this, there will be a real extra attempt to have public input going on right now on that aspect of it. Mr. Fortenberry thought that would be where Council would see some of the more varied ideas of how the project should be implemented.

Mr. Cook asked then if the Planning Commission would be hearing the entryway design guidelines this Wednesday and then continue the public hearing to the 21st, as he believed. Mr. Fortenberry noted that was correct and then the open house is in between those meetings. Mr. Cook stated that on the 21st, then they will have a chance to consider the sign guidelines and the related sign restrictions. Mr. Cook felt there was some misunderstanding in Planning about what the signage changes will mean for the Interstate Corridor. It wasn’t banning tall signs, it was banning extra-tall signs that are allowed as part of an Overlay District as it currently exists. The H Districts already allow tall signs, but within a certain distance from the Interstate, extra-tall, extra-big signs are allowed. We were thinking the extra-tall, extra-big could be eliminated. But, it sounded like, from testimony, that some people misunderstood and maybe felt it was eliminating all signage along the roadway, and that’s just not the case. Mr. Cook hoped the open house process will clear that up.
Ms. Seng asked who the mailing had been sent to? Mr. Fortenberry asked Ms. Harrell who received the material? He thought it was sent to anybody who Mr. Zimmer thought might have a relationship to the area. Ms. Harrell commented that it was an enormous, wide-spread mailing to invite people to this open house that will address the design standards as they relate to the Interstate.

Mr. Fortenberry noted that is the only regulatory mechanism now under consideration. The rest are being handled administratively. Ms. Seng asked if they would ever get a copy of them. Ms. Harrell noted that a copy of the letter is in the Council packet today. She added that one thing that she wanted to stress is that the entryway issue is a little messy, because some of it we can just begin to incorporate into the existing effort. And then there is this design standard overlay that would apply to the Interstate and ultimately, she assumed, continue on into [inaudible].

Maintaining those entryways could gradually lead to standards to include other entryway corridors, where the designs may have to be slightly different for every circumstance. But, that would be a Comprehensive Plan issue, probably. But, there are sort of two things coming together before the Planning Commission. One is the general design standards and the other is taking away the bonus, so to speak, of being close to the interstate. She was sure that it was included when the thinking about entryways and interstates was different; the thinking was if you were close to the interstate, you could have extra-big, extra-tall signs. Now, of course, the feelings are different about what we want to see along our interstate. That’s why the direction of the Council and Mayor, [inaudible].

What Ms. Harrell wanted to let Council know is that one thing you may be hearing is that, depending on the timing of an individual applicant coming along, they’ll be wanting to build near the interstate, assuming the text change is approved, and changing the sign sizes. Some businesses may fall under the old rules and be able to get that “bonus”; and some would just miss it, because development, as you know, is happening right now. Ms. Harrell thought that that is where some of the concerns are coming from. She noted that it would be a difficult thing to manage - enforcing the actual cut-off here.

Mr. Fortenberry stated that that was one of the reasons for the urgency of public consideration on the project. He stated that there is an urgency, because these issues are real and they’re happening now in the real world. It’s up to us as a public body to, potentially, set a new vision for that area. One that balances business and aesthetic interests. In his view, he felt it actually helps property values if the same standard is used...it will not impede access to business in any kind of way. He noted that it is a tough sell because [inaudible].
*2. DEC (Fortenberry) - **RESCHEDULED TO FEBRUARY 15TH**

*3. WILDERNESS PARK COMMITTEE (Fortenberry) Mr. Fortenberry was unable to attend. He noted that he had a call in to Parks to see what transpired and apologized to Council for not having the information.


*6. DOWNTOWN LINCOLN BID ASSOCIATION (Seng) Ms. Seng reported that most of it is passed by now (since this was a hold-over meeting). One thing Ms. Seng thought Council might be interested in is the re-doing of the Federal Trust building by Gerald Keating. She noted that he was terribly complimentary of the City's efforts. She noted that he had eight of twelve floors already leased.

Council briefly discussed several other renovations in the area, as well.

Ms. Seng reported that a four-way stop for 8th and "P" Streets was discussed; [inaudible] is probably going to be supporting that. There is a Chocolate Lovers meeting which is scheduled for February 11th in the Old Federal Building.

Ms. Seng's final remarks were inaudible.

*7. PRT (Shoecraft) Mr. Shoecraft reported on the Quality of Life Ordinance proposal for Commercial Districts. Mr. Shoecraft asked if that had reached the Mayor's Neighborhood Roundtable for discussion yet? Mr. Cook indicated that it had. Mr. Shoecraft explained that the proposal was for some of the Commercial Districts in a community where some of the people just need to do cosmetic improvements such as painting. We require the homeowners to clean up their property so we need to also have these rules apply to the Commercial Districts. So, we found out that we don't have to do any type of ordinance change, or any Planning Commission action. This would just go straight through Building and Safety Department regulations. They have the authority to do that now. That's one issue we've been working on.

Mr. Shoecraft noted that a problem house had been torn down on 27th Street near a gas station on “A” Street. He noted that he did not know what would happen at that location. Ms. Harrell answered that those involved had indicated that they
were going to apply for a zone change and expand the Commercial area. Mr. Cook noted that the house had been an eyesore.

8. Community Development Task Force (Cook) Mr. Cook reported that they had voted on who would get the Interdevelopment Weekly Award. He did not know who ultimately won because the vote was being tallied and they announced it later. He noted that it was interesting because the award is to be given to a nominated project. Mr. Cook stated that somehow Mike Morosin found his way onto the list of nominees, but it was decided that he was not a project.

Mr. Cook continued, noting that the big portion of time was dedicated to lead base paint for the low income housing that Federal funding is addressing. He noted that the guidelines are getting awfully strict. Special crews and treatments are being required. He noted that it will be costly, but unfortunately, those are the kinds of rules that we have now. He commented that it is a significant problem, stating that there are studies that show elevated lead levels in kids in these older houses. He noted the extra cost makes it difficult to provide [inaudible].

Ms. Johnson asked if the City would be able to provide dollars to the land owners, or potential land owners? Mr. Cook stated that this discussion concerned the programs that are funded through the homes program of the Federal Government, so he guessed that there would be probably fewer homes for which funding would be available through that program that would [inaudible]. He noted that they didn’t really get into private ownership and [inaudible]. Ms. Johnson noted that there is a certain time period [inaudible] that is required because that was [inaudible] lead paint. Mr. Cook stated that before a certain date, it is better to just assume that houses built prior to a certain date have the lead paint than to do testing, because nine out of ten homes built in that time period will have it.

Ms. Seng commented that when working with White Hall, they discovered that the lead paint removal is much more expensive than asbestos removal. It’s really difficult when you’re dealing with these older buildings.

9. Internal Liquor Committee (Johnson/McRoy/Shoecraft) - CANCELLED (Due to Weather Conditions)

10. Joint Budget Committee (McRoy/Seng) Ms. Seng reported that the Keno Human Service Prevention Fund recommendations came to JBC and they were approved to be sent on to the City Council and the County Board. She reminded Council that she had gone through those previously at a “Noon” meeting. She noted that there was almost the same amount requested as was available and that had not
happened since the very first distribution of funds. Ms. Johnson asked if there were increases in the revenues for disbursements. Ms. Seng answered that it varies, but it’s usually around $40,000.00 or so and about $38,000 was distributed.

Mr. Shoecraft asked Mr. Herz, who was in attendance at the meeting, when the numbers would start flowing in from the new Keno operators? Mr. Herz responded that he thought the new operators are scheduled to start this month [February], so we will see those figures in March.

Ms. Seng continued, stating that from the Human Service Report that Council receives every month, she would like to highlight the Medical Transportation costs. She noted that there has been a huge loss that Madonna was picking up - almost $200,000 annually for medical transportation. Ms. Seng reported that Kit Boesch worked very hard on this issue and finally was able to get some Medicare coverage on this for the people and, consequently, Madonna is not having to pick it up. She explained that StarTran was working on this and Kit used every agency she could to try to help get this problem solved. She wanted to be sure that Council was aware of this.

Mr. Shoecraft asked if StarTran’s costs would be covered by Medicare? Ms. Seng answered that supposedly Medicare came through for these patients, which is what everyone has been trying to accomplish. The County had been subsidizing a good deal of it, too. Mr. Fortenberry made comments on this issue that were inaudible.

Ms. Seng stated that there was another item in that same report about Health Insurance through the Human Service Federation. She reported that several years back all of the Human Service agencies had come together and pooled insurance so they could cover more of their people. She stated that what she keeps finding out is that there are a lot of other, smaller, non-profit groups who are not necessarily Human Service agencies, that are having a terrible time covering their staff for medical insurance. So, that was discussed and we hope that they now have a new director who will work a little more for benefits for all the Human Service agencies around.

Ms. Seng noted that there would not be a March meeting of the Joint Budget Committee. The next meeting will be held on April 6th. Each month there will be a report from the Public Policy Center from the University of Nebraska because they’re doing the [inaudible].

11. MAYOR’S DOWNTOWN ACTION TEAM (Seng) - CANCELLED

*Carried Over from 01-29-00

OTHER MEETINGS REPORTS: None
III. APPOINTMENTS/REAPPOINTMENTS - Noted Without Comment

IV. MEETINGS/INVITATIONS - Noted Without Comment

V. COUNCIL MEMBERS

JONATHAN COOK - Mr. Cook asked about the Legislative updates. He indicated that he would check with Mr. Bowen or Ms. Brinkman if he had concerns not addressed in the Legislative handout materials received from Administration.

He noted that his other concern was regarding the Directors’ Agenda format, noting that some of the things he had wanted were actually possible. Also, he felt the Elmo information should be available to Council either through the laptops or on the screen in the Chambers. He noted that I.S. had stated they did not know how to do that, but he thought that the Elmo presentation should be available on the laptops. He stated that he would talk with I.S. to see if something could be worked out. He felt the system right now was “goofy” and felt Lincoln could do better.

Ms. Seng noted that originally the Chamber had been designed with monitors for the Council Members, County Commissioners and Planning Board Members so they could see the information projections right beside them on the dais.

Mr. Roper stated that they did have that planned, but Council eliminated it because the monitors blocked the view both ways from the dais and from the audience seating. Ms. Seng noted that those monitors were all gone, disbursed to other departments. Mr. Cook thought some money might have to be expended to update the Chambers.

Further discussion ensued regarding the technology upgrades needed and desired. No absolute decisions were reached.

ANNETTE McROY - Absent

CINDY JOHNSON - No Further Comments

JERRY SHOECRAFT - No Further Comments

JEFF FORTENBERRY - Mr. Fortenberry reported that the Parks Department did call back on the Wilderness Park Subcommittee meeting. Apparently there were no major issues discussed. The Friends of Wilderness Park presented additional information and identified resources that Park and Rec will undertake a study to identify some specific management plans for the wetlands and the wooded areas and old fields. That will be done in two months.
COLEEN SENG - Ms. Seng stated that all of the Council Members should have a copy of the letter from a woman with concerns about the Woods Park Neighborhood and people loitering around on public sidewalks. She informed Council that she had forwarded the letter to Allan Abbott for action.

JON CAMP - No Further Comments

ANN HARRELL - No Further Comments

MARK BOWEN - Passed out the most recent Legislative Update list to Council, indicating to Council that he would meet with them on an individual basis if there were any questions.

DANA ROPER - No Further Comments

VI. REQUESTS OF COUNCIL FROM MAYOR - None

VII. MISCELLANEOUS -

1. Discussion on the Common Agenda (Requested by Jon Camp). Mr. Camp, Common Chair for 2001, noted that everyone should have received a list of the proposed dates for the Common through the rest of the year. This was set up so the Directors and Administration would have an idea of meeting dates for scheduling presentations.

Mr. Camp commented that, obviously, there would be no meeting today. The next meeting would be scheduled for the first Tuesday in March (March 6th). The dates would be the 1st alternating Monday/Tuesday each month at 8:15 a.m. The Council’s Pre-Council schedule on the Mondays would amount to only 6 days per year, with the possibility of even fewer disruptions to the Pre-Council schedule if the Monday meetings were cancelled, as was the case with today’s meeting.

He asked that if there were any objections to the proposed schedule to let Joan know so it could be coordinated and finalized for distribution to Staff and Administration. Ms. Seng noted that some Comprehensive Plan meetings had been scheduled with the assumption that the Common would be held on the 3rd Friday.

Mr. Camp thanked Mr. Shoecraft for leading this discussion.

2. Discussion on the disk CD distribution to Council (Requested by Jerry Shoecraft). Mr. Shoecraft asked Mr. Cook, who had requested the Council Agenda CDs for Council’s use, why Council was receiving the CDs, wondering if the weekly
preparation of the discs by I.S. was too big an imposition on their time and resources. Mr. Cook stated that it would depend on whether or not Council is getting the information we need...either on paper or on the Internet. He noted that if I.S. were to send CDs every week on Thursday with the packets, we wouldn’t need Internet access. But, apparently, they don’t get the CDs done in time to go out in the Thursday packets. In fact, the CD they sent this week was last week’s agenda, so that was no use to us, really. Then they said they wanted the cases back, which didn’t make any sense. He commented that this issue has been somewhat resolved, but he stated that if they were still having difficulty, he had several types of cheap cases that could be utilized and bought in large numbers so they wouldn’t have to be returned.

Mr. Cook stated that the CDs might not be needed at all if we have the Internet connection and can get it on line at home. They were saying the discs would be used for a back up in case the Internet connection goes down. He said, well, perhaps, if they get it done and sent in the packet Thursday night; but, if they don’t have it done, it’s not useful anyway. Yes, if they have the CDs here for us in case the network connection goes down in the Council Chambers, we could all run into the Council offices and CDs and put them in....he didn’t know why they would want them otherwise. Mr. Camp noted that they could be used in Conference Room 113. Mr. Cook thought they could hook up a network connection in this room for the Directors’ Meetings.

Mr. Shoecraft asked if Council would want I.S. to copy this every week? Mr. Cook didn’t see why they would need to give Council copies of the CD every week right now. Ms. Ray noted that they did this because Mr. Cook had requested that it be done at one point. Mr. Cook answered that some time ago, before we were discussing Internet connections at home, he had wanted an archive of many months of agendas and documents...but, he noted, he can do that on the Internet now. The one thing that we don’t have is the Director’s Agenda & that will be on the internet soon. He stated that if I.S. could press these for Thursday night distribution, then a Council Member could say that he would either want the Internet Connection or a CD at home on Thursday. They could keep them or throw them out after they were done.

Ms. Seng noted that if the CDs had been available earlier in the laptop set up time frame, the ADSL telephone lines might not have been necessary at the homes of the Council members. After further discussion is was decided that the CDs would be in the office on Monday for back-up purposes.

3. Discussion on rescheduling the I.S. Training that was cancelled on January 29th due to the weather. Mr. Shoecraft, after a brief discussion, suggested that I.S.
set up appointments with each individual Council Member for training. Ms. Seng suggested that everyone should do the training together and Mr. Shoecraft requested that Pre-Council type meeting be set up in the Chamber the next available, Monday

[This message was presented to LaKesia Peoples, the I.S. representative at the CAP meeting of February 6th]

VIII. MEETING ENDED  - Approximately 1:00 p.m.